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ABSTRACT

This paper presents an all-sky model of dust emission from the Planck 353, 545, and 857 GHz, and IRAS 100 μm data. Using a modified blackbody fit to the data we present all-sky maps of the dust optical depth, temperature, and spectral index over the 353–3000 GHz range. This model is a good representation of the IRAS and Planck data at 5’ between 353 and 3000 GHz (850 and 100 μm). It shows variations of the order of 30 % compared with the widely-used model of Finkbeiner, Davis, and Schlegel. The Planck data allow us to estimate the dust temperature uniformly over the whole sky, down to an angular resolution of 5’, providing an improved estimate of the dust optical depth compared to previous all-sky dust model, especially in high-contrast molecular regions where the dust temperature varies strongly at small scales in response to dust evolution, extinction, and/or local production of heating photons. An increase of the dust opacity at 353 GHz, τ353/Nh, from the diffuse to the denser interstellar medium (ISM) is reported. It is associated with a decrease in the observed dust temperature, Tobs, that could be due at least in part to the increased dust opacity. We also report an excess of dust emission at H1 column densities lower than 1020 cm−2 that could be the signature of dust in the warm ionized medium. In the diffuse ISM at high Galactic latitude, we report an anticorrelation between τ353/Nh and Tobs while the dust specific luminosity, i.e., the total dust emission integrated over frequency (the radiation) per hydrogen atom, shows constant, because of above the Planck Early Results obtained on selected fields. This effect is consistent with the view that, in the diffuse ISM, Tobs responds to spatial variations of the dust opacity, due to variations of dust properties, in addition to (small) variations of the radiation field strength. The implication is that in the diffuse high-latitude ISM TISO is not as reliable a tracer of dust column density as we conclude it is in molecular clouds where the correlation of τ353 with dust extinction estimated using colour excess measurements on stars is strong. To estimate Galactic E(B−V) in extragalactic fields at high latitude we develop a new method based on the thermal dust radiation, instead of the dust optical depth, calibrated to E(B−V) using reddening measurements of quasars deduced from Sloan Digital Sky Survey data.
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1. Introduction

This paper, one of a set associated with the 2013 release of data from the Planck\(^1\) mission (Planck Collaboration I 2014), presents a new parametrization of dust emission that covers the whole sky, at 5\(^{\circ}\) resolution, based on data from 353 to 3000 GHz (100 to 850 \(\mu m\)).

Because it is well mixed with the gas and because of its direct reaction to UV photons from stars, dust is a great tracer of the interstellar medium (ISM) and of star formation activity. On the other hand, for many studies in extragalactic astrophysics and cosmology, Galactic interstellar dust is a nuisance, a source of extinction and reddening for UV to near-infrared observations and a contaminating emission in the infrared to millimetre wavelength. Thanks to the sensitivity, spectral coverage, and angular resolution of Planck, this model of dust emission brings new constraints on the dust spectral energy distribution (SED), on its variations across the sky, and on the relationships between dust emission, dust extinction, and gas column density. In particular, this model of dust emission provides a new map of dust extinction at 5\(^{\circ}\) resolution, aimed at helping extragalactic studies.

The emission in the submillimetre range arises from the bigger dust grains that are in thermal equilibrium with the ambient radiation field. Thermal dust emission is influenced by a combination of the dust column density, radiation field strength, and dust properties (size distribution, chemical composition, and the grain structure). When the effect of the radiation field can be estimated (using the dust temperature as a probe) and the dust properties assumed, the dust optical depth is possibly the most reliable tracer of interstellar column density, and therefore of mass for objects at known distances. Dust optical depth is used to estimate the mass of interstellar clumps and cores (Ossenkopf & Henning 1994) in particular with the higher resolution Herschel data (Launhardt et al. 2013), to study the statistical properties of the ISM structure and its link with gravity, interstellar turbulence, and stellar feedback (Peretto et al. 2012; Kainulainen et al. 2013), and as a way to sample the mass of the ISM in general (Planck Collaboration XIX 2011). The accuracy of these determinations depends on, among other things, the frequency range over which the dust spectrum is observed. The combination of Planck and IRAS data offers a new view on interstellar dust by allowing us to sample the dust spectrum from the Wien to the Rayleigh-Jeans sides, at 5\(^{\circ}\) resolution over the whole sky.

Dust emission, with extinction and polarization, is a key element to constrain the properties of interstellar dust (Draine & Li 2007; Compiègne et al. 2011). The dust emissivity (i.e., the amount of emission per unit of gas column density) and the shape of the dust SED provide information on the nature of the dust particles, in particular their structure, composition, and abundance, related to the dust-to-gas ratio.

Changes in the dust emissivity and the shape of the dust SED can be related to dust evolutionary processes. Interstellar dust grains are thought to be the seeds from which larger particles form in the ISM, up to planetesimals in circumstellar environments (Brauer et al. 2008; Beckwith et al. 2000; Birnstiel et al. 2012). This growth of solids can be followed in earlier phases of the star-formation process, at the protostellar phase and even before, in molecular clouds and in the diffuse ISM. Many studies have revealed increases of the dust emissivity with (column) density in molecular clouds accompanied by a decrease in dust temperature (Stepnik et al. 2003; Schnee et al. 2008; Planck Collaboration XXV 2011; Arab et al. 2012; Martin et al. 2012; Roy et al. 2013). One explanation is that grain structure is changing through aggregation of smaller particles, enhancing the opacity (Köhler et al. 2011). Planck's spectral coverage allows us to model the big grain thermal emission, in particular its spectral index that is related to the grain composition and structure (Ormel et al. 2011; Meny et al. 2007; Köhler et al. 2012).

Because of its full-sky coverage, Planck can also reveal variations of the dust SED with environment, enabling us to better understand the evolutionary track of dust grains through the ISM phases.

Dust emission is one of the major foregrounds hampering the study of the cosmic microwave background (CMB). The thermal dust emission peaks at a frequency close to 2000 GHz but its emission is still a fair fraction of the CMB anisotropies in the 20–200 GHz range where they are measured. This is even more the case in polarization (Miville-Deschênes 2011). The model of dust emission proposed by Finkbeiner et al. (1999) based on data from previous satellite missions (IRAS and COBE) made an important contribution to the field, in guiding the design of CMB experiments and in helping the data analysis by providing a spatial template and a spectral dependence of the dust emission at CMB frequencies. It is still the basic of recent models of Galactic foreground emission (Delabrouille et al. 2013). With its frequency coverage that bridges the gap between IRAS and the CMB range, its high sensitivity, and its better angular resolution, Planck offers the opportunity to develop a new model of thermal dust emission.

Estimating reddening and extinction by foreground interstellar dust is a major issue for observations of extragalactic objects in the UV to near-infrared range. Major efforts have been made toward producing sky maps that provide a way to correct for the chromatic extinction of light by Galactic interstellar dust on any line of sight. First Burstein & Heiles (1978) used H\(_{\alpha}\) as a proxy for dust extinction by correlating integrated 21 cm line emission with extinction estimated from galaxy counts. It was subsequently discovered that H\(_{\alpha}\) is not a reliable tracer of total column density \(N_{HI}\) for \(N_{HI}\) greater than a few \(10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\) due to molecular gas contributions (Lebrun et al. 1982; Boulanger & Pérault 1988; Désert et al. 1988; Heiles et al. 1988; Blitz et al. 1990; Reach et al. 1994; Boulanger et al. 1996). It was then proposed to use dust emission as a more direct way to estimate dust extinction. By combining 100, 140, and 240 \(\mu m\) data (DIRBE and IRAS), Schlegel et al. (1998) produced an all-sky map of dust optical depth at 100 \(\mu m\) that was then calibrated into dust reddening by correlating with colour excesses measured for galaxies. The work presented here is the direct continuation of these studies. Like Schlegel et al. (1998) we also propose a map of \(E(B-V)\) based on a model of dust emission calibrated using colour excess measurements of extragalactic objects, here quasars.

The paper is organized as follows. The data used and the preprocessing steps are presented in Sect. 2. The model of the dust emission, SED fit methodology, the exploration of potential biases, and the all-sky maps of dust parameters are described in Sect. 3. The results of the Galactic dust model are analysed in Sect. 4. Sections 5 and 6 describe specifically how the dust emission model compares to other tracers of column density. The Planck dust products, the dust model maps, and the \(E(B-V)\) map

\[^{1}\] Corresponding author: Marc-Antoine Miville-Deschênes, e-mail: mand@ias.u-psud.fr

\[^{2}\] Planck (http://www.esa.int/Planck) is a project of the European Space Agency (ESA) with instruments provided by two scientific consortia funded by ESA member states (in particular the lead countries France and Italy), with contributions from NASA (USA) and telescope reflectors provided by a collaboration between ESA and a scientific consortium led and funded by Denmark.
aimed at helping extragalactic studies to estimate Galactic extinction are detailed in Sect. 7 and compared with similar products in the literature. Concluding remarks are given in Sect. 8.

2. Data and preprocessing

The analysis presented here relies on the combination of the Planck data from the HFI instrument at 857, 545, and 353 GHz (respectively 350, 550, and 850 μm) with the IRAS 100 μm (3000 GHz) data.

2.1. Planck data

For Planck we used the HFI 2013 delivery maps (Planck Collaboration VI 2014), corrected for zodiacal emission (ZE – see Planck Collaboration XIV 2014). Each map was smoothed to a common resolution of S′, assuming a Gaussian beam. The 353 GHz map, natively built in units of K_CMB, was transformed to MJy sr⁻¹ using the conversion factor given by Planck Collaboration IX (2014). The CMB anisotropies map provided by the SMICA algorithm (Planck Collaboration XII 2014), which has an angular resolution of S′, was removed from each Planck HFI map.

As shown in Planck Collaboration XIII (2014), 12CO and 13CO rotational lines fall in each of the Planck HFI filters, except at 143 GHz. At 857 and 545 GHz the CO lines (J=5→4 and J=4→3, respectively) are very faint compared to the dust emission and they are not considered here. On the other hand, emission from the 12CO J=3→2 line was detected in the 353 GHz band (Planck Collaboration XIII 2014). Nevertheless, this emission is still faint compared to the dust emission whereas the noise on the Planck CO emission estimate in the 353 GHz band is quite high (see Planck Collaboration XIII (2014) for details). The detection of the 12CO J=3→2 line emission by Planck is above 3σ for only 2.6 % of the sky. When detected above 3σ, this emission is on average 2 % of the 353 GHz specific intensity. It contributes 5 % or more of the 353 GHz specific intensity for only 0.3 % of the sky. Given such a relatively small contribution we did not subtract CO emission from the data so as not to compromise the sky. Given such a relatively small contribution we did not subtract CO emission from the data so as not to compromise the sky. Given such a relatively small contribution we did not subtract CO emission from the data so as not to compromise the sky.

2.2. The 100 μm map

The 100 μm map used in this analysis is a combination of the IRIS map (Miville-Deschênes & Lagache 2005) and the map of Schlegel et al. (1998, hereafter SFD), both projected on the HEALpix⁴ grid (Górski et al. 2005) at Nside = 2048. Both IRIS and SFD maps were built by combining IRAS and DIRBE 100 μm data. Nevertheless these two maps show differences at large scales due to the different assumptions used for the ZE removal. Miville-Deschênes & Lagache (2005) used the DIRBE 100 μm map from which ZE was removed by the DIRBE team, using the model of Kelsall et al. (1998). On the other hand, Schlegel et al. (1998) used their own empirical approach to remove ZE based on a scaling of the DIRBE 25 μm data. Because it is based on data and not on a model, the SFD correction is closer to the complex structure of the ZE and provides a better result. This can be assessed by looking at the correlation of the IRIS and SFD maps with H₁ in the diffuse areas of the sky (1 < N_H₁ < 2 × 10²² cm⁻²), as detailed in Appendix A.1. The uncertainty of the slope of the correlation with N_H₁ and the standard deviation of the residual is about 30 % lower for the SFD map compared to the IRIS map. For that reason (and others described in Appendix A.1) we favour the use of the SFD map at large scales.

At scales smaller than 30’, the IRIS map has several advantages over the SFD map. The IRIS map is at the original angular resolution (4.3) of the IRAS data while SFD smoothed the map to 6’. IRIS also benefits from a non-linear gain correction that is coherent for point sources and diffuse emission. Finally point sources were kept in the IRIS map whereas SFD removed some of them (mostly galaxies but also ISM clumps). To combine the advantages of the two maps, we built a 100 μm map, I₁₀₀, that is compatible with SFD at scales larger than 30’ and compatible with IRIS at smaller scales:

\[ I_{100} = I_{IRIS} - I_{IRIS} \otimes f_{30}^{IRIS} + I_{SFD} \otimes f_{30}^{SFD}, \]

where \( I_{IRIS} \) and \( I_{SFD} \) are, respectively, the IRIS and the SFD maps, and \( f_{30}^{i} \) is the complementary Gaussian kernel needed to bring the maps to 30’ resolution.

2.3. Zero level

The fit of the dust emission requires that the specific intensity at each frequency and at each sky position is free of any other emission. In particular the zero level of each map should be set in such a way that it contains only Galactic dust emission. In order to set the zero level of the maps to a meaningful Galactic reference we applied a method based on a correlation with H₁, as described in Planck Collaboration VIII (2014).

Some precautions need to be taken here because the ratio of dust to H₁ emission might vary locally due to variations of the radiation field or of the dust optical properties. Locally the 21 cm emission might not be a perfect tracer of the column density due to self-absorption effects or to the presence of ionized or molecular gas. Nevertheless, the correlation between dust and H₁ emission is known to be tight in the diffuse ISM where most of the gas is atomic. This correlation has been used several times to establish the dust SED (Boulanger et al. 1996; Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011), to isolate the cosmic infrared background (CIB; Puget et al. 1996; Planck Collaboration XVIII 2011; Pénin et al. 2012), and to establish a Galactic reference for dust maps (Burstein & Heiles 1978; Schlegel et al. 1998).

The excess of dust emission with respect to the H₁ correlation has been used to reveal gas in molecular form, even in regions where CO emission was not detected (Désert et al. 1988; Blitz et al. 1990; Reach et al. 1998; Planck Collaboration XIX 2011). Such an excess can be observed at column densities as low as N_H₁ = 2 × 10²⁰ cm⁻². Using this as an upper limit for our correlation studies also ensures that self-absorption in the 21 cm line emission is not important. Note that this is also below the threshold at which significant H₂ is seen in the diffuse ISM (Gillmon et al. 2006; Wakker 2006; Rachford et al. 2002, 2009).

To estimate the Galactic reference of the IRAS and Planck data, the maps were correlated against the 21 cm LAB data

注脚:
³ Each map was smoothed using a Gaussian beam of FWHM, f_i, that complements the native FWHM, f_i (Table 1), of the map to bring it to S′: f_i = \sqrt{S′ ^2 - f_i ^2}.
⁴ It is at 30’ that both maps match in power – see Fig. 15 of Miville-Deschênes & Lagache (2005). This scale is close to the resolution of the DIRBE data (42’’) that were used in both products to set the large-scale emission.
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Table 1. Properties of the IRAS and Planck maps from which ZE has been removed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ν</th>
<th>λ</th>
<th>FWHM</th>
<th>Offset</th>
<th>Dipole</th>
<th>c_o</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[GHz]</td>
<td>[µm]</td>
<td>[arcmin]</td>
<td>[MJy sr⁻¹]</td>
<td>[MJy sr⁻¹]</td>
<td>[%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>-0.174 ± 0.005</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>857</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>0.093 ± 0.009</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>0.095 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.0148 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>0.085 ± 0.006</td>
<td>-0.0089 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Fig. 1. All-sky Mollweide projections of H_i maps used in the determination of the offsets. The centre of the map is toward the Galactic centre. Left: H_i column density of low velocity clouds (LVC). Right: intermediate velocity clouds (IVC). See text.

(Kalberla et al. 2005), integrated in velocity. The ranges are referred to as LVC, low velocity gas with |v_LSR| < 35 km s⁻¹, and IVC, intermediate velocity gas with 35 < |v_LSR| < 70 km s⁻¹ (Albert & Danly 2004). HVC, high-velocity clouds with |v_LSR| > 70 km s⁻¹ are excluded. For LVC and IVC separately, column density maps N_HI assuming optically thin emission are given in Fig. 1 in the all-sky Mollweide equal-area projection and in Fig. 2 in a complementary polar orthographic projection.⁵

For the correlation, all data sets were convolved to 1° resolution and projected on an N side = 128 grid. The correlation was performed using only pixels with the LVC N_HI < 2 × 10²⁰ cm⁻², discarding pixels with detected IVC above 0.1 × 10²⁰ cm⁻². The resulting area covers 11.5% of the sky, corresponding to more than 4700 deg². This mask is presented in both the all-sky view in Fig. 3 (left panel) and the polar view in Fig. 4. We refer to this throughout as the “low N_HI mask.”

