X-Ray Diffraction from Isolated and Strongly Aligned Gas-Phase Molecules with a Free-Electron Laser
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We report experimental results on x-ray diffraction of quantum-state-selected and strongly aligned ensembles of the prototypical asymmetric rotor molecule 2,5-diiodobenzonitrile using the Linac Coherent Light Source. The experiments demonstrate first steps toward a new approach to diffractive imaging of distinct structures of individual, isolated gas-phase molecules. We confirm several key ingredients of single molecule diffraction experiments: the abilities to detect and count individual scattered x-ray photons in single shot diffraction data, to deliver state-selected, e.g., structural-isomer-selected, ensembles of molecules to the x-ray interaction volume, and to strongly align the scattering molecules. Our approach, using ultrashort x-ray pulses, is suitable to study ultrafast dynamics of isolated molecules.
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X-ray free-electron lasers (XFELs) hold the promise for determining atomically resolved structures and for tracing structural dynamics of individual molecules and nanoparticles [1]. Over the last decade, ground-breaking experiments were performed at the Free-Electron Laser in Hamburg (FLASH) at DESY [2–5] and the Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS) at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory [6–12]. These experiments already begin to provide new insights into fundamental aspects of matter, such as hitherto unobserved structures of non-crystallizable mesoscopic objects [13–15] or the radiation damage induced by the short and very strong x-ray pulses [8,16]. However, the path to actual determination of atomically resolved structures and dynamics of single...
molecules is still long [13]. Nevertheless, related experiments on the investigation of small-molecule structures and their dynamics utilizing molecular ensembles are within reach [13,17].

To be able to record structural changes during ultrafast molecular processes under well-defined conditions, it was proposed [13,17] to spatially separate shapes [18], sizes [19], or individual isomers [20–22] of complex small molecules before delivery to the interaction point of an XFEL. The molecules should be one- or three-dimensionally aligned or oriented in space [11,12,17,23–28]. This controlled-delivery approach would allow for the averaging of many identical patterns, similar to recent electron diffraction experiments on aligned CF$_3$I [28] or to photoelectron imaging of 1-Ethynyl-4-fluorobenzene [12]. A controlled variation of the alignment direction in space allows one to tomographically build up the complete three-dimensional diffraction volume of individual isomers. This ensemble- and pulse-averaging approach would allow working at appropriately low fluences to circumvent detrimental electronic damage processes that have been predicted [29–31] for the very high x-ray fluences necessary to obtain classifiable single-molecule diffraction patterns. The forthcoming European XFEL facility will give the opportunity to control alignment and orientation is straightforward for the cold, state-selected samples employed [33–35]. Moreover, we have previously demonstrated that, for more complex molecules, we could also exploit the current setup to spatially separate structural isomers and sizes [19–21].

The experiment was performed at the AMO beamline at LCLS [6,7] using the CAMP endstation [36,37] extended by a state-of-the-art molecular beam setup [38]. Figure 1 shows a scheme of the experimental arrangement. The setup consists of multiple devices to simultaneously detect photons, electrons, and ions [36]. A pulsed cold molecular beam is formed by expanding a few mbar of DIBN in 50 bar of helium into a vacuum through an Even-Lavie valve [39]. The molecular beam travels through an electrostatic deflector, which disperses the molecules according to their rotational quantum states, into the target region. There, it is crossed by three pulsed laser beams: One laser beam consisting of 12 ns (FWHM) pulses from a Nd:YAG laser (YAG, $\lambda = 1064$ nm, $E_l = 200$ mJ, $\omega_0 = 63$ μm, $I_0 \approx 2.5 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$) is used to align the molecules. A second laser beam consists of 60 fs (FWHM) pulses from a Ti:sapphire laser (TSL, 800 nm, $E_l = 400$ μJ, $\omega_0 = 40$ μm, $I_0 \approx 2.5 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$) and is used to optimize the molecular beam and the alignment without LCLS. The third beam consists of the 100 fs x-ray pulses (LCLS, $\lambda = 620$ pm (2 keV), $E_l = 4$ mJ, $\omega = 30$ μm, $I_0 \approx 2 \times 10^{15}$ W/cm$^2$); we estimate that 35% of the generated $1.25 \times 10^{13}$ x-ray photons/pulse are transported to the experiment [40]. All three laser beams are copropagating, overlapped using dichroic (1064 and 800 nm) and holey [near infrared (NIR) lasers and x rays] mirrors before they intersect the sample and finally leave the setup through a gap in an on-axis pnCCD camera and another holey mirror to separate the laser beams again. Time-of-flight and velocity-map-imaging (VMI) spectrometers are installed perpendicular to the horizontal plane of the molecular and laser beams to investigate the ion- and electron-momentum distributions resulting from the Coulomb explosion due to absorption of one or a few x-ray photons.

