Spin asymmetries for events with high $p_T$ hadrons in DIS and an evaluation of the gluon polarization
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We present a measurement of the longitudinal spin cross section asymmetry for deep-inelastic muon-nucleon interactions with two high transverse momentum hadrons in the final state. Two methods of event classification are used to increase the contribution of the photon-gluon fusion process to above 30%. The most effective one, based on a neural network approach, provides the asymmetries $A^{g→γhX}_{pT>0.07}$ and $A^{N→γhX}_{pT>0.03}$ at an average fraction of nucleon momentum carried by gluons ($\eta$) of 0.07. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.70.012002 PACS number(s): 13.60.Hb, 13.88.+e, 14.70.Dj
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Spin Muon Collaboration (SMC) has extensively studied polarized deep-inelastic lepton-nucleon scattering using the high energy muon beam at CERN and large targets containing polarized hydrogen and deuterium. The experimental study of the nucleon spin structure was pioneered in the 1970s [1]. The EMC experiment at CERN observed in the 1980s that only a small fraction of the proton spin is carried by the spin of the quarks [2]. The SMC results have confirmed this observation and have provided the first measurement of the spin structure of the deuteron which allowed for the verification of the fundamental Bjorken sum rule [3,4].
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![Image](700x500) FIG. 1. Lowest order diagrams for DIS $\gamma^*$ absorption: (a) leading process (LP), (b) gluon radiation (QCD-C), (c) photon-gluon fusion (PGF).

The high energy polarized data from SMC, combined with the high precision data from the SLAC [5] and DESY [6] experiments at lower energies, cover a kinematic range that allows for the analysis of the spin structure function $g_1$ in the framework of perturbative QCD. Various analyses have been performed at next-to-leading order, using different input parametrizations for the polarized parton densities and different choices for the fitted parameters [7,8]. They give consistent and precise results for the polarized quark densities, but have little sensitivity to the polarized gluon density $\Delta G$. This is expected since $g_1$ is sensitive to gluons only through its evolution in $Q^2$ and since the available data on $g_1$ cover only a relatively narrow range in $Q^2$ at any given value of $x$. In particular, it is still not possible to test the hypothesis, formulated many years ago, that gluon spins may account for a sizable fraction of the nucleon spin [9].

A direct measurement of the gluon polarization is possible via the photon-gluon fusion (PGF) process, which is illustrated in Fig. 1, together with the two other leading order processes: virtual photon absorption [the leading process (LP)] and gluon radiation [QCD Compton scattering (QCD-C)]. Since the PGF contribution to the cross section is small, the event selection should be very effective in discriminating the PGF process from background. This can be achieved by selecting events in which either a charmed particle or hadrons of large transverse momenta ($p_T$) relative to the virtual photon direction are produced [10,11]. Both possibilities will be used in the COMPASS experiment at CERN [12], which is presently collecting data.

In this paper we present an evaluation of the polarization of the gluon inside the nucleon, $\Delta G/G$, from data by the SMC. We restrict the analysis to the deep-inelastic scattering (DIS) region ($Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$) and select events with high $p_T$ hadrons. The SMC experimental setup is not optimized for the detection of hadrons produced at large angles, so the precision of the present result is limited. This is, however, the first attempt to tag the PGF process with light quark production in a polarized DIS experiment.

A determination of the gluon polarization from events with high $p_T$ hadrons has been attempted on the $ep$ data from the HERMES experiment [13] at lower incident energy and in a kinematic range where quasi-real photoproduction is dominant.