The correlations are shown in Fig. 5, left. The values of the offset that were removed from the 3000 and 857 GHz maps are given in Table 1. We have checked that the offsets estimated in that way are not sensitive to the resolution of the H_i data or to the area of the sky selected. We have also checked that the assumption that the 21 cm emission is optically thin does not introduce a significant bias in the analysis. For example, on assuming T_{rad} = 80 K in converting 21 cm emission to N_HI, (Lockman & Condon 2005), the changes in the offsets at 857 and 3000 GHz are only about 0.02 MJy sr⁻¹. This is as expected because in the LAB data for the diffuse areas of the sky considered here values of the 21 cm line brightness temperature higher than 10 K are exceptional. Compatible offsets, within the quoted uncertainties, were found using 16' Galactic All Sky Survey 21 cm data (McClure-Griffiths et al. 2009) of the area around the Galactic south pole (Planck Collaboration Int. XVII 2014) and 9' data obtained on smaller regions in the northern sky at the Green Bank Telescope (Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011). Finally, in Fig. 5 we note a systematic excess of the dust emission at 857 and 3000 GHz with respect to the correlation at the lowest N_HI. This is also seen at 545 and 353 GHz and it is discussed further in Sect. 5.4.

The correlation of dust emission between Planck frequencies is observed to be tight (the correlation of Planck is less tight with the IRAS 3000 GHz map). We took advantage of this to estimate more precisely the Galactic zero level of the 353 and 545 GHz channels. They were obtained by correlation with the 857 GHz map on a larger mask with total LVC plus IVC N_HI < 3 × 10²⁰ cm⁻² (Fig. 3, right panel). These correlations are shown in Fig. 5 (right). The offset values obtained in this way (see Table 1) are compatible within 1σ with the offset values deduced from the H_i correlation in the smaller mask (0.104 and 0.088 MJy sr⁻¹ respectively at 545 and 353 GHz). At these frequencies we favour the offset obtained with the correlation with 857 GHz as it minimizes the aforementioned effects in the correlation between dust and gas emission.

In the process, faint dipole residuals were identified in the 353 and 545 GHz maps. The orientation of these residual dipoles coincides with the solar dipole and their amplitudes (see Table 1) corresponds to +7.6% and ~0.9% of the solar dipole amplitude at 545 and 353 GHz respectively, which is within the calibration uncertainties at these frequencies. They were removed prior to the dust SED fit.

⁵ Each of these projections (Calabretta & Greisen 2002) covers the whole sky, but here we use the terminology “all-sky” and “polar” as shorthand for the two projections. The polar view is most useful for the high-latitude sky, whereas the all-sky view is best for intermediate to low latitudes.
3. Model of the dust emission

3.1. Dust emission observed by Planck

The emission from interstellar dust in the far-infrared (FIR) to millimetre range is dominated by the emission from the biggest grains that are in thermal equilibrium with the local radiation field. Many studies and reviews have been dedicated to this subject (e.g., Draine 2003; Draine & Li 2007; Compiègne et al. 2011).

In the optically thin limit, the SED of emission from a uniform population of grains is well described, empirically, by a modified blackbody (MBB):

\[ I_\nu = \tau_\nu B_\nu(T), \]

where \( I_\nu \) is the specific intensity, \( B_\nu(T) \) is the Planck function for dust at temperature \( T \), and \( \tau_\nu \) is the frequency-dependent dust optical depth modifying the blackbody shape of the SED. The optical depth is the product of the dust opacity, \( \sigma_\nu \), and the gas column density, \( N_{\text{HI}} \):

\[ \tau_\nu = \sigma_\nu N_{\text{HI}}. \]

Alternatively, the optical depth is the product of the dust emissivity cross section per unit mass, \( \kappa_\nu \) (in \( \text{cm}^2\text{g}^{-1} \)), and the dust mass column density, \( M_{\text{dust}} \):

\[ \tau_\nu = \kappa_\nu M_{\text{dust}}. \]

where \( M_{\text{dust}} = r \mu m_\text{H} N_{\text{HI}} \), with \( r \) being the dust-to-gas mass ratio, \( \mu \) the mean molecular weight, and \( m_\text{H} \) the mass of a hydrogen atom. Note that \( \kappa_\nu \) depends on the chemical composition and structure of dust grains, but not the size for particles small compared to the wavelength, as here. It is usually described as a power law \( \kappa_\nu = k_0 (\nu/\nu_0)^\beta \) (Hildebrand 1983; Compiègne et al. 2011).
Fig. 3. Masks used to estimate the zero levels of the maps. Left: “low $N_{\text{HI}}$ mask” including pixels of the sky where the LVC column density is $< 2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ and the IVC column density is below $< 0.1 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. Right: mask where the total $\text{HI}$ column density (LVC plus IVC) is lower than $3 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$.

Fig. 4. Polar orthographic projection of the low $N_{\text{HI}}$ mask shown in Fig. 3 (left).

Fig. 5. Correlation plots used to estimate the Galactic zero levels of the IRAS and Planck maps (ZE subtracted). Left: Correlation of 857 and 3000 GHz vs. $\text{HI}$ column density obtained on the $N_{\text{HI}} < 2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ mask (Fig. 3 bottom left). Right: Correlation of 353 and 545 GHz vs. 857 GHz obtained on the $N_{\text{HI}} < 3 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ mask (Fig. 3 bottom right). All maps in the analysis were smoothed to a common resolution of 1°. The black circles and the associated bars are the average and standard deviation of $I_\nu$ in bins of $N_{\text{HI}}$ (left) and $I_{857}$ (right).
2011), where $\kappa_0$ is the emission cross-section at a reference frequency $\nu_0$. Put together, the emission of dust of a given composition and structure and in thermal equilibrium is:
\[
I_\nu = \kappa_0 (\nu/\nu_0)^\beta \tau \mu m_0 N_{\mu} B_\nu(T).
\]

In practice the shape of the observed SED depends on three main parameters. First, the equilibrium temperature is set by the radiation field strength, parameterized by the scaling factor, $U$, of the mean interstellar radiation field (ISRF) in the solar neighbourhood from Mathis et al. (1983); note that in dense regions, $U$ is decreased because of attenuation. Second, the grain size distribution (Mathis et al. 1977; Weingartner & Draine 2001) is important; exposed to the same ISRF, bigger grains have a lower equilibrium temperature than smaller ones. Third, the dust structure and composition determine not only the optical and UV absorption cross section, but also the emission cross-section, the frequency-dependent efficiency to emit radiation, usually modelled as above as a power law ($\kappa_0 \nu^\beta$) but possibly more complex depending on dust properties ($\beta$ could vary with frequency and/or grain size and/or grain temperature). In a given volume element along the line of sight, the distribution of dust grain sizes will naturally create a distribution of equilibrium temperatures. In addition, dust properties might vary along the line of sight. Furthermore, $U$ might also change along some lines of sight. Therefore, the observed dust SED is a mixture of emission modified by these effects, the sum of several different MBBs. Nevertheless, the simplification of fitting a single MBB is often adopted and indeed here, with only four photometric bands available, is unavoidable. The parameterization of the MBB for the empirical fit is:
\[
I_\nu = \tau_{\nu_0} B_\nu(T_{\text{obs}}) \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_0} \right)^{\beta_{\text{obs}}},
\]
where $\nu_0$ is a reference frequency at which the optical depth $\tau_{\nu_0}$ is estimated ($\nu_0 = 353$ GHz in our SED applications in this paper).

The main challenge is then to relate the parameters of the fit to physical quantities. It has been shown by many authors (Blain et al. 2003; Schnee et al. 2007; Shetty et al. 2009; Kelly et al. 2012; Juvela & Ysard 2012a,b; Ysard et al. 2012) that, in general, the values of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ recovered from an MBB fit cannot be related simply to the mass-weighted average along the line of sight of the dust temperature and spectral index. Even for dust with a spectral index constant in frequency (i.e., $\beta$ does not depend on $\nu$), the distribution of grain sizes and the variations of $U$ along the line of sight could introduce a broadening of the SED relative to the case of a single dust size and single $U$. In addition, the dust luminosity is proportional to $T^{\mu + \beta}$ and so dust that is hotter for any reason, including efficiency of absorption, will contribute more to the emission at all frequencies than colder dust. Therefore, the observed SED is not a quantity weighted by mass alone. The dust SED is wider than a single MBB due to the distribution of $T$, and so the fit is bound to find a solution where $\beta_{\text{obs}} < \beta$ and, in consequence, where $T_{\text{obs}}$ is biased toward higher values. This results in dust optical depth that is generally underestimated: $\tau_{\text{obs}} < \tau$. This effect is somewhat mitigated when lower frequency data are included. In the Rayleigh-Jeans limit the effect of temperature is low and the shape of the spectrum is dominated by the true $\beta$. For $T = 15–25$ K dust, this range is at frequencies lower than $\nu = kT/h = 310$–520 GHz.

Models like the ones of Draine & Li (2007) and Compiègne et al. (2011) go beyond the simple MBB parametrization by incorporating the variation of the equilibrium temperature of grains due to the size distribution. The model of Draine & Li (2007) also includes a prescription for the variation of $U$ along the line of sight, but assumes fixed dust properties. Nevertheless, there are still many uncertainties in the properties of dust (the exact size distribution of big grains, the optical properties, and the structure of grains), in the evolution of these properties from diffuse to denser clouds, and in the variation of the radiation field strength along the line of sight.

Therefore, for our early exploration of the dust SED over the whole sky, at 5′ resolution and down to 353 GHz (850 μm), we believe that it is useful to fit the dust SED using the empirical MBB approach, before attempting to use more physical models that rest on specific hypotheses. The three parameters $\tau_{\nu_0}$, $T_{\text{obs}}$, and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ obtained from the MBB fit should be regarded as a way to fit the data empirically; the complex relationship between these recovered parameters and physical quantities needs to be investigated in detail with dedicated simulations (e.g., Ysard et al. 2012), but is beyond the scope of this paper.

### 3.2. Implementation of the SED fit

The fit of the dust SED with a MBB model has been carried out traditionally using a $\chi^2$ minimization approach. Recently, alternative methods for fitting observational data with limited spectral coverage have been proposed, based on Bayesian or hierarchical models (Veneziani et al. 2010; Kelly et al. 2012; Juvela et al. 2013; Veneziani et al. 2013). These new methods were developed specifically to limit the impact of instrumental noise on the estimated parameters. Even though these methods offer interesting avenues, we developed our own strategy to fit the dust SED over the whole sky because of another challenge to be mitigated, arising from the cosmic infrared background anisotropies (the CIBA). Although this has been overlooked, it can be dominant in the faint diffuse areas of the sky, as we demonstrate. We proceeded with a method based on the standard $\chi^2$ minimization (see Appendix B) but implemented a two-step approach that limits the fluctuations of the estimated parameters at small angular scales induced by noise and the CIBA. In developing the methodology we have explored using data degraded to lower resolution and smaller $N_{\text{dust}}$.

#### 3.2.1. Frequency coverage

One possible source of bias in the fit is the number of bands and their central frequency. The combination of Planck 353 to 857 GHz and IRIS 3000 GHz data allows us to sample the low and high frequency sides of the dust SED. For a typical temperature of 20 K, the peak of the emission is at a frequency of 2070 GHz. This falls in a gap in the frequency coverage, between 857 GHz and 3000 GHz. It is thus a concern that a fit of the Planck and IRIS data might bias the recovered parameters $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$. To explore this we combined the Planck data with the DIRBE data at 1250, 2143, and 3000 GHz (100, 140, and 240 μm), all smoothed to 60′, providing a better sample of the dust SED near its peak. We found that the recovered dust parameters are stable whether DIRBE data are used or not; no bias is observed in $T_{\text{obs}}$, $\beta_{\text{obs}}$, and $\tau_{353}$ compared with results obtained using just Planck and IRIS data.

We also evaluated the potential advantage of fitting the SED with only the Planck 353 to 857 GHz data, a more coherent dataset not relying on the IRIS data. However, because the Wien part of the SED is not sampled the results showed a clear bias of $T_{\text{obs}}$, toward lower values; consequently, when extrapolated...
3.2.2. Noise and cosmic infrared background anisotropies

Degeneracy (anticorrelation) of the estimated $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$, inherent to the MBB fit of dust emission in the presence of noise, has had dedicated specific study (Shetty et al. 2009; Juvela & Ysard 2012a). As mentioned above, the CIBA is also a contaminating source in the estimate of the MBB parameters.

The CIBA is the result of the combined emission of distant unresolved galaxies. Its structure on the sky is diffuse. The angular power spectrum, with $C_\ell \propto \ell^{-1}$ for $100 < \ell < 2000$ according to Planck Collaboration XVIII (2011) and Planck Collaboration XXX (2014), reveals the large-scale structure of the Universe at high redshift. The zero levels of the maps were set through correlation with H I and so the data used in our study are insensitive to the monopole of the CIBA. However, the anisotropies, or fluctuations, are present in the maps. Because the CIBA power spectrum is flatter than that of interstellar dust emission ($C_\ell \propto \ell^{-2.9}$, Miville-Deschênes et al. 2007), in relative terms the CIBA is more visible at small scales. Another feature of the CIBA is that its structure on the sky is correlated in frequency, though only partially because galaxies at different redshifts contribute to the emission at different frequencies. Because of this partial correlation in frequency, the CIBA cannot be treated in the same way as instrumental noise in the fit. But it cannot be included as another component in the fitting function either. Nevertheless, the CIBA has an impact on the parameters of the fit; like the instrumental noise, the CIBA introduces an anticorrelation between $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$.

One option to limit the effect of noise and the CIBA is to reduce the number of free parameters in the fit. In that context we have examined the possibility of fitting the dust SED over the whole sky, at 5' resolution, using a fixed $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ with values between 1.5 and 1.8. A value of $\beta_{\text{obs}} = 1.65$ provides the best fit with a reduced $\chi^2$ lower than unity everywhere on the sky but this is mostly due to the fact that we took into account calibration uncertainties in the fit (Appendix B). What is statistically significant is the fact that on about 25% of the sky the reduced $\chi^2$ is improved by letting $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ be a free parameter. This happens mostly in bright regions of the sky where the noise is not an issue. In molecular clouds and in the Galactic plane, there are variations in the shape of the SED that cannot be fit with only two parameters.

While fixing a parameter of the fit over the whole sky might be too strict, it might not be necessary to have all three parameters at full resolution to describe the data. We have thus evaluated the possibility of estimating one of the parameters at a lower resolution than the others. In the following we explore the impact of noise and the CIBA on the parameters of the fit as a function of angular resolution.

Both the noise and the CIBA have flatter power spectra than dust emission, and so we expect the intrinsic dust parameters $T_{\text{obs}}, \beta_{\text{obs}},$ and $\tau_{353}$ to have a smoother structure on the sky than noise and the CIBA, except perhaps in bright photon-dominated regions where the shape of the dust SED might vary rapidly at small scales due to radiative transfer effects and potentially fast dust evolution. Smoothing the maps by different amounts before fitting on each pixel therefore offers the advantage of revealing both this spatially smoother solution and the important impact of noise and the CIBA on the result of the fit. This is illustrated in Fig. 6 where we present normalized distribution functions (NDFs) of $T_{\text{obs}}, \beta_{\text{obs}},$ and $\tau_{353}$ obtained with data smoothed to 5', 15', 30', 60', and 120', selecting only pixels corresponding to the low $N_{\text{H I}}$ mask (Fig. 3) to highlight a regime of relatively low signal-to-noise ratio. Smoothing the data has no real impact on to 100 \( \mu \)m, the fits greatly underestimate the emission detected in the IRIS data. Therefore, in the following the $\chi^2$ minimization fit was carried out on the data described in Sect. 2: the 857, 545, and 353 GHz Planck maps, corrected for zodiacal emission, and the new 100 \( \mu \)m map obtained by combining the IRIS and SFD maps.
the average value of the parameters, but the standard deviations of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ go down rapidly with smoothing; at 5’ resolution the standard deviation of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ is about twice as large as with smoothed data. On the other hand, the dispersion of $\tau_{353}$ is less affected by smoothing; it is dominated instead by cosmic variance, the considerable range of column densities even within this low N$\text{H}_1$ mask. Of course the dust parameters might also vary at small scales and so a trade-off needs to be found.

To explore and quantify the impact of noise and the CIBA on the fit at different angular resolutions, for later comparison with the actual dispersion, we used Monte Carlo simulations of the SED, including dust emission, noise, and the CIBA. The details of the Monte Carlo simulations, including the information on the inter-frequency coherence, are described in Appendix C. We considered five different angular resolutions of the data: 5’, 15’, 30’, 60’, and 120’. The noise and CIBA levels used for each resolution are given in Table C.1. Here we present results for an SED appropriate to Fig. 6 by adopting the median dust parameters found in the low N$\text{H}_1$ mask that corresponds to the faintest 10% of the sky. We simulated $10^5$ realizations of this SED to which noise and the CIBA were added. For each realization the three parameters $\tau_{353}, T_{\text{obs}}$, and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ were estimated as in Appendix B. The 1σ dispersions of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ obtained at each resolution are given in Table 2, for noise and the CIBA separately. The simulated effect of smoothing on the $\beta_{\text{obs}} - T_{\text{obs}}$ anticorrelation is shown in Fig. 7.