We exploit Coulomb explosion imaging of DIBN induced either by strong field ionization using the TSL pulse or through one- or two-photon ionization by the x-ray pulse to analyze the alignment of the rotational-state-selected molecules along their I−I axis. The pertinent
In subsequent experiments we recorded the x-ray diffraction data of these aligned samples on the pnCCD cameras. For these experiments the polarization of the YAG laser was rotated clockwise by $\alpha = -60^\circ$. VMI data were repeatedly recorded in between diffraction experiments under the same conditions as in Fig. 2. An average value for the degree of alignment in the diffraction data of $\langle \cos^2 \theta_{2D} \rangle = 0.84$ was derived, limited by the (changing) spatial overlap of the foci of the YAG and the LCLS beams. The obtained x-ray diffraction patterns are shown in Fig. S1 in the Supplemental Material (SM) [41]. We have analyzed diffraction data for $\approx 563,000$ shots with YAG and $\approx 84,200$ shots without (NOYAG), respectively, corresponding to 7 h (YAG) and 9 h (NOYAG) measurement time with LCLS operating at 60 Hz. These data have been corrected for background and camera artifacts and individual photon hits are extracted (see SM [41]). This results in 0.20 photons/shot, which are placed in a histogram that represents the molecular diffraction pattern (Fig. S2). By subtracting the diffraction pattern of randomly oriented molecules (INOYAG) from the diffraction pattern of aligned molecules (IYAG), the background is cancelled. This includes the isotropic background originating from atomic scattering of the atoms in the DIBN molecule and the helium seed gas, as well as experimental background, e.g., scattering from apertures and rest gas.

In Fig. 3, we present these diffraction differences ($I_{YAG} - I_{NOYAG}$) for simulated [Figs. 3(a) and 3(c)] and experimentally observed [Figs. 3(b) and 3(d)] x-ray diffraction patterns. The $I_{NOYAG}$ data have been scaled to match the number of shots in the $I_{YAG}$ case. The anisotropy mainly originates in the scattering interference of the two (heavy) iodine atoms. Parts of the zeroth order scattering maximum and the first minimum (along the alignment axis) were practically unbiased ideal probe of the spatial orientation of the molecules. When the YAG pulse was included, the $I^+$ ions were strongly confined along the YAG polarization axis demonstrating tight adiabatic 1D alignment. From the corresponding 2D momentum distribution shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(d), we extracted $\langle \cos^2 \theta_{2D} \rangle = 0.89$ and $\langle \cos^2 \theta_{2D} \rangle = 0.88$ for the TSL and LCLS ionization, respectively. This degree of alignment is in good agreement with previous measurements of adiabatic alignment of similar molecules [32] and stronger than previous alignment experiments of diatomic molecules at the LCLS [11]. This demonstrated strong alignment of complex molecules, even under the constraint conditions of a temporary setup at a FEL beamline. It was made possible by the very cold molecular beam and the adiabatic alignment conditions. The demonstrated degree of alignment fulfills the requirements for observing aligned molecule diffraction [17,24,28].

FIG. 2 (color online). $I^+$ ion images recorded with the ion-VMI detector when (a), (b) the TSL or (c), (d) the LCLS ionize and Coulomb explode the molecules. In (a) and (c), cylindrically symmetric distributions from isotropic ensembles are observed (the images are slightly distorted due to varying detector efficiencies). In (b) and (d), the horizontal alignment of the molecules, induced by the YAG, is clearly visible. In all measurements, the YAG and the LCLS are linearly polarized horizontally, parallel to the detector plane, and the TSL is linearly polarized perpendicular to the VMI detector plane.

FIG. 3 (color online). Diffraction-difference $I_{YAG} - I_{NOYAG}$ of x-ray scattering in simulated (a) and experimental (b) x-ray-diffraction patterns. Histograms of the corresponding angular distributions on the bottom pnCCD are shown in (c) and (d), respectively. Error bars correspond to 1σ statistical errors.
direction $\alpha = -60^\circ$) show up most prominently on the bottom pnCCD panel. The simulated $I_{YAG} - I_{NOYAG}$ image has been calculated for a molecular beam density $M$ of DIBN molecules of $M = 0.8 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$. The error bars $\sigma$ correspond to the statistical errors from the $I_{YAG} - I_{NOYAG}$ subtraction ($\sigma = \sqrt{I_{YAG} + I_{NOYAG}}$). The histograms in Figs. 3(c)–3(d) visualize the angular anisotropy which is well beyond the statistical error in the experimentally observed image [Fig. 3(d)], confirming the observation of x-ray diffraction from strongly aligned samples of DIBN.