II. FORMALISM

The spin-dependent effects observed in experiments are generally small and have to be determined from the cross
section asymmetry defined as the ratio of the polarized (Δσ) and unpolarized (σ) cross sections:

\[ A^{EN} = \frac{\Delta \sigma}{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma^{\uparrow \downarrow} - \sigma^{\downarrow \uparrow}}{\sigma^{\uparrow \uparrow} + \sigma^{\downarrow \downarrow}} \tag{1} \]

where \( \uparrow \downarrow \) and \( \downarrow \downarrow \) refer to antiparallel and parallel spin configurations of the nucleon and the incoming lepton. At the parton level the hard-scattering cross section consists of three terms corresponding to the LP, QCD-C, and PGF processes. According to the factorization theorem, the cross sections \( \sigma \) and \( \Delta \sigma \) can be written as convolutions of the parton distributions \( (F, \Delta F) \), the hard-scattering cross sections \( (\hat{\sigma}, \Delta \hat{\sigma}) \), and the fragmentation functions \( (D) \) of partons into hadrons:

\[ \sigma = F \otimes \hat{\sigma} \otimes D, \]

\[ \Delta \sigma = F \otimes \Delta \hat{\sigma} \otimes D. \tag{2} \]

The parton distributions refer to quarks, antiquarks, and gluons. The spin-dependent distributions are denoted by \( \Delta q = q^+ - q^- \) for quarks and antiquarks and by \( \Delta G = G^+ - G^- \) for gluons. The corresponding spin-averaged distributions are \( q = q^+ + q^- \) and \( G = G^+ + G^- \). Here, the up and down arrows indicate the relative configurations of the parton spin with respect to the nucleon spin.

When the full expressions for \( \sigma \) and \( \Delta \sigma \) are inserted into Eq. (1), the expression for the cross section asymmetry for processes in which at least two hadrons with large transverse momenta are produced, \( A^{EN-\chi hhX} \), reads

\[ A^{EN-\chi hhX} = \frac{\Delta q}{q} \left( \langle \hat{a}_{LL} \rangle^{LP} R_{LP} + \langle \hat{a}_{LL} \rangle^{QCD-C} R_{QCD-C} \right) + \frac{\Delta G}{G} \langle \hat{a}_{LL} \rangle^{PGF} R_{PGF}, \tag{3} \]

in which \( \langle \hat{a}_{LL} \rangle = (\Delta \hat{\sigma} / 2 \hat{\sigma}) \) is the average partonic asymmetry of a given process, and \( R \) is the ratio of its cross section for the given process with respect to the total cross section in the analyzed sample of events. A measurement of the asymmetry \( A^{EN-\chi hhX} \) thus permits the evaluation of the gluon polarization if all other contributions in Eq. (3) are known. The quark asymmetry \( \Delta q / q \) is approximated by the value of the asymmetry \( A_1 \) obtained in inclusive measurements. The partonic asymmetries \( \hat{a}_{LL} \) are calculable and have been evaluated for simulated events in the kinematic region covered by the SMC data. On average they are positive for the LP and QCD-C processes and negative for the PGF process. The ratios \( R \) have been obtained from a sample of simulated events to which the same selection criteria have been applied as to the data.

The statistical precision of the gluon polarization determined from Eq. (3) depends on the precision of the measured asymmetry \( A^{EN-\chi hhX} \) and on the fraction of PGF events \( (R_{PGF}) \) in the final sample. Therefore, the aim of the present analysis is to select a large enough sample with a maximal contribution of PGF events.

The description of hadron production in muon DIS data in terms of the three processes of Fig. 1 has been successfully tested in previous experiments [14,15]. Other processes, such as those involving resolved photons, are expected to have small contributions for \( Q^2 \) above 1 GeV\(^2\) and are not considered here.

### III. Experiment

The experimental setup at the CERN muon beam consists of three major components: a polarized target, a magnetic spectrometer, and a muon beam polarimeter. A detailed description of the experiment and of the analysis of the inclusive data can be found in Refs. [4,16]. The muon beam polarization, \( P_B \), has been determined from the spin asymmetries measured in polarized muon-electron scattering and from the energy spectrum of positrons from muon decays and found to be \(-0.795 \pm 0.019\) for an average beam energy of 187.4 GeV [17]. The target consists of two cells filled with butanol, deuterated butanol, or ammonia [18]. The target protons and deuterons are polarized in opposite directions for the two cells by dynamic nuclear polarization. The average target polarizations \( P_T \) are approximately 0.90 for protons and 0.50 for deuterons, with relative uncertainties \( \Delta P_T / P_T \) of \( 3\%–5\% \). The polarization was reversed 5 times a day during the data taking periods.

