Chronic Q Fever in the Netherlands 5 Years after the Start of the Q Fever Epidemic: Results from the Dutch Chronic Q Fever Database
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Coxiella burnetii causes Q fever, a zoonosis, which has acute and chronic manifestations. From 2007 to 2010, the Netherlands experienced a large Q fever outbreak, which has offered a unique opportunity to analyze chronic Q fever cases. In an observational cohort study, baseline characteristics and clinical characteristics, as well as mortality, of patients with proven, probable, or possible chronic Q fever in the Netherlands, were analyzed. In total, 284 chronic Q fever patients were identified, of which 151 (53.7%) had proven, 64 (22.5%) probable, and 69 (24.3%) possible chronic Q fever. Among proven and probable chronic Q fever patients, vascular infection focus (56.7%) was more prevalent than endocarditis (34.9%). An acute Q fever episode was recalled by 27.0% of the patients. The all-cause mortality rate was 19.1%, while the chronic Q fever-related mortality rate was 13.0%, with mortality rates of 9.3% among endocarditis patients and 18% among patients with a vascular focus of infection. Increasing age (P = 0.004 and 0.010), proven chronic Q fever (P = 0.020 and 0.002), vascular chronic Q fever (P = 0.024 and 0.005), acute presentation with chronic Q fever (P = 0.002 and P < 0.001), and surgical treatment of chronic Q fever (P = 0.025 and P < 0.001) were significantly associated with all-cause mortality and chronic Q fever-related mortality, respectively.

Q fever is a zoonosis caused by the bacterium Coxiella burnetii, which has its main reservoir in small ruminants. Outbreaks of the disease occur worldwide (1). After primary infection, 50 to 60% of patients remain asymptomatic, while others develop symptomatic acute Q fever, a flu-like illness, which is mostly self-limiting (1–3). Between 2007 and 2010, a large outbreak of acute Q fever was observed in the Netherlands with >4,000 reported cases (4). These figures are probably rather conservative, as seropositivity studies show that at least >40,000 people were infected by C. burnetii (5).

From previous observations, it is know that chronic Q fever can develop in approximately 1 to 5% of C. burnetii-infected patients and mostly manifests itself in the first year after infection, but the disease can also present itself several years later (1, 6). The most common manifestations are endocarditis, which according to the literature accounts for approximately 75% of all chronic Q fever cases, and vascular chronic Q fever, consisting of infections of vascular prostheses and aortic aneurysms (1, 7–9). Less common manifestations are osteomyelitis, pericarditis, and hepatitis (8). Important risk factors are heart valve pathology, especially valve prostheses, vascular prostheses, and aneurysms (9–12). Immunosuppression also seems to be associated with an elevated risk, as well as older age, pregnancy, and (mild) renal insufficiency (3, 11, 12). Before severe complications occur, most patients are asymptomatic or report only nonspecific symptoms such as low-grade fever, night sweats, and weight loss (1, 6, 9, 13). In case of endocarditis, findings on echocardiography are often nonspecific or absent (13). An early diagnosis of chronic Q fever has major clinical implications, as chronic Q fever causes high morbidity and a mortality rate of up to 60% when left untreated (1, 13). Long-term antibiotic treatment, consisting of doxycycline and hydroxychloroquine, and resection of infected vascular and valvular tissue are thought to improve prognosis (9, 13, 14).

Unfortunately, diagnosing chronic Q fever has proven to be challenging. A positive PCR or culture of C. burnetii in blood or tissue, in the absence of a serologic profile for acute Q fever, is considered diagnostic for chronic Q fever. However, PCR on blood has a limited sensitivity of only 50 to 60% (15–17). Therefore, serological analysis has an essential role in the diagnosis of chronic Q fever. C. burnetii exhibits antigenic variation when it is cultured in cells, as the virulent organisms producing phase I antigens shift to avirulent organisms producing phase II antigen. Chronic Q fever is characterized by persistent high titer of IgG antibodies against C. burnetii phase I antigens (phase I IgG) (3). A phase 1 IgG cutoff titer of 800, based on an in-house-developed immunofluorescence assay (IFA), as well as a cutoff titer of 1,024 based on a commercially available IFA (Focus Diagnostics) have
been used as standards for the serological diagnosis of chronic Q fever (15, 18–20). Recent studies show that serology alone, in the absence of PCR positivity, is not sufficient for the diagnosis of chronic Q fever and should therefore be combined with clinical data (21). The Dutch consensus group for the diagnosis of Q fever proposed to categorize patients into proven, probable, or possible chronic Q fever. This classification ranks the probability of having chronic Q fever based on PCR, serology, clinical parameters, imaging studies, and pathology (Table 1) (15).