At full resolution the noise is the dominant source of error on the retrieved parameters. For the specific faint dust spectrum considered here, the noise produces an uncertainty of 2.1 K while the uncertainty due to the CIBA is only 0.39 K. The same is true for $\beta_{\text{obs}}$; the uncertainties are 0.49 and 0.11 for the noise and the CIBA, respectively. However, even with moderate smoothing of the data, the impact of noise on the fit reduces sharply, whereas the reduction of the impact of the CIBA is less dramatic. This arises because the CIBA has a power spectrum that is steeper than that of typical (white) noise. In addition, unlike the CIBA, noise has power up to the pixel scale (i.e., it is not attenuated by the beam). For example, as seen in Table 2, for data smoothed to 30’, the noise levels of the Planck and IRAS data go down by a factor 18.5 while the CIBA standard deviation decreases by only a factor 2.5. As a result, our simulations show that for data smoothed to resolution larger than 15’ the CIBA becomes the main source of error.

Similar relative effects as a function of resolution are seen in the $\beta_{\text{obs}} - T_{\text{obs}}$ anticorrelation in Fig. 7. At all resolutions the estimates of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ lie within an ellipse in $\beta_{\text{obs}} - T_{\text{obs}}$ space. The orientation and extent of the ellipse depends on the amplitudes of the noise and of the CIBA, which are both different and in a different ratio at each resolution. In all cases the ellipse is centred on the input values, demonstrating that noise and the CIBA do not bias the estimate of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$. This is the case even though the CIBA has a flatter (broader) SED than interstellar dust. Because the CIB monopole was removed in the data and therefore not included in the simulation, the CIBA produces as many negative as positive CIB fluctuations on the sky at each frequency. Because they are (partially) correlated in frequency, positive CIB fluctuations bias the SED and descriptive dust parameters toward a flatter SED while negative CIB fluctuations have the opposite effect, toward a steeper SED.

### Table 2

Results of Monte Carlo simulations of three-parameter fits: 1σ uncertainties of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ due to noise and the CIBA, for data at different resolutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta$ [arcmin]</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{noise}}(T_{\text{obs}})$ [K]</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{CIBA}}(T_{\text{obs}})$ [K]</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{noise}}(\beta_{\text{obs}})$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{CIBA}}(\beta_{\text{obs}})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The simulation was done for a single dust SED typical of the 10% faintest area of the sky, whose parameters are the median values found in the low N$\text{H}_1$ mask: $T_{\text{obs}} = 20.8$ K, $\beta_{\text{obs}} = 1.55$ and $\tau_{353} = 9.6 \times 10^{-7}$ (Table 3). The CIBA was modelled assuming partial correlation in frequency (see Appendix C for details). The noise and CIBA levels used for each resolution are given in Table C.1. The values given here are the standard deviations of the parameters $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ obtained from three-parameter SED fits of $10^5$ realizations; $\sigma_{\text{noise}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{CIBA}}$ represent the separate contributions of noise and the CIBA to the total standard deviation.

![Fig. 7](image-url) **Fig. 7.** $\beta_{\text{obs}} - T_{\text{obs}}$ diagram showing the 1σ contour of the fit of a single SED (sum of dust emission, noise, and the CIBA) for simulated data with $\tau_{353} = 9.6 \times 10^{-7}$ and noise and the CIBA levels for each resolution from Table C.1: 5’ (black), 15’ (blue) and 30’ (red). Contours are shown for noise only (solid) and for noise and the CIBA (dotted). The other two parameters of the dust emission used in this simulation, marked by a black dot, are, like $\tau_{353}$, the median values found in the low N$\text{H}_1$ mask: $T_{\text{obs}} = 20.8$ K and $\beta_{\text{obs}} = 1.55$.

---

6 The dispersions of quantities normalized by the column density, $\sigma_{\tau_{353}} = \tau_{353}/N_{\text{H}}$ and the dust specific luminosity $L_{\text{d}}$, are available only for lower resolutions; at 30’ resolution for this mask (see Table 4 in Sect. 4 below), they are considerably lower in fractional terms than the dispersion of $\tau_{353}$ in Fig. 6.
to capture the variations of the dust SED at full resolution while limiting the effect of the $\beta - T$ degeneracy due to noise.

This two-step approach is in the same spirit as the one implemented in the Commander-Ruler algorithm (Planck Collaboration XII 2014). The advantage of such methods arises by favouring a spatially smoother solution for parameters that are not expected to vary strongly at small scale. In the second fit we chose to fix $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ rather than $T_{\text{obs}}$. It is not yet clear how the actual spectral index of the grain opacity, $\beta$, might vary on small scales (some models even assume that it is constant: Draine & Li 2007; Compiègne et al. 2011). However, the dust temperature is expected to vary on small scales, especially in dense regions of the ISM due to the attenuation of the radiation field.

We performed Monte Carlo simulations to evaluate the contributions of noise and the CIBA (partly correlated in frequency – see Appendix C) to variations, whence uncertainties, of the recovered $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ for the specific case of the adopted two-step fit (30\(\'\), 5\(\'\)). Fig. 8 illustrates the uncertainties of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ arising from noise and the CIBA for dust SEDs on lines of sight with increasing $\tau_{353}$.

For the typical SED ($\tau_{353} = 9.6 \times 10^{-7}$, $T_{\text{obs}} = 20.8$ K, and $\beta_{\text{obs}} = 1.55$) corresponding to the 10\% faintest area of the sky, we made a comparison of the Monte-Carlo results for the two-step fit and the direct three-parameter fit (Sect. 3.2.2). The uncertainties of the direct fit at 5\(\'\) are $\delta(T_{\text{obs}}) = 2.1$ K and $\delta(\beta_{\text{obs}}) = 0.50$, adding the contributions of noise and CIBA in quadrature (see Table 2). For the same SED parameters, the uncertainties of the two-step fit are $\delta(T_{\text{obs}}) = 0.8$ K and $\delta(\beta_{\text{obs}}) = 0.06$ (see Fig. 8). In addition, the results of the two-step fit simulations indicate that for both $T_{\text{obs}}$ at 5\(\'\) and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ at 30\(\'\) the CIBA has a greater contribution than the noise, contrary to the situation for the direct three-parameter fit.

For the faintest 0.4\% of the sky (top axis in Fig. 8), the results of the simulations indicate that the combined effects of noise and the CIBA produce variations $\delta T_{\text{obs}} = 3.0$ K and $\delta \beta_{\text{obs}} = 0.2$. On the other hand, for about 93\% of the sky the variations are much smaller, $\delta T_{\text{obs}} < 1.0$ K and $\delta \beta_{\text{obs}} < 0.1$, i.e., < 5\% and < 6\% fractional error, respectively. This is in accordance with the fact that at 353 GHz, where the CIBA is the strongest contaminant, about 93\% of the sky has $I_{353} > 3\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{CIBA}}(353)$.

### 3.3. Parameters and uncertainties

The all-sky maps of the dust parameters, $T_{\text{obs}}$, $\beta_{\text{obs}}$, and $\tau_{353}$, and of their fractional uncertainties are presented in Figs. 9 and 10, respectively. The precision of the three parameters is of the order of a few percent on most of the sky. The uncertainties shown here are based on the statistical ones returned by the $\chi^2$ minimization fit assuming that the model is a good representation of the data. For $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ the uncertainty is from the 30\(\'\) fit. For $T_{\text{obs}}$ we added quadratically the fractional uncertainties from the 30\(\'\) and 5\(\'\) fits to include the covariance between $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$, whence

$$\delta T_{\text{obs}} = T_{\text{obs}} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta T_{\text{obs,30}}}{T_{\text{obs,30}}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta T_{\text{obs,5}}}{T_{\text{obs,5}}}\right)^2},$$

where the subscripts 5 and 30 refer to the parameter or uncertainty maps obtained at 5\(\'\) and 30\(\'\), respectively. Similarly, the uncertainty of $\tau_{353}$ is from the quadratic sum of the fractional uncertainties of $P_{353}^m$ and $B_{353}(T_{\text{obs}})$ where $P_{353}^m$ is the reconstructed model of the emission at 353 GHz. To estimate the uncertainty of $B_{353}(T_{\text{obs}})$, we simply computed max $|B_{353}(T_{\text{obs}} + \delta T_{\text{obs}}) - B_{353}(T_{\text{obs}})|$.

The three uncertainty maps have a similar spatial structure. In general the fractional uncertainties are higher in the most diffuse areas of the sky (where the noise and the CIBA have a more important contribution) and in the inner Galaxy region. Striping patterns are visible, especially in the $T_{\text{obs}}$ uncertainty map; these are likely to be coming from the IRAS data. The uncertainty of $T_{\text{obs}}$ is of the order of 1–3\% in bright areas, with a noticeable increase in the inner Galaxy and rising to 5–8\% in the most diffuse areas of the sky. The same general trend is seen for $\tau_{353}$ but with higher values: 2–5\% in bright areas and up to 10\% in diffuse areas. The uncertainty of $\beta_{\text{obs}}$, based on analysis at 30\(\'\) resolution, has a slightly different spatial structure. It is typically of 3–4\% with a smaller decrease in bright areas and a noticeable increase in the inner Galaxy to 6–8\%.

The reduced $\chi^2$ of the fit is much smaller than unity over most of the sky, due to the fact that calibration uncertainties are taken into account in the fit to give less weight to data points with less precise calibration (Appendix B). To illustrate this, Fig. 11 shows the distribution function of (Data – Model)/Noise for each frequency used in the fit. The noise used here follows the definition of Eq. B.1; it takes into account instrumental noise and the uncertainties of the calibration, the zero level, and the CMB subtraction. The range adopted in Fig. 11 corresponds to only $\pm 1\sigma$. At 353 and 3000 GHz, for most of the sky pixels the data are fitted more tightly (to better than 0.5\%) than at 545 and 857 GHz. This implies that 353 and 3000 GHz have a lot of weight in the estimation of the parameters. The 3000 GHz band provides the only data point on the Wien part of the MBB and therefore strongly influences the determination of $T_{\text{obs}}$. On the other hand, the 353 GHz band strongly influences the determination of $\tau_{353}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ because it is the closest to the Rayleigh-Jeans part of the spectrum. The compensating small offsets of the distributions at the other two frequencies might suggest that the adopted model does not adequately describe the data. However, these offsets are well within the calibration uncertainties of the data; the overall reduced $\chi^2$ is lower than unity and these offsets might be removed by a small systematic change in the relative calibration of the data. Given the actual precision of the calibration, it would be premature to conclude that a more complex model is required to fit the data.

We compared the reduced $\chi^2$ with that from a fit of the data at 5\(\'\) with $\tau_{353}$, $T_{\text{obs}}$, and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ as free parameters. We were looking for pixels on the sky for which the two-step fitting procedure provides a reduced $\chi^2$ greater than unity (i.e., a relatively bad fit) while fitting the three parameters simultaneously at full resolution would provide a better solution with a lower reduced $\chi^2$. This occurred for only 0.3\% of the pixels. These pixels, possibly dominated by galaxies, are grouped in small-scale structures located at high Galactic latitude and away from bright interstellar areas.

#### 3.4. Dust radiance

In the previous sections we have described the properties of the parameters that define the shape of the dust SED. Now we examine the dust radiance or dust integrated intensity defined as

$$R = \int I_{\nu} \, d\nu.$$
Because the grains are in thermal equilibrium, this also represents the energy absorbed. Here we estimate \( \mathcal{R} \) at each sky position by integrating the MBB fit:

\[
\mathcal{R} = \int_{\nu} \frac{1}{\nu^3} B_{\nu}(T_{\text{obs}}) \frac{\delta T_{\text{obs}}}{T_{\text{obs}}} d\nu.
\]

This can be done analytically in terms of the Gamma (\( \Gamma \)) and Riemann zeta functions (\( \zeta \)):

\[
\mathcal{R} = \frac{\sigma_5}{\pi} \frac{T_{\text{obs}}^4}{h} \left( \frac{kT_{\text{obs}}}{T_{\text{obs}}^4} \right)^{\beta_{\text{obs}}} \frac{\Gamma(4 + \beta_{\text{obs}}) \zeta(4) \zeta(4)}{\Gamma(4) \zeta(4)},
\]

where \( \sigma_5 \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( h \) is the Planck constant, and \( \nu_0 = 3.53 \times 10^{14} \) Hz. Using the fit parameters described above we produced the all-sky map of \( \mathcal{R} \) shown in Fig. 12, expressed in units of W m\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\).

Note that even though the calculation of \( \mathcal{R} \) uses the dust parameters \( T_{\text{obs}}, \beta_{\text{obs}}, \tau_{353} \), \( \mathcal{R} \) does not suffer from any degeneracy in the fit parameters. In this context the MBB should be seen as an interpolating function; \( \mathcal{R} \) is not very sensitive to the assumptions made in fitting the SED as long as the fit accounts for the data, including the high-frequency turnover. The uncertainty of \( \mathcal{R} \) arises mostly from the calibration uncertainty of the data and, to a lesser extent, from the limited number of bands used in the fit.\(^7\)

In thermal equilibrium, \( \mathcal{R} \) is equal to the amount of light absorbed by dust. Assuming constant properties along the line of sight, including the dust-to-gas ratio,

\[
\mathcal{R} \propto U \sigma_a N_{\text{H}},
\]

where \( \sigma_a \) is the absorption opacity defined similarly to the emission opacity in Eq. 3, averaged over the size distribution and also, in this case, over the spectrum of the ISRF.

\(^7\) For example, a larger number of bands could reveal that a single-temperature MBB is not an adequate fitting function, a conclusion that cannot be reached with the four bands used here.

This is complementary to \( \tau_{353} \), which is also used as a proxy for \( N_{\text{H}} \):

\[
\tau_{353} = \frac{I_{353}}{B_{353}(T_{\text{obs}})} = \sigma_{e,353} N_{\text{H}}.
\]

Division by the Planck function factors out any effects due to spatial variations of the dust temperature (potentially linked to spatial variations of \( U \)), but \( \tau_{353} \) is only proportional to \( N_{\text{H}} \) if the dust opacity \( \sigma_{e,353} \) is constant. This limitation does not apply to \( \mathcal{R} \), which is independent of \( \sigma_{e,353} \) because of thermal equilibrium; \( \mathcal{R} \) is simply the energy emitted by dust (Eq. 8), whatever the shape of the SED and regardless of how efficient the grain cooling is. Thus \( \mathcal{R} \) is closer to a measured quantity, while \( \tau_{353} \) is a parameter deduced from a model.

At high Galactic latitudes, where the spatial variations of \( U \) and \( \sigma_{e,353} \) are expected to be minimal so that both \( \tau_{353} \) and \( \mathcal{R} \) should be proportional to dust column density, comparison of maps of \( \tau_{353} \) and \( \mathcal{R} \) reveals another fundamental difference, as illustrated in Fig. 13 for one of the faintest areas in the sky: the map of \( \tau_{353} \) shows surprisingly strong small-scale fluctuations that are absent in the map of \( \mathcal{R} \).

This significant difference is due to the impact of the CIBA, especially its decorrelation in frequency. On the one hand, \( \tau_{353} \) is the division of \( I_{353} \) by \( B_{353}(T_{\text{obs}}) \) (Eq. 12) and so is contaminated by the CIBA at not only 353 GHz but also 3000 GHz; i.e., because the 3000 GHz band is the only one in the Wien range, it has a strong weight in the determination of \( T_{\text{obs}} \). Furthermore, the CIBA at 3000 GHz and the CIBA in the Planck bands are weakly correlated, so that \( T_{\text{obs}} \) contains most of the information on the CIBA at 3000 GHz. Therefore, through \( I_{353} \) and \( B_{353}(T_{\text{obs}}) \), the map of \( \tau_{353} \) is affected by the CIBA on both the Rayleigh-Jeans and Wien sides, respectively, resulting in strong small scale fluctuations. On the other hand, because \( \mathcal{R} \) is obtained by integrating \( I_e \) over frequency, it benefits from the fact that the CIBA decorrelates in frequency; i.e., the integral over frequency of the CIBA is close to zero.