The counting rate asymmetry \( A^{exp} \) is determined from the number of events counted in the upstream and downstream target cells before and after the polarization reversals. This is done by solving a second order equation, as described in [19].

The cross section asymmetry \( A^{EN-\chi hhX} \) related to \( A^{exp} \) by

\[ A^{EN-\chi hhX} = \frac{1}{P_B P_T} A^{exp}, \tag{4} \]

where \( f \) is the effective dilution factor, which accounts for the dilution of the spin asymmetries by the presence of unpolarizable nuclei in the target and also for radiative effects on the polarized proton or deuteron. The effect of the unpolarizable materials can be expressed in terms of the numbers \( n_A \) of nuclei with mass number \( A \) and the corresponding total spin-independent cross sections \( \sigma_A^{tot} \). The radiative effects on the proton or deuteron [16,20] are taken into account through the ratio of the one-photon-exchange cross section to the total cross section \( \rho = \sigma_{A \gamma} / \sigma_A^{tot} \). The evaluation of the effective dilution factor for inclusive events and for events with observed hadrons is described in Ref. [4]. The asymmetries are corrected for radiative effects modifying the polarization as described in Refs. [16,21]. In the present analysis the polarized radiative corrections and the dilution due to radiative effects are reduced because processes without hadrons are excluded.

### IV. Sample Selection

The analysis uses the total sample of data collected by the SMC experiment during the years 1993–1996 with a muon...
beam of $E = 190$ GeV and longitudinally polarized targets. The proton and deuteron samples have about the same size.

The standard SMC cuts on the inclusive kinematic variables [4], $v = E - E' > 15$ GeV and $E' > 19$ GeV, are imposed to reject events with poor kinematic resolution and muons from hadron decay, respectively. The cut $y = \nu/E < 0.9$ removes a region where the uncertainty due to radiative corrections is large. Two additional selections, closely related to the formalism used in the present analysis, are applied: A cut $Q^2 > 1$ GeV$^2$ rejects the region dominated by nonperturbative effects and allows one to interpret the results in terms of partons. A cut $y > 0.4$ removes events which carry little spin information owing to their small virtual photon polarizations. In addition, cuts on the muon scattering angle are applied to match the geometric acceptances of the hardware triggers.

Most hadrons in the LP have small transverse momenta $p_T$, which originate only from the intrinsic transverse momenta of quarks in the nucleon [22] and from the fragmentation mechanism. A different situation occurs for the QCD-C and PGF processes, where hadrons acquire transverse momenta mainly from primarily produced partons. For this reason, the requirement of two observed hadrons with large transverse momenta enhances the contribution of the PGF and QCD-C processes in the selected sample.

In the present analysis, the events of interest include a reconstructed incident muon, a scattered muon and at least two charged hadrons. They represent about 20% of the total number of events with a reconstructed beam and scattered muon used in the inclusive studies. Hadron tracks are accepted if they can be associated to the primary interaction point—i.e., the vertex—defined by the incoming and scattered muon tracks. The same association criteria as in the SMC analysis of Ref. [4] are applied. The contribution from the target fragmentation region is removed by cuts on the reduced longitudinal momentum of the hadron, $x_T > 0.1$, and on the hadron fractional energy, $z = E_h/\nu > 0.1$.

The further requirement of two hadrons with $p_T > 0.7$ GeV selects about 5% of the events passing all preceding selections. The contamination from electrons is expected to be negligible because electrons are generally produced at low $p_T$. This has been confirmed by examining the ratio of the energy deposited in the electromagnetic part of the calorimeter to the total deposited energy. No excess of events at 1.0 for tracks with $p_T > 0.5$ GeV was found. The total number of events after selections amounts to about 80,000 for the proton and 70,000 for the deuteron sample.

