Measurement of the Time-Dependent $CP$ Asymmetry in the $B^0 \rightarrow φK^0$ Decay
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We present a measurement of the time-dependent $CP$ asymmetry for the neutral $B$-meson decay $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^0$. We use a sample of approximately $114 \times 10^6$ $B$-meson pairs taken at the Y(4S) resonance with the BABAR detector at the PEP-II $B$-meson factory at SLAC. We reconstruct the $CP$ eigenstates $\phi K^0_S$ and $\phi K^0_L$, where $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$, $K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, and $K^0_L$ is observed via its
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Decays of $B$ mesons into charmless hadronic final states with a $\phi$ meson are dominated by $b \to s\bar{s}s$ gluonic penguin amplitudes, possibly with smaller contributions from electroweak penguins, while other standard model (SM) amplitudes are strongly suppressed [1]. In the SM, CP violation arises from a single complex phase in the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) quark-mixing matrix [2]. Neglecting CKM-suppressed contributions, the time-dependent CP-violating asymmetries in the decays $B^0 \to \phi K^0$ and $B^0 \to J/\psi K^0$ are proportional to the same parameter $\sin 2\beta$ [3], where the latter decay is dominated by tree diagrams. Since many scenarios of physics beyond the SM introduce additional diagrams with heavy particles in the penguin loops and new CP-violating phases, comparison of CP-violating observables with SM expectations is a sensitive probe for new physics. Measurements of $\sin 2\beta$ in $B$ decays to charm vectors such as $B^0 \to J/\psi K^0_S$ have been reported by the BABAR [4] and Belle [5] Collaborations, and the world average for $\sin 2\beta$ is $0.731 \pm 0.056$ [6]. The Belle Collaboration states [7] that their result for $B^0 \to \phi K^0_S$, $\sin 2\beta = -0.96 \pm 0.50^{+0.09}_{-0.11}$, suggests that there is a large CP-violating phase in its decay amplitude, which cannot be explained by the SM.

In this Letter we report a measurement of the time-dependent CP asymmetry in the final state $\phi K^0$ on an integrated luminosity of approximately 108 fb$^{-1}$ collected at the Y(4S) resonance with the BABAR detector [8] at the PEP-II asymmetric $e^+e^-$ collider [9] located at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center.

From a $B^0\bar{B}^0$ meson pair we fully reconstruct one meson, $B_{CP}$, in the final state $\phi K^0$, and partially reconstruct the recoil $B$ meson, $B_{\text{tag}}$. We examine $B_{\text{tag}}$ for evidence that it decayed either as $B^0$ or $\bar{B}^0$ (flavor tag). The asymmetric beam configuration in the laboratory frame provides a boost of $\gamma = 0.56$ to the $Y(4S)$, which allows the determination of the proper decay time difference $\Delta t = t_{CP} - t_{\text{tag}}$ from the vertex separation of the two neutral $B$ mesons along the beam ($z$) axis. The decay rate $f_+ (f_-)$ when the tagging meson is a $B^0 (\bar{B}^0)$ is given by

$$f_\pm(\Delta t) = \frac{1}{4 \tau_{B^0}} \left[ 1 \pm S_{\phi K} \sin(\Delta m_d \Delta t) \right] \mp C_{\phi K} \cos(\Delta m_d \Delta t),$$

where $\tau_{B^0}$ is the neutral $B$ meson mean lifetime, and $\Delta m_d$ is the $B^0\bar{B}^0$ oscillation frequency. The time-dependent CP-violating asymmetry is defined as $A_{CP} = (f_+ - f_-)/(f_+ + f_-)$. In the SM, decays that proceed purely via the $b \to s\bar{s}s$ penguin transitions have CP parameters

$$S_{\phi K} = -\eta_f \sin 2\beta$$

and

$$C_{\phi K} = 0,$$

where $\beta = \arg[-V_{cb}V_{cb}^*/V_{td}V_{tb}^*]$. Here $V_{ik}$ is the CKM matrix element for quarks $i$ and $k$, and the CP eigenvalue is $\eta_f = -1 (1 + 1)$ for $\phi K^0_S (\phi K^0_L)$.