In order to relate Planck dust emission to Galactic reddening (Sect. 6), we also made a fit of the dust model to a version of the Planck and IRAS data from which point sources had been
Fig. 9. All-sky maps of the parameters of the MBB fit of Planck 353, 545, and 857 GHz and IRAS 100 µm data. Upper: optical depth at 353 GHz, $\tau_{353}$, at 5′ resolution, displayed logarithmically (the range shown corresponds to $-6.5 < \log_{10}(\tau_{353}) < -3$). Middle: observed dust temperature, $T_{\text{obs}}$, at 5′ resolution, in kelvin. Lower: observed dust spectral index, $\beta_{\text{obs}}$, at 30′ resolution.
Fig. 10. All-sky maps of the fractional uncertainty (in percent) of the parameters of the MBB fit of Planck 353, 545, and 857 GHz and IRAS 100 μm data. Upper: optical depth at 353 GHz, $\tau_{353}$, at 5' resolution. Middle: observed dust temperature, $T_{\text{obs}}$, at 5' resolution. Lower: observed dust spectral index, $\beta_{\text{obs}}$, at 30' resolution.
4. The Galactic dust emission observed by Planck

4.1. Spatial variations of the dust parameters and $R$

The all-sky maps of $T_{\text{obs}}$, $\beta_{\text{obs}}$, and $\tau_{353}$ in Fig. 9 – represented as well in a polar orthographic projection in in Figs. 14 and 15 to show details in the high-latitude sky – represent the first attempt to fit these three parameters at the same time over the whole sky. Together, these maps of the fit parameters provide information on the dust SED and, quite likely, on the dust properties and their variations with interstellar environment. They are complemented by the map of $R$ in Figs. 12 and 14. Here we discuss only some broad features of these maps, leaving more detailed analysis to future work.

The mean and standard deviation of $T_{\text{obs}}$, $\beta_{\text{obs}}$, $\tau_{353}$, and $R$ are given in Table 3 for several different masks ranked in order of decreasing dust contamination, using $\sigma(\tau_{353})$ as a proxy, and so (mostly) of decreasing sky coverage. These include some masks used in Planck cosmology papers (e.g., Planck Collaboration XV 2014). Note how the ranking is reflected in the means and standard deviations listed.

Over the whole sky, the mean of $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ is 1.62 and its standard deviation is 0.10. The mean of $T_{\text{obs}}$ is 19.7 K and its standard deviation is 1.4 K. The distribution function of $T_{\text{obs}}$ is slightly positively skewed with a high tail that extends up to 60 K. Only about 100 out of the more than 50 million pixels of the $N_{\text{side}} = 2048$ map have $T_{\text{obs}} < 13$ K.\footnote{This is not in contradiction with the cold clumps detected in the Planck data (Planck Collaboration XXIII 2011), some with temperature as low as 7 K. These clumps were identified after removing a hotter background/foreground emission. We do not obtain such low values of $T_{\text{obs}}$ because we model the observed specific intensity on each line of sight.}

The maps of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\tau_{353}$ presented here should be compared with the ones published as Planck early results by Planck Collaboration XIX (2011). Apart from the facts that we use a more recent release of Planck data (with a different calibration of the 545 and 857 GHz and with ZE removed) and a slightly different approach to the offset determination,\footnote{Both studies use the correlation with H I to set the offsets but Planck Collaboration XIX (2011) used a higher threshold in column density ($N_{\text{HI}} < 1.2 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$) than adopted here ($N_{\text{HI}} < 2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$).} the main difference is that we fit for $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ while Planck Collaboration XIX (2011) used a fixed value, $\beta_{\text{obs}} = 1.8$, a convention shared among all the Planck Early Papers dedicated to dust emission (Planck Collaboration XXV 2011; Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011; Planck Collaboration XXI 2011). Even with these differences in data and methodology, the maps of $T_{\text{obs}}$ are remarkably similar. The map of $T_{\text{obs}}$ presented here is higher by about 1 K than that of Planck Collaboration XIX (2011), due principally to the modification of the calibration of the 545 and 857 GHz channels.

Like in Planck Collaboration XIX (2011), the lowest $T_{\text{obs}}$ values are found in the outer Galaxy and in molecular clouds. In general the well-known molecular clouds have a lower $T_{\text{obs}}$ (15–17 K) and higher $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ (around 1.8) than in the diffuse ISM. This trend is compatible with the result of Planck Collaboration XXV (2011) who reported a steepening of the SED from diffuse to molecular areas in the Taurus molecular cloud.

Small-scale regions of higher $T_{\text{obs}}$ are seen along the Galactic plane and in many of the Gould Belt clouds, most probably related to the local production of dust-heating photons in Galactic star forming regions. The Magellanic Clouds are clearly visible in the parameter maps with a higher $T_{\text{obs}}$ and lower $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ (Planck Collaboration XVII 2011).

The main noticeable difference with respect to the early results of Planck Collaboration XIX (2011) is the lower $T_{\text{obs}}$ found here in the inner Galactic plane. This is due to the fact that we fit for $\beta_{\text{obs}}$, which appears to have a systematically higher value in the inner Galactic plane, in the range 1.8–2.0. The impact of noise and the CIBA is obviously negligible in this bright area of the sky. The higher $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ found here clearly provides a better representation of the SED, as shown also by Planck Collaboration Int. XIV (2014). This steepening of the dust SED in the inner Galactic plane is also compatible with the analysis of Herschel observations of that region by Paradis et al. (2012).

One striking feature of the $T_{\text{obs}}$ (polar) map is the increase toward both Galactic poles. Selecting the pixels corresponding to the lowest 1% $N_{\text{HI}}$, the mean $T_{\text{obs}}$ is 20.9 K and the mean $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ is 1.51. This systematic increase of $T_{\text{obs}}$ was also visible in the early all-sky map of Planck Collaboration XIX (2011) that used a constant $\beta_{\text{obs}}$, different offsets, a different 3000 GHz map and no ZE removal for the Planck data. In addition, the values we report for the south Galactic pole mask (mean $T_{\text{obs}} = 20.5$ K, $\sigma(T_{\text{obs}}) = 1.4$ K, mean $\beta_{\text{obs}} = 1.59$, $\sigma(\beta_{\text{obs}})$ = 0.13) are compatible with the ones reported by Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014) using a correlation method that is insensitive to offsets and ZE removal. The nature of this increase of $T_{\text{obs}}$ over a large scale in the most diffuse areas at high Galactic latitudes, incidentally correlated with lower values of $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ (see Fig. 15), is still to be understood (see Sect. 5.2) but it is unlikely to be caused by a bias by instrumental noise or the CIBA, which both create small-scale fluctuations.

4.2. $\beta_{\text{obs}} - T_{\text{obs}}$ relation

The all-sky maps of the fit parameters (Fig. 9) reveal some spatial correlation between the parameters. This is especially clear between $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ and $T_{\text{obs}}$ as illustrated in Fig. 16, lower, using results for all pixels on the sky. Because it includes so many dif-
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Fig. 12. All-sky map of dust radiance at 5’ resolution. The range shown corresponds to $-7.8 < \log_{10}(R) < -4.7$.

Fig. 13. Maps of $\tau_{353}$ (left) and $R$ (right) of a diffuse area of the sky, centred on $l = 90^\circ$, $b = -80^\circ$.

...quantitative, in the pixels corresponding to the lowest 1% values of $N_{HI}$, the observed standard deviations of these parameters are the largest – $\sigma(T_{obs}) = 1.7$ K and $\sigma(\beta_{obs}) = 0.18$ – while over the whole sky $\sigma(T_{obs}) = 1.4$ K and $\sigma(\beta_{obs}) = 0.10$ (see Table 3). Based on the Monte-Carlo simulations presented in Sect. 3.2.3, for values of $\tau_{353}$ typical of the faintest 1% pixels of the sky the noise and CIBA produce fluctuations of $T_{obs}$ and $\beta_{obs}$ of the order of $\delta T_{obs} = 1.7$ K and $\delta \beta_{obs} = 0.15$, providing a credible explanation for the magnitude of the small-scale variations observed in that mask (Table 3).

Even though noise and the CIBA seem to be responsible for the $\beta - T$ anticorrelation in the most diffuse areas of the sky, they can cause only small-scale fluctuations because of their flat power spectra. Because the monopole of the CIB was removed from the map, the CIBA does not bias $\beta_{obs}$ and $T_{obs}$ globally on the sky, and cannot produce large-scale variations like the increase of $T_{obs}$ toward the Galactic poles. We have also checked that these results are largely unaffected by the ZE removal (Appendix A.2).

In brighter regions, our Monte-Carlo simulations (Sect. 3.2.3; ) indicate that noise and the CIBA introduce...
Planck collaboration: All-sky model of thermal dust emission

Table 3. Summary of the mean and standard deviation of the dust parameters for specific masks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mask</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>$⟨T_{\text{obs}}⟩$ [K]</th>
<th>$\sigma(T_{\text{obs}})$ [K]</th>
<th>$⟨\beta_{\text{obs}}⟩$</th>
<th>$\sigma(\beta_{\text{obs}})$</th>
<th>$⟨τ_{353}⟩$</th>
<th>$\sigma(τ_{353})$</th>
<th>$⟨R⟩$ [W m$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\sigma(⟨R⟩)$ [W m$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole sky</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>45.0 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>53.3 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>15.2 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
<td>16.9 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G56</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>21.7 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>16.9 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>8.0 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
<td>5.3 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>&gt; 15^\circ$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>18.5 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>13.2 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>17.5 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>12.7 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>6.9 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
<td>4.0 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G35</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>13.8 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>8.8 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>5.7 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
<td>2.8 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South cap</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>14.5 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>10.7 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>6.2 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
<td>3.6 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low $N_{\text{HI}}$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9.6 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>4.1 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>4.1 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
<td>1.2 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest 1 %</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.4 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>2.9 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>2.5 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
<td>0.5 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The angular resolution of all quantities is 5′ except for $β_{\text{obs}}$ which is at 30′. The $|b| > 15^\circ$ mask also includes the restriction $N_{\text{HI}} < 5.5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. The Low $N_{\text{HI}}$ mask is the one shown in Fig. 3, left. The South cap mask corresponds to that developed for the analysis in Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014). The Lowest 1 % mask corresponds to the lowest 1 % $N_{\text{HI}}$, column density estimated using the LAB data. The remaining masks (G35, G45, and G56) are among those used in the Planck cosmology papers (e.g., Planck Collaboration XV 2014), based in part on thresholding the Planck 353 GHz temperature map.

Fig. 14. Polar views of $\log_{10}(τ_{353})$ (upper) and $\log_{10}(⟨R⟩)$ (lower).
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Fig. 15. Polar views of $T_{\text{obs}}$ (upper) and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ (lower).

The broad spectral coverage, the high signal-to-noise (and high signal-to-CIBA) ratio of the data on more than 90% of the sky, and the methodology used to minimize the effects of noise and the CIBA on the fit parameters, combine to produce values of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ with uncertainties of a few percent, much smaller than their dispersions over the sky (Table 3). We conclude that on most of the sky, the relation between $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ and $T_{\text{obs}}$ is not an artifact of the data processing (zero levels, ZE correction) or due to noise or the CIBA. This conclusion also holds for the large-scale variations of $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ and $T_{\text{obs}}$ at high Galactic latitude. On most of the sky, the systematic variations of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ are related to real changes in the shape of SED of the interstellar dust emission.

Even with data-related effects mitigated, the interpretation of the relationship between the MBB parameters is complex. In particular at this point it is difficult to be definitive about the origin of the relationship between $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$. It depends on details of radiative transfer, of variations in $U$ along the line of sight, and of variations in grain structure and size distribution. To identify the relative roles of dust evolution and line-of-sight integration effects in this observed phenomenon, detailed studies of specific spatially-coherent objects in various interstellar environments and at all scales are needed.

4.3. Dust SED in the diffuse ISM

As described in Planck Collaboration VIII (2014), the calibration scheme for the 545 and 857 GHz data has changed since the Planck Early Results. These channels are no longer calibrated using the FIRAS data, but instead rely on observations of plan-
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\[ \beta_{\text{obs}} = 1.84 \] by Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011), compatible with the average SED that they found in selected high Galactic latitude fields using IRAS and the early Planck data.\(^\text{10}\) With the new calibration, the mean values found for the same mask are significantly different: \( \langle T_{\text{obs}} \rangle = 20.3 \) K and \( \langle \beta_{\text{obs}} \rangle = 1.59 \) (see Table 3). The dust parameters found here are similar to those found in external galaxies with Herschel,\(^\text{11}\) even though the Herschel frequency coverage is not as extensive (e.g., Dale et al. 2012).

The second impact is on the value of the dust opacity \( \sigma_{e,\nu} = \tau_{e}/N_{\text{H}} \). The increase in \( T_{\text{obs}} \) due to the recalibration lowers \( \tau_{e} \) and the opacity. At 250 µm (1200 GHz), a reference wavelength often used, Boulanger et al. (1996) obtained \( \sigma_{e,1200} = 1.0 \times 10^{-25} \) cm\(^2\) while here for the \( |b| > 15^\circ \) mask we obtain \( \sigma_{e,1200} = 0.49 \times 10^{-25} \) cm\(^2\) (from \( \sigma_{e,353} \) in Table 4 and \( \beta_{\text{obs}} = 1.59 \)).

Changing \( \beta_{\text{obs}} \) directly affects the assessment of the material needed to explain the observed thermal emission; in a MBB fit to the SED, a lower \( \beta_{\text{obs}} \) leads to a higher \( T_{\text{obs}} \) and therefore to a lower optical depth, which in turn could be interpreted as a lower column density (or mass), or a lower opacity. We also note that the mean value of \( \beta_{\text{obs}} \) found is lower than used for some components in dust models, like graphite in Draine & Li (2007) where \( \beta = 2 \); when fitting with such a model, a higher radiation field strength \( U \) would be needed.

5. Dust emission in relation to gas column density

In the previous section we have described the properties of the parameters that define the dust SED. Now we concentrate on the link between the dust emission and the interstellar gas column density, following on many detailed studies in environments from the diffuse ISM (Boulanger & Pérault 1988; Boulanger et al. 1996) to molecular clouds (Pineda et al. 2008; Goodman et al. 2009).

Here the estimate of gas column density, \( N_{\text{H}} \), accounts for atomic and molecular gas:

\[ N_{\text{H}} = N_{\text{H}1} + 2 X_{\text{CO}} W_{\text{CO}}, \]

where the \( N_{\text{H}1} \) is from the LAB data assuming optically-thin emission, \( W_{\text{CO}} \) is from the Planck \(^{12}\)CO \( J=1\rightarrow0 \) map (type 3) (Planck Collaboration XIII 2014), and \( X_{\text{CO}} \) is not constant but is typically \( 2 \times 10^{20} \) H\(_2\) cm\(^{-3}\) K\(^{-1}\) km\(^{-1}\) s (Bolatto et al. 2013). “Dark” neutral matter (Planck Collaboration XIX 2011) is by definition left out in this formulation, though it is among the total that can be traced by \( \gamma \)-rays (Grenier et al. 2005). Ionized gas is left out for lack of a proper template.

5.1. Opacity and dust specific luminosity

The optical depth (\( \tau_{353} \) here) is often taken as a tracer of \( N_{\text{H}} \) but this is only accurate if the opacity is constant (Eq. 3). This requirement can be assessed in the all-sky map of the opacity \( \sigma_{e,353} = \tau_{353}/N_{\text{H}} \) in Figs. 17 and 18, smoothed to 30'. Although the large dynamic range over the \( \tau_{353} \) sky is greatly compressed, so that a linear scale can be used, it is clear that there are changes in opacity, even in the diffuse atomic ISM in the high-latitude sky where \( N_{\text{H}} \) is well measured. Related to these

---

\(^\text{10}\) This was expected because the 857, 545, and 353 GHz data used in that study were calibrated on FIRAS.

\(^\text{11}\) The calibration of each of Herschel and Planck at 545 and 857 GHz is based on observations of planets and uses the same model of planetary emission (Planck Collaboration VIII 2014).
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Fig. 17. All-sky maps of $\sigma_{353}$ (upper) and $L_H$ (lower). The gas column density $N_H$ is $N_{Hi} + 2X_{CO}W_{CO}$ where $N_{Hi}$ is from the LAB data, $W_{CO}$ is from the Planck $^{12}$CO $J=1\rightarrow0$ map (type 3), and $X_{CO} = 2 \times 10^{20}$ H$_2$ cm$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$ km$^{-1}$ s.

changes in opacity are changes in the equilibrium dust temperature (Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011; Planck Collaboration Int. XVII 2014), driving complementary changes in the SED parameter $\tau_{353}$ through Eq. 6. This demonstrates how $\tau_{353}$ is compromised as a tracer of column density.

We saw in Sect. 3.4 how $R$ compensates for such effects, being smoother than $\tau_{353}$. This is expected to carry over into the dust specific luminosity

$$L_H = 4\pi R/N_H,$$

also shown as an all-sky map in Figs. 17 and 18. At high latitudes this is indeed more uniform. This uniformity and the excursions to both higher and lower values at higher column densities relating to the ambient ISRF are taken up in Sect. 5.2.

