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ABSTRACT

The dust-H1 correlation is used to characterize the emission properties of dust in the diffuse interstellar medium (ISM) from far infrared wavelengths to microwave frequencies. The field of this investigation encompasses the part of the southern sky best suited to study the cosmic infrared and microwave backgrounds. We cross-correlate sky maps from Planck, the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP), and the diffuse infrared background experiment, at 17 frequencies from 23 to 3000 GHz, with the Parkes survey of the 21 cm line emission of neutral atomic hydrogen, over a contiguous area of 7500 deg2 centered on the southern Galactic pole. We present a general methodology to study the dust-H1 correlation over the sky, including simulations to quantify uncertainties. Our analysis yields four specific results. (1) We map the temperature, submillimeter emissivity, and opacity of the dust per H-atom. The dust temperature is observed to be anti-correlated with the dust emissivity and opacity. We interpret this result as evidence of dust evolution within the diffuse interstellar medium. (2) We map the spectral index of the dust emissivity and opacity. We interpret this result as evidence of dust evolution within the diffuse interstellar medium. (3) We present spectral fits of the microwave emission correlated with the dust-H1 from 23 to 353 GHz, which separate dust and anomalous microwave emission (AME). We show that the flattening of the dust-SED can be accounted for with an additional component with a blackbody spectrum. This additional component, which accounts for (26 ± 6)% of the dust emission at 100 GHz, could represent magnetic dipole emission. Alternatively, it could account for an increasing contribution of carbon dust, or a flattening of the emissivity of amorphous silicates, at millimetre wavelengths. This additional component makes different predictions for the dust polarization spectra. (4) We analyse the residuals of the dust-H1 correlation. We identify Galactic contributions to these residuals, which we model with variations of the dust emissivity on angular scales smaller than that of our correlation analysis. This model of the residuals is used to quantify uncertainties of the CIB power spectrum in a companion Planck paper.
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1. Introduction

Understanding interstellar dust is a major challenge in astrophysics related to physical and chemical processes in interstellar space. The composition of interstellar dust reflects the processes that contribute to breaking down and rebuilding grains over timescales much shorter than that of the injection of newly formed circumstellar or supernova dust. While there is wide consensus on this view, the composition of interstellar dust and the processes that drive its evolution are still poorly understood (Zhukovska et al. 2008; Draine 2009; Jones & Nuth 2011). Observations of dust emission are essential in constraining the nature of interstellar grains and their size distribution.

The Planck1 all-sky survey has opened a new era in dust studies by extending to submillimetre wavelengths and microwave frequencies the detailed mapping of the interstellar dust emission provided by past infrared space missions. For the first time we have the sensitivity to map the long wavelength emission of dust in the diffuse interstellar medium (ISM). Large dust grains (size >10 nm) dominate the dust mass. Far from luminous stars, the grains are cold (10–20 K) so that a significant fraction of their emission is over the Planck frequency range. Dipolar emission from small, rapidly spinning, dust particles is an additional emission component accounting for the so-called anomalous microwave emission (AME) revealed by observations of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) (e.g. Leitch et al. 1997; Banday et al. 2003; Davies et al. 2006; Ghosh et al. 2012; Planck Collaboration XX 2011). Magnetic dipole radiation from thermal fluctuations in magnetic nano-particles may also be a significant emission component over the frequency range relevant to CMB studies (Draine & Lazarian 1999; Draine & Hensley 2013), a possibility that has yet to be tested.

The separation of the dust emission from anisotropies of the cosmic infrared background (CIB) and the CMB is a difficulty for both dust and background studies. The dust-gas correlation provides a means to separate these emission components from an astrophysics perspective, complementary to mathematical component separation methods (Planck Collaboration XII 2014). At high Galactic latitudes, the dust emission is known to be correlated with the 21 cm line emission from neutral atomic hydrogen (Boulanger & Perault 1988). This correlation has been used to separate the dust emission from CIB anisotropies and characterize the emission properties of dust in the diffuse ISM using data from the cosmic background explorer (COBE, Boulanger et al. 1996; Dwek et al. 1997; Arendt et al. 1998), the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP, Lagache 2003), and Planck (Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011). The residual maps obtained after subtraction of the dust emission correlated with H I have been used successfully to study CIB anisotropies (Puget et al. 1996; Fixsen et al. 1998; Hauser et al. 1998; Planck Collaboration XVIII 2011). The correlation analysis also yields the spectral energy distribution (SED) of the dust emission normalized per unit hydrogen column density, which is an essential input to dust models, and a prerequisite for determining the dust temperature and opacity (i.e. the optical depth per hydrogen atom).

The COBE satellite provided the first data on the thermal emission from large dust grains at long wavelengths. These data were used to define the dust models of Draine & Li (2007), Compiègne et al. (2011) and Siebennorgen et al. (2014), and the analytical fit proposed by Finkbeiner et al. (1999), which has been widely used by the CMB community to extrapolate the IRAS all-sky survey to microwave frequencies. Today the Planck data allow us to characterize the dust emission at millimetre wavelengths directly from observations. A first analysis of the correlation between Planck and H I observations was presented in Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011). In that study, the IRAS 100 μm and the 857, 545, and 353 GHz Planck maps were correlated with H I observations made with the Green Bank Telescope (GBT) for a set of fields sampling a range of H I column densities. We extend this early work to microwave frequencies, and to a total sky area more than an order of magnitude higher.

The goal of this paper is to characterize the emission properties of dust in the diffuse ISM, from far infrared to microwave frequencies, for dust, CIB, and CMB studies. We achieve this by cross-correlating the Planck data with atomic hydrogen emission surveyed over the southern sky with the Parkes telescope (the Galactic All Sky Survey, hereafter GASS; McClure-Griffiths et al. 2009; Kalberla et al. 2010). We focus on the southern Galactic polar cap (b < –25°) where the dust-gas correlation is most easily characterized using H I data because the fraction of the sky with significant H2 column density is low (Gillmon et al. 2006). This is also the cleanest part of the southern sky for CIB and CMB studies.

The paper is organized as follows. We start by presenting the Planck and the ancillary data from the COBE diffuse infrared background experiment (DIRBE) and WMAP that we are correlating with the H I GASS survey (Sect. 2). The methodology we follow to quantify the dust-gas correlation is described in Sect. 3. We use the results from the correlation analysis to characterize the variations of the dust emission properties across the southern Galactic polar cap in Sect. 4 and determine the spectral index of the thermal dust emission from submm to millimetre wavelengths in Sect. 5. In Sect. 6, we present the mean SED of dust from far infrared to millimetre wavelengths, and a comparison with models of the thermal dust emission. Section 7 focuses on the SED of the H I correlated emission at microwave frequencies, which we quantify and model over the full spectral range relevant to CMB studies from 23 to 353 GHz. The main results of the paper are summarized in Sect. 8. The paper contains four appendices where we detail specific aspects of the data analysis. In Appendix A, we describe how maps of dust emission are built from the results of the H I correlation analysis. We explain how we separate dust and CMB emission at microwave frequencies in Appendix B. We detail how we quantify the uncertainties of the results of the dust-H I correlation in Appendix C. Appendix D presents simulations of the dust emission that we use to quantify uncertainties.

2. Data sets

In this section, we introduce the Planck, H I, and ancillary sky maps we use in the paper.

2.1. Planck data

Planck is the third generation space mission to characterize the anisotropies of the CMB. It observed the sky in nine frequency bands from 30 to 857 GHz with an angular resolution from 3′ to 5′ (Planck Collaboration I 2014). The Low Frequency Instrument (LFI, Mandolesi et al. 2010; Bersanelli et al. 2010; Mennella et al. 2010) observed the 30, 44, and 70 GHz bands
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1 Planck (http://www.esa.int/Planck) is a project of the European Space Agency (ESA) with instruments provided by two scientific consortia funded by ESA member states (in particular the lead countries France and Italy), with contributions from NASA (USA) and telescope reflectors provided by a collaboration between ESA and a scientific consortium led and funded by Denmark.
with amplifiers cooled to 20 K. The High Frequency Instrument (HFI, Lamarre et al. 2010) observed the 100, 143, 217, 353, 545, and 857 GHz bands with bolometers cooled to 0.1 K. In this paper, we use the nine Planck frequency maps made from the first 15.5 months of the mission (Planck Collaboration I 2014) in HEALPix format\(^2\). Maps at 70 GHz and below are the first 15.5 months of the mission (Planck Collaboration I 2014). The Planck Collaboration: Dust emission from the diffuse interstellar medium
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Fig. 1. **Left**: Planck map at 857 GHz over the area where we have H\(_i\) data from the GASS survey. The center of the orthographic projection is the southern Galactic pole. Galactic longitudes and latitudes are marked by lines and circles, respectively. The Planck image has been smoothed to the 16\(\,\) resolution of the GASS \(N_{\text{HI}}\) map. **Right**: GASS \(N_{\text{HI}}\) map of Galactic disk emission, obtained by integrating over the velocity range defined by Galactic rotation (Sect. 2.2.2).

As an example, Fig. 1 shows the 857 GHz map for the area of the H\(_i\) GASS survey.

2.2. The GASS H\(_i\) survey

In this section we explain how we produce the column density map of Galactic H\(_i\) gas that we will use as a spatial template in our dust-gas correlation analysis.

2.2.1. H\(_i\) observations

We make use of data from the GASS H\(_i\) survey obtained with the Parkes telescope (McClure-Griffiths et al. 2009). The 21 cm line emission was mapped over the southern sky (\(\delta < 1^\circ\)) with 14.5 FWHM angular resolution and a velocity resolution of 1 km s\(^{-1}\). At high Galactic latitudes, the average noise for individual emission-free channel maps is 50 mK (1\(\sigma\)). GASS is the most sensitive, highest angular resolution survey of Galactic H\(_i\) emission over the southern sky. We use data corrected for instrumental effects, stray radiation, and radio-frequency interference from Kalberla et al. (2010).