To gain more insight into the chronic consequences and long-term prognosis of infection with C. burnetii after the recent outbreak in the Netherlands, the initiative called the Dutch National Chronic Q Fever Database was started. This is a joint effort of numerous hospitals situated in regions where the epidemic did not occur. In the absence of acute infection.

### Materials and Methods

**Dutch National Chronic Q Fever Database.** All Dutch hospitals that treat chronic Q fever patients were actively approached to include in the database chronic Q fever patients who were detected since the start of the Dutch Q fever epidemic in 2007. Most of the hospitals were situated in the epidemic region, the southwest of the Netherlands, in which the majority (>95%) of the patients were treated. The other patients were treated in numerous hospitals situated in regions where the epidemic did not occur. Design of the database and the use of the collected information for analysis and scientific publications were approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee of the University Medical Centre Utrecht. In the current study, we used information about patients included in this database until the end of May 2012.

**Patients.** Patients were included in the database if they were 18 years old or older and they fulfilled the criteria of proven, probable, or possible chronic Q fever according to the recent Dutch consensus guideline (Table 1) (15). In this guideline, the proven chronic Q fever group represents patients with the most definite form of chronic Q fever, in contrast to the possible chronic Q fever group, which represents patients with serology indicating chronic Q fever but without other manifestations of chronic disease. For the analysis of mortality of chronic Q fever patients, we chose to include only the patients with proven and probable chronic Q fever, as most likely a certain number of possible chronic Q fever patients do not have actual chronic Q fever. For deceased patients, we assessed the cause of death and whether mortality was due to chronic Q fever. Some of these patients died of diseases not related to chronic Q fever (e.g., malignancies) or it could not be determined whether death was due to chronic Q fever (e.g., malaise, sepsis). Death association with chronic Q fever was defined by one researcher (L.M.K.) as definitely (caused by vascular complications, endocarditis, probably (caused by heart failure in case of suspected endocarditis, gastrointestinal bleeding in case of suspected arteriointestinal fistula, clinical deterioration in case of antibiotic refusal, or severe side effects of medication), possibly (coinciding with severe comorbidities or unknown cause of mortality) or not associated (other cause of mortality, such as cancer).

**Data collection and storage.** Data on patient characteristics, imaging results, laboratory results, antibiotic therapy, and outcome were collected from patient records provided by the hospitals that participated in the database. All data were stored and processed anonymously in SPSS version 18 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL).

**Microbiological analysis.** In the Netherlands, routine microbiological work-up for the diagnosis of chronic Q fever consists of serology, using an indirect fluorescent-antibody assay (IFA) (Focus Diagnostics, Inc., Cypress, CA) and PCR for C. burnetii DNA on plasma or serum and, if available, tissue. Titration of antibody levels was carried out at the different hospital sites with dilutions according to a binary scale and a detection cutoff titer of 32. Some hospitals performed complement binding reactions (CBR), also referred to as complement fixation (CF) tests, for the diagnosis and follow-up of chronic Q fever. However, in all but three patients CBR results were at least, at one time point, confirmed with IFA. PCR for C. burnetii DNA was performed using an in-house assay as previously described (22). An input volume of 500 μL plasma or serum was used for DNA isolation.