Complementing the above, for low-column-density lines of sight with $1 \times 10^{20} < N_H < 2.5 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, the dependence of $\sigma_{353}$ on $T_{abs}$, and by contrast the relative lack of dependence of $L_H$ on $T_{abs}$, are evident in Fig. 19, lower.

The statistics of $\sigma_{353}$ and $L_H$ for the various masks are presented in Table 4 using $X_{CO} = 2 \times 10^{20}$ H$_2$ cm$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$ km$^{-1}$ s where relevant. Note how for these normalized quantities the systematic ranking seen in Table 3 is not preserved.

To quantify the trends with column density, Fig. 20 shows the mean and standard deviation of $\sigma_{353}$ and $L_H$ in bins of $N_H$ equally spaced in log. The results for $X_{CO} = [1, 2, 3] \times 10^{20}$ H$_2$ cm$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$ km$^{-1}$ s are shown.

### 5.2. The strength of the ISRF

In thermal equilibrium, $R$ is equal to the amount of light absorbed by dust (see Eq. 11). In normalized form, $L_H \propto U\sigma_a$. Also from Eq. 10 for $R$ evaluated from emission, $L_H$ depends on $T_{obs}$, $\beta_{obs}$, and $\sigma_{e,353}$. Therefore, under the constraint of thermal

12 Use of a constant $X_{CO}$ is certainly not realistic, given the large ranges in density and temperature covered. On the other hand, it is used here only to provide basic statistics of $\sigma_{353}$ and $L_H$ for the “Whole sky” mask in Table 4. The sky fraction with significant CO emission, greater than 0.15 K km s$^{-1}$, is only about 18% and in all of the other masks considered here CO does not contribute.
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Fig. 18. Polar view of $\sigma_{e 353}$ (upper) and $L_H$ (lower).

mal equilibrium, the measured $R$ and $L_H$ provide insight into not only $U$ and $\sigma_e$ relating to absorption, but also their relationship to the SED parameters for emission.

Under the hypothesis of a constant dust-to-gas ratio, constant dust absorption cross section, and constant shape of the ISRF spectrum, i.e., constant $\sigma_e$, the all-sky map of $L_H$ provides a way to trace the spatial structure of the radiation field $U$ over the whole sky quite directly. The large-scale structure of this map is similar to the map of $C^+ / N_{\text{H}_1}$, obtained from lower-resolution data by Bennett et al. (1994), that also traces $U$.

5.2.1. High latitudes

At high latitudes, best seen in the polar maps, $L_H$ is fairly uniform, much more so than the opacity, as quantified by the relative fractional size of their standard deviations (Table 4). This can also be seen over the low column-density range of Fig. 20, lower, where $L_H$ is constant up to $N_{\text{H}_1} = 5.5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ which is a threshold criterion in the $|b| > 15^\circ$ mask. Even in that mask the standard deviation of $L_H$ is less than 20%. We also note again that this column density is below that for which significant H$_2$ is seen in the diffuse ISM (Gillmon et al. 2006; Wakker 2006; Rachford et al. 2002, 2009). Furthermore, there is unlikely to be local attenuation of the ISRF at such low column densities$^{13}$.

Short of a conspiracy among the several factors affecting $L_H$, this suggests that each of the factors is fairly uniform in the diffuse atomic high-latitude ISM, up to column densities of at least $5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$.

There is a relatively flat trend of $L_H$ with respect to $T_{\text{obs}}$ in Fig. 19, lower, which is for moderate column densities $1 \times 10^{20} < N_{\text{H}_1} < 2.5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. This uniformity is in contrast to that for $\sigma_{e 353}$ in the same figure which is anticorrelated with $T_{\text{obs}}$.

$^{13}$ Because the ISRF illumination is not just from along our line of sight, it is difficult to quantify the attenuation just from the observed column density. The total line of sight extinction is $A_V = 0.053 N_{\text{H}_1} / (10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$) in largely atomic regions (see discussion and references in Martin et al. 2012), and so roughly half of this amount to the centre of a structure.
Table 4. Summary of the mean and standard deviation of the dust opacity and dust specific luminosity for different masks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mask</th>
<th>$\langle \sigma_{\tau,353} \rangle$ [cm$^2$ H$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\sigma(\sigma_{\tau,353})$ [cm$^2$ H$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\langle L_{\text{H}} \rangle$ [W H$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\sigma(L_{\text{H}})$ [W H$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole sky</td>
<td>$8.4 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>$0.9 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G56</td>
<td>$7.1 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$1.9 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>$0.6 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>\theta</td>
<td>&gt; 15^\circ$</td>
<td>$7.0 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G45</td>
<td>$6.8 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>$0.6 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G35</td>
<td>$6.5 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>$0.6 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South cap</td>
<td>$6.5 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$1.9 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>$0.5 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low $N_{\text{HI}}$</td>
<td>$6.6 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>$0.6 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest 1%</td>
<td>$7.9 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$1.9 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$3.8 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>$0.7 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All quantities were computed using maps at 30' resolution. The map of $N_{\text{HI}}$ is a combination of H1 (21 cm LAB data) and CO (Planck) assuming $X_{\text{CO}} = 2 \times 10^{20} \text{H}_2 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ km}^{-1} \text{ s}$ following Bolatto et al. (2013). This estimate of $N_{\text{HI}}$ is a lower limit as it does not account for the ionized gas and the molecular gas not detected via CO. See Table 3 for the definitions of each mask.

Fig. 19. Variation of $\sigma_{\tau,353}$ (upper) and $L_{\text{H}}$ (lower) as a function of $T_{\text{obs}}$ in the diffuse ISM. Data used were smoothed to 30', the angular resolution of the H1 data. Only pixels where $1 \times 10^{20} < N_{\text{HI}} < 2.5 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ were selected. The greyscale shows the point density in the two-dimensional histogram while the blue points indicate the average and standard deviation of $L_{\text{H}}$ and $\sigma_{\tau,353}$ in bins of $T_{\text{obs}}$.

The all-sky map also shows that $L_{\text{H}}$ is not constant, thus strong evidence against using $R$ everywhere as a tracer of column density. For example, the increase of $L_{\text{H}}$ in the inner Galaxy instead implies an increase of the radiation field strength there, by a factor about three compared to the local ISM. The all-sky $L_{\text{H}}$ map also suggests that the ISRF is generally weaker in the outer Galaxy, as expected. Note also that an increase (decrease) in $L_{\text{H}}$ can also be the result of an increase (decrease) in the dust-to-gas ratio accompanying a higher (lower) metallicity.

5.2.2. Intermediate to low latitudes

The all-sky map also shows that $L_{\text{H}}$ is not constant, thus strong evidence against using $R$ everywhere as a tracer of column density. For example, the increase of $L_{\text{H}}$ in the inner Galaxy instead implies an increase of the radiation field strength there, by a factor about three compared to the local ISM. The all-sky $L_{\text{H}}$ map also suggests that the ISRF is generally weaker in the outer Galaxy, as expected. Note also that an increase (decrease) in $L_{\text{H}}$ can also be the result of an increase (decrease) in the dust-to-gas ratio accompanying a higher (lower) metallicity.

14 This result was shown by Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011) to be robust against $\beta - T$ anticorrelation effects. These authors reported even stronger variations of $T_{\text{obs}}$ at constant $L_{\text{H}}$ using a fit with a fixed $\beta_{\text{obs}}$.

15 Note that pixels with strong IVC were excluded from the low $N_{\text{HI}}$ mask used to establish the zero points of the intensity maps.
5.3. Dust opacity from the diffuse ISM to molecular clouds

The maps of $\sigma_{e353}$ in the upper panels of Figs. 17 and 18 reveal variations of the opacity over the sky, variations that are spatially coherent. In the polar plots, the anticorrelation of $\sigma_{e353}$ with $T_{\text{obs}}$ is apparent, the same as summarized in Fig. 19 (upper). Because of the $\beta_{\text{obs}} = T_{\text{obs}}$ anticorrelation discussed in Sect. 4.2, also seen clearly in the polar maps, there is a correlation of $\sigma_{e353}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ as well. Thus at high latitude $\tau_{353}$ is not a reliable measure of $N_{\text{H}}$.

The general increase of $\sigma_{e353}$ by almost a factor of three toward higher column density can be followed on the all-sky map down to intermediate latitudes, to known molecular clouds (e.g., Taurus, Orion, ρ Ophiuchi). These tend to have lower $T_{\text{obs}}$ and higher $\beta_{\text{obs}}$.

Fig. 20, upper, shows the dependence of $\sigma_{e353}$ on $N_{\text{H}}$. There is a small range at low $N_{\text{H}}$ over which $\sigma_{e353}$ is at a minimum and roughly constant. But as shown in Fig. 21, where the slope of a fit of $\tau_{353}$ vs. $N_{\text{H}}$ over the same range of $N_{\text{H}}$ corresponds to the same $\sigma_{e353}$, the non-linear increase of $\tau_{353}$ (and $\sigma_{e353}$) with $N_{\text{H}}$ sets in at a rather low column density. This in a range where as discussed above $L_{H}$ is constant and there is no significant molecular hydrogen or H I self-absorption. Thus the increase of $\sigma_{e353}$ is real and not a reflex of unaccounted dark gas. Again, this compromises $\tau_{353}$ as a measure of $N_{\text{H}}$ in the diffuse ISM.

The opacity continues to increase over the range $3 \times 10^{20} < N_{\text{H}} < 1 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ reaching a plateau thereafter, with a dependency on the choice of $X_{\text{CO}}$ since the gas is predominantly molecular there. The choice of $X_{\text{CO}} = 2.0 \times 10^{20}$ H$_2$ cm$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$ km$^{-1}$ s recommended by Bolatto et al. (2013), results in a flat plateau at about twice the value in the diffuse ISM (dotted line).

5.4. Dust at the lowest column densities

At the lowest column densities ($N_{\text{H}} < 1 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$) we note an increase of $\sigma_{e353}$ and $L_{H}$ (Fig. 20). This effect is also seen

---

16 The Magellanic Clouds have an opacity almost five times that in the diffuse ISM, despite the low metallicity. But $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ is unusually low, pointing to a mixture of conditions within the beam and so an SED that is unlikely characterized by a single temperature. $T_{\text{obs}}$ is relatively high and dust in ionized gas could be contributing.
directly in the correlation of $I_r$ vs. $N_{HI}$ in Fig. 5 where all 857 and 3000 GHz data points fall above the correlation for $N_{HI} < 1.0 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. It is also the case at 545 and 353 GHz and it thus propagates into the map of $\tau_{353}$ and $R$. We checked that this effect is independent of the removal of the zodiacal emission. It is also present in $E(B-V)/N_{HI}$ using the $E(B-V)$ map of Schlegel et al. (1998) which is based on DIRBE.

Using correlation studies, Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011) showed that H1 is a reliable tracer of dust up to at least $N_{HI} = 2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$, or as discussed in Sect. 5.2.1 $R$ is a good tracer of $N_{HI}$ to somewhat higher column densities. This suggests that the excess opacity at the lowest $N_{HI}$, seen here in this pixel by pixel analysis is the signature of dust associated with the warm ionized medium (WIM), i.e., interstellar dust that is mixed with ionized hydrogen, $H_+$, that is not traced by HI emission.

Assuming that dust in the WIM has a similar $L_H$ as in the H1, the WIM gas column density needed to explain the rise at low $N_{HI}$ is $N_{HI} \sim 3 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$. However, a constant value of $N_{HI}$, cannot explain the shape of the rise of $L_H$. The rise is more compatible with $N_{HI} + N_{HI} \sim 1.1 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ suggestive of an increase of the ionization fraction of WNM toward lower $N_{HI}$. The apparent extra dust emission seen here would then come from diffuse regions where $HI$ is partly ionized.

### 5.5. Discussion

The comparison of dust emission and gas column density reveals an increase of dust opacity of a factor about two from the diffuse ISM to molecular clouds (Fig. 20, upper). In the translucent transition region ($3 \times 10^{20} < N_{HI} < 2 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$) H2 might start rising in importance before CO and self-absorption could begin to affect the 21 cm line emission. These effects are difficult to quantify from the present data but they cannot be responsible for the systematic difference in $\sigma_{e,353}$ observed between the H1 dominated and CO dominated regimes. The presence of “dark gas,” whether from H2 not traced by CO or from 21 cm self-absorption, would simply flatten the rising profile of $\sigma_{e,353}$ vs. $N_{HI}$, reaching the plateau somewhat later. The fact that at $N_{HI} \sim 6 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, a column density where CO is thought to be a reliable tracer of $N_{HI}$, HI dip to the diffuse ISM value while $\sigma_{e,353}$ remains at the plateau value (Fig. 20) is also consistent with an increased dust opacity in denser regions.

As discussed further in Sect. 6.2, this increase of $\sigma_{e,353}$ in denser regions is also seen when $N_{HI}$ is estimated using near-infrared colour excess or star counts (Arce & Goodman 1999; Cambrésy et al. 2001; Stepinik et al. 2003; Planck Collaboration XXV 2011; Martin et al. 2012; Roy et al. 2013). It is generally accompanied by a decrease of $T_{\text{obs}}$, which is quite challenging to explain just with radiative transfer effects (Ysard et al. 2012). When the gas has become dense, an increased $\sigma_{e,353}$ might be attributed to an increase of dust emissivity related to dust aggregation/coagulation (Ossenkopf & Henning 1994; Ormel et al. 2011; Köhler et al. 2012). However, we have seen opacity changes in the diffuse high-latitude ISM as well that need alternative interpretation if the evolution is in situ (Sect. 5.2.1).

Because $R$ is less affected by the CIBA and because of its correlation with $N_{HI}$ over a larger range in column density (see $L_H$ in Fig. 20, lower), we conclude that $R$ is preferred over $\tau_{353}$ as a tracer of column density in the high-latitude diffuse ISM, at least for $N_{HI} < 5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. However, this preference does not hold in molecular clouds and star forming regions where $R$ traces not only the column density but also variations of the radiation field strength due to attenuation and/or local sources of heating photons. In such regions, $\tau_{353}$ is the preferred tracer of column density, to the extent that $\sigma_{e,353}$ is constant there. This is supported empirically by the good correlation with the colour excess $E(J-K)$ discussed below in Sect. 6.2. However, finding the absolute, rather than relative, column density depends on proper calibration of the opacity $\sigma_{e,353}$, which appears to vary with column density and be larger in these regions. Furthermore, caution is advised because the opacity changes from diffuse to dense regions, which might occur over the range of column densities encountered in the region being analysed.

### 6. Dust emission in relation to extinction

A quantity often used to estimate interstellar column density is visible or near-infrared extinction measured along lines of sight to point sources: stars, globular clusters, galaxies, or quasars. It has been established long ago that there exists a correlation between gas and dust column densities, in particular through the comparison of 21 cm emission and visible extinction (e.g., Lilley 1955). The linear relationship between $N_{HI}$ and $E(B-V)$ was established in the 1970s (Savage & Jenkins 1972; Knapp & Kerr 1974; Ryter et al. 1975; Bohlin et al. 1978). Knapp & Kerr (1974) advocated using 21 cm observations of $N_{HI}$ as a proxy for extinction and this correlation, especially as calibrated in the diffuse ISM using measurements on extragalactic objects, has been key to correct extragalactic observations for Galactic reddening.

As discussed further in Sect. 6.2, this increase of $\sigma_{e,353}$ in denser regions is also seen when $N_{HI}$ is estimated using near-infrared colour excess or star counts (Arce & Goodman 1999; Cambrésy et al. 2001; Stepinik et al. 2003; Planck Collaboration XXV 2011; Martin et al. 2012; Roy et al. 2013). It is generally accompanied by a decrease of $T_{\text{obs}}$, which is quite challenging to explain just with radiative transfer effects (Ysard et al. 2012). When the gas has become dense, an increased $\sigma_{e,353}$ might be attributed to an increase of dust emissivity related to dust aggregation/coagulation (Ossenkopf & Henning 1994; Ormel et al. 2011; Köhler et al. 2012). However, we have seen opacity changes in the diffuse high-latitude ISM as well that need alternative interpretation if the evolution is in situ (Sect. 5.2.1).

We have seen in Sect. 5 how the amount of dust emission is, not surprisingly, also correlated with $N_{HI}$. However, because dust is the agent in both extinction and emission, we make a direct comparison of these observables rather than using $N_{HI}$ as an intermediary. An important example of this direct approach is the proposal by Schlegel et al. (1998) to use dust optical depth obtained from FIR emission (IRAS and DIRBE), rather than $H_1$, to estimate reddening ($E(B-V)_{\text{SFD}}$), through a correlation calibrated on reddening measurements of galaxies. Such an approach is pursued in Sect. 6.1. For higher column density lines of sight, we compared dust emission to colour excess measurements based on 2MASS stellar photometry.21
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17 This result seems compatible with Lagache et al. (1999, 2000) who showed that dust in the WIM has similar emissivity to dust in the WNM but a slightly higher temperature. These authors also concluded that about 25% of the dust emission in the diffuse ISM is associated with the WIM, uncorrelated with $H_1$, a value which corresponds well with what is seen here for $N_{HI} < 1.2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$.