Maps of H\(_i\) emission integrated over velocities were generated from spectra in the 3D data cube. To minimize uncertainties from instrumental noise and to eliminate residual instrumental problems we do not integrate the emission over all velocities. The problem is that weak systematic biases over a large number of channels can add up to a significant error. We select the channels on a smoothed data cube to ensure that weak emission around H\(_i\) clouds is not affected. Specifically, we calculate a second data cube smoothed to angular and velocity resolutions of 30\(\,\) and 8 km s\(^{-1}\). Velocity channels where the emission in this smoothed data cube is below a 5\(\sigma\) level of 30 mK are not used in the integration. This brightness threshold is applied to each smoothed spectrum to define the velocity ranges, not necessarily contiguous, over which to integrate the signal in the full-resolution data cube. The impact on the H\(_i\) column density map of the selection of channels is small and noticeable only in the regions of lowest column densities. The magnitude of the difference between maps produced with and without the 5\(\sigma\) selection of the channels is a few 10\(^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\). This small difference is not critical for our analysis.

2.2.2. Separation of H\(_i\) emission from the Galaxy and Magellanic Stream

The southern polar cap contains Galactic H\(_i\) emission with typical column densities \(N_{\text{HI}}\) from one to a few times 10\(^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\), plus a significant contribution from the Magellanic Stream (MS; Nidever et al. 2008). We need to separate the Galactic and MS gas because the dust-to-gas mass ratio of the low metallicity MS gas is lower than that of the Galactic H\(_i\).

\(^2\) Górski et al. (2005), http://healpix.sf.net
The velocity information permits a separation of the Galactic and MS emission over most of the sky (Venzmer et al. 2012). To distinguish the two components, we use a 3D model of the Galactic H\textsc{i} emission presented in Kalberla & Dedes (2008). The model matches the velocity distribution of the observed emission. We produce a 3D data cube with the model that we use to distinguish parts of the GASS data cube that have emission likely to be associated with the MS from those associated with the Galaxy. Specifically, the emission in a given velocity channel is ascribed to the MS where $T_{\text{model}} < 60 \text{ mK}$, and to the Galaxy where $T_{\text{model}} \geq 60 \text{ mK}$ (see Fig. A.1 in Planck Collaboration XXX 2014). This defines the MS and Galactic maps used in the paper. The MS and Galactic emissions are clearly separated except in a circular area of 20$^\circ$ diameter centred at Magellanic longitudes and latitudes3 $l_{\text{MS}} = -50^\circ$ and $b_{\text{MS}} = 0^\circ$, where the radial velocity of gas in the MS merges with Galactic velocities (Nidever et al. 2010). We do not use this area in our dust-gas correlation analysis.

### 2.2.3. The IVC and HVC contributions to the Magellanic Stream component

Our method to identify the emission from the local H\textsc{i} differs from that used for the GBT fields in Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011), where the low velocity gas and intermediate and high velocity clouds (IVCs and HVCs) have been distinguished based on the specific spectral features present in each of the fields. Such a solution is not available across the much more extended GASS field, but our MS map may be expressed as the sum of IVC and HVC maps.

IVCs and HVCs are distinguished from gas in the Galactic disk by their deviation velocities $v_{\text{dev}}$, defined as the difference between the observed radial velocity and that expected in a given direction from the Galactic rotation. Clouds with $|v_{\text{dev}}| > 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are usually considered as HVCs, while IVCs correspond to the velocity range $35 < |v_{\text{dev}}| < 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Wakker 2004). At high Galactic latitudes, our threshold of 60 mK for the H\textsc{i} model corresponds to about $|v_{\text{dev}}| \leq 45 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; a threshold of $T_{\text{model}} \geq 16 \text{ mK}$ corresponds to $|v_{\text{dev}}| \leq 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. To separate the MS emission into its IVC and HVC contributions, therefore, we make a second separation using the 16 mK threshold. The lower threshold allows us to identify the part of the MS emission with deviation velocities in the HVC range, and the difference between the two MS maps produced with 60 and 16 mK thresholds identifies the part of the MS map with deviation velocities in the IVC range.

We note that the HVC map could contain HVC gas not associated with the MS, but also of low dust content. The IVC map might contain Galactic gas with more normal dust content like in Galactic IVCs (Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011). In addition, the Galactic gas as defined might also contain Galactic IVCs, which often have a depleted dust content, typically by a factor two (Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011). However, anomalous lines of sight are removed by our masking process (Sect. 3.3).

### 2.2.4. Column density maps

The Galactic and the MS H\textsc{i} emission maps, as well as the division of the MS map into its IVC and HVC contributions, are projected on a HEALPix grid with a resolution parameter $N_{\text{side}} = 1024$ using the nearest HEALPix pixel to each GASS position, before reducing the map to $N_{\text{side}} = 512$ (pixel size 6$^\prime$9) with the ud_grade HEALPix procedure. After interpolation onto the HEALPix grid, the angular resolution is 16$^\prime$. For all maps, the H\textsc{i} emission is converted to H\textsc{i} column density $N_{\text{HI}}$, assuming that the 21 cm line emission is optically thin. For the column densities of one to a few $10^{20} \text{ H cm}^{-2}$ relevant to this study, the opacity correction correction is expected to be less than 5% (see Fig. 4 in Elvis et al. 1989). The Galactic $N_{\text{HI}}$ map is presented in
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3 Defined in Nidever et al. (2008). Magellanic latitude is $0^\circ$ along the MS. The trailing section of the MS has negative longitudes.
2.3. Ancillary sky maps

In addition to the Planck maps, we use the DIRBE sky maps at 100, 140, and 240 μm (Hauser et al. 1998), and the WMAP 9-year sky maps at frequencies 23, 33, 41, 61, and 94 GHz (Bennett et al. 2013). The DIRBE maps allow us to extend our H I correlation analysis to the peak of the dust SED in the far infrared. The WMAP maps complement the LFI data, giving finer frequency sampling of the SED at microwave frequencies. We also use the 408 MHz map of Haslam et al. (1982) to correct our dust-gas correlation for chance correlations of the H I template with synchrotron emission. These chance correlations are non-negligible for the lowest Planck and WMAP frequencies.

The DIRBE, WMAP, and 408 MHz data are available from the Legacy Archive for Microwave Background Data4. We use the DIRBE data corrected for zodiacal emission. We project the data on a HEALPix grid at Nside = 512 with a Gaussian interpolation kernel that reduces the angular resolution to 50′. We compute maps of uncertainties that take into account this slight smoothing of the data. The photometric uncertainties of the DIRBE maps at 100, 140, and 240 μm are 13.6, 10.6, and 11.6%, respectively (Hauser et al. 1998).

3. The dust-gas correlation

Figure 1 illustrates the general correlation between the dust emission and H I column density over the southern Galactic cap. In this section we describe how we quantify this correspondence by cross correlating locally the spatial structure in the dust and H I maps. Section 3.1 describes the method that we use to cross correlate maps; Sects. 3.2 and 3.3 describe its implementation. Residuals to the dust-H I correlation are discussed in Sect. 3.4.

3.1. Methodology

We follow the early Planck study (Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011) in cross correlating spatially the Planck maps with the Galactic H I map (Sect. 2.2). For a set of sky positions, we perform a linear fit between the data and the H I template. We compute the slope (αν) and offset (ων) of the fit minimizing the χ²

\[ \chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( T_i - \alpha_i I_{H I}(i) - \omega_i \right)^2, \]

where \( T_i \) and \( I_{H I}(i) \) are the data and template values from maps at a common resolution. The sum is computed over \( N \) pixels within sky patches centered on the positions at which the correlation is performed. The minimization yields the following expressions for \( \alpha_i \) and \( \omega_i \)

\[ \alpha_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} T_j I_{H I}(j)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} I_{H I}(j)^2}, \]

\[ \omega_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (T_j - \alpha_i I_{H I}(j)). \]

4 http://lambda.gsfc.nasa.gov/
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channel of WMAP, all smoothed to the 16' resolution of the H1 map, i.e. Nside = 512, with 6.9 pixels. The map smoothing uses a Gaussian approximation for the Planck beams. The cross-correlation with the DIRBE map is done at a single 50' resolution. Second, to extend our analysis to frequencies lower than 70 GHz, we also perform the data analysis using all of the Planck and WMAP maps smoothed to a common 60' Gaussian beam (Planck Collaboration VI 2014) at a HEALPix resolution Nside = 128 (27.5 pixels), combined with a smoothed and projected H1 template. At frequencies ν ≤ 353 GHz, we also perform a simultaneous linear correlation of the Planck and WMAP maps with two templates, the GASS H1 map and the 408 MHz map of Haslam et al. (1982). This corrects the results of the dust-H1 correlation for any chance correlation of the H1 spatial template with synchrotron emission. Peel et al. (2012) have shown that, at high Galactic latitudes, the level of the dust-correlated emission in the WMAP bands does not depend significantly on the frequency of the synchrotron template.

We perform the cross-correlation over circular sky patches 15° in diameter centred on HEALPix pixels. The analysis of sky simulations presented in Appendix C shows that the size of the sky patches is not critical. We require the number of unmasked pixels used to compute the correlation measure and the offset to be higher than one third of the total number of pixels within a sky patch. For input maps at 16' angular resolution projected on HEALPix grid with Nside = 512, this corresponds to a threshold of 4500 pixels.