**Statistical methods.** We used descriptive statistics to present data on baseline and clinical characteristics. In univariate analyses, patient characteristics were related to mortality using the log-rank test. Analyses were conducted separately for all-cause mortality and chronic Q fever-related mortality, the latter defined as death definitely or probably caused by chronic Q fever. Risk factors that were significantly and mostly associated with mortality in univariate analysis were additionally evaluated using multivariable Cox regression analysis. Due to multicollinearity and the limited number of deceased subjects, we could not include all risk factors simultaneously. The multivariable analyses were also conducted for all-cause mortality and chronic Q fever-related mortality separately in two models. Given the limited number of deceased subjects, only univariate evaluation was used for variables that applied to only part of the population (e.g., characteristics of acute Q fever episodes and surgical treatment). Differences were considered significant at P values of ≤0.05.
TABLE 2 Baseline and clinical characteristics of chronic Q fever cases in the Netherlands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>All chronic Q fever (n = 284)</th>
<th>Proven chronic Q fever (n = 151)</th>
<th>Probable chronic Q fever (n = 64)</th>
<th>Possible chronic Q fever (n = 69)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male (n [%])</td>
<td>204 (71.6)</td>
<td>115 (76)</td>
<td>48 (75)</td>
<td>41 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean [SD]) (yr)</td>
<td>64.9 (14.1)</td>
<td>68.6 (12)</td>
<td>65.2 (15)</td>
<td>56.6 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus of infection(\text{\textsuperscript{2}}) (n [%])</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocarditis</td>
<td>75 (26.4)</td>
<td>49 (32)</td>
<td>26 (41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection of valve prosthesis</td>
<td>38 (13.3)</td>
<td>31 (21)</td>
<td>7 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular infection</td>
<td>122 (42.0)</td>
<td>104 (69)</td>
<td>18 (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection of vascular prosthesis</td>
<td>57 (20.1)</td>
<td>45 (30)</td>
<td>12 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other focus (n [%])</td>
<td>6 (2.1)</td>
<td>2 (1)(\text{\textsuperscript{1}})</td>
<td>4 (76)(\text{\textsuperscript{1}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No focus (n [%])</td>
<td>92 (33.1)</td>
<td>7 (5)(\text{\textsuperscript{1}})</td>
<td>16 (25)(\text{\textsuperscript{1}})</td>
<td>69 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known acute Q fever episode (n [%])</td>
<td>106 (37.3)</td>
<td>28 (19)(\text{\textsuperscript{1}})</td>
<td>30 (47)</td>
<td>48 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate treatment(\text{\textsuperscript{1}})</td>
<td>81 (76)</td>
<td>19 (68)</td>
<td>24 (80)</td>
<td>38 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echocardiogram (TEE or TTE) performed(\text{\textsuperscript{1}})</td>
<td>21 (20)</td>
<td>8 (29)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>10 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging of abdominal aorta by AUS(\text{\textsuperscript{1}})</td>
<td>14 (13)</td>
<td>5 (18)</td>
<td>4 (13)</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factor for chronic Q fever(\text{\textsuperscript{1}})</td>
<td>34 (32)</td>
<td>17 (61)</td>
<td>15 (50)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Q fever prophylaxis(\text{\textsuperscript{1}})</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>4 (14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors for chronic Q fever (n [%])</td>
<td>152 (53.5)</td>
<td>107 (71)</td>
<td>42 (66)</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of valvulopathy</td>
<td>68 (23.9)</td>
<td>48 (32)</td>
<td>19 (30)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve prosthesis or plasty</td>
<td>45 (15.8)</td>
<td>36 (24)</td>
<td>9 (14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of aneurysm</td>
<td>28 (9.9)</td>
<td>22 (15)</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of vascular prosthesis</td>
<td>61 (21.5)</td>
<td>49 (32)</td>
<td>12 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppression(\text{\textsuperscript{1}})</td>
<td>19 (6.7)</td>
<td>11 (7)</td>
<td>8 (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy during acute episode</td>
<td>5 (1.8)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical treatment due to Q fever (n [%])</td>
<td>65 (22.9)</td>
<td>64 (42)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve surgery(\text{\textsuperscript{1}})</td>
<td>13 (4.6)</td>
<td>12 (8)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular surgery(\text{\textsuperscript{1}})</td>
<td>53 (19.0)</td>
<td>54 (36)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}) (n [%])</td>
<td>45 (15.8)</td>
<td>35 (23)</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of deceased patients with endocarditis/total no. of patients with endocarditis (%)</td>
<td>12/75 (16)</td>
<td>10/49 (20)</td>
<td>2/24 (8)</td>
<td>NA(\text{\textsuperscript{2}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of deceased patients with vascular infection/total no. of patients with vascular infection (%)</td>
<td>28/122 (23)</td>
<td>26/104 (25)</td>
<td>2/18 (11)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definitely or probably deceased due to chronic Q fever (n [%])</td>
<td>28 (9.8)</td>
<td>27 (18)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\) TEE, transesophageal echocardiography; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography; AUS, abdominal ultrasound.

\(\text{\textsuperscript{2}}\) In 11 proven chronic Q fever patients, imaging studies revealed that the focus of infection could be both on the heart valves and vascular structures.

\(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\) Proven effective antibiotic treatment regime for at least 10 days.