V. MONTE CARLO SIMULATION

A. Conditions for Monte Carlo generation

The interactions were simulated using the LEPTO 6.5 event generator [23] with a leading order parametrization of the unpolarized parton distributions [24]. The spin-dependent effects were calculated using POLDIS [25] with a consistent set of polarized parton distributions [26]. The kinematic limits of the Monte Carlo (MC) generation were defined so as to cover the full kinematic region of the data. Default values were used for most of the steering parameters of the LEPTO generator. In the following we discuss only the modified conditions and parameters.

The matrix elements of first order QCD processes exhibit collinear divergences in the cross channel and different schemes are used to avoid such singularities. The so-called $z\hat{s}$ scheme, which allows for lower values of the $\gamma^*\text{-parton}$ center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}$, was used in the simulation with modified cutoff parameters. The effect of the cutoff values on any observable distribution for events with high $p_T$ hadrons is marginal.

The description of interactions requires the choice of two scales: a factorization scale, which appears in the parton densities, and a renormalization scale, which appears in expressions depending on the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s$. The usual choice of $Q^2$ was made for both scales. In these conditions, after kinematic cuts on event variables only, the generated sample contains 8% PGF events.

In order to describe the data, it was found necessary to change the values of two parameters describing the quark fragmentation in JETSET [27]. The function $f(z) = z^{-\lambda}(1 - z)^\beta e^{-m_s^2 z}$, where $m_s^2 = m^2 + p_T^2$ and $m$ is the mass of the quark, expresses the probability that a fraction $z$ of the available energy will be carried away by a newly created hadron. The parameters $(a, b)$ were modified from their default values (0.3, 0.58) to (0.5, 0.1), a change making the fragmentation softer. This modification was inspired by a similar study done by the HERMES experiment [28,29]. It appears to work also in the present case, with smaller deviations from the default values. We note, however, that we consider here a particular sample of events and that we have no possibility to verify if a Monte Carlo sample generated with these modifications would correctly describe the full data. The uncertainty associated with these modifications has been estimated and is included in the systematic error.

B. Simulation of experimental conditions

The scattered muon track of each simulated event has been traced through the magnet aperture. The trigger conditions have been checked and prescaling factors have been applied to reproduce the relative trigger rates of the experiment in the simulated sample. The kinematic parameters of the muon and hadron tracks and the coordinates of the vertex position have been smeared according to the experimental resolution. In addition, the loss of tracks due to detector inefficiencies is taken into account by applying detection plane inefficiencies to the simulated events and by removing the tracks which do not longer fulfill the minimal requirements for reconstruction.

Secondary interactions of hadrons have to be taken into account to reproduce the distribution of interaction vertices along the target axis. Hadrons have been rejected from the sample according to the probability of reinteraction in the polarized target material. As an example, Fig. 2 shows the agreement obtained for the vertex position along the beam axis in one of the proton data sets.
The simulation has been performed separately for each year of data taking. To obtain a good description of the kinematic variables it is necessary to use specific beam parameters for every year, including small changes in angles, and to take into account the exact target position.

C. Comparison of simulations and data

The distributions of kinematic variables as well as the particle distributions in the detectors have been checked with identical selection criteria applied to the data and to the simulated events. For the latter, cuts have been applied to the smeared variables. The distributions of $x$ and $Q^2$ for interactions on protons are presented in Fig. 3. The obtained agreement is at the level of 10\%–25\% for all kinematic event variables. The level of agreement for deuterons is very similar.\[30\] The same comparisons have been made for the hadron observables. Clear discrepancies have been found in simulations performed with the unmodified fragmentation function for the hadron production angle $\theta$ and the longitudinal momentum $p_L$, while satisfactory agreement has been obtained for $p_T$, except at the highest values. The observed differences at the highest values of $p_T$ can be explained by the approximate description of the non-Gaussian tails of the distributions used for smearing and by the effects of real photon radiation, which are not taken into account in the present analysis. It has been checked that the discrepancy for the $\theta$ angle cannot be removed by using different smearing parametrizations or even by an artificial increase of the smearing. Agreement between data and simulation can be achieved only by applying an ad hoc cut on the hadron production angle $\theta > 0.02$ rad. This cut, however, removes about 25\% of the selected sample and cannot be justified since there is no reason why the simulation should fail to describe hadrons produced at low $\theta$. Therefore, modified simulation conditions providing a better description of the data have been searched for.

