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X-Ray Diffraction from Isolated and Strongly Aligned Gas-Phase Molecules with a Free-Electron Laser
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We report experimental results on x-ray diffraction of quantum-state-selected and strongly aligned ensembles of the prototypical asymmetric rotor molecule 2,5-diiodobenzonitrile using the Linac Coherent Light Source. The experiments demonstrate first steps toward a new approach to diffractive imaging of distinct structures of individual, isolated gas-phase molecules. We confirm several key ingredients of single molecule diffraction experiments: the abilities to detect and count individual scattered x-ray photons in single shot diffraction data, to deliver state-selected, e.g., structural-isomer-selected, ensembles of molecules to the x-ray interaction volume, and to strongly align the scattering molecules. Our approach, using ultrashort x-ray pulses, is suitable to study ultrafast dynamics of isolated molecules.
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X-ray free-electron lasers (XFELs) hold the promise for determining atomically resolved structures and for tracing structural dynamics of individual molecules and nanoparticles [1]. Over the last decade, ground-breaking experiments were performed at the Free-Electron Laser in Hamburg (FLASH) at DESY [2–5] and the Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS) at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory [6–12]. These experiments already begin to provide new insights into fundamental aspects of matter, such as hitherto unobserved structures of non-crystallizable mesoscopic objects [13–15] or the radiation damage induced by the short and very strong x-ray pulses [8,16]. However, the path to actual determination of atomically resolved structures and dynamics of single
molecules is still long [13]. Nevertheless, related experiments on the investigation of small-molecule structures and their dynamics utilizing molecular ensembles are within reach [13,17].

To be able to record structural changes during ultrafast molecular processes under well-defined conditions, it was proposed [13,17] to spatially separate shapes [18], sizes [19], or individual isomers [20–22] of complex small molecules before delivery to the interaction point of an XFEL. The molecules should be one- or three-dimensionally aligned or oriented in space [11,12,17,23–28]. This controlled-delivery approach would allow for the averaging of many identical patterns, similar to recent electron diffraction experiments on aligned CF3I [28] or to photoelectron imaging of 1-Ethynyl-4-fluorobenzene [12]. A controlled variation of the alignment direction in space allows one to tomographically build up the complete three-dimensional diffraction volume of individual isomers. This ensemble-of isolated molecules [13,17] of aligned samples [32] allow us to simply average the continuous diffraction patterns from a very large number of isolated molecules [13,17]. We restricted the angular control to one-dimensional alignment of the axis containing the two iodine atoms, as this was the solely required control for this experiment. The extension to three-dimensional alignment and orientation is straightforward for the cold, state-selected samples employed [33–35]. Moreover, we have previously demonstrated that, for more complex molecules, we could also exploit the current setup to spatially separate structural isomers and sizes [19–21].

The experiment was performed at the AMO beamline at LCLS [6,7] using the CAMP endstation [36,37] extended by a state-of-the-art molecular beam setup [38]. Figure 1 shows a scheme of the experimental arrangement. The setup contains multiple devices to simultaneously detect photons, electrons, and ions [36]. A pulsed cold molecular beam is formed by expanding a few mbar of DIBN in 50 bar of helium into a vacuum through an Even-Lavie valve [39]. The molecular beam travels through an electrostatic deflector, which disperses the molecules according to their rotational quantum states, into the target region. There, it is crossed by three pulsed laser beams: One laser beam consisting of 12 ns (FWHM) pulses from a Nd:YAG laser (YAG, \( \lambda = 1064 \) nm, \( E_1 = 200 \) mJ, \( \omega_0 = 63 \) μm, \( I_0 \approx 2.5 \times 10^{11} \) W/cm²) is used to align the molecules. A second laser beam consists of 60 fs (FWHM) pulses from a Ti:sapphire laser (TSL, 800 nm, \( E_1 = 400 \) μJ, \( \omega_0 = 40 \) μm, \( I_0 \approx 2.5 \times 10^{14} \) W/cm²) and is used to optimize the molecular beam and the alignment without LCLS. The third beam consists of the 100 fs x-ray pulses (LCLS, \( \lambda = 620 \) pm (2 keV), \( E_1 = 4 \) mJ, \( \omega_0 = 30 \) μm, \( I_0 \approx 2 \times 10^{15} \) W/cm²); we estimate that 35% of the generated \( 1.25 \times 10^{15} \) x-ray photons/pulse are transported to the experiment [40]. All three laser beams are copropagating, overlapped using dichroic (1064 and 800 nm) and holey [near infrared (NIR) lasers and x rays] mirrors before they intersect the sample and finally leave the setup through a gap in an on-axis pnCCD camera and another holey mirror to separate the laser beams again. Time-of-flight and velocity-map-imaging (VMI) spectrometers are installed perpendicular to the horizontal plane of the molecular and laser beams to investigate the ion- and electron-momentum distributions resulting from the Coulomb explosion due to absorption of one or a few x-ray photons.