We compute the correlation measure σν and offset ων at positions corresponding to pixel centres on HEALPix grids with Nside = 32 and 8 (pixel size 1.8' and 7.3', respectively). The higher resolution grid, which more finely samples variations of the dust emissivity on the sky, is used to produce images for display, for example the dust emissivity at 353 GHz presented in Fig. 3, and the dust model in Appendix A. For statistical studies, we use the lower resolution grid, for which we obtain a correlation measure for 135 sky patches. Because of the sampling of the 15° patches at Nside = 8, each pixel in the input data is part of three sky patches, and these correlation measures are not independent.

We detail how we quantify the various contributions to the uncertainty of the dust emissivity in Appendix C, including those associated with the separation of the H1 emission between its Galactic and MS contributions (Sect. 2.2.2), which is the main source of uncertainty on the H1 template used as independent variable in the correlation analysis. As in Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011), we do not include any noise weighting in Eq. (1) because data noise is not the main source of uncertainty. For most HFI frequencies, the noise is much lower than either CIB anisotropies or the differences between the dust emission and the model we fit.

3.3. Sky masking

In applying Eqs. (2) and (3), we use a sky mask that defines the overall part of the sky where we characterize the correlation of H1 and dust, and within this large area the pixels that are used to compute the correlation measures. We describe in this section how we make this mask.

We focus our analysis on low column density gas around the southern Galactic pole, specifically, H1 column densities NH1 ≤ 6 × 1020 cm⁻² at Galactic latitudes b ≤ −25°. Within this sky area we mask a 20'-diameter circle centred at Magellanic longitude and latitude lMS = −50° and bMS = 0°, where the radial velocity of gas in the MS merges with Galactic velocities so that a Galactic H1 template cannot be separated.

To characterize the dust signal associated with the H1 gas, we also need to mask sky pixels where the dust and H1 emission are not correlated. As in Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011), we need to identify the sky pixels where there is significant dust emission from H2 gas. This is relatively easy to do at high Galactic latitudes where the gas column density is the lowest, and the surface filling factor of H2 gas is small. UV observations (Savage et al. 1977; Gillmon et al. 2006) and the early Planck study (Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011) show that the fraction of H2 gas can become significant for some sight lines where NH1 exceeds 3 × 1020 cm⁻² or so. We also need to mask pixels where there is Galactic H1 gas with little or no far infrared counterpart, and bright extragalactic sources.

Following Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011), we build our mask by iterating the correlation analysis. At each step, we build a model of the dust emission associated with the Galactic H1 gas from the results of the IR-H1 correlation (Appendix A). We obtain a map of residuals by subtracting this model from the input data. At each iteration, we then compute the standard deviation of the Gaussian core of the residuals over unmasked pixels. The mask for the next iteration is set by masking all pixels where the absolute value of the residual is higher than 3σ. The choice of this threshold is not critical. For a 5σ cut, we obtain a mean dust emissivity at 857 GHz higher by only 1% than the value for a 3σ cut. The standard deviation of the fractional differences between the two sets of dust emissivities computed patch by patch is 3%. We use the highest Planck frequency, 857 GHz, to identify bright far infrared sources and pixels where the dust emission departs from the model emission estimated from the H1 map. The iteration rapidly converges to a stable mask. Once we have converged for the 857 GHz frequency channel, we look for outliers at other
Galactic residuals with respect to the dust-\HI correlation

In this section, we describe the Galactic residuals with respect to the dust-\HI correlation. A power spectrum analysis of the CIB anisotropies over the cleanest part of the southern Galactic cap is presented in Planck Collaboration XXX (2014).

Figure 6 shows the map of residual emission at 857 GHz together with the map of \HI emission in the MS. The first striking result from Fig. 6 is that the residual map shows no evidence of dust emission from the MS. This result indicates that the MS is dust poor; it will be detailed in a dedicated paper.

The residual map shows localized regions, both positive and negative, that produce the non-Gaussian wings of the histogram in Fig. 4. The positive residuals are likely to trace dust emission associated with molecular gas (Desert et al. 1988; Reach et al. 1998; Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011). In addition, some positive residuals may be from dust emission associated with Galactic IVC gas not in the Galactic \HI template.

The non-Gaussian tail toward negative residuals was not significant in the earlier higher resolution Planck study that analyzed a much smaller sky area at low \HI column densities. However, that analysis deduced emissivities for low velocity gas and IVC gas independently, and did find many examples of IVCs with less than half the typical emissivity. If such gas were included in the Galactic \HI template for \lvel \leq 45 \kms, then negative residuals could arise. Another interesting possible interpretation, which needs to be tested, is that negative residuals correspond to \HI gas at Galactic velocities with no or deficient dust emission, akin to the MS, or to typical HVC gas (Peek et al. 2009; Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011). We do not discuss further these regions that are masked in our data analysis. Instead, we focus our analysis on the fainter residuals of Galactic emission that together with CIB anisotropies make the Gaussian core of the histogram in Fig. 4.

To characterize the Gaussian component of the residuals with respect to the dust-\HI correlation, we compute the standard deviation \sigma_{857} of the residual map at 857 GHz within circular apertures of 5° diameter centred on \Nside = 16 pixels. We choose this aperture size to be smaller than the sky patches used to compute the dust emissivity so as to sample more finely \sigma_{857}. Within each 5° aperture, we compute the standard deviation of the residual 857 GHz map and the mean \NHI over unmasked pixels, requiring at least 1000 of the maximum 1500 pixels available at \Nside = 512. In Fig. 7, \sigma_{857} is plotted versus the mean \NHI. The hatched strip in the figure indicates the contribution to the residual 857 GHz map and the mean \NHI.
Fig. 6. Left: image of the residual emission at 857 GHz obtained by subtracting the H\textsc{i}-based model of the dust emission from the input Planck map. Right: image of $N_{\text{HI}}$ from the Magellanic Stream (see Sect. 2.2.2), the sum of the IVC and HVC maps in Fig. 2.

Fig. 7. Standard deviation $\sigma_{857}$ of the residuals with respect to the Planck-H\textsc{i} correlation at 857 GHz versus the mean $N_{\text{HI}}$, both computed within circular sky patches with 5° diameter and over unmasked pixels. The red hatched strip marks the contribution of CIB anisotropies to the residuals at 16′ resolution, computed from the CIB model in Planck Collaboration XXX (2014). The width of the strip represents the expected scatter ($\pm 1\sigma$) of this contribution. Both the scattered distribution of data points above CIB anisotropies strip and the increase in the mean $\sigma_{857}$ with $N_{\text{HI}}$ arise from residuals with a Galactic origin (Appendix D).

$\sigma_{857}$ from CIB anisotropies at 16′ resolution, as computed using the model power spectrum in Planck Collaboration XXX (2014). Most values of $\sigma_{857}$ are above the strip. Since the contribution of noise to $\sigma_{857}$ is negligible, there is a significant contribution to $\sigma_{857}$ from residuals with a Galactic origin. The statistical properties of $\sigma_{857}$ – the mean trend with increasing $N_{\text{HI}}$ and the large scatter around this trend in Fig. 7 – can be accounted for by a simple model where the Galactic residuals arise from variations of the dust emissivity on scales lower than the 15° diameter of the patches in our correlation analysis. In Appendix D, we quantify this interpretation with simulations.

The ratio of the dispersions from Galactic residuals and from CIB anisotropies increases towards higher frequencies, but it decreases with decreasing patch size used in the underlying correlation analysis and with better angular resolution of the H\textsc{i} template map (Appendix C). Thereby an obvious Galactic contribution in the faintest fields was not noticed in the earlier study with the GBT of Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011), but they did find an increase in the standard deviation of the residuals with the mean column density (see their Fig. 12).

Unlike the localized features that make the non-Gaussian part of the histogram in Fig. 4, the Gaussian contribution cannot be masked out. As discussed in Planck Collaboration XXX (2014), it significantly biases the power spectrum of CIB anisotropies at $\ell < 100$, depending on the range of $N_{\text{HI}}$ within the part of the sky used for the analysis.

4. Dust emission properties across the southern Galactic cap

In this section, we use the results from our analysis of the dust-H\textsc{i} correlation to describe how dust emission properties vary across the southern Galactic cap.

4.1. Dust temperature and opacity

At frequencies higher than 353 GHz, our analysis extends that of Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011) to a wider area. The dust emissivities are consistent with earlier values, once we correct them for the change in calibration of the 857 and 545 GHz data that occurred after the publication of the Planck Early Papers (Planck Collaboration VIII 2014). The dust emissivity is observed to vary over the sky in a correlated way between...
contiguous frequencies. In units of MJy sr\(^{-1}\) per \(10^{20}\) H cm\(^{-2}\), the dust emissivity at 857 GHz ranges from 0.20 to 0.57 with a mean 0.43. The emissivity also varies by nearly a factor of three at 353 GHz (see Fig. 3), and by a factor of four at 100 \(\mu\)m. The fact that we work on a large contiguous sky area allows us to map these variations over the sky and assess their nature.

Figure 8 displays maps of the dust temperature and submillimetre opacity. The map of colour temperature \(T_d\) is derived from the ratio between the dust emissivities at 100 \(\mu\)m from DIRBE and at 857 GHz from Planck, with a spectral index of the dust emissivity \(\beta_{\text{FIR}} = 1.65\). This figure reveals that the temperature and submillimetre opacity of dust are anti-correlated.