When the parameters of the fragmentation function are modified (cf. Sec. VA), the agreement becomes satisfactory over a wide range in $p_L$ and $\theta$. The comparison of the $p_L$ and $\theta$ distributions is shown in Fig. 4 for the hadron with highest $p_T$. Also the second hadron is well described by the simulation.\[30\] We conclude that the parameters of the longitudinal fragmentation function $f(z)$ have to be modified to obtain a good description of the data over the full range of the hadron production angle $\theta$. Since it is difficult to check if the modified set of parameters correctly describes the semi-inclusive hadron distributions, the analysis has been performed with the modified fragmentation as well as with the standard fragmentation and an additional cut on $\theta > 0.02$ rad.

VI. SELECTION OF THE PGF PROCESS

In order to compare the merits of various selections of PGF events, we define the efficiency $\epsilon$, which is the fraction of PGF events accepted by the selection criteria, and the purity $R_{PGF}$ [Eq. (3)], which is the ratio of the number of selected PGF events to the total number of selected events. The optimal selection is obviously the one providing the highest values of $\epsilon$ and $R_{PGF}$ but, in general, an increase of the purity is more important than a large increase of the efficiency.
where the actual process is known for each event. As a result, the procedure provides a single value, called “NN response,” within the range (0,1). High values of this response correspond to events which, according to the classification algorithm, are more likely to result from PGF than from background processes. A threshold on the network response can thus be used to select a PGF enriched sample.

The variation of $R_{PGF}$ vs $\varepsilon$ for various choices of the NN response threshold is shown in Fig. 5. It is seen that at equal efficiency the NN approach always provides samples with higher purity than the approach based on $\Sigma p_T^2$ selection. For further analysis, a threshold of 0.26 has been chosen, which corresponds to $R_{PGF}=0.33$ and $\varepsilon=0.56$. A similar purity is obtained with the $\Sigma p_T^2$ cut at 2.5 GeV$^2$ but with a lower efficiency of 30%. Therefore, a better statistical precision on the measured asymmetry is obtained with the NN method. Alternatively, a higher NN threshold corresponding to a PGF efficiency of 30% would yield a sample where the purity is about 37%—i.e., 6% higher than the value obtained with the $\Sigma p_T^2$ cut. The comparison of the two selected samples shows that the NN procedure selects a large fraction of events with $\Sigma p_T^2>2.5$ GeV$^2$ but also covers the lower range of $\Sigma p_T^2$. It has been checked that the distributions of NN responses are compatible for data and Monte Carlo events.

### VII. Spin Asymmetries $A^{(N-\ell hhX)}$

The SMC data taken from 1993 to 1996 have been split into periods of data taking, each containing about 15 days. The asymmetry for a given year is the weighted average of the asymmetries calculated for each period of data taking. Splitting the data into smaller subsamples gives identical results within the expected statistical fluctuations. The distribution of the vertex position along the beam axis, as presented in Fig. 2, shows that the ratio of acceptances for the upstream to downstream target cells is about 0.7. The method used for asymmetry calculation, described in [19], is suited for such an acceptance difference.

The asymmetry has been calculated for the entire sample, which has a purity $R_{PGF}=0.24$, and for the two selection methods with enhanced $R_{PGF}$ ($\Sigma p_T^2>2.5$ GeV$^2$ and NN response $>0.26$). The results given in Fig. 6 and Table I show that the asymmetries do not change significantly with the selection. The asymmetries obtained for the proton and for the deuteron are compatible within the errors. The statistical uncertainty is larger for the selection based on $\Sigma p_T^2$ because a smaller fraction of events is selected (28% vs 42%).