We exploit Coulomb explosion imaging of DIBN induced either by strong field ionization using the TSL pulse or through one- or two-photon ionization by the x-ray pulse to analyze the alignment of the rotational-state-selected molecules along their I—I axis. The pertinent

![FIG. 1 (color online). Schematic view of the experimental setup: from the left, a supersonic beam with quantum-state selected molecules is delivered to the interaction point. In the center of a dual velocity map imaging spectrometer, the molecular beam is crossed by laser beams copropagating from right to left. The direct laser beams go through a gap in the pnCCD detectors that are used to record the diffraction pattern. The upper pnCCD panel is further away from the beam axis than the bottom panel in order to cover a wider range of scattering angles. In the inset, the molecular structure of 2,5-diodobenzonitrile is depicted, together with a scale of its size, i.e., the iodine-iodine distance, and the wavelength of the x rays.](image)
experimental observable is the emission direction of the recoiling $\Gamma^+$ ions from the Coulomb explosion, illustrated by the 2D $\Gamma^+$ ion images in Fig. 2. Without the YAG pulse, the $\Gamma^+$ images (Fig. 2) were circularly symmetric as expected for randomly aligned molecules. The circularly symmetric image obtained following ionization with the horizontally polarized LCLS beam demonstrated that the interaction of the far-off resonant radiation with the molecule was independent of the angle between the molecular axis and the x-ray polarization direction: The x rays were a practically unbiased ideal probe of the spatial molecular axis and the x-ray polarization direction: The molecule was independent of the angle between the interaction of the far-off resonant radiation with horizontally polarized LCLS beam demonstrated that the symmetric image obtained following ionization with the expected for randomly aligned molecules. The circularly polarized perpendicular to the VMI detector plane.

In subsequent experiments we recorded the x-ray diffraction data of these aligned samples on the pnCCD cameras. For these experiments the polarization of the YAG laser was rotated clockwise by $\alpha = -60^\circ$. VMI data were repeatedly recorded in between diffraction experiments under the same conditions as in Fig. 2. An average value for the degree of alignment in the diffraction data of $(\cos^2 \theta_{2D}) = 0.84$ was derived, limited by the (changing) spatial overlap of the foci of the YAG and the LCLS beams. The obtained x-ray diffraction patterns are shown in Fig. S1 in the Supplemental Material (SM) [41]. We have analyzed diffraction data for $\approx 563000$ shots with YAG and $\approx 842000$ shots without (NOYAG), respectively, corresponding to 7 h (YAG) and 9 h (NOYAG) measurement time with LCLS operating at 60 Hz. These data have been corrected for background and camera artifacts and individual photon hits are extracted (see SM [41]). This results in 0.20 photons/shot, which are placed in a histogram that represents the molecular diffraction pattern (Fig. S2). By subtracting the diffraction pattern of randomly oriented molecules ($I_{\text{NOYAG}}$) from the diffraction pattern of aligned molecules ($I_{\text{YAG}}$), the background is cancelled. This includes the isotropic background originating from atomic scattering of the atoms in the DIBN molecule and the helium seed gas, as well as experimental background, e.g., scattering from apertures and rest gas.

