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ABSTRACT

We investigate the diffusion of cosmic rays into molecular cloud complexes. Using the cosmic-ray diffusion formalism of Protheroe, et al. (2008), we examine how cosmic rays diffuse into clouds exhibiting different density structures, including a smooth step-function, as well as Gaussian and inverse-r density distributions, which are well known to trace the structure of star-forming regions. These density distributions were modelled as an approximation to the Galactic centre cloud G0.216+0.016, a recently-discovered massive dust clump that exhibits limited signs of massive star formation and thus may be the best region in the Galaxy to observe synchrotron emission from secondary electrons and positrons. Examination of the resulting synchrotron emission, produced by the interaction of cosmic ray protons interacting with ambient molecular matter producing secondary electrons and positrons reveals that, due to projection effects, limb-brightened morphology results in all cases. However, we find that the Gaussian and inverse-r density distributions show much broader flux density distributions than step-function distributions. Significantly, some of the compact (compared to the 2.2″ resolution, 5.3 GHz JVLA observations) sources show non-thermal emission, which may potentially be explained by the density structure and the lack of diffusion of cosmic rays into the cloud. We find that we can match the 5.3 and 20 GHz flux densities of the non-thermal source JVLA 1 and 6 from Rodríguez & Zapata (2014) with a local cosmic ray flux density, a diffusion coefficient suppression factor of $\chi = 0.1 - 0.01$ for a coefficient of $3 \times 10^{27}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, and a magnetic field strength of 470 $\mu$G.

Subject headings: radiation mechanisms: non-thermal – cosmic rays – H$^0$ regions – ISM: individual: G0.216+0.016 – radio continuum: ISM.

1. INTRODUCTION

The question of the penetration of cosmic rays (CRs) into molecular clouds and any resulting emission is an important question in high-energy astrophysics. Gabici et al. (2007) considered CR diffusion (and resulting CR proton interactions) into a typical molecular cloud of $n_{H_2} = 300$ cm$^{-3}$, $B = 10$ $\mu$G and CR diffusion coefficients typical for the Galactic disk. They found that GeV to TeV energy CR protons would indeed penetrate to the centre of such a cloud, and thus clouds should be a target for gamma-ray observations. At GHz radio frequencies, this hypothesis has been tested several times. Firstly, Jones et al. (2008, hereafter J08) searched two massive, isolated molecular clouds for evidence of synchrotron emission on the basis that the same protons that produce gamma rays (through inelastic $pp$ collisions and subsequent neutral pion decay) will also produce MeV–GeV secondary electrons and positrons$^1$ (through the decay of the charged pions produced concomitantly with the neutral pions) that will radiate at GHz frequencies. However, the confusion of any possible non-thermal emission with optically thick and thin thermal emission produced by star-formation processes, made any detection all but impossible. Furthermore, Protheroe et al. (2008, hereafter P08) and Jones et al. (2011) analysed radio emission from the Sagittarius B2 (Sgr B2) giant molecular cloud for such synchrotron emission because of the enhanced CR flux density thought to be present in the central regions of our Galaxy. The main results of these studies are that the diffusion of CRs are severely limited into dense cores of molecular clouds, and that clouds with a Gaussian density structure (such as suggested by NH$_3$ (1,1) studies by Ott et al. 2014) will show a “limb-brightened” morphology. The outcome of these investigations is that it is vital for unambiguous detection of synchrotron emission at GHz frequencies that the target clouds that, whilst being massive ($\gtrsim 10^4$ M$_\odot$) and dense ($\gtrsim 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$), also do not show signs of star formation, since the thermal radiation that forming stars quickly produce, will swamp any ability for detection of non-thermal emission. These considerations leave precious few clouds as potential targets. Moreover, as Ginsburg et al. (2012) found no starless, massive dust clumps in the first Galactic quadrant – implying a very short timescale for massive star formation (viz. $\lesssim 0.5$ Myr) – the window to observe synchrotron emission from secondary electrons in massive clumps is thus also likely to be short.