\(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\) Percentages estimated for all chronic cases with an acute Q fever episode only.

\(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\) Prednisone cumulative dose >750 mg, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-\(\alpha\))--blocker usage, methotrexate usage, mycophenolate mofetil usage, splenectomy, hematologic malignancies.

\(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\) Two patients underwent both vascular and heart valve surgery, one patient underwent replacement of thoracic aneurysm and aortic valve and one patient underwent replacement of aortic valve and vascular surgery of an aneurysm of the left arteria ilaca.

\(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\) In two deceased patients with proven chronic Q fever, the focus of infection could have been both on heart valves and vascular structures.

\(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\) One patient with pericarditis and one patient with an infected placenta (confirmed by PCR).

\(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\) All had positive PCR results for blood samples; six patients had cardiovascular risk factors, and two were immunocompromised.

\(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\) Data missing in seven patients.

\(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\) One patient with pericarditis, two patients with chronic serologic profiles during pregnancy, and one patient with spondylodiscitis.

\(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\) Six patients with clinical signs of systemic infection, 10 patients with immunocompromised status.

\(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\) NA, not applicable.

**RESULTS**

In total, 284 patients were included in the chronic Q fever database. Of these, 151 patients (53.7%) had proven chronic Q fever, 64 patients (22.5%) probable chronic Q fever, and 69 patients (24.3%) possible chronic Q fever. Baseline characteristics and details of chronic and acute Q fever episodes of these patients are shown in Table 2. Microbiology results of all chronic Q fever patients are presented in Table 3.

The overall mortality rate among chronic Q fever patients was 15.8%, of which 23.2% comprised proven cases, 9.4% comprised probable cases, and 5.8% comprised possible cases. Of the 215 patients with proven or probable chronic Q fever, 41 (19.1%) died during a median follow-up of 14.3 months (interquartile range 25 to 75% [10.1 to 23.1 months]). Mortality definitely or probably associated with chronic Q fever among patients with proven or probable chronic Q fever was 13.0% (28/215 patients), with a 9.3% mortality rate among endocarditis patients, and 18.0% in patients with a vascular focus of infection. The causes of mortality
TABLE 3 Results of microbiology tests (PCR and IFA\textsuperscript{a}) of all proven, probable, and possible chronic Q fever patients

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
 & All chronic Q fever & Proven chronic Q fever & Probable chronic Q fever & Possible chronic Q fever \\
\hline
Result & \((n = 284)\) & \((n = 151)\) & \((n = 64)\) & \((n = 69)\) \\
\hline
PCR positive in blood only & 60 (21.1) & 60 (40) & 62 (19.3) & 56 (32) \\
PCR positive in tissue only & 25 (8.8) & 25 (17) & 20 (6.3) & 26 (16) \\
PCR positive in blood and tissue & 35 (12.3) & 35 (23) & 34 (10.4) & 30 (18) \\
\hline
Phase I IgG titer & & & & \\
512 & 2 (0.7) & 2\textsuperscript{c} (1) & & \\
1,024 & 35 (12.3) & 6 (4) & 13 (20) & 16 (23) \\
2,048 & 61 (21.5) & 24 (16) & 13 (20) & 24 (35) \\
4,096 & 62 (21.8) & 28 (19) & 17 (27) & 17 (25) \\
8,192 & 36 (12.7) & 17 (11) & 10 (16) & 9 (13) \\
\textgreater 8,192 & 79 (27.8) & 66 (44) & 10 (16) & 3 (4) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\textsuperscript{a}IFA, immunofluorescence assay.

\textsuperscript{b}For two patients, no titration for a titer above 4,096 was performed; for three patients, no reliable IFA titer results were obtained due to blood transfusion or fluid supplementation; for three patients, no IFA titer was performed (PCR results in blood and/or tissue were positive), but complement binding reaction (CBR) was performed (PCR results in blood and/or tissue were positive).

\textsuperscript{c}One patient with positive PCR for blood, one patient with positive PCR for vascular tissue.

\textsuperscript{d}In one patient no titration of serology above 4,096 was performed.

of the proven and probable chronic Q fever cases are shown in Fig. 1. Figure 2 presents a Kaplan-Meier survival curve based on the ver, 50% died upon presentation or within the first 4 months after diagnosis.

