Abstract

The iTasks framework is for the construction of distributed systems where users work together on the internet. It offers a domain specific language for defining applications, embedded into the lazy functional language Clean. From the mere declarative specification a complete multi-user web application is generated. Although the generated nature of the user interface entails a number of benefits for the programmer, it suffers from the lack of possibility to create custom UI building blocks. In a precursory work we proposed tasklets for the development of custom, interactive web components. However, as tasklets are implemented as a computational element, a task, they lack some fundamental properties limiting their usability; these are compositionality and the capability of two-way communication between the clients and the server. In this paper, we introduce editlets to overcome these limitations. In addition, editlets also provide a general way to communicate in edit instead of exchanging the whole data: it does not just help with reducing the communication cost, but also enables multiple clients to work on the same shared data with minimizing the risk of conflicting updates.

1. Introduction

Task Oriented Programming [5, 7] (TOP) is a paradigm that is designed to construct multi-user, distributed, web-applications. The iTask system [6] (iTasks) is a TOP framework that offers a domain specific language embedded in the pure, lazy functional language Clean.

According to the TOP paradigm, the unit of application logic is a task. Tasks are abstract descriptions of interactive persistent units of work that have a typed value. When a task is executed, it has an opaque persistent value, which can be observed by other tasks in a controlled way. In iTasks, complex multi-user interactions can be programmed in a declarative style just by defining the tasks that have to be accomplished. The specification of the tasks is given by a domain specific language (DSL). Furthermore, the specification is given on a very high level of abstraction and does not require the programmer to provide any user interface definition. Merely by defining the workflow of user interaction, a complete multi-user web application is generated, all the details e.g. the generation of web user interface, client-server communication, state management etc. are automatically taken care of by the framework itself.

The iTasks system uses generic programming [1, 4] and a hybrid static-dynamic type system [8, 9] to generate the user interface. From the programmers perspective, it is achieved in two levels. In the most basic level, the iTasks engine can be asked to generate user interface for any conceivable first order model type. iTasks uses a predefined set of primitive user interface elements to generate the GUI, a client side editor, for the given type, then dynamically creates an associated primitive task. On the higher level, additional user interface elements are generated as tasks are combined together. These elements reflect the actual combinators in use and express the "flow" of the application.

Developing web applications such a way is straightforward in the sense that the programmers are liberated from some cumbersome and error-prone jobs, such that they can concentrate on the essence of the application. The iTasks system makes it very easy to develop interactive multi-user applications. The downside is that one has only limited control over the customization of the generated user interface. In real world applications, it is often necessary to develop custom user interface elements to achieve special functionality.

To overcome this limitation, in a previous work we introduced tasklets [2], a special primitive task type, for the development of custom, interactive web components. Tasklets are written in Clean and executed in a web browser using a Clean to JavaScript compilation technique [3]. In the browser, they have unlimited access to browser resources through some library functions while on the server they behave like ordinary iTasks tasks.

Using tasklets, we have successfully developed many interactive components for a wide range of applications, but we also experienced certain limitations of the technology. These are the following:

1. Tasklets cannot work with shared data. As an example, it is not possible to create an interactive map, and enable multiple users to make concurrent modifications to that (e.g. add marks). This limitation goes against the main principle of iTasks.

2. There is no way for two-way communication between the client and the server part. Tasklets implement task interface which enables the inspection of task values, the behavior of a task cannot be influenced after its evaluation is started.

3. There is only limited compositionality at task level. Generated editors cannot contain custom elements as they are primitive tasks which cannot contain other tasks.

In this paper we rethought how to create interactive components. We found that attaching the presentation logic to a type has many advantages over our previous approach. The new type of interactive elements are called editlets as they work on the lower editor level instead of task level as tasklets do. Editlets solve all the...
aforementioned limitations while preserving compatibility: in the
most basic use cases they give back the functionality of tasklets.

Editlets also have the property that the client-server communi-
cation is done in *edits*, which means that the value of the editlet
is communicated through changes instead of exchanging the whole
value at every update. In certain cases it does not just reduces dra-
tically the communication cost (just think of a source code editor
component), but also allows us to avoid update conflicts when
working on shared data.

In this paper we show how editlets can be defined, how they
work and interact with the other part of the iTasks system. This is
done in a number of steps:

1. We extend iTask with editlets. An editlet consists of the type of
the value it holds, a type of the edits in use, a description of the
behavior of the component on the client side, and the logic of
creating and applying edits from and to its current value.

2. We develop a simple, but still realistic example of a drawing ap-
lications, where multiple people can work on the same image
on the same shared image to give a taste of editlets.

3. We explain the technical background of editlets along with ad-
ditional remarks how they fit the iTasks architecture.
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