The $B_{CP}$ candidate is reconstructed in the decay mode $\phi K^0$ with $\phi \to K^+ K^-$, the $K^0$ is either a $K^0_L$ or a $K^0_S \to \pi^+ \pi^-$. We combine pairs of oppositely charged tracks extrapolated to a common vertex to form $\phi$ and $K^0_S$ candidates. For the charged tracks from the $\phi$ decay we require at least 12 measured drift-chamber (DCH) coordinates and a minimal transverse momentum $p_T$ of 0.1 GeV/c. The tracks must also originate within 3 cm in $x$ or $y$ and 1 cm along the $z$ axis of the nominal beam spot. Tracks used to reconstruct the $\phi$ mesons are distinguished from pion and proton tracks via a requirement on a likelihood ratio that combines $dE/dx$ information from the silicon vertex tracker (SVT) and the DCH for tracks with momentum $p < 0.7$ GeV/$c$. For tracks with higher $p$, $dE/dx$ in the DCH and the Cherenkov angle and the number of photons as measured by the internally reflecting ring-imaging Cherenkov detector are used in the likelihood. The two-kaon invariant mass must be within 16 MeV/c$^2$ of the nominal $\phi$ mass [6].

For tracks corresponding to $K^0_S$ and $B_{\text{tag}}$ daughters our requirements are less restrictive. A $K^0_S \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ candidate is accepted if its two-pion invariant mass is within 15 MeV/c$^2$ of the nominal $K^0$ mass [6], its reconstructed decay vertex is separated from the $\phi$ decay vertex by at least 3 standard deviations, and the angle between the line connecting the $\phi$ and $K^0_S$ decay vertices and the $K^0_S$ momentum direction is less than 45 mrad.

We identify a $K^0_L$ candidate as in our $B^0 \to J/\psi K^0$ analysis [10] either as a cluster of energy deposits in the electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC) or as a cluster of hits in two or more layers of the instrumented flux return (IFR) that cannot be associated with any charged track in the event. The $K^0_L$ energy is not well measured. Therefore, we determine the $K^0_L$ laboratory momentum from its flight direction as measured from the EMC or IFR cluster and the constraint that the invariant $\phi K^0_L$ mass agrees with the known $B^0$ mass. In those cases where the $K^0_L$ is detected in both the IFR and EMC we use the angular information from the EMC, as it has a higher precision. In order to reduce background from $\pi^0$ decays, we reject an EMC $K^0_L$ candidate cluster if it forms an invariant mass between 100 and 150 MeV/c$^2$ with any other cluster in the event under the $\gamma \gamma$ hypothesis, or if it has energy greater than 1 GeV and contains two shower maxima consistent with two photons from a $\pi^0$ decay. The remain-
ing background of photons and overlapping showers is further reduced with the use of a neural network constructed from cluster shape variables, trained on Monte Carlo (MC) simulated \( B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^0_S \) and measured radiative Bhabha events, and tested on measured \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi(\rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0) \gamma \) and \( B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0_S \) events. The final \( \phi K^0_S \) sample consists of approximately equal numbers of IFR and EMC \( K^0_L \) candidates.

The results are extracted from an extended unbinned maximum likelihood fit for which we parametrize the distributions of several kinematic and topological variables for signal and background events in terms of probability density functions (PDFs) [11]. The background arises primarily from random combinations of tracks produced in events of the type \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow q \bar{q} \), where \( q = u, d, s, c \) (continuum). Background from other \( B \) decay final states with and without charm is estimated with MC simulations. Opposite \( CP \) contributions from the \( K^+ K^- K^0 \) final state \((K^+ K^- S \) wave) are estimated with a moment analysis [12] on data to be less than 6.6\% and treated as a systematic error. The shapes of event variable distributions are obtained from signal and background MC samples and high-statistics data control samples.

Each \( B_{CP} \) candidate is characterized by the energy difference \( \Delta E = E_0 - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{s} \) and, except for \( B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^0_L \), the beam-energy–substituted mass \( m_{ES} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} s + p_0 \cdot p_B}/E_0^2 - p_B^2 \) [8]. The subscripts 0 and 1 refer to the initial \( Y(4S) \) and the \( B_{CP} \) candidate, respectively, and the asterisk denotes the \( Y(4S) \) rest frame. For signal events, \( \Delta E \) is expected to peak at zero, and \( m_{ES} \) at the nominal \( B \) mass. We require \( \Delta E < 0.08 \text{ GeV} \) for \( B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^0_S \) and \( \Delta E < 0.2 \text{ GeV} \) and \( m_{ES} > 5.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \) for \( B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^0_L \). In the fit we also use the helicity angle \( \theta_H \), which is defined as the angle between the directions of the \( K^+ \) and the parent \( B_{CP} \) in the \( K^+ K^- \) rest frame. The \( \cos \theta_H \) distribution for pseudoscalar-vector \( B \) decay modes is \( \cos \theta_H \), and for the combinatorial background it is nearly uniform.