\(I_\nu = cc(T_d, \beta)\tau_\nu(v/v_0)^\beta B_\nu(T_d),\)  
\[\text{(8)}\]

where cc is the colour-correction (Planck Collaboration IX 2014), \(B_\nu\) is the Planck function, \(T_d\) is the dust temperature, and \(\beta\) is the dust spectral index. In the far infrared, we adopt \(\beta_{\text{FIR}} = 1.65\), the value found fitting a greybody to the mean dust SED at \(\nu \geq 353\) GHz. The reference frequency \(v_0\) and the optical depth there \(\tau_\nu\), divide out in the colour ratio. The mean colour temperature is 19.8 K, in good agreement with what is reported for the same part of the sky in Planck Collaboration XI (2014) for the same \(\beta_{\text{FIR}}\). The dust opacity is computed from the dust emissivity and colour temperature:

\[\sigma_H(\nu) = \epsilon_H(\nu)/B_\nu(T_d),\]

\[\text{(9)}\]

the equivalent of the optical depth divided by \(N_{\text{HI}}\).

The two maps in Fig. 8 illustrate an anti-correlation between the dust opacity and the colour temperature, first reported in Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011). Our analysis confirms their result over a wider sky area. The anti-correlation is at odds with the expected increase in the dust emissivity with dust temperature. It suggests that the temperature is a response to variations in dust emission properties and not in the heating rate of dust. To support this interpretation, in Fig. 9 we plot the dust temperature versus the dust emissivity and opacity at 353 GHz. As in earlier studies where different data sets and sky regions have been analysed (Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011; Martin et al. 2012; Roy et al. 2013), we find that the dust temperature is anticorrelated with the dust emissivity and opacity in such a way that the far infrared specific dust power (i.e. the thermal emission integrated over the far infrared SED, per H) is constant. The dashed line in each panel corresponds to the mean value of the far infrared power, \(3.4 \times 10^{-31}\) W H\(^{-1}\), as also found by Planck Collaboration XI (2014) for high latitude dust.

To check that the anti-correlation does not depend on our assumption of a fixed \(\beta_{\text{FIR}}\) used to compute the colour temperatures, we repeat our analysis with dust temperatures and opacities derived from a greybody fit to the dust emissivities at 100 \(\mu\)m and the Planck 353, 545 and 857 GHz frequencies, for each sky patch. The dust temperatures from these fits are closely correlated to the colour temperatures determined from the 100 \(\mu\)m and 857 GHz colour ratio. The mean temperature is 19.8 K for both sets of dust temperatures because the \(\beta_{\text{FIR}}\), 1.65, used in the calculation of colour temperatures is the mean of the values
The far infrared power emitted by dust equals that absorbed from the interstellar radiation field (ISRF) and so, as discussed by Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011) and Martin et al. (2012), the fact that the power is quite constant has two implications. (1) Increases (decreases) in the equilibrium value of $T_d$ are a response to decreases (increases) in the dust far infrared opacity (the ability of the dust to emit and thus cool). (2) The optical/UV absorption opacity of dust must be relatively unchanged, given that variations in the strength of the ISRF are probably small within the local ISM. Thus, an observational constraint to be understood in grain modeling is that the ratio of far infrared to optical/UV opacity changes within the diffuse ISM.

4.2. Dust evolution within the diffuse ISM

Our analysis provides evidence of a varying ratio between the dust opacity at far infrared and visible/UV wavelengths, strengthening the early results from Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011). These two Planck papers extend to the diffuse atomic ISM results reported in many studies for the translucent sections of molecular clouds (Cambrésy et al. 2001; Stepnik et al. 2003; Planck Collaboration XXV 2011; Martin et al. 2012; Roy et al. 2013). Evidence of dust evolution in the diffuse ISM from far-IR observations of large dust grains was first reported by Bot et al. (2009).

The observations of dust evolution in molecular clouds are often related to grain growth associated with mantle formation or grain coagulation/aggregation. Model calculations do indeed show that the variations in the far infrared dust opacity per unit $A_v$ may be accounted for by grain coagulation (Köhler et al. 2012). The fact that such variations are now observed in H I gas, where densities are not high enough for coagulation to...
occur, challenges this interpretation. It would be more satisfactory to propose an interpretation that would account for opacity variations in both the diffuse ISM and molecular clouds. Jones (2012) and Jones et al. (2013) take steps in this direction by introducing evolution of carbon dust composition and properties into their dust model. A quantitative modeling of the data has yet to be done within this new framework, but the results presented by Jones et al. (2013) are encouraging. The variations in the far infrared opacity and temperature of dust could trace the degree of processing by UV photons of hydrocarbon dust formed within the ISM.

Alternatively, the variations of the far infrared dust opacity could result from changes in the composition and structure of silicate dust. At the temperature of interstellar dust grains in the diffuse ISM, low energy transitions, associated with disorder in the structure of amorphous solids on atomic scales, contribute to the far infrared dust opacity. This contribution depends on the dust temperature and on the composition and structure of the grains (Meny et al. 2007). The dust opacity of silicates is observed in laboratory experiments (Coupeaud et al. 2011) to depend on parameters describing the amorphous structure of the grains, which may evolve in interstellar space through, for example, exposure to cosmic rays.

A different perspective is considered in Martin et al. (2012). Dust evolution might not be ongoing now within the diffuse ISM. Instead, the observations might reflect the varying composition of interstellar dust after evolution both within molecular clouds and while recyling back to the diffuse ISM, reaching different end points.

5. The dust spectral index from submillimetre to millimetre wavelengths

Our analysis of the Planck data allows us to measure the spectral index of the thermal dust emission from submillimetre to millimetre wavelengths $\beta_{\text{mm}}$. This complements measurements of the spectral index at far infrared wavelengths $\beta_{\text{IR}}$ in Planck Collaboration XI (2014) and many earlier studies (e.g. Dupac et al. 2003).

5.1. Measuring the spectral index

For each circular sky patch, we compute the colour ratio $R_{100(353,217)} = \alpha_{\nu=100}^{353}\,\text{GHz}/\alpha_{\nu=217}\,\text{GHz}$, where $\alpha_{\nu=100}$ is the correlation measure at frequency $\nu$ corrected for the CMB contribution by subtracting the correlation measure at 100 GHz (Sect. 3.1). The colour ratio is converted into a spectral index using a greybody spectrum (Eq. (8)). We compute $R_{100(353,217)}$ for a grid of values of $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ and $T_d$. For each sky patch, adopting the colour temperature determined above independently from the $R(3000, 857)$ colour ratio, we find the value of $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ that gives a match with the observed $R_{100(353,217)}$. We obtain the $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ map presented in Fig. 11.

The mean value and standard deviation (dispersion) of $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ are 1.51 and 0.13 for Planck maps without subtraction of the model of zodiacal emission, and 1.51 and 0.16 for maps with the model subtracted. The standard deviation of the patch by patch difference between these two $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ values is 0.10, only slightly lower than the dispersion of each. The mean $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ is in good agreement with the value of 1.53 estimated for the more diffuse atomic regions of the Galactic disk by Planck Collaboration Int. XIV (2014), but it is lower than values close to 2 derived from the analysis of COBE data at higher frequencies (Boulanger et al. 1996; Finkbeiner et al. 1999). For comparison, we computed a value of $\beta_{\text{IR}}$ for each sky patch by fitting a greybody to the dust emissivities at the high frequency Planck channels ($\nu \geq 353$ GHz) and at 100 $\mu$m. The difference $\beta_{\text{IR}} - \beta_{\text{mm}}$ has a median value of 0.15, and shows no systematic dependence on the colour temperature $T_d$.

For the derivation of $\beta_{\text{mm}}$, we have assumed that the dust emission at 100 GHz is well approximated by a greybody extrapolation from 353 to 100 GHz. To check that this assumption does not introduce a bias, we repeat the data analysis on Planck maps in which the CMB anisotropies have been subtracted using the CMB map obtained with SMICA (Planck Collaboration XII 2014). This allows us to compute the spectral index $\beta_{\text{mm}}$(SMICA) directly from the ratio between the 353 and 217 GHz correlation measures. The mean value of the differences $\beta_{\text{mm}} - \beta_{\text{mm}}$(SMICA) is negligible, i.e. there is no bias.

5.2. Variations with dust temperature

Many studies, starting with the early work of Dupac et al. (2003), have reported an anti-correlation between $\beta_{\text{IR}}$ and dust temperature. Laboratory data on amorphous silicates indicate that, at the temperature of dust grains in the diffuse ISM, it is at millimetre wavelengths that the variations of the spectral index may be the largest (Coupeaud et al. 2011). These laboratory results and astronomical data, have been interpreted within a model where variations in the dust spectral index stem from the contribution of low energy transitions, associated with disorder in the structure of amorphous solids on atomic scales, to the dust opacity (Meny et al. 2007; Paradis et al. 2011). Variations of $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ are also predicted to be possible signatures of the evolution of carbon dust (Jones et al. 2013).

Our analysis allows us to look for such variations over a frequency range where the determination of the spectral index is to a large extent decoupled from that of the dust temperature. We determine the dust colour temperature $T_d$ and the spectral
index $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ from two independent colour ratios, whereas in far infrared studies the spectral index $\beta_{\text{FIR}}$ and temperature $T_d$ are determined simultaneously from a spectral fit of the SED (Shetty et al. 2009; Planck Collaboration XI 2014). Although $T_d$ is used in the conversion of $R_{\text{DIRBE}}(353,217)$ into $\beta_{\text{mm}}$, the uncertainty of $T_d$ has a marginal impact. Furthermore, the photometric uncertainty of far infrared data is higher than that at $\nu \leq 353$ GHz, where the data calibration is done on the CMB dipole.