The Galactic centre cloud, G0.216+0.016, was recently shown – at least in terms of massive star, and possibly globular cluster, formation – to be a very important object (Longmore et al. 2012). Though it was discovered 20 years ago (Lis & Carlstrom 1994), it is remarkable for such a massive ($\sim 10^5$ M$_\odot$), dense ($\sim 2.0 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$) clump to exhibit a weak water maser as the sole sign of massive star formation. Given that a radio study of G0.216+0.016 (Rodríguez & Zapata 2013) at 5.3 and 20.9 GHz with the Karl G. Jansky VLA (JVLA) showed only a small number ($< 7$) of dense radio continuum clumps, this suggests that this cloud is the best chance in the Galaxy to observe synchrotron emission from secondary electrons.

---

$^1$ Hereafter, for brevity, we refer to any secondaries produced as simply electrons.
Some important information about G0.216+0.016 is summarised in Table 1. That three of the sources found by Rodríguez & Zapata (2013) exhibit non-thermal spectra thus compelled us to model the structure of this cloud in order to investigate whether, given the high mass of the cloud, synchrotron emission from secondary electrons, together with the expected exclusion of the CRs from the dense parts of the cloud, could explain the observed non-thermal emission.

2. PREDICTED SYNCHROTRON EMISSIVITY AND CR DIFFUSION

2.1. Synchrotron Emissivity due to Secondary Electrons

As outlined in J08, the synchrotron emissivity, \( J_\nu \), due to secondary electrons, in units of erg cm\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\) Hz\(^{-1}\), at frequency, \( \nu_1 \), is obtained by appropriate integration over the ambient electron density spectrum:

\[
J_\nu(r) = \frac{3e^3}{4\pi m_e c^2} \left( \frac{B_\perp}{1 \text{ G}} \right) \int_{m_e c^2}^{\infty} F(\nu/\nu_c)n(E, r)dE,
\]

where \( e = 4.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{ e.s.u.} \) is the charge of the electron and \( m_e c^2 = 8.18 \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg} \), \( B_\perp \) is the magnetic field strength in Gauss, and \( F(\nu/\nu_c) \) is the first synchrotron function evaluated as a function of the critical frequency; \( \nu_c = 4.19 \times 10^6 (E/m_e c^2)^2 (B_\perp/1 \text{ G}) \text{ Hz} \). Here, \( n(E, r)dE \) is the ambient spectrum of secondary particles, we have taken the same losses (ionisation, bremsstrahlung and synchrotron) into account as in J08:

\[
n(E, r) = \frac{\int_{E}^{\infty} q_{\perp}(E, r)dE}{dE/dt},
\]

for an appropriate production spectrum of secondary electrons, \( q_{\perp}(E, r) \), for which we use the spectrum of Kamae et al. (2006), normalised to the proton spectrum found by the PAMELA experiment (Adriani et al. 2011).

2.2. Density Distribution of the Cloud

As will be shown below, an estimate of the CR distribution within a cloud is critically dependant on how the density structure of the cloud is modelled. P08 showed that the large-scale distribution of molecular material in Sgr B2 can be modelled well by a 2-dimensional Gaussian structure with standard deviation \( \sigma = 2.75 \text{ pc} \). On the other hand, as discussed in Johnston et al. (2014), probability density functions (PDFs) of either the volume or column density have been used in an attempt to elucidate the structures of molecular clouds and to investigate the various physical processes contributing to this overall structure. It has been shown that PDFs of active Galactic star forming regions actively forming stars show a power-law tail, in addition to a log-normal PDF (Johnston et al. 2014 and references therein). In virial mass modelling, the density profile is modelled as \( \rho(r) \propto r^p \). Studies have shown that the median power for a sample of star-forming regions is \( p = -1.8 \) (Mueller et al. 2002), similar to the \( p = -1.1, -2.0 \) models discussed here. However, given that G0.216+0.016 shows no sign of such a power-law tail (Johnston et al. 2014), and that Figure 9 of Rathborne et al. (2014) shows a “centrally condensed” density structure for G0.216+0.016, we have modelled such a structure using a smoothed step-function with functional form:

\[
f(r) = \frac{a e^{cr} + b e^{dr}}{c e^{cr} + d e^{dr}}.
\]