To analyze which structural information can be derived from the x-ray diffraction of isolated DIBN molecules, the intensity $I(s)$ in dependence of the scattering vector $s = \sin(\Theta)/\lambda$ along the alignment direction $\alpha = -60^\circ$ is compared to simulated models of different iodine-iodine distances. $\Theta$ is the scattering angle and $2\Theta$ is the angle between the beam direction and a given detector point [42]. Ab initio calculations (GAMESS-US MP2/6-311 G** [43]) predict a value of 700 pm for the iodine-iodine distance. Figure 4 shows the experimentally obtained intensity profiles $I(s)$, averaged over $-70^\circ \leq \alpha \leq -50^\circ$, together with simulated $I(s)$ profiles. Each curve is normalized to be independent of the exact molecular beam density $M$ of DIBN molecules, which merely changes the contrast, i.e., the depth of the minimum. Because of the relatively long wavelength (620 pm) compared to the known iodine-iodine distance (700 pm), the scattering extends to large angles and the first scattering maximum from the iodine-iodine interference is not covered by the detector in our setup. The experimentally obtained $I(s)$ is best fitted for an iodine-iodine distance of 800 pm. Figure 4 shows the simulated $I(s)$ for iodine-iodine distances of 500, 700, 800, and 1000 pm. The inset of Fig. 4 depicts the calculated $\chi^2$ values [44] in dependence of the iodine-iodine distance. Because of the experimental parameters, as mentioned above, the scattering features are large and vary only slightly within the recorded range of $s$ values. We note that the structural features of small molecules, like DIBN, could be determined much more accurately with data recorded at a shorter wavelength where the available $s$ range extends to cover several maxima and minima. This would be possible at wavelengths of 200–100 pm, which became available at LCLS recently and will be available at upcoming facilities, e.g., the European XFEL, in the near future.

We do not observe direct signs of radiation damage in the diffraction data. While previous experiments aimed specifically at the investigation of x-ray induced damage in strongly focused x-ray beams [8,45], here, we have actively avoided that regime and performed the experiments using a hundred times larger cross section of the x-ray beam. Under these moderate-fluence conditions the damage can be rationalized based on simple cross-section estimates for photoionization and elastic scattering and is detailed in the Supplemental Material [41]. Since the sample is replenished for every XFEL pulse, the diffractive imaging signal is only sensitive to the dynamics of damaged molecules during the x-ray pulse ($\sim 100$ fs). Using a simple mechanical model, we estimate that most ($\sim 90\%$) of the diffraction signal is due to (practically) intact molecules. A minor fraction of the signal is due to damaged molecules with small changes in molecular structure, which could not have been resolved with the available x-ray wavelength. Damage could even be mitigated using shorter ($\sim 10$ fs) duration pulses; see Supplemental Material [41] for details. Moreover, an appropriate trade-off between pulse duration, pulse energy, and repetition rate would allow the recording of atomically resolved x-ray diffraction patterns of molecules within minutes [13]. At these high repetition rates, one could directly observe femtosecond molecular dynamics through snapshots for many time delays in pump-probe experiments of electronic-ground-state chemical dynamics.

In summary, we demonstrate the preparation of strongly aligned samples of polyatomic molecules at an XFEL facility. We experimentally verify that the high-frequency, far off-resonant x rays are an ideal probe of alignment of molecular ensembles in a photoion momentum imaging approach. The employed setup and conditions are applicable for coherent diffractive imaging of single biomolecules or molecular ensembles. We show the possibility to perform spatially resolved single x-ray photon counting. Because of the weak scattering signal from small isolated molecules, averaging of many shots is necessary and possible for the observation of an analyzable diffraction signal, on top of a large background from NIR photons. We confirm that the angular structures in the single molecule diffraction patterns were preserved during averaging and
that a diffraction pattern of isolated and strongly aligned DIBN molecules was successfully measured beyond experimental noise. Even with the experimentally limited range of scattering vectors $s$, the heavy-atom distance derived from the $I(s)$ plot is in agreement with the computed molecular structure, demonstrating the capability to extract structural information for small molecules.

Our results provide direct evidence for the feasibility of x-ray diffractive imaging of aligned gas-phase ensembles of molecules. Analyzing radiation damage in detail shows that damage effects in the diffraction pattern could be avoided by using shorter x-ray pulses with lower fluences at higher repetition rates. This would allow us to observe atomically resolved snapshots of ultrafast chemical dynamics. Combined with advanced molecular beam delivery techniques, e.g., laser desorption or helium droplet beams, considerably larger molecules could be delivered in cold beams, isomer selected, and aligned, providing a bottom-up approach toward the envisioned atomic-resolution single-molecule diffraction experiments. In contrast to ultrafast electron diffraction, pump-probe experiments with x-ray pulses will not suffer from Coulomb-repulsion broadening or pump-probe velocity mismatch and, hence, may permit better time resolution, i.e., in the range of 10–100 fs.
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