We start quantifying the uncertainties of $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ using the numerical simulations presented in the companion Planck paper (Planck Collaboration Int. XXI 2014) that extends this work to dust polarization. These simulations include H I correlated dust emission with a fixed spectral index 1.5, dust emission uncorrelated with H I with a spectral index of 2, noise, CIB anisotropies, and free-free emission. We analyse 800 realizations of simulated maps at 100, 143, 217, and 353 GHz with the same procedure as used on the Planck data. For each sky patch, we obtain 800 values of $\beta_{\text{mm}}$. The additional components do not bias the estimate of $\beta_{\text{mm}}$, but introduce scatter around the mean input value of 1.5. We use the standard deviation of the extracted $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ values as a noise estimate $\sigma_{\beta}$ for each sky patch.

The noise on $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ shows a systematic increase towards low $N_{\text{HI}}$, something that we also observe in the Planck analysis. We also measure the standard deviation of $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ over sky patches for each simulation. We find a value of $0.079 \pm 0.01$, lower than the dispersion 0.13 measured on the Planck data. If the simulations provide a good estimate of the uncertainties, the higher dispersion for the data shows that $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ has some variance. This can be appreciated in Fig. 12, where the values of $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ with their uncertainties are plotted versus the dust temperature $T_d$. The plot also displays the result of a linear regression, which has a slope of $(-0.043 \pm 0.009) \, \text{K}^{-1}$. Using the set of temperatures obtained from the greybody fits increases the spread of the data points in Fig. 12. The slope is changed to $(-0.053 \pm 0.007) \, \text{K}^{-1}$. The non-zero slope implies some variation of $\beta_{\text{mm}}$, and also suggests that $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ and $T_d$ are anti-correlated. This would extend to the millimetre range a result that has been reported in many studies for $\beta_{\text{FIR}}$ versus $T_d$, but the variations here are small and perhaps only marginally significant. The constancy of $\beta_{\text{mm}}$ is an observational constraint on the nature of the process at the origin of variations of the far-IR dust opacity (Sect. 4.2). We note that Planck Collaboration Int. XIV (2014) do not find evidence of an anti-correlation in their analysis of Planck observations of the diffuse emission in the Galactic disk.

6. The spectral energy distribution of Galactic dust in the diffuse ISM

At the Planck-LFI and WMAP frequencies, the signal-to-noise ratio on the dust emissivity for a given sky patch is very low because the signal is very faint compared to CMB anisotropies and noise. However, by averaging the emissivities over sky patches, we obtain an SED of dust emission spanning the full spectral range and computed consistently at all frequencies (Sect. 6.1). We present greybody fits of the thermal emission of dust at $\nu \geq 100$ GHz in Sect. 6.2. The SED is compared with existing models in Sect. 6.3.

6.1. The SED of the mean dust emissivity

We produce a mean SED of dust in the diffuse ISM by averaging the correlation measures, after correction for the CMB contribution as described in Appendix B, over the 135 sky patches on our lower resolution grid (Sect. 3.2). This SED characterizes the mean emission properties of dust in atomic gas in the local ISM. The statistical uncertainty of the mean SED is computed from the standard deviation of individual measurements divided by the square root of the number of independent sky patches (135/3) used. On average, each pixel of the images is part of 3 sky patches. This is why we consider that the number of independent sky patches is the total number divided by 3. This standard estimate is appropriate for the noisier lower frequency data. For the emissivities at higher frequencies, we observe large variations over the sky (Sect. 4.1). However, analysis of our simulations (Appendix C) shows that the uncertainties, including the variations of the emission properties over the sky, average out when we compute the mean dust emissivity over sky patches. Mean emissivities with statistical and photometric uncertainties are listed in Table 1 for the 16' resolution maps at $\nu \geq 70$ GHz.

6.2. Greybody fits

We characterize the dust SED with greybody fits. The mean emissivities are weighted using uncertainties that are the quadratic combination of the statistical and photometric uncertainties. We map the $\chi^2$ for greybody spectra over the parameter space to determine the best fit parameters listed in Table 3. We report parameters from data without and with subtraction of the zodiacal emission model (Planck Collaboration XIV 2014). The differences in fit parameters are within the uncertainties. This is to be expected because the zodiacal emission is a slowly varying function uncorrelated with the spatial fluctuations of the H I template within the 15' patches.

All of the best fits have $\chi^2$ per degree of freedom much lower than 1, because the statistical and photometric uncertainties are correlated across frequencies. To test our fits and to estimate error bars on the parameters, we run a Monte-Carlo simulation that takes these correlations into account. We assume that the photometric uncertainties are correlated for the three DIRBE frequencies, for the two highest HFI frequencies calibrated on planets, and for the four lowest HFI frequencies calibrated on the CMB dipole. For the statistical errors, we use the frequency-dependent decomposition into Galactic, CMB, CIB, and noise contributions inferred from the sky simulations in Appendix C. The sky simulations ignore the decorrelation from far infrared to microwave frequencies of CIB anisotropies (Planck Collaboration XXX 2014) and of Galactic residuals due to variations in dust temperature. These two shortcomings are not an issue, because they mainly impact the modeling of the
Table 1. Mean SED of dust emissivity from HI correlation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Frequency [GHz]</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>LFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td>WMAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>HFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>143</td>
<td>HFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>217</td>
<td>HFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>353</td>
<td>HFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>545</td>
<td>HFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>857</td>
<td>HFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1249</td>
<td>DIRBE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2143</td>
<td>DIRBE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2997</td>
<td>DIRBE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_\nu(\nu)$ [Mly sr$^{-1} (10^{20} \text{H cm}^{-2})^{-1}]$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{stat}}$ [Mly sr$^{-1} (10^{20} \text{H cm}^{-2})^{-1}]$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{photunc}$ [%]</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ [Mly sr$^{-1} (10^{20} \text{H cm}^{-2})^{-1}]$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_c$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$uc$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. $\sigma_\nu(\nu)$ ≡ Mean dust emissivity $\epsilon_\nu(\nu)$ expressed as monochromatic brightness at the reference frequencies, derived from correlation of the maps with the Galactic HI template. Not colour corrected. $\sigma_{\text{stat}}$ ≡ Statistical uncertainty (1σ) of the mean emissivities. $\text{photunc}$ (%) ≡ Uncertainties of the absolute calibration [%] from Planck Collaboration I (2014), Bennett et al. (2013), and Hauser et al. (1998). $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ ≡ Total uncertainty combining statistical and photometric uncertainties [Mly sr$^{-1}$ per $10^{20}$ H cm$^{-2}$]. $c_c$ ≡ Colour-correction factors in Eq. (8) computed with the greybody parameters listed in Table 3. $uc$ ≡ Unit conversion factors from Mly sr$^{-1}$ to thermodynamic (CMB) temperatures in mK.

Table 2. Mean microwave SED from HI correlation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Frequency [GHz]</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>WMAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>LFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>WMAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>WMAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>LFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>WMAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>LFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td>WMAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>HFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>143</td>
<td>HFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>217</td>
<td>HFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>353</td>
<td>HFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_\nu(\nu)$ [$\mu$K$_{\text{BG}} (10^{20} \text{H cm}^{-2})^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{stat}}$ [$\mu$K$_{\text{BG}} (10^{20} \text{H cm}^{-2})^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_\nu(\nu)$ [$\mu$K$_{\text{BG}} (10^{20} \text{H cm}^{-2})^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ [$\mu$K$_{\text{BG}} (10^{20} \text{H cm}^{-2})^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$uc_{\nu}$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. $\epsilon_\nu(\nu)$ and $\epsilon_\nu'$ ≡ Mean dust emissivity expressed as monochromatic brightness at the reference frequencies from the correlation of the maps with the Galactic HI template alone, and with both the Galactic HI template and the 408 MHz map, respectively. Not colour corrected. $\sigma_{\text{stat}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ ≡ Statistical uncertainty (1σ) of the brightness temperatures $T_{\nu}$ and $T_{\nu}'$. $uc_{\nu}$ ≡ Unit conversion factors from brightness (Rayleigh-Jeans) to thermodynamic (CMB) temperature. For WMAP the conversion factors are computed at the reference frequency, while for Planck they are computed assuming a constant $\nu I_{\nu}$ within the spectral band.

Table 3. Parameters from greybody fits of the mean dust SED.

| Model parameters |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| $\sigma(353 \text{ GHz})$ [cm$^2$ H$^{-1}$] | $T_d$ [K] | $\beta_{\text{FIR}}$ | $\beta_{\text{min}}$ | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. |
| Without subtraction of zodiacal emission | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $v \geq 353 \text{ GHz}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $v \geq 100 \text{ GHz}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $v \geq 353 \text{ GHz}$ with $2\beta$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| With subtraction of zodiacal emission | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $v \geq 353 \text{ GHz}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $v \geq 100 \text{ GHz}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $v \geq 353 \text{ GHz}$ with $2\beta$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

Notes. $\sigma_{353}(353 \text{ GHz})$ ≡ Dust opacity at 353 GHz from greybody fit. $T_d$ ≡ Dust temperature from greybody fit. $\beta_{\text{FIR}}$ ≡ Spectral index for $v \geq 353 \text{ GHz}$ for models 1 and 3, and for $v \geq 100 \text{ GHz}$ for model 2. $\beta_{\text{min}}$ ≡ Spectral index for $v \leq 353 \text{ GHz}$ for model 3. $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = $\chi^2$ of the fit per degree of freedom. $\chi^2$ is a reduced chi-squared statistic that is used to compare the fit parameters in Table 3. We are confident about this estimate of the errors because the $\chi^2$ values obtained for the data fits are in the core of the $\chi^2$ distribution for the Monte Carlo simulation. In other words, the simulation accounts for the low values of the $\chi^2$ per degree of freedom in Table 3.