In Table 4, clinical characteristics of all proven and probable chronic Q fever patients who died \((n = 41)\) are compared with those of patients who did not die \((n = 174)\). The group of deceased patients was analyzed as an all-cause mortality group \((n = 41)\) and as a group with chronic Q fever-related mortality \((n = 28)\). Increasing age \((P = 0.004\) and 0.010\), proven chronic Q fever \((P = 0.020\) and 0.002\), vascular infection as the focus of chronic Q fever \((P = 0.024\) and 0.005\), acute presentation with chronic Q fever \((P = 0.002\) and 0.001\), and surgical treatment of chronic Q fever \((P = 0.025\) and 0.001\) were significantly associated with all-cause mortality and chronic Q fever-related mortality, respectively. Analysis of only those patients who underwent surgical intervention demonstrated that emergency surgical treatment in particular was associated with mortality \((P = 0.007\) for all-cause mortality and 0.017 for chronic Q fever-related mortality).

In multivariable Cox regression analysis, age (hazard ratio [HR] 1.57; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.13 to 2.19 per 10-year increase of age) and acute presentation with chronic Q fever (HR, 1.96; 95% CI, 1.02 to 3.78) were independent predictors of all-cause mortality and chronic Q fever-related mortality \((P < 0.001\) and 0.001\)). Age was also an independent predictor of chronic Q fever-related mortality \((HR, 1.59; 95\% CI, 1.14 to 2.21\) per 10-year increase of age).

DISCUSSION

Until the end of May 2012, 284 patients with proven, probable, or possible chronic Q fever were identified in the Dutch National Chronic Q Fever Database. Of all chronic Q fever cases, 108 patients (38.0%) recalled an acute Q fever episode, although among proven and probable cases, only 58 patients (27.0%) had a known acute Q fever episode. During the Q fever epidemic, over 4,000 cases of acute Q fever were reported \((4)\). Therefore, the risk for chronic Q fever development after a symptomatic acute Q fever episode is approximately 2.5% if all chronic Q fever cases are taken into account, and 1.5% if limited only to proven and probable chronic Q fever cases. These figures are in line with the previously reported rate of 1 to 5% \((1, 6)\). However, based on seroprevalence studies, estimates of the total number of \(C.\ burnetii\) infections in the Dutch outbreak are up to 10-fold higher than the number of reported cases \((5, 23)\). Extrapolating these data, the number of identified chronic Q fever patients in the Netherlands does not reach this rate of 1 to 5% of \(C.\ burnetii\) infections by far \((1)\). This could indicate that the majority of chronic Q fever cases are still undetected, although this is less likely as it is more than 2 years after the end of the Q fever epidemic and it has been shown that most chronic Q fever cases manifest themselves in the first year after infection \((1, 6)\). It could also indicate that in the Dutch outbreak the percentage of \(C.\ burnetii\)-infected patients who eventually developed chronic Q fever was lower than previously reported.

The majority of chronic Q fever patients did not recall an acute Q fever episode, an exception being patients with possible chronic Q fever \((69.6\%)\), who were mostly detected in follow-up programs after a recognized acute Q fever episode. This could be due to a low detection rate of acute Q fever cases, or to overlap with other febrile diseases, especially in the beginning of the epidemic. It could also indicate that asymptomatic primary infections also bring about the risk for development of chronic Q fever, maybe even more so than symptomatic infections. Therefore, early detection of chronic Q fever might not be accomplished only by follow-up programs of recognized acute Q fever cases; screening of high-risk groups after an acute Q fever outbreak should also be prioritized.

Notably, among the proven and probable chronic Q fever patients in the Netherlands, we found more patients with a vascular focus of infection (56.7%) than patients with endocarditis (35.3%). This is in contrast with earlier reports from France, where endocarditis predominates \((1, 7)\). It might be that this disparity is caused by strain-specific differences, leading to distinct clinical presentations \((24)\). Another explanation might be increased awareness in the Netherlands of this previously relatively unacknowledged manifestation of chronic Q fever. In 11 patients, imaging studies revealed that the focus of infection could be both on the heart valves and vascular structures, which was confirmed in one deceased patient at autopsy, where both the aortic valve as well as an aneurysm were found to be \(C.\ burnetii\) DNA positive by PCR. We found nine proven chronic Q fever patients with a pos-

![FIG 1 Causes of death of deceased proven and probable chronic Q fever patients. Other causes of death were malignancies, renal failure, and sepsis due to another pathogen which was unrelated to endocarditis or vascular infection.](https://jcm.asm.org/content/1640/jcm.asm.org)
itive PCR result for blood samples who did not have a clear vascular infection or endocarditis. One of these patients had signs of a pericarditis, while another patient had serologic results consistent with chronic Q fever during pregnancy and a positive C. burnetii PCR of placental tissue. In seven patients, no infection focus was found, despite thorough work-ups with echocardiography and fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography-computed tomography. Six of these patients had risk factors for cardiovascular disease and two were immunocompromised (one patient with acute lymphatic leukemia and one patient on long-term prednisone therapy).