The uncertainties in the measured $A^{(N-\ell hhX)}$ asymmetry for the selected samples are dominated by statistics. The contributions to the systematic uncertainty on $A^{(N-\ell hhX)}$ are detailed in Table II for the two selections with enhanced $R_{PGF}$. The most significant systematic uncertainties arise from the false asymmetries, the fraction of radiative processes ($\rho$), and the polarized radiative corrections. For the false asymmetries an upper limit from the time variation of the acceptance has been evaluated under the assumption that the reconstruction for each of the three tracks (the scattered muon and the two hadrons) is affected independently. The method
used for estimating these effects is described in Ref. [31].
The radiative corrections are small due to the limited phase
space available for real photon emission in the events where
a significant fraction of the available energy is taken by
the two hadrons with large \( p_T \). The uncertainties in \( p_T \) and in the
polarized radiative corrections were taken equal to the full
size of the inelastic contribution. The effect of real photon
radiation on the event kinematics and, in particular, on the
value of \( p_T \) itself has not been taken into account in view of
the limited precision of the present data.

VIII. DETERMINATION OF THE GLUON POLARIZATION

The gluon polarization is evaluated from Eq. (3) using the
measured \( A^{IN \rightarrow hhX} \) asymmetry, obtained for the samples
with enhanced \( R_{PGF} \), quoted in Table I. In view of the
strong dependence of the resulting gluon polarization on the
information obtained from the Monte Carlo simulation, spe-
cial attention must be given to the consistency of data and
simulated events. The level of the agreement reached can be
judged by comparing the distributions shown in Figs. 2–4.

The quark polarization entering in the first term on the
right-hand side of Eq. (3) is approximated by the average
value of the inclusive asymmetry \( A_1(x) \) for the full proton
and deuteron samples. The average is computed using the
parametrization of \( A_1(x) \) from the fit to all existing data and
the \( x \) value of every selected event.

The partonic asymmetries \( \hat{a}_{LL} \) for each of the subpro-
cesses are calculated for each Monte Carlo event and are
averaged. The values for LP and QCD-C are very similar for
the \( \Sigma p_T^2 \) cut and the NN selection, \( \langle \hat{a}_{LL} \rangle^{LP} = 0.8 \) and
\( \langle \hat{a}_{LL} \rangle^{QCD-C} = 0.6 \), on average. The value of \( \langle \hat{a}_{LL} \rangle^{PGF} \) is
\( -0.44 \) and \( -0.49 \) for the \( \Sigma p_T^2 \) cut and the NN selection,
respectively.

The values of the ratios \( R \) provided by the simulation for
the LP, QCD-C, and PGF processes are 0.26, 0.43, and 0.31
for the selection on \( \Sigma p_T^2 \) and 0.38, 0.29, and 0.33 for the NN
selection. The contributions of the different processes for the
proton and deuteron samples differ by less than 0.02.

The gluon polarization is determined for the kinematic
region covered by the selected sample and corresponds to a
fraction \( \eta \) of nucleon momentum carried by the gluon given by

\[
\eta = x \left( \frac{\hat{s}}{Q^2} + 1 \right).
\]

This quantity is known for simulated events but cannot be
directly determined from the data. Nevertheless, \( \hat{s} \) can be
approximately calculated from the virtual photon energy in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributions to the systematic error on ( A^{IN \rightarrow hhX} )</th>
<th>Proton data</th>
<th>Deuteron data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Sigma p_T^2 )</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target polarization</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam polarization</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution factor</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target composition</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho ) factor</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarized rad. corr.</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total systematic error</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. The contributions to the systematic error of \( A^{IN \rightarrow hhX} \) with the \( \Sigma p_T^2 > 2.5 \) \( \text{GeV}^2 \) cut and with the NN response
\( > 0.26 \) for the proton and deuteron data. The first and last contributions are additive; the others are proportional to the asymmetry.
the laboratory system and from the angles $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ defined by the directions of the two hadrons with respect to the virtual photon:

$$\hat{s} = \nu^2 \tan(\theta_1) \tan(\theta_2).$$

(6)

To check the validity of this approximation in our kinematic conditions, we have compared the generated $\eta$ and the one calculated from the above equation for selected PGF events. The calculated values are on average 25% higher than the generated ones. The averaged value of the generated $\eta$ for the selected PGF events in the Monte Carlo simulation is used as the reference value for the result on $\Delta G/G$. We have also checked the average values of $\eta$ calculated for all simulated events and obtained the values 0.15 for the cut $\Sigma p_T^* > 2.5$ GeV$^2$ and 0.10 for the NN response > 0.26. For both selection methods the values of $\eta$ calculated for all simulated events and for the data are very close. The results on the gluon polarization and the values of $\langle \eta \rangle$ are presented in Table III.