The first fit is for frequencies $v \geq 353 \text{ GHz}$. It is directly comparable to the fits presented in the all-sky analysis of Planck Collaboration XI (2014). The spectral index that we find, $\beta = 1.65 \pm 0.10$, agrees with the mean value used in Sect. 4 to compute colour temperatures, but it is greater than the values...
of $\beta_{\text{mm}} = 1.51 \pm 0.13$ derived from the $R_{100}(353, 217)$ ratio in Sect. 5. The second fit extends the greybody fit with a single spectral index down to 100 GHz. This fit yields a spectral index of $1.52 \pm 0.03$ in agreement with the mean value inferred from the above $R_{100}(353, 217)$ ratio. For the latter, the dispersion about the mean is higher than the uncertainty from the fit, which is more like an uncertainty of the mean.

The third fit, again from 100 to 3000 GHz, uses separate spectral indices for frequencies higher and lower than 353 GHz. With this extra parameter, a significantly lower $\chi^2$ per degree of freedom is achieved, and systematic departures from the fit (Fig. 13) are removed. The best fit is obtained for a higher spectral index at high frequency. The difference between the two spectral indices, $\beta_{\text{IR}} - \beta_{\text{mm}}$, is 0.13 for the data not corrected for zodiacal emission. We use our Monte Carlo simulations to test whether the reduction of the $\chi^2$ per degree of freedom between the fits with one and two spectral indices (factors 3.7 and 5.4 for the SEDs with and without subtraction of the zodiacal light model) is statistically significant. We obtain a reduction of the $\chi^2$ by a factor greater than 3.5 for less than 5% of the realizations. Based on this test, we consider that the variation of the spectral index between far infrared and millimetre wavelengths, quantified by the third fit is statistically significant.

Planck Collaboration Int. XIV (2014) reach the same conclusion with the dust models presented in Compiègne et al. (2011) and Draine & Li (2007), hereafter the DUSTEM and DL07 models. For both models, we fit the scaling factor $G_0$ of the mean interstellar radiation field in the Solar Neighbourhood from Mathis et al. (1983), and another scaling parameter, $f_{\text{SED}}$, that allows for differences in the normalization of the dust emission per unit gas mass. The two parameters of the fit are quite independent. The value of $f_{\text{SED}}$ is constrained by the submillimetre data points, while $G_0$ is constrained by the peak of the SED.

6.3. Comparison with dust models

In this section, we compare the mean SED from Planck with two models of the thermal dust emission. We fit the mean SED in Table 1 with the dust models presented in Compiègne et al. (2011) and Draine & Li (2007), hereafter the DUSTEM and DL07 models. For both models, we fit the scaling factor $G_0$ of the mean interstellar radiation field in the Solar Neighbourhood from Mathis et al. (1983), and another scaling parameter, $f_{\text{SED}}$, that allows for differences in the normalization of the dust emission per unit gas mass. The two parameters of the fit are quite independent. The value of $f_{\text{SED}}$ is constrained by the submillimetre data points, while $G_0$ is constrained by the peak of the SED.

For the DUSTEM model, the best fit is obtained for $G_0 = 1.0$ and $f_{\text{SED}} = 1.05$, whereas for the DL07 model we find $G_0 = 0.7$ and $f_{\text{SED}} = 1.45$. The residuals from these two fits are shown in Fig. 14. Both models fit the data within 5% at $v \geq 353$ GHz. They depart from the data at lower frequencies by 5 to 15%. We note that both models use the same optical properties for silicates from Li & Draine (2001), who introduced a flattening of the emissivity law at $\lambda \geq 250 \mu m$ to match the SED of Finkbeiner et al. (1999). They differ in their modeling of carbon dust.
Fig. 14. Same as Fig. 13, but for residuals from fits of the mean dust SED with the DUSTEM (top panel) and DL07 (bottom panel) dust models.

This comparison shows that none of the models provides a fully satisfactory fit of the Planck SED. For the DL07 model, it also shows that there is a significant difference in the dust emission per unit gas mass, which is higher than what may be accounted for by dust within the diffuse ionized gas (Gaensler et al. 2008), even in the most favourable hypothesis where its spatial distribution is highly correlated with H I emission.

7. Microwave dust emission

We extend our analysis of the thermal dust emission by analyzing the microwave SED of dust that combines the Planck and WMAP spectral channels. We present the SED and discuss several spectral decompositions.

7.1. Microwave SED of dust emission

The microwave SED of dust emission in the diffuse ISM at $23 \leq \nu \leq 353$ GHz, obtained by averaging the correlation measures for the 60$^\circ$ resolution maps over the 135 sky patches on our lower resolution grid (Sect. 3.2), is listed in Table 2. The statistical uncertainty of the mean SED is computed from the standard deviation of individual measurements, after correction for the CMB contribution as described in Appendix B, divided by the square root of the number of independent sky patches (135/3) used. These error-bars include variations of the dust SED across the southern polar cap and uncertainties in the CMB subtraction. The mean difference between the two independent estimates of the CMB presented in Appendix B is one order of magnitude lower than the minimum of the dust SED at 60–70 GHz.

Table 2 lists two SEDs. In this section, we use the SED, $\epsilon_b(\nu)$, computed from emissivities corrected for the chance correlation of the H I template with synchrotron emission by fitting the Planck and WMAP data simultaneously with two templates (Sect. 3.2). The synchrotron template impacts the dust SED only at the lowest frequencies.

The microwave SED is displayed in Fig. 15. We check in two ways that this SED is not contaminated by free-free emission correlated with the H I map. First, we find that the 70 GHz emission is not reduced if we compute the mean dust SED after masking the southern extension of the Orion-Eridanus super-bubble to high Galactic latitudes, the area of brightest H I emission at $b < -30^\circ$. Second, we check that the correlation between the H I emission and the H I column density has a negligible impact on the dust SED by doing a three template fit, over the part of the southern Galactic cap covered by the survey of WHAM (Wisconsin H-Alpha Mapper) survey (Haffner et al. 2003). The photometry of diffuse H I emission in the all-sky map of Dickinson et al. (2003) is not reliable on degrees scale outside of this area.

7.2. Separation of the thermal emission of dust from AME

The SED in Fig. 15 is dominated by thermal dust emission at the high frequencies and AME at low frequencies. We perform several spectral fits to separate the two emission components. The model parameters are listed in Table 4. In this section we present the fits with models 1 and 3 displayed in Fig. 15. Both models use a greybody spectrum at a fixed temperature of 19.8 K and slope $\nu_{60}$ at 60 GHz. Thus

$$
\log \left( \frac{T_b(\nu)}{T_b(\nu_p)} \right) = -2 \log(\nu/\nu_p) + m_{60} \frac{[\log(\nu/\nu_p)]^2}{2 \log(\nu_p/60\,\text{GHz})},
$$

where $T_b$ is the AME brightness (Rayleigh-Jeans) temperature and $\nu$ is the frequency in gigahertz. Planck Collaboration Int. XII (2013) show that this analytical function provides a good fit to

$^7$ The spectrum peaks at frequency $\nu_p$ in flux units.
the AME spectra derived from their analysis of the Planck and WMAP maps along a section of the Gould Belt at intermediate Galactic latitudes. In model 3, we fit the AME combining two spectra labeled WNM and CNM, which were computed with the physical SPDUST model (Ali-Haïmoud et al. 2009; Silsbee et al. 2011) using standard parameters for the warm and cold neutral medium from Table 1 in Draine & Lazarian (1999). This model allows us to check whether our determination of the microwave emission from dust depends on the spectral template used for the AME. We do not aim at proposing and discussing a physical fit of the AME.

In model 1, we fit the 12 data points of the SED from 23 to 353 GHz with five free parameters: the specific opacity $\kappa_\nu(353\,\text{GHz})$; the spectral index $\beta_{\text{BB}}$ for the blackbody; $\tau_{\text{BB}}$; $m_{\text{BB}}$; and the AME brightness temperature $T_\nu(23\,\text{GHz})$. In model 3, we also fit five free parameters. The AME parameters are the amplitudes of the two AME spectra, $A_{\text{WNM}}(23\,\text{GHz})$ and $A_{\text{CNM}}(41\,\text{GHz})$, plus a frequency shift $\nu_{\text{shift}}$ of the CNM SPDUST spectrum. This shift is an empirical means to account for the dependency of the peak frequency of the AME emission on physical parameters such as the gas density and the minimum grain size (Ysard et al. 2011; Hoang et al. 2011). Hoang et al. (2011) present a fit of the AME SED determined with WMAP data by Miville-Deschênes et al. (2008) with two AME spectra that have clearly distinct peak frequencies. The peak frequencies of the WNM and CNM SPDUST spectra we use are 24.3 and 30 GHz in flux units. We find that we need to introduce a positive shift of 25 GHz of the CNM spectrum to obtain a good fit. This shift moves the peak of the CNM SPDUST spectrum to 55 GHz in flux units (51 GHz in brightness temperature, Fig. 15).

The two models provide a very good fit of all data points. They yield similar results for the greybody parameters that characterize the dust thermal emission. These parameters match the corresponding ones derived from the fit of the data at $\nu \geq 70\,\text{GHz}$ in Sect. 6.2. They do not depend on the way the AME is modelled. The $\chi^2$ per degree of freedom of all fits is lower than unity. As for the greybody fits in Sect. 6.2, this results from the significant correlation of uncertainties across frequencies. To take this correlation into account, we run a Monte-Carlo simulation of each fit. We use each of the models in Table 4 as the input SED. We compute 1000 realizations of the data uncertainties using the results of a Principal Component Analysis of the 135 SEDs measured on the individual sky patches to parametrize the correlation across frequencies. We perform the spectral fits on each realization. The simulations show that the fit results are not biased, and provide the errors-bars in Table 4. We also find that the large errors-bars on the AME parameters for model 1 are highly correlated.