In continuum events, particles appear bundled into jets. This topology can be characterized with several variables computed in the c.m. frame. One such quantity is the angle \( \theta_T \) between the thrust axis of the \( B_{CP} \) candidate and the thrust axis formed from the other charged and neutral particles in the event. We also use the angle \( \theta_B \) between the \( B_{CP} \) momentum and the beam axis, and the sum of the momenta \( p_i \) of the other charged and neutral particles in the event weighted by the Legendre polynomials \( L_0(\theta_i) \) and \( L_2(\theta_i) \) where \( \theta_i \) is the angle between the momentum of particle \( i \) and the thrust axis of the \( B_{CP} \) candidate. For \( B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^0_S \) candidates, we combine these variables into a Fisher discriminant \( F \) [13] after requiring \( | \cos \theta_F | < 0.9 \). In this mode background from other \( B \) decays is negligible, as demonstrated in MC simulation studies.

More stringent criteria must be applied to suppress backgrounds in the case of \( B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^0_L \) candidates, and we require \( | \cos \theta_F | < 0.8 \) and \( | \cos \theta_H | < 0.85 \). We define the missing momentum \( \vec{p}_{miss} \), calculated in the laboratory frame from the sum of beam momenta and all tracks and EMC clusters, excluding the \( K^0_L \) candidate. We require the polar angle \( \theta_{miss} \) of the missing momentum with respect to the beam direction to be greater than 0.3 rad. The cosine of the angle between \( \vec{p}_{miss} \) and the \( K^0_L \) direction, \( \theta_K \), must satisfy \( \cos \theta_K > 0.6 \). In the plane transverse to the beam direction, the difference between the missing momentum projected along the \( K^0_L \) direction and the calculated \( K^0_L \) momentum must be greater than \(-0.75 \text{ GeV}/c \). In the Fisher discriminant we replace \( | \cos \theta_H | \) by the cosine of the angle between the missing momentum and the \( K^+ \) from the \( \phi \) decay. In the \( \phi K^0_L \) sample about 1.4\% of the events originate from charm \( B \) decays; 0.7\% originate from charmless \( B \) decays. The dominant \( CP \) contamination is the mode \( B \rightarrow \phi K^{*0} \), where the \( K^{*0} \) decays to \( K^0_L \pi^0 \); we expect four events in the region \( \Delta E < 0.01 \text{ GeV} \). In the likelihood fit we explicitly parametrize backgrounds from both charm and charmless \( B \) decays as derived from MC simulations.

All the other tracks and clusters that are not associated with the reconstructed \( B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^0 \) decay are used to form the \( B_{tag} \) and its flavor is determined with a multivariate tagging algorithm [4]. The tagging efficiency \( \epsilon \) and mis-tag probability \( \nu \) in four hierarchical and mutually exclusive categories is measured from fully reconstructed \( B^0 \) decays into the \( D^{(*)} X^+ \) \( \left[(X^+ = \pi^+, \rho^+, a_1^+)\right] \) and \( J/\psi K^{*0} \) \( \left[K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \right] \) flavor eigenstates \( (B_{flav} \text{ sample}) \). The analyzing power \( \epsilon(1 - 2\nu)^2 \) is \( 28.7 \pm 0.7 \% \).

A detailed description of the \( \Delta t \) reconstruction algorithm is given in Ref. [10]. The \( B_{CP} \) vertex resolution is dominated by the \( \phi \) vertex. The average \( \Delta t \) resolution is 190 \( \mu \text{m} \) and is dominated by the tagging vertex in the event. Thus, we can characterize the resolution with the much larger \( B_{flav} \) sample, which we fit simultaneously with the \( CP \) samples. The amplitudes for the \( B_{CP} \) asymmetries and for the \( B_{flav} \) flavor oscillations are reduced by the same factor due to wrong tags. Both distributions are convoluted with a common \( \Delta t \) resolution function, and the backgrounds are accounted for by adding terms to the likelihood, incorporated with different assumptions about their \( \Delta t \) evolution and resolution function [10].

Since we measure the correlations among the observables to be small in the data samples entering the fit, we take the probability density function \( P_i \) for each event \( j \) to be a product of the PDFs for the separate observables. For each event hypothesis \( i \) (signal, backgrounds) and tagging category \( c \), we define \( P^i_{j,c} = P_i(m_{ES}) \cdot P_i(\Delta E) \cdot P_i(F) \cdot P_i(\cos \theta_H) \cdot P_i(\Delta \tau; \sigma_{\Delta \tau}, c) \), where for the \( \phi K^0_L \) mode \( P_i(m_{ES}) = 1 \) and for the flavor sample \( P_i(F) \cdot P_i(\cos \theta_H) = 1 \). The \( \sigma_{\Delta \tau} \) is the error on \( \Delta t \) for a given event. The likelihood function for each decay chain is
then