In Fig. 3, we present these diffraction differences ($I_{\text{YAG}} - I_{\text{NOYAG}}$) for simulated [Figs. 3(a) and 3(c)] and experimentally observed [Figs. 3(b) and 3(d)] x-ray diffraction patterns. The $I_{\text{NOYAG}}$ data have been scaled to match the number of shots in the $I_{\text{YAG}}$ case. The anisotropy mainly originates in the scattering interference of the two (heavy) iodine atoms. Parts of the zeroth order scattering maximum and the first minimum (along the alignment
direction $\alpha = -60^\circ$ show up most prominently on the bottom pnCCD panel. The simulated $I_{\text{YAG}} - I_{\text{INOYAG}}$ image has been calculated for a molecular beam density $M$ of DIBN molecules of $M = 0.8 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$. The error bars $\sigma$ correspond to the statistical errors from the $I_{\text{YAG}} - I_{\text{INOYAG}}$ subtraction ($\sigma = \sqrt{I_{\text{YAG}} + I_{\text{INOYAG}}}$). The histograms in Figs. 3(c)–3(d) visualize the angular anisotropy which is well beyond the statistical error in the experimentally observed image [Fig. 3(d)], confirming the observation of x-ray diffraction from strongly aligned samples of DIBN.

To analyze which structural information can be derived from the x-ray diffraction of isolated DIBN molecules, the intensity $I(s)$ in dependence of the scattering vector $s = \sin(\Theta)/\lambda$ along the alignment direction $\alpha = -60^\circ$ is compared to simulated models of different iodine-iodine distances. $\Theta$ is the scattering angle and $2\Theta$ is the angle between the beam direction and a given detector point [42]. Ab initio calculations (GAMESS-US MP2/6-311 G** [43]) predict a value of 700 pm for the iodine-iodine distance. Figure 4 shows the experimentally obtained intensity profiles $I(s)$, averaged over $-70^\circ \leq \alpha \leq -50^\circ$, together with simulated $I(s)$ profiles. Each curve is normalized to be independent of the exact molecular beam density $M$ of DIBN molecules, which merely changes the contrast, i.e., the depth of the minimum. Because of the relatively long wavelength (620 pm) compared to the known iodine-iodine distance (700 pm), the scattering extends to large angles and the first scattering maximum from the iodine-iodine interference is not covered by the detector in our setup. The experimentally obtained $I(s)$ is best fitted for an iodine-iodine distance of 800 pm. Figure 4 shows the simulated $I(s)$ for iodine-iodine distances of 500, 700, 800, and 1000 pm.

FIG. 4 (color online). Comparison of experimentally obtained intensity profiles $I(s)$ along the alignment direction of the diffraction-difference pattern $I_{\text{YAG}} - I_{\text{INOYAG}}$ with simulated profiles. The experimentally obtained $I(s)$ is best fitted (in terms of a $\chi^2$ test) with the model for an iodine-iodine distance of 800 pm (inset: test-statistic $\chi^2$ in dependence of the iodine-iodine distance).
that a diffraction pattern of isolated and strongly aligned DIBN molecules was successfully measured beyond experimental noise. Even with the experimentally limited range of scattering vectors $s$, the heavy-atom distance derived from the $I(s)$ plot is in agreement with the computed molecular structure, demonstrating the capability to extract structural information for small molecules.

Our results provide direct evidence for the feasibility of x-ray diffractive imaging of aligned gas-phase ensembles of molecules. Analyzing radiation damage in detail shows that damage effects in the diffraction pattern could be avoided by using shorter x-ray pulses with lower fluxes at higher repetition rates. This would allow us to observe atomically resolved snapshots of ultrafast chemical dynamics. Combined with advanced molecular beam delivery techniques, e.g., laser desorption or helium droplet beams, considerably larger molecules could be delivered in cold beams, isomer selected, and aligned, providing a bottom-up approach toward the envisioned atomic-resolution single-molecule diffraction experiments. In contrast to ultrafast electron diffraction, pump-probe experiments with x-ray pulses will not suffer from Coulomb-repulsion broadening or pump-probe velocity mismatch and, hence, may permit better time resolution, i.e., in the range of 10–100 fs.
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