### 7.3. Spectral fit with an additional emission component

In this section, we discuss models 2 and 4 in Table 4, where we fix the spectral index of the greybody to the value $\beta_{\text{BB}} = 1.65$ inferred from the fit of the SED at $\nu \leq 150\,\text{GHz}$. To account for the flattening of the SED at lower frequencies, we add a third emission component to the AME and the greybody. This additional component is assumed to have a blackbody spectrum with the same temperature 19.8 K as that of the thermal dust emission. We refer to this as the blackbody (BB) component. For the frequency range over which this component is significant, the blackbody spectrum is a good approximation of magnetic dipole emission from ferro-magnetic particles or magnetic inclusions in dust grains, as modelled by Draine & Hensley (2013). Model 2 uses the same analytical model for the AME as model 1; model 4 uses the same two SPDUST spectra as model 3. As in models 1 and 3, we fit for five parameters since the amplitude of the BB component replaces the spectral index of the greybody as a free parameter.

The three components model provides a good fit to all 12 data points (top panel in Fig. 15). In particular, when added to the greybody component, the blackbody component accounts for the flattening of the spectral index of the thermal dust emission towards microwave frequencies. The specific opacity we find for the BB component is the same for both models. At 100 GHz, the blackbody component amounts to $(26 \pm 6)$% of the greybody dust emission. This fraction is within the range of plausible values for dipolar magnetic emission within the model of Draine & Hensley (2013), and somewhat lower than the value reported by Draine & Hensley (2012) to fit the SED of dust emission from the Small Magellanic Cloud (Bot et al. 2010; Israel et al. 2010; Planck Collaboration XVII 2011).

### Table 4. Spectral fits of the mean microwave dust SED.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model parameters</th>
<th>AME Analytical model</th>
<th>BB</th>
<th>Greybody</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_\nu(23,\text{GHz})$</td>
<td>$\nu_0$</td>
<td>$-m_{\text{BB}}$</td>
<td>$\tau_{\text{BB}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$13.0 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-20}$</td>
<td>$11 \pm 7$</td>
<td>$1.4 \pm 0.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$12.6 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-20}$</td>
<td>$19 \pm 6$</td>
<td>$2.2 \pm 1.0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notations: $T_\nu(23\,\text{GHz}) \equiv$ Brightness temperature, in $\mu\text{K}\,\text{cm}^2\,\text{H}^{-1}$; of AME at 23 GHz for models 1 and 2. $\nu_0$ and $-m_{\text{BB}} \equiv$ Peak frequency in gigahertz and slope at 60 GHz of AME spectrum in Eq. (10) for models 1 and 2. $\sigma_{\text{H}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{CNM}} \equiv$ Maximum brightness temperature of WNM and CNM SPDUST spectra, in $\mu\text{K}\,\text{cm}^2\,\text{H}^{-1}$, for models 3 and 4. $\nu_{\text{shift}} \equiv$ Frequency shift in gigahertz of the CNM SPDUST spectrum for models 3 and 4. $\tau_{\text{BB}} \equiv$ Specific opacity of the blackbody component, in $\text{cm}^2\,\text{H}^{-1}$, for models 2 and 4. $\beta_{\text{BB}} \equiv$ Spectral index of the greybody component. The spectral index is fixed to 1.65 for models 2 and 4. The temperature is 19.8 K for the greybody and blackbody components for all models. $\chi^2$/d.o.f. $\equiv$ $\chi^2$ of the fit per degree of freedom.
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Fig. 15. Mean microwave dust SED obtained by cross-correlating the Planck and WMAP data with the H I and 408 MHz templates (blacks dots for Planck and blue squares for WMAP). Top: model 1 in Table 4, with two emission components combining AME and greybody thermal dust emission with $\beta = 1.52$. The AME is fitted with the analytical model in Eq. (10). Bottom: spectral fit for model 3, where the AME is fitted with two SPDUST spectra peaking at different frequencies.

Magnetic dipole emission is not a unique way to account for the flattening of the dust SED at $\nu \leq 353$ GHz. We cannot exclude alternative interpretations. First, the blackbody component may be a phenomenological way to introduce the progressive flattening of the thermal dust emission at long wavelengths observed in laboratory experiments on amorphous silicate particles (Coupeaud et al. 2011). Within this interpretation it would represent the contribution from low energy transitions to the opacity of interstellar silicates (Meny et al. 2007). Second, the flattening of the dust SED could be due to an increasing contribution of carbon dust towards millimetre wavelengths. In the dust model of Jones et al. (2013), the emission from amorphous carbon grains becomes dominant at $\lambda > 1$ mm for a spectral index at microwave frequencies in agreement with that measured on the data.

The physical interpretation of the additional emission component that would account for the flattening of the dust SED at microwave frequencies is further discussed in Planck Collaboration Int. XXI (2014), where the SED of the polarized dust emission is presented. The three interpretations proposed here make different predictions for the dust polarization SED. Dipole magnetic emission from iron inclusions would decrease the polarization of the thermal dust emission from silicate grains because the two polarization angles are $90^\circ$ apart (Draine & Hensley 2013). Polarization may also allow us to distinguish between the carbon and silicate contributions to the SED flattening, if only the emission from silicates is polarized.

8. Summary

In a 7500 deg$^2$ cap around the southern Galactic pole, we characterize the correlation between far infrared and microwave Planck emission and $N_{HI}$ from the H I GASS survey. This study covers the part of the southern sky best suited to study the structure of the CMB and CIB. We characterize the correlation between dust and gas and the SED of the dust emission. The data analysis yields four main scientific results.

(1) The H I correlation analysis allows us to separate the dust emission from the CIB and CMB anisotropies, and to map the emission properties of dust at high Galactic latitudes. We map the dust temperature, and its submillimetre emissivity and opacity. The variations of the dust emissivity at 353 GHz are surprisingly large, ranging over a factor close to three. The dust temperature is observed to be anti-correlated with the dust opacity. We interpret these results as evidence of dust evolution within the diffuse ISM, and discuss them
within the context of existing models of dust. The mean dust opacity is measured to be \(7.1 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-27} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ H}^{-1} \times (\nu/353 \text{ GHz})^{1.53 \pm 0.03}\) for \(100 \leq \nu \leq 353 \text{ GHz}\). This is a reference value to estimate hydrogen masses from dust emission at submillimetre and millimetre wavelengths.

(2) Using a colour ratio between 353 and 217 GHz that is free from CMB, we determine the spectral index \(\beta_{\text{dust}}\) of the dust emission. We find a mean value of 1.51 that is remarkably constant over the field of our investigation; the standard deviation is 0.13. Variations of \(\beta_{\text{dust}}\) show no clear trend with the 353 GHz dust emissivity, nor with the dust temperature. We compare \(\beta_{\text{dust}}\) with the spectral index \(\beta_{\text{BB}}\) derived from greybody fits at \(\nu \geq 353 \text{ GHz}\). We find a systematic difference of \(\beta_{\text{dust}} - \beta_{\text{BB}} = 0.15\).

(3) We fit the SED of the microwave emission correlated with H1 from 23 to 353 GHz with two components, a parametric model or SPDUST spectra for AME, and a greybody for the thermal dust emission. We show that the flattening of the dust SED at \(\nu \leq 353 \text{ GHz}\) can be accounted for with an additional blackbody component. This additional component, which accounts for \((26 \pm 6)\%\) of the dust emission at 100 GHz, could represent magnetic dipole emission. Alternatively, it could represent the contribution from low energy transitions in amorphous solids to the opacity of interstellar silicates, or an increasing contribution from carbon dust. These interpretations make different predictions for the dust polarization SED measured by Planck.

(4) We analyse the residuals with respect to the dust-H1 correlation. We identify a Galactic contribution to these residuals, which we model with variations of the dust emissivity on angular scales smaller than the 15° patches of our correlation analysis. This model of the residuals is used to quantify uncertainties of the CIB power spectrum in a companion Planck paper (Planck Collaboration XXX 2014).

These results are important for defining future models of dust emission. Such models will need to include the evolution/variation of dust properties within the diffuse ISM. They are also valuable inputs to CIB and CMB studies. In Planck Collaboration XXX (2014), our analysis is used to determine the power spectrum of CIB anisotropies over a field more than an order of magnitude higher than in earlier studies. The spectral characterization of the dust emission is being combined with the all-sky analysis in Planck Collaboration XI (2014) to prepare a model of dust emission at microwave frequencies for CMB studies.

This paper opens the way to additional studies of the dust-H1 correlation. The methodology introduced in this paper is of general use to studies of the dust-H1 correlation with diverse science objectives. We have focused our scientific analysis on the emission properties of Galactic dust, leaving for further studies several aspects of the dust-gas correlation. In a future paper we will use the same data and method to quantify an upper limit on the dust-to-gas mass ratio in the MS gas, and to characterize H1 gas at Galactic velocities with no or only a faint counterpart in the Planck maps. The clouds of excess dust emission with respect to the H1 model also deserve further attention, to investigate where H2 forms within the diffuse ISM.
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Appendix A: Model of the dust emission

We detail how we construct a map of the model of the dust emission that is spatially correlated with the H I emission. The model of the dust emission $M$ is written as

$$M(\nu) = A_H(\nu) \times H_I + B(\nu), \quad (A.1)$$

where $A_H$ is a map at resolution $N_{\text{side}} = 512$ built from the correlation measure $\alpha_\nu$ in Eq. (2), $B$ is an offset map built from $\omega_\nu$ in Eq. (3), and $H_I$ is the $N_{\text{side}}$ template for the H I GASS data.