18 With the increase of gas density, smaller grains stick on the surface of bigger ones, modifying their structure to a more open one, resulting in an increase of emissivity. Being more emissive, the grains cool more efficiently and are therefore colder.
Fig. 22. $E(B-V)$ measured with quasars in the diffuse ISM at high Galactic latitude as a function of $R$ (upper) and $\tau_{353}$ (lower). Each point is the average of $E(B-V)$ values in a bin of $\tau_{353}$ or $R$. The bin size varies for each bin such that there is always the same number of samples per bin ($N = 1000$). The error bar is the standard deviation of $E(B-V)$ values in the bin, divided by $\sqrt{N}$. The solid line is the linear regression fit to the whole sample: $E(B-V)/R = (5.40 \pm 0.09) \times 10^3$ and $E(B-V)/\tau_{353} = (1.49 \pm 0.03) \times 10^3$. In each case, the intercept is small (-0.0040 for $R$ and 0.0048 for $\tau_{353}$), in accordance with the fact that the zero levels of $E(B-V)$ (i.e., the intrinsic colours) and of the Planck and IRIS data were estimated using a correlation with $N_{HI}$.

6.1. Correlation with $E(B-V)$ from quasars

Here, based on the Planck dust emission, we develop a map of $E(B-V)$ applicable to the diffuse ISM at high Galactic latitude. In the years since the work of Schlegel et al. (1998), many models have been put forward self-consistently describing dust emission and extinction (e.g., Draine & Li 2007; Compiègne et al. 2011) and they could be used to convert emission to extinction. However, to be independent of any assumption about dust properties, we decided to remain with an empirical approach. We estimate the conversion factor to $E(B-V)$ using measurements of extinction of extragalactic objects rather than stars to avoid potential biases due to background dust emission.

In particular we estimated $E(B-V)$ using Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) measurements of quasars. We used the final edition of the SDSS-II quasar catalogue (Schneider et al. 2010) based on the seventh SDSS data release (Abazajian et al. 2009). The catalogue contains 105783 objects spread over 8400 deg$^2$ mostly on the northern Galactic hemisphere. For each quasar, the observed magnitudes in bands $u$, $g$, $r$, $i$, and $z$ are given together with their uncertainties. All objects in this catalogue have highly reliable redshift estimates. We limited the sample to a subset of 53399 quasars at redshifts for which Ly$\alpha$ does not enter the SDSS filters. One benefit compared to the work of Schlegel et al. (1998) is the much larger number of objects. Another is that many studies based on SDSS data have shown that the shape of the extinction curve in the diffuse ISM is compatible with that for stars from Fitzpatrick (1999) with $R_V = 3.1$ (Jones et al. 2011; Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011; Mörtsell 2013), so that we can take advantage of all of the multi-colour measurements. The details of how we estimate $E(B-V)$ for each quasar are given in Appendix E.

The correlations of $E(B-V)$ with Planck $R$ and $\tau_{353}$, from which point sources have been removed (Sect. 3.4), are shown in Fig. 22.22 Each is strongly correlated: $E(B-V)/R = (5.40 \pm 0.09) \times 10^3$ and $E(B-V)/\tau_{353} = (1.49 \pm 0.03) \times 10^3$. The fractional uncertainty of the slope of the correlation with $R$ is about 20% lower than that for $\tau_{353}$. This is not unexpected, both from our discussion of Fig. 13 and, in Sect. 5.2.1, of the factors that influence $\tau_{353}$ but not $R$. This leads us to prefer the solution based on $\tau_{353}$, $E(B-V)/\tau_{353}$, for the low column density regions of the sky (see also Sect. 5.5).

The product of $E(B-V)/R$ and the diffuse ISM estimates of $L_{HI}$ gives the ratio $E(B-V)/N_{HI} = (1.42 - 1.46) \times 10^{-22}$ mag cm$^2$, a factor just 0.82–0.85 lower than that measured using background stars for lines of sight with considerably larger $E(B-V)$ (Bohlin et al. 1978; Rachford et al. 2009). Given all the potential for differences, this agreement is remarkable. On the other hand, the same ratio found using $E(B-V)/\tau_{353}$ and the low value of $\sigma_{353}$ in Figs. 20, upper, and 21 and in Table 4 results in a factor 0.55–0.60 lower. Using the $E(B-V)$ map derived from dust emission $\tau_{300}$ by Schlegel et al. (1998), Liszt (2014) also found a lower ratio, by a factor 0.7, for lines of sight with $E(B-V) < 0.1$.

6.2. Comparing to $E(J-K_s)$ from star colours in molecular clouds

We have investigated how to estimate $E(B-V)$ for nearby molecular clouds from Planck dust emission. A point of comparison for such regions is mapping of colour excesses $E(J-H)$ and $E(H-K_s)$, or their sum $E(J-K_s)$, based on stellar colours (e.g., Goodman et al. 2009). Here we use maps produced with the AVMAP technique and based on colour excesses from the

---

19 Using higher resolution Herschel data to probe opacity to high column densities, Roy et al. (2013) found evidence for a non-linear increase of $\tau_{353}$ with $N_{HI}$.

20 Key information on dust is derived from this relationship, for example that dust contains only 1% of the mass of the ISM, and this relationship remains an important constraint for dust models (Draine & Li 2007; Compiègne et al. 2011).

21 The Two Micron All Sky Survey (Skrutskie et al. 2006) is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation.

22 Although not an explicit selection criterion, the range of $\tau_{353}$ sampled by the selected quasars corresponds the conditions in the low $N_{HI}$ mask, Fig. 3, whose NDF for $\tau_{353}$ is shown in Fig. 6. The positions of the bins along the x-axes in Fig. 22 reflect this NDF.
2MASS data base (Schneider et al. 2011). Similar maps can be obtained with the NICER and NICEST techniques (e.g., Lombardi et al. 2011). The effective angular resolution of the 2MASS extinction maps used here is a few minutes of arc (see, e.g., Roy et al. 2013), close to that of Planck.

Although the optical extinction is rarely directly measured in the same high column density regions (Martin et al. 2012; Roy et al. 2013), these near-infrared colour excesses are usually expressed as $E(B-V)_{\text{2MASS}}$ after conversion using an assumed shape of the extinction curve, that for stars with $R_e = 3.1$. This conversion might be inappropriate, and even variable across a field, because of dust evolution affecting all of the colour excess ratios for a given column of dust. Nevertheless, it is still very interesting to compare the spatial details of the dust column density revealed by dust extinction and by dust emission, because each is affected by different systematic effects.

For the Taurus and $\rho$ Ophiuchi molecular clouds, Figs. 23 and 24 present a comparison of four different estimates of $E(B-V)$: $E(B-V)_{\text{2MASS}}$, $E(B-V)_{\text{353}}$, $E(B-V)_{\text{SFD}}$, and $E(B-V)_{\mathcal{R}}$. The Pearson correlation coefficients of $E(B-V)_{\text{2MASS}}$ with the three other maps are given in Table 5. The correlation of $E(B-V)_{\text{353}}$ map with $E(B-V)_{\text{2MASS}}$ is excellent (Pearson coefficient of 0.86 for Taurus and 0.95 for $\rho$ Ophiuchi); this agreement is remarkable given that very different methods and data sets were used to build these two maps. However, notice how the brightest filamentary structures appear with more contrast in $E(B-V)_{\text{353}}$ than in $E(B-V)_{\text{2MASS}}$, a point to which we shall return.

The Schlegel et al. (1998) map $E(B-V)_{\text{SFD}}$ was also produced from a dust optical depth map (at 3000 GHz in that case; see Sect. 7.3 for details) and so the scale is similar. However, the correlation coefficient with $E(B-V)_{\text{2MASS}}$ is lower and it is clear that a lot of spatial detail is absent. This arises because they estimated $T_{\text{obs}}$ using the lower resolution DIRBE data, thus missing the dust temperature decrease at small scales that accompanies the increase of column density in molecular clouds, and so their map of optical depth which underlies $E(B-V)_{\text{SFD}}$ has lower contrast as well as lower resolution. Being able to follow the small-scale variations of $T_{\text{obs}}$ appears to be essential to gauge properly the full structural details of the molecular clouds. This was revealed by previous studies (Cambresy et al. 2001; Stepnik et al. 2003; Planck Collaboration XXV 2011), in particular using higher resolution Herschel data (Battersby et al. 2011; Roy et al. 2013), and is now confirmed and reinforced by our Planck analysis.

Although a lot of spatial detail is faithfully reproduced in $E(B-V)_{\mathcal{R}}$, thanks to the Planck resolution, the correlation with
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**Figure 23.** Estimates of $E(B-V)$ in the Taurus molecular cloud. Clockwise from upper left: from 2MASS data, from $\tau_{\text{353}}$, from Schlegel et al. (1998), and from $\mathcal{R}$. 
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E(\(B-V\))_{\text{2MASS}} is less good. Furthermore, the scale is off. In these denser regions of the ISM, the radiation field strength, and hence \(R\), varies locally due to attenuation and/or local production of photons. The first effect (attenuation) is apparent in Taurus where the densest and brightest filamentary structures appear with less contrast in E(\(B-V\))_{\(R\)} than in E(\(B-V\))_{\text{2MASS}}, and these regions are, consistently, also colder. The case of \(\rho\) Ophiuchi is different; because of active star formation, and thus local sources of heating photons, it is a photon-dominated region. The spatial structure of \(R\) is therefore visually different than that of \(\tau_{353}\) or E(\(B-V\))_{\text{2MASS}}, due to the spatial variation of the radiation field.

Opposite to what was found in the diffuse ISM, \(\tau_{353}\) appears preferable to \(R\) as a tracer of column density, in this case E(\(B-V\)). But as discussed next it is a complex situation warranting caution. A high correlation coefficient is an important criterion, but the scale and dynamic range are also important.

These effects can be appreciated by the quantification in Fig. 25 where the ratios E(\(B-V\))_{\(R\)}/E(\(B-V\))_{\text{2MASS}} and E(\(B-V\))_{\(R\)}/E(\(B-V\))_{\text{2MASS}} are plotted as a function of \(T_{\text{obs}}\).

In Taurus, E(\(B-V\))_{\(R\)} agrees with E(\(B-V\))_{\text{2MASS}} over most of the map, although it is systematically high by typically 25%. Arce & Goodman (1999) reported a similar result in their study of E(\(B-V\))_{\text{SFD}} in Taurus. The exception here is in the coldest parts of the cloud where E(\(B-V\))_{\(R\)}/E(\(B-V\))_{\text{2MASS}} increases even more. The systematic departure and spatial variations of E(\(B-V\))_{\(R\)}/E(\(B-V\))_{\text{2MASS}} appear to be the result of an increase in \(\sigma_{\text{E(353)}}\) even in the relative diffuse parts of the map and even more in the coldest (densest) regions. The opacity changes at higher column densities are argued to be related to dust evolution (Planck Collaboration XXV 2011), and unless independently characterized these opacity changes compromise the interpretation of E(\(B-V\))_{\(R\)} as a quantitative measure of dust column density. This is a general concern for all column densities derived from FIR and submillimetre optical depth.

In Taurus, E(\(B-V\))_{\(R\)} also agrees with E(\(B-V\))_{\text{2MASS}} over large parts of the map, although it is slightly low systematically (Fig. 25). In the densest regions, which are cold because of attenuation of the ISRF, \(L_H\) is depressed even further and E(\(B-V\))_{\(R\)}/E(\(B-V\))_{\text{2MASS}} decreases. This greatly reduces the contrast across the map of E(\(B-V\))_{\(R\)}.

In \(\rho\) Ophiuchi, there is a similar scale difference in the typical E(\(B-V\))_{\(R\)}/E(\(B-V\))_{\text{2MASS}} and an upturn toward lower \(T_{\text{obs}}\). Unlike in Taurus, \(L_H\) is not generally depressed. There is a correlation of E(\(B-V\))_{\(R\)}/E(\(B-V\))_{\text{2MASS}} with \(T_{\text{obs}}\) as expected if \(T_{\text{obs}}\) reflects changes in the strength of radiation. Without an
independent measure of changes in the ISRF, $\mathcal{R}$ is not a reliable quantitative tracer of the dust column density.

### 6.3. Discussion

As in the comparison of column density measures from dust emission with gas column density, the comparison with dust extinction leads us to the conclusion that $\mathcal{R}$ is a slightly better tracer of $E(B-V)$ for diffuse low column density lines of sight. This tracer, of particular interest for extragalactic studies, is the product that we call $E(B-V)_\text{gal}$ in the Planck Legacy Archive (PLA; Appendix F). In addition to its usefulness in estimating Galactic $E(B-V)$ for extragalactic studies, it can also be used to study the structure of the diffuse ISM in regions where the CIBA is dominating the fluctuations at small scales. We stress that $E(B-V)_\text{gal}$ should not be used for $E(B-V) > 0.3$, where attenuation effects on $\mathcal{R}$ become important. In particular, this counter-indication applies in molecular clouds and star forming regions where $\mathcal{R}$ traces not only the dust column density but also changes in the ISRF arising from attenuation and/or local sources of radiation. In line with the discussion in Sect. 5.5, in such regions $E(B-V)_\text{gal}$ should not be used.

However, in such regions $T_{353}$ is well correlated with $E(B-V)_{\text{2MASS}}$, suggesting an alternative tracer. Again there is an issue with absolute amount, as in the conversion of $T_{353}$ to $N_{\text{H}i}$, relating to changes in $\sigma_e^{353}$. Adopting the scaling factor estimated using the correlation with quasars for more diffuse lines of sight $(E(B-V)/T_{353} = 1.49 \times 10^4$ – see Fig. 22) along with the $T_{353}$ maps could systematically overestimate $E(B-V)$, and this could be exacerbated in the most dense regions where $\sigma_e^{353}$ increases further.
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**Table 5.** Pearson correlation coefficient with the $E(B-V)$ map obtained with colour excess using 2MASS data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cloud</th>
<th>$E(B-V)_{353}$</th>
<th>$E(B-V)_R$</th>
<th>$E(B-V)_{\text{SFD}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taurus</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ Ophiuchi</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### 7. Planck dust products and comparisons with forerunners

#### 7.1. Description of products

As described more fully in Appendix F, the following maps are available in the PLA: the three MBB parameters $T_{\text{obs}}, \beta_{\text{obs}},$ and $E(B-V)_{\text{gal}}$, together with the associated uncertainty maps. The map of dust integrated intensity, $\mathcal{R}$, can be obtained readily from the three MBB parameter maps using the analytical expression of Eq. 10.

$E(B-V)_{\text{gal}}$, a scaled version of $\mathcal{R}$, was obtained from MBB parameters of a fit to data from which point sources have been removed (see Sect. 3.4 and Appendix D). The map of this $\mathcal{R}$ can therefore be obtained by dividing the $E(B-V)_{\text{gal}}$ map by the conversion factor from Sect. 6.1 (Fig. 22, upper).

The main limitations on the *Planck* dust products from the data themselves are related to the IRAS data. There is some residual striping in the 3000 GHz IRAS data that propagates mainly into the map of $T_{\text{obs}}$. There is also about 4% of the sky that was not observed by IRAS (Beichman et al. 1988). This area was filled with DIRBE data (Miville-Deschênes & Lagache 2005). Finally, we stress that the dust model is based on data that unavoidably include the CIBA.

#### 7.2. Modelling dust emission: comparison of Planck with Finkbeiner et al. (1999)

One of the important applications of these parameter maps will be to combine them using Eq. 6 to model the SED of the dust emission in the submillimetre range.

A benchmark for comparison is the parametric SED model developed by Finkbeiner et al. (1999). Motivated by data from the FIRAS experiment, they modelled the dust emission as the sum of two MBBs. Each MBB component is in principle characterized by three parameters. However, by adopting the view that some parameters (or related ratios) are global and by using the constraints provided by thermal coupling to the same radiation field at a given sky position (like Eqs. 10 and 11) so that the two temperatures are coupled, their model is simplified to only two degrees of freedom instead of six. Thus over the whole sky, fitting IRAS and lower resolution DIRBE data, the model can be fit by a map of two parameters corresponding to the two degrees of freedom: the total dust optical depth at 100 μm and an emission temperature map.
at a resolution of several degrees (it is almost constant at high Galactic latitude).