This function produces a step function from \( a \) to \( b \) at a value of \( e = 2.95 \text{ pc} \), with \( d \) controlling the “steepness” of the cutoff, with smaller values giving a sharper cutoff (here, we use the somewhat-arbitrarily chosen \( d = 6 \), though we find that modest changes in \( d \) do not significantly effect the overall structure). However, in order to more fully explore the density-structure parameter space, we have also modelled a Gaussian of standard deviation \( \sigma = 2.95 \text{ pc} \) as well as inverse-\( r \) density distributions \( (1/r^2 \text{ and } 1/r^{1.1}) \).

We briefly note that in order that the synchrotron emission from secondaries be observable, the secondary electrons are required to be produced and to decay within the confines of any putative cloud. As was shown in P08, the diffusion distance for a magnetic field of 600 \( \mu \text{G} \) in a density of \( 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) is \( \sim 0.5 \text{ pc} \), much smaller than the 2.97 pc radius of the cloud. Thus, given that magnetic field strength obtained for G0.216+0.016 is similar, we thus compelled us to model the structure of this cloud.
Prospects for Detection of Synchrotron Emission from Secondaries Near Dense Dust Clumps

This gives an important link between the evolutionary their life cycles (e.g., the evolution of a power-law tail of distributions of clouds are known to change throughout is also evidenced in Figure 1). And, given that the density emission will be observed closer to the centre of the cloud gradual decline in the density, any resulting synchrotron Gaussian and inverse-

distribution reflects a sharp cloud boundary, whereas the centre of the cloud. Because the step-function density synchrotron emissivity as a function of distance from the overall density distribution plays in the expected in panel (b). This figure shows the important role that such as evidenced by PDFs with power-law tails and/or in panel (b) and 1/r^2 (thin lines) and 1/r^{1/3} (thick lines), where the radius, r is normalised to the same characteristic width as in (a). Each line is for a different CR diffusion suppression factor, X, as labelled in the figure.

magnetic field strength perpendicular to the line of sight, B_1 = 600 \mu G. The value of B_1 = 470 \mu G is motivated by the result of Johnston et al. (2014), who found a total magnetic field strength of B \sim 470 \mu G, and, following the arguments of P08 (i.e., B_\perp = \pi B_{\text{LOS}}/2 = \pi B/4, since \langle B_{\text{LOS}} \rangle = B/2), hence B_1 = 470 \mu G is a reasonable estimate. These values are well within the range of that known in Galactic centre clouds of 120 \mu G to 5.7 mG (Johnston et al. 2014).

The importance of Figures 1(a) and (b) are that if the conditions are favourable (i.e., few signs of massive star formation, combined with high magnetic fields, densities and masses), then sensitive radio studies of such cloud can illuminate the structures of the clouds; centrally-condensed clouds will exhibit synchrotron emission close to its centre, whereas clouds at later evolutionary stages – such as evidenced by PDFs with power-law tails and/or inverse-r or Gaussian density profiles – will contain the bulk of the CRs at larger distances from their centres. This gives an important link between the evolutionary stage of the cloud and where CRs, and hence synchrotron emission, should be observed.

From the synchrotron emissivity and by appropriate integration, the angular distribution of flux density is obtained and shown in Figure 2 for a Gaussian and step-function density distribution, in panel (a) and for a 1/r^2 only (due to them being so similar) density distributions in panel (b). This figure shows the important role that the overall density distribution plays in the expected synchrotron emissivity as a function of distance from the centre of the cloud. Because the step-function density distribution reflects a sharp cloud boundary, whereas Gaussian and inverse-r distributions represent a more gradual decline in the density, any resulting synchrotron emission will be observed closer to the centre of the cloud than is expected for the other density distributions (this is also evidenced in Figure 1). And, given that the density distributions of clouds are known to change throughout their life cycles (e.g., the evolution of a power-law tail of PDFs; Johnston et al. 2014), synchrotron emission may be observed at different distances from a cloud depending on the stage of (massive) star formation that it is at. Thus, for a centrally condensed cloud, such as represented as the step-function density profile shown in Figure 2 (a), we predict detectable synchrotron emission, on mJy/beam levels at \sim 3 – 4 pc from the centre of the cloud.

3. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

3.1. Application to G0.216+0.016

Figure 2 (a) and (b) shows the flux density (in units of Jy/beam, where the beam is 2.2") expected for a cloud with the characteristics of G0.216+0.016. It is significant then in their radio continuum study of G0.216+0.016, Rodríguez & Zapata (2013) found three compact, thermal sources but also three compact sources that possess a non-thermal spectrum between 5.3 and 20.9 GHz (i.e., sources JVLA 1, 2, 6 and 7 – see their Figure 1). Figure 2 shows that for reasonable magnetic field values, our model can explain the compact, non-thermal sources observed towards G0.216+0.016, assuming that they are related to the clump, and are not background galaxies. There are, however, good reasons to think that at least two of these non-thermal sources – JVLA 6 and 1 – are indeed associated with G0.216+0.016, whilst the other two – JVLA 2 and 7 are background sources. Firstly, sources JVLA 2 and 7 are located further away from the centre of the source than JVLA 6 and 1, for which JVLA 6 is located within the blue contours defining the source in Figure 1 of Rodríguez & Zapata (2013), and JVLA 6 lying (in projection) just exterior to the source at the position of the greatest density gradient of the clump. This fits well with the scenario outlined in the previous section; synchrotron emission located at the edge of the cloud. Secondly, the JVLA 6 and 1 also possess flatter spectral indices (viz. \alpha = -0.3 and -0.9 for JILVA source 1 and 6, for a spectral index calculated as \alpha = d \log S_\nu / d \log \nu), than JVLA 2 and 7, suggesting that the latter are indeed background sources.
If sources JVLA 1 and 6 are co-located with G0.216+0.016, Figure 2 suggests that in their environment, the CR diffusion suppression factor is $\sim 0.1$ and 0.01, respectively, with a magnetic field value of $\sim 470$ $\mu$G. We obtain these estimates by comparing the distance of these sources away from the central source, and matching the flux density observed at 5.3 GHz, with that predicted by our model. This conclusion is entirely reasonable, given the parameters in our model, and may even be an underestimate since given the density of CR sources is expected to rise towards the GC, one might expect an increase in the flux of CRs there. We also note that if the density distribution of a molecular cloud changes as it evolves, then so will the location of synchrotron emission resulting from secondary electrons. If a step-function-like density distribution is typical of molecular clouds that are just starting to form massive stars, then any synchrotron emission would be located further from the cloud centre, whereas, if an inverse-$r$ function is more typical of the density structure, then any such emission would be located closer to the cloud centre. Finally, we note that the above applies only to the overall density of the cloud, and not to fine structures. However, if, as shown in Battersby et al. (2014), massive star formation results in cores with a mean ambient density structure of $\rho \propto r^{-1.8}$, then the sensitive new radio telescopes, such as JVLA, LOFAR, ASKAP and the SKA should be able to observe non-thermal sources which appear to be background sources shining through the cloud, but may in fact be compact regions of non-thermal synchrotron emission due to secondary electrons due to small, condensed cores within the larger parent cloud. Finally, given the additional sensitivity of the next generation of radio telescopes, it is possible that the broad emission, and not just the limb-brightened regions could be detected.
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Fig. 2.— Plots of flux density, $S_e$, integrated over a solid angle corresponding to the 2.2" VLA beam at 5.3 GHz from Rodríguez & Zapata (2013). Panel (a) shows the flux density obtained using a Gaussian and step-function density profile for a magnetic field strength of 470 and 600 $\mu$G (thin and thick lines, respectively), for different CR diffusion suppression coefficients, $\chi$, as labelled. Panel (b) shows the flux density obtained using $1/r^2$ density profile for the 600 and 470 $\mu$G magnetic field strength (thin and thick lines, respectively). We show only the $1/r^2$ density profile here for clarity – the two profiles are very similar.