The $A_H$ and $B$ maps are computed from the results of the dust-H I correlation analysis over $15^\circ$ diameter patches, sampled on HEALPix pixels with a resolution $N_{\text{side}} = 32$.

Specifically, at each frequency, $A_H$ and $B$ maps are derived from the correlation measure and the offset maps (Sect. 3.1). Next we correct the correlation measures and the offsets for the CMB contributions, following the procedure presented in Appendix B. The offset map is also corrected for the CIB monopole using the values determined in Planck Collaboration XI (2014). Subsequently, we obtain the desired $A_H$ map by interpolating the map of correlation measures from $N_{\text{side}} = 32$ to 512 of the original data using a Gaussian kernel with a standard deviation equal to the $1.8^\circ$ pixel size at $N_{\text{side}} = 32$. This final $A_H$ map is a slightly smoothed version of the initial map of the correlation measures. We follow the same procedure to interpolate the map of offsets $\omega_\nu$ and get the desired $B$ map.

The CMB anisotropies and the noise increase the uncertainty of the dust emissivity and dust model for $\nu \leq 217$ GHz. To reduce these uncertainties at these low frequencies, in Planck Collaboration XXX (2014) but not in this paper for which this is not necessary, we choose to extrapolate the 353 GHz model using the greybody function in Eq. (8) for the mean temperature of 19.8 K and the map of spectral indices from Sect. 5.

Appendix B: CMB contribution to correlation measures

Here is how we proceed to find the CMB contribution to the correlation measures, i.e. the $\alpha(C_{HI})$ term in Eq. (6) in units of thermodynamic (CMB) temperature. The correlation measures corrected for the CMB contributions are used in Sect. 6 to compute the mean SED averaged over all sky patches, and in Appendix A for the dust model.

We assume that the dust SED at $100 \leq \nu \leq 353$ GHz is well approximated by a greybody spectrum with the spectral indices $\beta_{\text{ann}}$ determined in Sect. 5 and the mean dust temperature of 19.8 K. For each sky patch, we perform a linear fit between the correlation measures at 100, 143, 217, and 353 GHz and the greybody SED normalized to unity at 353 GHz using the greybody function in Eq. (8) for the mean temperature of 19.8 K and the map of spectral indices from Sect. 5.

To estimate the additional contributions to $\sigma(\epsilon_{HI})$ (Eq. (7)), the uncertainty of the dust emissivity, we compute a greybody spectrum for the dust emissivity or calculated with the SMICA CMB map. The standard deviation of the difference, $0.7 \mu$K per $10^{20}$ H cm$^{-2}$, is 3% of the standard deviation of $\sigma(C_{HI})$.

Appendix C: Uncertainty of the dust emissivity

In this Appendix, we quantify the uncertainty of the dust emissivity. In the first subsection, we quantify the uncertainties from the correlation analysis. In the second, we assess the uncertainties associated with the definition of the Galactic H I template that depends on the separation between Galactic and MS emission (see Sect. 2.2). Finally, we discuss uncertainties associated with subtraction of the zodiacal emission.

C.1. Correlation analysis

We describe how we estimate each of the contributions to $\sigma(\epsilon_{HI})$ (Eq. (7)), the uncertainty of the dust emissivity. At each Planck frequency, we obtain a noise map by computing and dividing by two the difference of the two maps made out of the first and second halves of each stable pointing period (Planck Collaboration VI 2014). For the DIRBE frequencies, we compute one Gaussian realization of the noise using the maps of data uncertainty. The noise maps are cross-correlated with the H I template using the same mask and over the same sky patches. The standard deviation of the correlation measures over all the sky patches yields the noise contribution to $\sigma(\epsilon_{HI})$ at each of the Planck and DIRBE frequencies.

To estimate the additional contributions to $\sigma(\epsilon_{HI})$, we use sky simulations of the Galactic emission and CIB anisotropies. For the Galactic maps, we consider only dust emission. We compute dust maps by multiplying the H I template with a Gaussian realization of the dust emissivity map as described in Appendix D. For the CMB and CIB anisotropies, we compute Gaussian realizations using the power spectra of the Planck best-fit CMB model in Planck Collaboration XV (2014), and of the CIB model at 857 GHz in Planck Collaboration XXX (2014). We scale CIB anisotropy simulations at 857 GHz to the full set of Planck-HFI and DIRBE frequencies using a mean SED of CIB anisotropies. This SED is a greybody fit to the $C_\ell$ values at $\ell = 500$ in Planck Collaboration XXX (2014). The spectral index is $\beta = 1$ and the temperature 18.3 K. We use 100 realizations of each of the Galactic, CIB and CMB maps. We cross-correlate each of the simulated maps with the H I template.

Fig. B.1. Histogram of the difference between two estimates of $\alpha(C_{HI})$ (the correlation measure between the CMB and the H I template), found assuming a greybody spectrum for the dust emissivity or calculated with the SMICA CMB map. The standard deviation of the difference, $0.7 \mu$K per $10^{20}$ H cm$^{-2}$, is 3% of the standard deviation of $\sigma(C_{HI})$. 
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using the same circular sky patches with 15° diameter as for the data analysis.

Each component is analysed separately from the others to estimate its specific contribution to the error budget. The uncertainty of the dust emissivity is quantified by comparing the emissivity derived from the correlation analysis with the mean value of the input emissivity map for each sky patch and each realization. For the CMB contribution, we use a fractional error $\delta_{\text{CMB}}$ of 3% from Appendix B. In Fig. C.1, the four contributions to the fractional error $\sigma_{\epsilon_H}/\epsilon_H$ are plotted versus frequency. The total uncertainty is the top solid line. We find that the Galactic residual contribution is dominant at $\nu > 217$ GHz, and the CMB contribution is dominant at lower frequencies.

C.2. Galactic H I template

To assess the uncertainties associated with the separation of the H I emission into Galactic and MS components (Sect. 2.2.2), we follow Planck Collaboration XXIV (2011) in correlating the Planck maps with three H I maps for the low velocity gas (the original single template), and for the IVC and HVC components (Sect. 2.2.3). We perform this analysis over the same sky patches, using the same mask, as in our cross-correlation with a single Galactic H I template (Sect. 3.3). We obtain dust emissivities for each of the three H I velocity components. The emissivities for the low velocity component are very close to those reported in the paper for our analysis with a single template. For example, at 857 GHz the fractional difference between the two sets of values (the ratio between the difference and the mean value computed for each sky patch) has a 1σ dispersion of 1.1%, which is small compared to the main uncertainties in Fig. C.1. The mean difference between the two sets of values is negligible.

C.3. Subtraction of the zodiacal emission

We end this Appendix by comparing dust emissivities obtained from the analysis of Planck maps with and without subtraction of the zodiacal emission. We find that the differences are minor. For example, at 857 GHz, the fractional difference in correlation measures has a mean of zero and a standard deviation of 1.4%, which is one order of magnitude lower than the total uncertainty in Fig. C.1. The differences are highest, but still small (up to 5%), in sky patches near the southern Galactic pole that are close to the zodiacal bands and where the Galactic emission is faint.

Appendix D: Simulations of Galactic residuals to the dust- H I correlation

A histogram of the residuals with respect to the dust-H I correlation is shown in Fig. 4. This Appendix describes how we simulate the Galactic contribution to the Gaussian part of this histogram. These simulations are used in Appendix C to estimate the contribution of Galactic residuals to the uncertainty of the dust emissivities, and in Planck Collaboration XXX (2014) to assess the associated contamination of the CIB power spectra.

It is beyond the scope of this appendix to explore fully the origin and nature of the Galactic residuals. We briefly discuss and quantify two possible contributions. (1) The residual Galactic emission could trace dust associated with diffuse ionized gas that is not spatially correlated with the H I template. The column density of this warm ionized medium is known to account for ~20% of the total gas column density over the high latitude sky (Gaensler et al. 2008). (2) The Galactic residuals could arise from variations of the dust emissivity on angular scales smaller than the 15° diameter of the sky patches used in our correlation analysis. These variations would be the extension to small scales of the variations mapped by our correlation analysis (Fig. 3). These two contributions are not mutually exclusive: it is possible that each contributes. We do not consider residual emission from molecular gas, however, because the molecular fraction of the gas is known from UV observations to be low at column densities lower than $3 \times 10^{20} \text{H cm}^{-2}$ (Savage et al. 1977; Gillmon et al. 2006).

We produce sky simulations including each of these hypothetical contributions to the Galactic residuals and realizations of the CIB power spectrum. We process these simulated maps through the same correlation analysis as used on the Planck 857 GHz map. The simulations show that for each hypothesis
we can match the amplitude and scatter of the values of $\sigma_{857}$ in Fig. 7; however, it is only when the simulated maps include significant variations in the dust emissivity that the simulations match the systematic trend of $\sigma_{857}$ growing with increasing $N_{\text{HI}}$. We find that simulations can account for the main statistical properties of the Galactic residuals at 857 GHz when the map of variable dust emissivity is a Gaussian realization of a $k^{-2.8}$ power spectrum, without needing any contribution from the warm ionized medium. The map of the dust emissivity is normalized to reproduce the mean value and the standard deviation measured from the correlation of the 857 GHz map and the H I template. We make multiple realizations of this specific model that are used in Appendix C and Planck Collaboration XXX (2014). The simulated maps at 857 GHz are scaled to other frequencies using the mean SED in Table 1. The simulations do not take into account the anti-correlation between the dust temperature and opacity.