The dust model can be improved significantly by exploiting the Planck data. The exploration of the parametrization of the dust SED done previously at 7′ resolution with FIRAS data can be done at 5′ with much better sensitivity. With the recalibration of the Planck 545 and 857 GHz data we have shown that a single MBB from 353 to 3000 GHz is a good representation of the dust SED over the 353–3000 GHz frequency range, well within the relatively large calibration uncertainties of the data (about 10% at 545, 857, and 3000 GHz) (Sect. 3.3, Fig. 11). Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014) reached the same conclusion. Even though the Planck dust model assumes that the dust emission can be modelled by a single MBB from 353 to 3000 GHz, it has one extra degree of freedom compared to Finkbeiner et al. (1999) because \( \beta \) is not fixed. The Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014) showed that the global ratio of the two maps is compatible with what we see in our map of Planck dust emission at 353 GHz from Finkbeiner et al. (1999) model while the inner Galaxy is too bright. Because the Planck dust model is a particularly tight representation of the Planck 353 GHz data (Fig. 11), the same discrepancies are seen by comparing the Finkbeiner et al. (1999) model, integrated in the Planck bandpass, directly with the 353 GHz Planck data.

The Planck dust model produces an accurate 353 GHz map almost free of instrumental noise (but recall that the model includes the effects of the CIBA). That model map along with \( T_{\text{obs}} \) can be the basis for extrapolation to lower frequencies, assuming that the appropriate \( \beta_{\text{obs,mm}} \) can be identified.

### 7.2.1. Frequency range of application

We recall that our fit was done using data from 353 to 3000 GHz. Extrapolating the model outside this range is not recommended. At higher frequencies the dust emission is known to be in excess with respect to the big grain MBB, due to the emission from smaller, stochastically heated, grains (see, e.g., Draine & Li 2007; Compiègne et al. 2011).

At frequencies below 353 GHz the dust SED seems to be flatter than that found for the frequency range here, i.e., from the tests that we have made, extrapolation of the dust model underpredicts the unmodelled Planck dust emission at lower frequencies. This is in accord with the results of Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014) for the south Galactic pole area where \( \beta_{\text{obs,mm}} = 1.54 \pm 0.03 \) between 100 and 353 GHz and \( \beta_{\text{obs,FIR}} = 1.65 \pm 0.10 \) at higher frequencies. The spectral index of dust between 100 and 353 GHz estimated over the whole sky using the Commander-Ruler code (Planck Collaboration XII 2014) has a mean value of 1.49, thus also significantly flatter, and interesting variations (their Fig. 16) that are similar though not identical to what we see in our map of \( \beta_{\text{obs}} \) (Fig. 9, lower). While further discussion is beyond the scope of this paper, it is clear that extrapolating the current model to frequencies lower than 353 GHz needs to be approached with caution.

### 7.3. Extinction: comparison of Planck with Schlegel et al. (1998)

One of the expected uses of the Planck dust products presented here is to estimate reddening for extragalactic studies. Here we evaluate how the Planck \( E(B-V)_{\text{Planck}} \) map compares with the widely-used \( E(B-V)_{\text{SFD}} \) map from Schlegel et al. (1998).

The \( E(B-V) \) map of Schlegel et al. (1998) is proportional to their map of \( \tau_{3000} \), obtained assuming a constant \( \beta_{\text{obs}} \) and with \( T_{\text{obs}} \) estimated with low resolution, low sensitivity DIRBE data. The proportionality factor \( E(B-V)/\tau_{3000} \) was estimated by correlating \( \tau_{3000} \) with colour excess measurements on 389 galaxies, assuming \( R_V = 3.1 \) and the extinction curve from Cardelli et al. (1989) and O’Donnell (1994). This factor \( E(B-V)/\tau_{3000} \) has been checked by different probes of extinction and for much...
larger samples. These studies all used SDSS data and showed that, globally, for $E(B - V) < 0.5$, the regime of interest for extragalactic studies, $E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}}$ is precise to 15 %, though not a fully consistent picture. Using reddening of quasars, Mörtsell (2013) concluded that $E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}}$ underestimates $E(B - V)$ by 20 % at low $E(B - V)$ values. Using reddening measurements of elliptical galaxies Peek & Graves (2010) found local variations of $E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}}/(E(B - V)_{\text{ellipitical}}$ but no systematic bias of the $E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}}$ map. Using reddening of stars, Schlafly et al. (2010) and Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) concluded that $E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}}$ overestimates $E(B - V)$ by 14 % but with spatial variations of the normalization of the order of 10 % which might be attributed to biases in the dust temperature map of Schlegel et al. (1998). Jones et al. (2011) used SDSS colours of M dwarfs to estimate the Galactic extinction properties. In their comparison to Schlegel et al. (1998) they often find $E(B - V)$ values lower than $E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}}$ at lower Galactic latitudes but mention that this could be due to the fact that extinction toward stars does not trace the full line-of-sight dust column density, an effect that could be present in the analysis of Schlafly et al. (2010); Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011). At high Galactic latitudes Jones et al. (2011) report a number of lines of sight where $E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}}$ seems to underestimate $E(B - V)$.

Based on near-infrared data in brighter areas ($E(B - V) > 1.5$), Arce & Goodman (1999) and Cambrésy et al. (2001) found that $E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}}$ overestimates $E(B - V)$ systematically by more than 30 %. One possible interpretation is an increase of the dust emission efficiency, $\alpha_r$, relative to the dust absorption cross section, $\sigma_{\alpha}$, in the dense medium, potentially caused by changes in the grain structure, similarly to what is seen with $\tau_{555}/N_{\text{HI}}$ in Fig. 20, upper. All of these studies reveal variations of the ratio $E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}}/(E(B - V)_{\text{SDSS}}$ that depend on position on the sky, on methodology (stars, galaxies, quasars), and on the range of $E(B - V)$. There seem to be systematic trends where $E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}}$ overestimates reddening in dense regions and underestimates reddening in diffuse areas at high Galactic latitudes.

The Planck $E(B - V)_{\text{gal}}$ and $E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}}$ are compared in Fig. 27, for the low $N_{\text{HI}}$ mask (Fig. 3) corresponding to $N_{\text{HI}} < 2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ and low $1 \sigma$ emission. The comparison is done after smoothing $E(B - V)_R$ to 6:1, the resolution of $E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}}$. The correlation between the two maps is excellent with $E(B - V)_{\text{gal}} = 0.92 E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}} - 0.003$. The dispersion around the correlation is 7 %. In relative terms $E(B - V)_{\text{SFD}}$ underestimates $E(B - V)_{\text{gal}}$ by 8 % but in absolute terms the underestimate is more as there is also a negative offset of 0.003 mag.

Our result depends on our choice of using $E(B - V)$ of quasars to calibrate $R$. Given the variety of methodologies used in the previous studies that have examined the calibration of the high latitude extinction (Schlafly et al. 2010; Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011; Peek & Graves 2010; Jones et al. 2011; Mörtsell 2013), each having their own biases, the agreement is excellent.

8. Conclusion

We have presented an all-sky model of dust emission based on Planck and IRAS data at 5’ resolution, covering the frequency range from 353 to 3000 GHz. We fit the data at each pixel of the HEALPix $N_{\text{side}}$ grid assuming a modified blackbody model. The parameters were estimated using a $\chi^2$ minimization, in two steps. First the data were smoothed to 30’ and $\tau_{555}$, $T_{\text{obs}}$, and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ were estimated in a three-parameter fit. Then, using the spectral index $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ at 30’ as a fixed parameter, $\tau_{353}$ and $T_{\text{obs}}$ were estimated at 5’. We showed that this method minimizes the effect of noise on the determination of the parameters (especially on the $T_{\text{obs}} - \beta_{\text{obs}}$ degeneracy in faint parts of the sky).

Over the whole sky, the mean and standard deviation of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ are [19.7, 1.4] K and [1.62, 0.10], respectively. The uncertainties of each parameter are about 3–6 %. We showed using Monte Carlo simulations that the uncertainties are dominated by the CIBA, which are highly correlated within the Planck bands but only at a 30 % level between Planck and IRAS 3000 GHz. The CIBA is the dominant source of uncertainty after smoothing the data to 30’ due to its non-white power spectrum ($C_T \propto \Gamma^1$).

This Planck dust model reproduces the Planck data well within the noise level at all frequencies. Comparison of the Finkbeiner et al. (1999) dust model with the new data and model shows only broad agreement, with variations of the order of 30 % at all scales.

We found an increase of the dust opacity, $\tau_{555}/N_{\text{HI}}$, by a factor of two from the diffuse to the higher column density (denser) ISM. Empirically, this increase is associated with a decrease in $T_{\text{obs}}$, because grains are in equilibrium with the interstellar radiation field, we interpret this as a response to the increased dust emissivity. We also noted an excess of dust emission and opacity at H1 column densities lower than 10$^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ that might be attributed to dust in the WIM.

The combination of Planck and IRAS data allowed us to model the dust emission from the Rayleigh-Jeans regime over the peak of the SED to the Wien side and therefore to estimate the dust integrated specific intensity or radiance $R$ for each line of sight. We also presented a map of the specific dust luminosity $L_{\text{dust}}$ by normalizing $R$ with respect to H1 (Eq. 14). Given thermal equilibrium emission, this is a direct tracer of $U$, the average strength of the interstellar radiation field (weighted by dust absorption opacity) on each line of sight. This $L_{\text{dust}}$ map reveals an increase of $U$ in the inner Galaxy, in active star forming regions, and in the Magellanic Clouds. The map of $L_1$ was shown to be notably different from that of $T_{\text{obs}}$, indicating that $T_{\text{obs}}$ is not a simple tracer of $U$ as often assumed. This is especially true at high Galactic latitudes where it was found that $L_1$ is fairly uniform and $T_{\text{obs}}$ depends (inversely) on the opacity $\tau_{555}/N_{\text{HI}}$, confirming early Planck results (Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011).

This reveals that $\tau_{555}$ is not the most reliable estimator of column density in the diffuse ISM. The spatial variations of $T_{\text{obs}}$...
observed in the high-latitude sky appear to be a response to vari-
dations of the dust emission opacity resulting in grains of differ-
ent equilibrium temperature even when exposed to the same U.
The analysis at high Galactic latitude is consistent with U being
fairly uniform, so that R is a good estimator of column density
and can be used to estimate E (B−V ) there.

On the other hand, in molecular clouds we showed that vari-
ations of T obs are dominated by the effect of attenuation of the
interstellar radiation and/or local sources of heating photons.
In this type of environment, where the amplitude of the CIBA is
negligible, τ353 is a better estimator of column density than R,
but the scale depends on the adopted opacity. Compared to the
lower resolution work of Schlegel et al. (1998), the MBB anal-
ysis of Planck data in this paper provides estimates of Tobs at 5°
resolution and thus an improved higher-resolution estimate of
τ353, especially in high-contrast molecular regions where the
dust temperature and column density vary markedly at small
scales.

The Planck dust model was used to produce a map to mea-
sure Galactic dust reddening for extragalactic studies at high
Galactic latitude, E(B−V )gal. This map was based on the ra-
diance Galactic dust reddening for extragalactic studies at high
Galactic latitude, E(B−V )gal. This map was based on the ra-
diance Galactic dust reddening for extragalactic studies at high
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Fig. A.1. Dust emissivity $I_ν/N_ν$, as a function of ecliptic latitude for 3000 GHz (lower left), 857 GHz (lower right), 545 GHz (upper left) and 353 GHz (upper right). Each point gives the average and standard deviation (error bar) of all pixels in the corresponding bin in ecliptic latitude (IRIS error bars omitted for clarity). These plots were obtained using data smoothed to 1° and selecting pixels with $N_ν < 3 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$.}


Appendix A: Zodiacal emission

A.1. Zodiacal emission correction at 100μm

Zodiacal emission (ZE) is a component that is difficult to remove from the data as it is changing with sky position as well as time of observation. A detailed model has been built to correct the Planck maps for ZE (Planck Collaboration XIV 2014). For the IRAS 100μm map, as mentioned in Sect. 2.2, the IRIS and SFD maps were not corrected for ZE in the same way. The impact of the different ZE correction for these two maps can be appreciated from Fig. A.1 where the dust emissivity $I_ν/N_ν$, is plotted for the four frequencies, using data smoothed to 1° and selecting only pixels with $N_ν < 3 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$. In such a low density column regime, the correlation between dust emission and H I column density was shown to be tight (Fig. 5). Therefore, any systematic variation of $I_ν/N_ν$, especially at low angular resolution, can reveal emission from components other than H I, for example the WIM or residual ZE. To assess the latter, in Fig. A.1 the emissivity is plotted as a function of ecliptic latitude. For the Planck maps the emissivity is almost constant with ecliptic latitude, validating the Planck ZE removal. The SFD data also show a constant emissivity, the exception being the IRIS map that shows a systematic increase toward the ecliptic plane, indicative of residual ZE. This is why we implemented the procedure described in Sect. 2.2.
A.2. Impact of zodiacal emission correction on dust parameters

To evaluate the impact of the ZE on our analysis, we also compared our results on fitting parameters with those of Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014) for the same masked region that they studied, an area of 7500 deg$^2$ toward the south Galactic cap. This check is useful because there is a fundamental difference between the two analyses. Here the dust SED is modelled using the observed specific intensity for each pixel independently; results are therefore sensitive to uncertainties in the zero levels of the maps. On the other hand, Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014) correlated the dust maps with H$\text{I}$ within regions 15° in diameter; they showed that their results are insensitive to the zero level of the maps and to the ZE that is very uniform on 15° scales.

To further evaluate the impact of the ZE correction on our analysis, we have explored different data configurations and compared our results with those of Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014) in the south Galactic pole area.

Given the lower-resolution results of Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014), we used data smoothed to 60′ on an $N_{\text{side}} = 128$ grid. The comparison was done using different combinations of maps: IRIS or SFD at 100 μm (at such low resolution, SFD is equivalent to the combined IRIS+SFD map, see Sect. 2.2) and maps with and without ZE removed for Planck. To be compatible with Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014), the fit was done using a fixed $\beta_{\text{obs}} = 1.65$. The results we obtained on $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\tau_{353}/N_{\text{H}1}$ for this south Galactic cap region are compiled in Table A.1. The differences in $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\tau_{353}/N_{\text{H}1}$ between data configurations are limited, within the standard deviation observed over the region. They are especially small for $T_{\text{obs}}$ that shows variations of less than 5% between data configurations. The largest effect is from the removal of the ZE in the Planck data that reduces $\tau_{353}$ by 15%. The impact of the choice of IRIS or SFD on $\tau_{353}$ is more limited; fitting the data with SFD produces a $\tau_{353}$ about 3% higher than with IRIS. Nevertheless and even though there is a general good spatial correlation between the maps of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\tau_{353}/N_{\text{H}1}$ obtained with the two methods, care should be taken in comparing them in greater detail. Contrary to the results obtained using a fit of the observed specific intensity, the results of Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014) are not sensitive to dust emission associated with the WIM that is not spatially correlated with H$\text{I}$ and to the CIBA. In addition, Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014) showed that in the southern Galactic cap area there are H$\text{I}$ clouds at local velocities that do not have associated dust emission. These effects produce spatial variations of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\tau_{353}/N_{\text{H}1}$ computed with the two methods. Even with these caveats, there is a good agreement between the two analyses. In particular we note that the data configuration combining Planck (ZE removed) together with the SFD map at large scales (the equivalent of the 100 μm map built in Eq. 1) has $(\tau_{353}/N_{\text{H}1})$, the closest to the values found by Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014).

Appendix B: The $\chi^2$ fit

Adopting a reference frequency $\nu_0 = 353$ GHz, the parameters $\tau_{\nu_0}$, $T_{\text{obs}}$, and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ (see Eq. 6) were found at each sky position $p$ by fitting the Planck and IRAS data $I_\nu(p)$. The MPFIT $\chi^2$ minimization routine was used (Markwardt 2009). Colour corrections due to finite bandpasses (Planck and IRAS) were taken into account explicitly at each iteration. To speed up the convergence of the fit, initial estimates of the parameters were provided: $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ was initialized to 1.65, $T_{\text{obs}}$ was initialized to the colour temperature $T_{3000-857}$ obtained from the ratio of $I_{3000}/I_{857}$ and assuming $\beta_{\text{obs}} = 1.65$, and $\tau_{353}$ was initialized to $I_{353}/I_B(3000-857) \times (3000/353)^{1.65}$ for frequencies in GHz.

The fit takes into account the calibration uncertainty of the data ($c_I(L/p)$ – see Table 1), the uncertainty of the CMB removal estimated to be $c_c I_{\text{CMB}}(p)$ where $I_{\text{CMB}}$ is the SMICA map (Planck Collaboration XII 2014), the uncertainty of the offset ($\delta O_v$ – see Table 1), and the instrumental noise $n_r(p)$. For both IRAS and Planck, the instrumental noise is modulated inversely by the square root of the coverage map (number of times a sky pixel $p$ has been observed). All sources of uncertainty are added in quadrature:

$$N_r(p) = \sqrt{c_I^2 I(p)^2 + c_c^2 I_{\text{CMB}}(p)^2 + (\delta O_v)^2 + n_r(p)^2}.$$  

(B.1)

Appendix C: Impact of the CIBA on the dust parameters

This section describes the Monte Carlo simulations done to quantify the impact of instrumental noise and the CIBA on the parameters of the MBB fit. Specifically, we simulated a single SED as the sum of dust emission, the CIBA, and instrumental noise, for data smoothed to different angular resolution.