Recognized risk factors for chronic Q fever development were present in the majority of patients (53.5% of all cases, 69.3% in proven and probable cases), except for patients with possible chronic Q fever infection (4.3%), in whom risk factors consisted of minor valvulopathies only. In a significant number of proven and probable chronic Q fever patients, risk factors were not recognized before presentation. More than half of the patients with proven vascular chronic Q fever had no history of vascular anomalies beforehand. These patients presented at the hospital with aneurysms, for which in various instances emergency vascular surgery was needed. Although the role of echocardiography after an acute Q fever episode remains controversial and requires further evaluation (13, 25, 26), screening for an aortic aneurysm with abdominal ultrasound at the time of acute Q fever presentation could result in detection at an early stage of patients at risk for vascular chronic Q fever. Therefore, we advise to screen for aortic aneurysms in case of acute Q fever, especially when risk factors for vascular disease are present, like diabetes mellitus, hypertension, smoking, dyslipidemia, or positive family history for vascular disease. Moreover, active case finding in a Q fever epidemic area could be initiated by screening for Q fever in high-risk groups, including patients with aneurysms, vascular prostheses, or heart valve prostheses (12). In our current analysis, minor heart valve lesions (for example, grade I aortic valve insufficiency) were also included as risk factors for chronic Q fever, although the influence of minor valvulopathies on chronic Q fever development is debated (13, 24, 25). This could have led to overestimation of the amount of risk factors in all chronic Q fever cases.

Overall mortality in chronic Q fever patients was 15.8%. Mortality was highest in patients with proven chronic Q fever (23.2%) compared to patients with probable chronic Q fever (9.4%) and possible chronic Q fever (5.8%). As possible chronic Q fever cases most likely represent, at least in part, patients who do not have actual chronic Q fever, we omitted them from the analysis of chronic Q fever mortality. In total, we identified 215 patients with proven or probable chronic Q fever (among whom all-cause mortality was 19.1%) during a median follow-up of 14.3 months (interquartile range, 25 to 75% [10.1 to 23.1 months]). When only patients with chronic Q fever-related mortality were analyzed, the mortality rate among patients with proven and probable chronic Q fever was 13.0%, with a 9.3% mortality rate among endocarditis patients and 18.0% in vascular chronic Q fever cases. These figures confirm the results of previous studies showing differences in mortality rates of both disease entities (9, 13, 14). Most patients died due to vascular complications of vascular chronic Q fever. Mortality was highest in the first months after the diagnosis of chronic Q fever. There were no significant differences in antibiotic treatment among deceased and nondeceased patients. Although all patients were offered treatment, only 83% received adequate

FIG 2 Kaplan-Meier survival curve for proven and probable chronic Q fever patients.
antibiotic treatment, as some patients refused therapy, while others died before treatment could be initiated. As illustrated in Fig. 1, two patients probably died due to severe side effects of the medication, consisting of kidney insufficiency and gastrointestinal side effects. Not unexpectedly, in multivariable analysis, older age was significantly associated with death in both the all-cause mortality and chronic Q fever-related mortality groups. Moreover, acute presentations with chronic Q fever (e.g., symptomatic aneurysm or severe endocarditis) were significantly associated with all-cause mortality. Although in univariate analysis proven chronic Q fever, surgical treatment of chronic Q fever, and vascular focus of infection were also significantly associated with all-cause and Q fever-related mortality, this was not confirmed in multivariable analysis. However, the number of deceased patients was relatively small for the performance of reliable multivariable analysis. Moreover, there were correlations between the included variables. Analysis of the data showed that the elevated mortality risk in cases of surgical intervention was caused by the necessity of emergency surgical procedures for patients who presented with acute complications of chronic Q fever, especially in case of vascular infections, and to a lesser extent endocarditis.