\[ L = \prod_c \exp\left(-\sum_i N_{i,c} \prod_f \sum_i N_{i,c} \mathcal{T}_{i,c}^f \right), \]

where \( N_{i,c} \) is the yield of events of hypothesis \( i \) found by the fitter in category \( c \), and \( N_f \) is the number of category \( c \) events in the sample. The total sample consists of 86,200 \( B_{\text{inv}} \), 2,138 \( \phi K_S^0 \), and 4,730 \( \phi K_L^0 \) candidates. We find 70 ± 9 \( \phi K_S^0 \) and 52 ± 16 \( \phi K_L^0 \) signal events. The signal yields in both the \( \phi K^0 \) channels agree well with our determination of the branching fraction for \( B^0 \to \phi K^0 \) [14]. Figure 1 shows the \( m_{\text{ES}}(\Delta E) \) distribution of \( \phi K_S^0 \) (\( \phi K_L^0 \)) events together with the result from the fit after a requirement on the likelihood (computed without the variable plotted) to enhance the sensitivity.

We determine the \( CP \) parameters \( S_{\phi K} \) and \( C_{\phi K} \) along with an additional 38 free parameters: the efficiency per tagging category (4 parameters), the average mistag fraction and the difference between \( B^0 \) and \( \bar{B}^0 \) mistags for each tagging category (8), and the signal \( \Delta t \) resolution (9). For the background we parametrize time dependence (6), \( \Delta \text{resolution} \) (3), and mistag fractions (8). We fix \( \tau_{\phi^0} \) and \( \Delta m_{\phi} \) to the world averages [6].

The result in the dominant channel \( B^0 \to \phi K_S^0 \) is \( S_{\phi K} = 0.45 \pm 0.43 \) and \( C_{\phi K} = -0.38 \pm 0.37 \) with statistical errors only. Figure 2 shows the \( \Delta t \) distributions of the \( B^0 \)- and \( \bar{B}^0 \)-tagged subsets together with the raw asymmetry for the \( \phi K_S^0 \) and \( \phi K_L^0 \) events with the result of the combined time-dependent \( CP \)-asymmetry fit superimposed.

We consider systematic uncertainties in the \( CP \) coefficients \( S_{\phi K} \) and \( C_{\phi K} \) due to the event-yield determination in the two channels (\( \pm 0.01 \) for \( S_{\phi K} \), \( \pm 0.05 \) for \( C_{\phi K} \)), contributions from \( B^0 \) final states with opposite \( CP \) (\( \pm 0.06, \pm 0.02 \)), the parametrization of PDFs for the event yield in signal and background (\( \pm 0.02, \pm 0.05 \)), composition and \( CP \) asymmetry of the background (\( \pm 0.03, \pm 0.03 \)), and the assumed parametrization of the \( \Delta t \) resolution function (\( \pm 0.02, \pm 0.01 \)). The \( m_{\text{ES}} \) background parametrization (\( \pm 0.02, \pm 0.05 \)), a possible difference in statistical errors only. The simultaneous fit to the \( \phi K^0 \) and flavor decay modes yields

\[
\begin{align*}
S_{\phi K} & = 0.47 \pm 0.34 \text{(stat)}^{+0.08}_{-0.06} \text{(syst)}, \\
C_{\phi K} & = 0.01 \pm 0.33 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.10 \text{(syst)}.
\end{align*}
\]
the efficiency for $B^0$ and $\bar{B}^0$ (± 0.01, ± 0.02), the fixed values for $\Delta m_d$ and $\tau_B$ (± 0.00, ± 0.01), the beam-spot position (± 0.01, ± 0.01), and uncertainties in the SVT alignment (± 0.01, ± 0.01). The bias in the coefficients due to the fit procedure (± 0.03, ± 0.01) is included in the uncertainty without making corrections to the final results. We estimate errors due to the effect of doubly CKM-suppressed decays [15] to be (± 0.01, ± 0.03). We add these contributions in quadrature to obtain the total systematic uncertainty.

In summary, we have measured the time-dependent CP asymmetries in the combined $B$-meson final states $\phi K^0_S$ and $\phi K^0_L$. We obtain values for the CP-violation parameters $S_{\phi K}$ and $C_{\phi K}$ that agree within 1 standard deviation with the ones measured in the charmonium channels [4,5]; the central value of $S_{\phi K}$ is also consistent with no CP asymmetry at the 1.3σ level. Our value of $S_{\phi K}$ differs by 2.3 standard deviations from that measured by the Belle Collaboration [7].
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