The dust emission was modelled using typical values of dust parameters and taking into account the Planck and IRAS bandpasses. The noise level used at each frequency is the median value found in the low $N_{\text{H}1}$ mask (Fig 3), properly scaled as a function of the angular resolution considered. The CIBA levels in the Planck channels follow the parametrization of Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014) ($T_{\text{CIB}} = 18.3$ K and $\beta_{\text{CIB}} = 1.0$) normalized at 857 GHz to the value given by Planck Collaboration XVIII (2011). The CIBA level at 3000 GHz is that of Pénin et al. (2012). The scaling of the CIBA level with resolution was done assuming a power spectrum $C_l \propto l^{-\epsilon}$. The noise and CIBA levels for each frequency and each resolution are given in Table C.1.

Because galaxies at different redshifts have their peak emission at different frequencies, the structure of the CIB on the sky is only partly correlated between frequencies. Looking at Planck Collaboration XVIII (2011) and Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011), who showed the residual brightness fluctuations in selected faint patches of the sky after removal of the interstellar dust emission traced by 21 cm emission, one can appreciate visually the level of spatial correlation of the CIBA between frequencies. The fluctuations are strongly correlated in the 857–353 GHz range, slightly less at 3000 GHz. The level of frequency (de)coherence of the CIBA was quantified by Planck Collaboration XXX (2014) for 150 < $\ell$ < 1000. In the high-frequency channels of Planck they report a strong correlation: 0.95 between 857 and 545 GHz, 0.91 between 857 and 353 GHz, and 0.98 between 545 and 353 GHz. It is weaker between 3000 GHz and the Planck frequencies: 0.36, 0.31, and 0.29 at 857, 545, and 353 GHz respectively. Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014) modelled the part of the CIBA correlated with the ones at 857 GHz based on the results of Planck Collaboration XXX (2014). They found that it can be well fitted by a MBB function with $T_{\text{CIB}} = 18.3$ K and $\beta_{\text{CIB}} = 1.0$. This parametrization is compatible with the one found in a similar study by Planck Collaboration XXV (2011).

To model the CIBA, $c_c$, taking into account the inter-frequency correlation, we have proceeded the following way. We made the assumption that $c_c$ is fully correlated in the Planck frequency range.
Table A.1. Data configuration exploration and comparison with result from Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>$\langle T_{\text{obs}} \rangle$ [K]</th>
<th>$\sigma(T_{\text{obs}})$ [K]</th>
<th>$\langle \tau_{353}/N_{\text{H}_1} \rangle$ [cm$^2$ H$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\sigma(\tau_{353}/N_{\text{H}_1})$ [cm$^2$ H$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planck ZE rm, IRIS</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$6.8 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planck ZE rm, SFD</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$7.0 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planck, IRIS</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planck, SFD</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$8.3 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014)</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$7.3 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The average and standard deviation of $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\tau_{353}/N_{\text{H}_1}$ were computed on the south Galactic pole area studied in Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014). Data smoothed to 60’ ($N_{\text{side}} = 128$) were used to compute the dust parameters. In this comparison a fixed $\beta_{\text{obs}} = 1.65$ was assumed in the fit.

Table C.1. Noise and CIBA levels used for the Monte Carlo simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta$ [arcmin]</th>
<th>$n$ [MJy sr$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{CIBA}}$ [MJy sr$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$n$ [MJy sr$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{CIBA}}$ [MJy sr$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$n$ [MJy sr$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{CIBA}}$ [MJy sr$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$n$ [MJy sr$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{CIBA}}$ [MJy sr$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$3.19 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.60 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$3.44 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$4.26 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$3.41 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.00 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>$6.20 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.00 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$3.63 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$9.23 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$3.91 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$5.22 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$3.86 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$5.77 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$6.93 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$5.77 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$1.74 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$6.52 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.88 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.78 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.86 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$4.08 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$3.36 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$4.08 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>$8.61 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$4.61 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$9.29 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.26 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$9.20 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.89 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.67 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$2.89 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>$4.29 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$3.26 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$4.63 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$8.89 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$4.59 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.04 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$8.32 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.04 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The levels of noise, $n$, and the CIBA, $\sigma_{\text{CIBA}}$, are given for each channel and for data smoothed to different angular resolution, $\theta$. The noise level is the median value of the noise in the low $N_{\text{H}_1}$ mask (see Fig. 3). The CIBA standard deviations at Planck frequencies are derived from the parametrization of Planck Collaboration Int. XVII (2014) ($\tau_{\text{CIBA}} = 18.3$ K and $\beta_{\text{CIBA}} = 1.0$) normalized at 857 GHz with the value given by Planck Collaboration XVIII (2011). The value at 3000 GHz is from Pénin et al. (2012). The scaling of $\sigma_{\text{CIBA}}$ from the original measurements, made at 54 by Planck Collaboration XVIII (2011) and 4 by Pénin et al. (2012), to 5’ and 30’ was done assuming a CIBA power spectrum of $C_\ell \propto \ell^{-4}$.

Appendix D: Production of maps with point sources removed

The $E(B-V)_{\text{gal}}$ product, to be used for estimating Galactic reddening for extragalactic studies, is obtained with a fit of the dust model on a version of the Planck and IRAS data from which point sources have been removed. This section describes how the removal was done. Most of the software used in this process is part of the Planck Sky Model software package (PSM). A description of the PSM and how to download it can be found in Delabrouille et al. (2013).

D.1. Making the mask containing the radio and IR sources

A source mask is made as a HEALPix map from the union of discs centred on a selected set of known FIR and radio sources. The FIR sources are compiled from the IRAS Point Source Catalog (PSC, Beichman et al. 1988) and the Faint Source Catalog (FSC, Moshir et al. 1992). Radio sources are derived from the WMAP catalog of point sources detected in the 9-year sky maps (Bennett et al. 2013), supplemented with a selection of extragalactic point sources detected by Planck at 100 GHz (ERCSC, Planck Collaboration VII 2011) that are not in the WMAP catalog. Pixels within a 15’ radius of each source are masked.

D.2. Grouping adjacent pixels for each source

While most sources are isolated, in rare cases masks around two or more sources overlap. This results in larger, non-circular holes in the mask. As a first step, we divide the mask into a set of
small connected holes (most but not all of which correspond to the masking of one single source). This is performed by the PSM routine GROUP_ADJACENT_PIXELS.

D.3. Interpolating across the source

Finally, we estimate the diffuse brightness in each of the small connected holes containing point sources using the PSM routine FILL_SMALL_GAP. The interpolation is done using a minimum curvature surface (IDL routine MIN_CURV_SURF). This step produces the final, de-sourced maps.

Appendix E: Estimating \( E(B - V) \) from colour excess measurements

This section describes how \( E(B - V) \) was estimated from the multi-colour SDSS measurements of quasars. The colour excess due to dust extinction is defined as

\[
E(X - Y) = A_X - A_Y = (m_X - m_{X0}) - (m_Y - m_{Y0}) = -2.5 \log \left( \frac{F_X}{F_{X0}} \cdot \frac{F_Y}{F_{Y0}} \right) ,
\]

where, for a given band \( i \), \( A_i \) is the extinction, \( m_i \) the observed magnitude, \( m_{i0} \) the absolute magnitude, \( F_i \) the observed flux, and \( F_{i0} \) the intrinsic flux of the source.

The quantity \( F_{i0} \) corresponds to the source flux density \( f_i \) convolved with the filter transmission \( T_i(\lambda) \)

\[
F_{i0} = \int T_i(\lambda) f_i d\lambda ,
\]

while \( F_i \) is the same quantity but affected by extinction;

\[
F_i = \int T_i(\lambda) f_i 10^{-0.4 E(B-V)} C_i d\lambda ,
\]

where \( C_i \) is the extinction curve for a given value of \( R_V \) and normalized to \( E(B - V) \):

\[
C_i = A_i / E(B - V) .
\]

In what follows, we use \( C_i \) of Fitzpatrick (1999) and assume \( R_V = 3.1 \).

Equations E.1 to E.5 relate the observed colour excess of a source in bands \( X \) and \( Y \) to its intrinsic spectrum \( f_\lambda \), to the transmission of the filters \( T_i \), and to the extinction of dust along the line of sight. As mentioned by Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005), if the intrinsic spectrum of the source and the filter transmissions are known, the normalization of the extinction curve (i.e., \( E(B - V) \)) can be estimated directly from the observed colour excess \( E(X - Y) \).

An often overlooked fundamental fact follows from this description. Because the observed magnitudes \( m_i \) are obtained with broad band filters, the measured value of \( E(X - Y) \) for \( X = B \) and \( Y = V \) will in general be different from the normalization of the extinction curve \( E(B - V) \) in Eq. E.5. The observed colour excess is indeed a convolution of the source spectrum, the filter transmission and the extinction curve, and so there are bandpass corrections. We stress that the quantity \( E(B - V) \) we are estimating here is independent of the spectrum of the background source. It is the value that is used to scale the extinction curve.

From the SDSS quasar catalogue one can deduce directly the observed colours \( (m_X - m_Y) \) but to estimate \( E(B - V) \) using the
of dust extinction. We use the H\textsc{i} column density provided in the SDSS quasar catalogue as a proxy:\textsuperscript{23}

\[(m_X - m_Y) = \psi N_{\text{H}I} + (m_{X0} - m_{Y0})\]  

(E.7)

The constant term of this linear regression is the average intrinsic colour of quasars but, as quasars are at different redshifts (up to \(z \sim 5\)), this intrinsic colour depends on \(z\). Therefore we correlated \((m_X - m_Y)\) with \(N_{\text{H}I}\) for quasars in bins of \(z\) where the width of each bin is set to have 1000 quasars per bin. Fig. E.1 shows the intrinsic colours found as a function of \(z\) for the following four colours: \((m_X - m_Y), (m_X - m_i), (m_X - m_r)\) and \((m_X - m_z)\).

In order to validate the approach we also computed the intrinsic colour as a function of \(z\) of the composite quasar spectrum:

\[(m_{X0} - m_{Y0}) = -2.5 \log \frac{F_{Y0}}{F_{X0}}\]  

(E.8)

The results for the same four colours are shown in red in Fig. E.1. The good agreement between the two methods indicates that the composite spectrum is a good average representation. It also gives confidence in its use to estimate \(E(B-V)\) using the formalism described earlier.

The correspondence between the two methods is less good at high redshift. This can be attributed to the Lyman-\(\alpha\) line that enters the shortest wavelength band. Indeed M\oe rtsell (2013) mentioned that at \(z > 2.3\), Lyman-\(\alpha\) introduces large variations in the intrinsic quasar colour computed with band \(g\). A similar effect is seen at \(z > 1.7\) for colours using the \(u\) band. We also noticed a larger dispersion in the residual \((m_X - m_Y) - \psi N_{\text{H}I})\) for bins with \(z < 0.7\). Based on this, we selected quasars in the redshift range \(0.7 < z < 1.7\). The sample contains 53 399 quasars.

For each quasar at a given redshift \(z\), we computed the colour excesses \(E(X-Y) = (m_X - m_Y) - (m_{X0} - m_{Y0})\) using the intrinsic colour estimated with the correlation with \(N_{\text{H}I}\) in bins of redshift (Eq. E.7). We then integrated numerically equations E.5 and E.4 using the composite quasar spectrum redshifted appropriately and solved for \(E(B-V)\) using Eq. E.3. Because we work with colours, the exact normalization of the quasar spectrum \(f_i\) and of the SDSS transmissions \(T_i\) cancels out in Eq. E.3. With this procedure we obtain an estimate of \(E(B-V)\) for each quasar independently for each colour excess \(E(X-Y)\).

For each quasar position we extracted the values of \(\tau_{533}\) and \(\mathcal{R}\) and then correlated globally with \(E(B-V)\) for each colour \((X-Y)\). Table E.1 gives the conversion factors \(E(B-V) / \tau_{533}\) and \(E(B-V) / \mathcal{R}\) estimated for each colour as well as the one obtained by combining all colours, weighted by their uncertainties.

\textsuperscript{23} We preferred not to use the Planck \(\tau_{533}\) or the SFD map so as not to bias the analysis.

---

**Table E.1.** Value of \(E(B-V) / \tau_{533}\) and \(E(B-V) / \mathcal{R}\) obtained by correlation using \(E(B-V)\) deduced for each quasar colour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>(E(B-V) / \tau_{533})</th>
<th>(E(B-V) / \mathcal{R})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>((g-r))</td>
<td>((5.53 \pm 0.10) \times 10^5)</td>
<td>((5.53 \pm 0.10) \times 10^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((g-i))</td>
<td>((5.35 \pm 0.10) \times 10^5)</td>
<td>((5.35 \pm 0.10) \times 10^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((u-z))</td>
<td>((5.46 \pm 0.10) \times 10^5)</td>
<td>((5.46 \pm 0.10) \times 10^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((u-i))</td>
<td>((5.28 \pm 0.09) \times 10^5)</td>
<td>((5.28 \pm 0.09) \times 10^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>((5.40 \pm 0.09) \times 10^5)</td>
<td>((5.40 \pm 0.09) \times 10^5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. E.1.** Intrinsic colour of quasars as a function of redshift for \((u-z), (u-i), (g-r)\) and \((g-i), \text{top to bottom}\). The black points give the intercept of the \((m_X - m_Y)\) vs. \(N_{\text{H}I}\) relation in each bin of redshift (see Eq. E.7). The triangles give the intrinsic colour of quasars computed with the composite spectrum (see Eq. E.8).
Given the very low value of $E(B-V)$, < 0.1, the large number of measurements is key here to beat down the noise of the SDSS data but also the variations of the quasar spectra around the template spectrum. The intercepts of the regressions of $E(B-V)$ vs. $\tau_{353}$ and $E(B-V)$ vs. $R$ are small for each colour, indicating that there is a coherence between the zero levels of the Planck and IRAS maps used to build $\tau_{353}$ and the estimate of the intrinsic colours of quasars, both based on a correlation with $N_{\text{H}1}$.

**Appendix F: Maps in the Planck Legacy Archive**

Maps of the Planck thermal dust emission model described in this paper can be obtained from the Planck Legacy Archive (PLA). The maps available give the three MBB parameters ($T_{353}$, $\beta_{\text{obs}}$, and $\beta_{\text{abs}}$) with their uncertainties ($\delta T_{353}$, $\delta T_{\text{obs}}$, and $\delta \beta_{\text{obs}}$) obtained using data from which point sources were not removed. In addition, the maps of $R$ (point sources in) and of $E(B-V)_{\text{gal}}$ are made available; the latter is $R$ obtained with data from which point sources were removed and scaled to $E(B-V)$ with the conversion factor computed using SDSS quasars.

A first release of the Planck thermal dust model was made available on the PLA in March 2013, together with other 2013 Planck products. This first model is superseded by the model presented in this paper. The first model was made using Planck data from which $Z$ was not removed, to be compatible with what was used for the cosmological analysis. The 3000 GHz map used in this first edition was the IRIS map. The second release described in this paper is based on Planck data from which $Z$ was removed and on a combination of IRIS and Schlegel et al. (1998) for the 3000 GHz map.

The impact of this change is significant only in regions of the sky of low Galactic emission and low ecliptic latitude. It affects the parameters $T_{\text{obs}}$ and $\beta_{\text{obs}}$, only slightly, at the 2% level. Considering the pixels in the low $N_{\text{H}1}$ mask, the average $T_{\text{obs}}$ went from 20.4 to 20.8 K from the first to the second version, while the average $\beta_{\text{obs}}$ went from 1.59 to 1.55. That means that the shape of the dust SED is not significantly different from one data set to the other. The main effect of the change of data set is on $T_{353}$ because the $Z$ removed data have less emission; from the first to the second version, $T_{353}$ is reduced by about 25% in the most diffuse areas of the sky, from $1.3 \times 10^{-6}$ to $9.6 \times 10^{-7}$.

A significant difference between the two releases concerns the $E(B-V)$ map. In both releases the calibration was done the same way, using SDSS quasars, but in the first release the $E(B-V)$ map was based on $\tau_{353}$ instead of $R$. Globally both $E(B-V)$ maps agree but not on small scales where the 353 GHz Planck is much more present in $\tau_{353}$ than some spectrally-averaged CIBA is in $R$. The CIBA in $\tau_{353}$ introduces an additional noise in $E(B-V)$ of the order of 0.003 magnitude. In that respect the $E(B-V)$ map of the second version is a significant improvement.
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