In addition to the systematic errors on the measured asymmetry discussed in Sec. VII and given in Table II, the asymmetry $A_1$, the fractions $R$, and the partonic asymmetries $\langle \hat{a}_{LL} \rangle$ contribute to the systematic error in $\Delta G/G$. The contribution due to the asymmetry $A_1$ is determined from the uncertainty in $A_1$ at the averaged value of $x$ and thus from the errors on the fit parameters. The value of $A_1$ at the average $x$ agrees with the average $A_1$ calculated from the fit for each event to within 0.001.

The dominant contributions to the systematic error are due to the uncertainties on the values of $R$ and $\langle \hat{a}_{LL} \rangle$. They are estimated by comparing the results obtained from Monte Carlo simulations with different parameters. For this purpose, a sample of LEPTO events was generated with the same kinematic and hadron selections but with modified renormalization and factorization scales, cutoffs, and fragmentation function parameters. Scales of $Q^2/2$ and $2 Q^2$ are used for comparison and provide an estimate of the stability of the leading order approximation used here. Results with standard and modified parameters (see Sec. V A) in the fragmentation function were compared. Since only the simulations which reproduce the data should be considered, a cut on the hadron angle $\theta$ is applied, as explained in Sec. V C. The value of the gluon polarization calculated with this new Monte Carlo sample was compared to the one obtained under the conditions described in Sec. V A. This procedure was repeated several times with slightly different cuts and with different NN thresholds. For the NN approach a complication arises from the fact that any change in the simulation procedure leads to a different selection on the data. To avoid the fluctuation of the gluon polarization due to a variation of the measured asymmetry, the value of this asymmetry has been artificially frozen when comparing results for different Monte Carlo samples. The individual contributions to the systematic error are given, for both selection methods, in Table IV. It has been checked that the effect of combined modifications in the Monte Carlo simulations is smaller than the sum of the individual uncertainties. The maximal variation of $R_{PGF}$ and $\langle \hat{a}_{LL} \rangle$ is found to be 20% and 4%, respectively.

As discussed before, the NN selection provides a more accurate result than the selection based on $\Sigma p_T^*$ cuts. The statistical error is, however, too large to draw definitive conclusions on the contribution of $\Delta G$ to the nucleon spin. The systematic uncertainty is small compared to the statistical error. The demand of good agreement between the simulation and the data forms an important limitation in estimating the systematic uncertainties. For this reason, an increase in statistical precision is expected to lead to further improved systematic uncertainty estimates.

By averaging the results for the proton and the deuteron obtained with the neural network classification we obtain $\Delta G/G = -0.20 \pm 0.28$ (stat) $\pm 0.10$ (syst).

**IX. CONCLUSIONS**

We have evaluated for the first time the gluon polarization from the spin asymmetries measured in lepton-nucleon DIS events with $Q^2 > 1$ GeV$^2$ including two hadrons with large transverse momentum in the final state. The analysis is performed at leading order in QCD and is based on the comparison of selected data samples with simulated events provided by the LEPTO generator. The partonic asymmetry $\hat{a}_{LL}$ is mostly negative for the photon-gluon fusion process while it is positive for the two competing processes: the leading process and gluon radiation. The relative contribution of photon-gluon fusion is enhanced to about 30% by applying a cut on $\Sigma p_T^* > 2.5$ GeV$^2$ or by using a neural network classification.

The average gluon polarization obtained for the SMC data is close to zero with a large statistical error $(\sim 0.30)$. The precision is limited by the reduction of the analyzed event
sample to less than 1% of the DIS sample by the hadron selection requirements. It is thus expected to be improved by higher counting rates and a larger hadron acceptance in ongoing and future experiments.
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