In conclusion, the data of the Dutch National Chronic Q Fever Database demonstrate that vascular chronic Q fever is a more common manifestation than Q fever endocarditis in the Netherlands. Most patients with chronic Q fever did not recall an episode of acute Q fever. Chronic Q fever is a severe infection with an overall high mortality rate. In particular, older patients with vascular infections who present with acute (vascular) complications needing urgent surgical intervention are at risk of death due to chronic Q fever. Measures to reduce mortality and morbidity of chronic Q fever should be directed at early case finding. This can be achieved through the screening of high-risk groups in case of acute Q fever presentation with chronic Q fever and surgical treatment of chronic Q fever.

### TABLE 4 Characteristics of deceased and nondeceased patients with proven and probable chronic Q fever

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Nondeceased (n = 174)</th>
<th>Deceased (n = 41)</th>
<th>P*</th>
<th>Definitely or probably deceased due to chronic Q fever (n = 28)</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male (n [%])</td>
<td>133 (76)</td>
<td>30 (73)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>21 (75)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean [SD]) (yr)</td>
<td>66.5 (13)</td>
<td>72.1 (12)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>72.5 (13)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factor for chronic Q fever (n [%])</td>
<td>119 (68)</td>
<td>30 (73)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>20 (71)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proven chronic Q fever (n [%])</td>
<td>116 (67)</td>
<td>35 (85)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>27 (96)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocarditis (n [%])</td>
<td>63 (36)</td>
<td>12 (29)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>7 (25)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve prosthesis or plastic</td>
<td>31 (18)</td>
<td>7 (17)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>4 (14)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular infection (n [%])</td>
<td>94 (54)</td>
<td>28 (68)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>22 (79)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular prosthesis</td>
<td>50 (29)</td>
<td>7 (17)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>4 (14)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other focus (n [%])</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No focus (n [%])</td>
<td>20 (11)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute presentation with chronic Q fever (n [%])</td>
<td>35 (20)</td>
<td>18 (44)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>16 (57)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Q fever episode (n [%])</td>
<td>45 (26)</td>
<td>13 (32)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>8 (29)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequately treated with antibioticsabc</td>
<td>34 (76)</td>
<td>9 (69)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>4 (50)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factor for chronic Q feverb</td>
<td>22 (50)</td>
<td>10 (77)</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>6 (75)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prophylactic therapybcd</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate antibiotic treatment of chronic Q fever (n [%])</td>
<td>145 (83)</td>
<td>35 (85)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>23 (82)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical treatment of chronic Q fever (n [%])</td>
<td>49 (28)</td>
<td>19 (46)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>17 (61)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency surgical treatmentd</td>
<td>21 (43)</td>
<td>15 (79)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>13 (76)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Proven effective antibiotic treatment regime for at least 10 days.
b Percentages and P values estimated on all chronic cases with an acute Q fever episode only.
c Doxycycline and hydroxychloroquine for at least 6 months.
d Percentages and P values estimated on all chronic cases who underwent surgical treatment only.
P values estimated with log-rank test.

### TABLE 5 Adjusted risk factors for mortality among patients with proven and probable chronic Q fever

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multivariable model with risk factors includeda</th>
<th>All-cause mortality (HR [95% CI])</th>
<th>Mortality definitely or probably due to chronic Q fever (HR [95% CI])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in 10 yr (continuous)</td>
<td>1.57 (1.13–2.19)</td>
<td>1.68 (1.09–2.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proven chronic Q fever</td>
<td>1.63 (0.62–4.26)</td>
<td>5.65 (0.71–44.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular infection</td>
<td>1.48 (0.73–2.99)</td>
<td>1.81 (0.71–4.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute presentation with chronic Q fever</td>
<td>1.96 (1.02–3.78)</td>
<td>2.75 (1.27–5.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in 10 yr (continuous)</td>
<td>1.59 (1.14–2.21)</td>
<td>1.71 (1.11–2.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proven Q fever</td>
<td>1.84 (0.71–4.76)</td>
<td>6.66 (0.84–52.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular infection</td>
<td>1.34 (0.63–2.85)</td>
<td>1.46 (0.53–3.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical treatment of chronic Q fever</td>
<td>1.51 (0.75–3.04)</td>
<td>2.09 (0.89–4.89)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Two multivariable models were constructed because of multicollinearity between acute presentation with chronic Q fever and surgical treatment of chronic Q fever. Model 1 includes acute presentation with chronic Q fever and model 2 surgical treatment of chronic Q fever.
b HR, hazard ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.
Q fever epidemic and surveillance for risk factors of chronic Q fever.
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