Search for the Rare Decay $B^0 \to \tau^+ \tau^-$ at $\bar{B}A\bar{B}AR$
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241802-2
We present the results of a search for the decay $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ in a data sample of $(232 \pm 3) \times 10^6$ $Y(4S) \rightarrow B \bar{B}$ decays using the BABAR detector. Certain extensions of the standard model predict measurable levels of this otherwise rare decay. We reconstruct fully one neutral $B$ meson and seek evidence for the signal decay in the rest of the event. We find no evidence for signal events and obtain $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) < 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$ at the 90% confidence level.
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None of the leptonic decays $B^0 \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ ($\ell = e, \mu, \tau$) have been observed. In the standard model of particle physics, the decays can be mediated by box and penguin diagrams (Fig. 1). The standard model produces only the combinations $\ell^+_1 \ell^-_1$ and $\ell^+_2 \ell^-_2$. The amplitudes for the decay of a spin-zero particle to these states are proportional to $m_\ell$ and thus the decay rates are suppressed by $(m_\ell/m_B)^2$. The suppression is smallest for $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ due to the large $\tau$ mass. The standard model prediction for the $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ branching fraction is [1]

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{SM}}(B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) = 1.2 \times 10^{-7} \left[ \frac{f_B}{200 \text{ MeV}} \right]^2 \left[ \frac{|V_{td}|}{0.007} \right]^2,$$  

(1)

where $f_B$ is the $B$ decay constant and $V_{td}$ is the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix element. The theoretical uncertainty on $f_B$ and the experimental error on $V_{td}$ dominate the uncertainty on the predicted branching fraction.

Extensions of the standard model containing leptoquarks, which couple leptons to quarks, predict enhancements for $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-)$ [2] that are proportional to the square of the leptoquark coupling. In theories that contain two Higgs doublet fields [3,4], since $B^0 \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ has not been observed, one can only constrain model parameters using the measured branching fraction limits. While $\tan\beta$ is constrained by all three modes ($\ell = e, \mu, \tau$), only $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ can constrain the coupling of a leptoquark to the third lepton generation or other new physics involving only the third generation.

The analysis described here provides the first upper limit on $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-)$. The data were collected with the BABAR detector at the asymmetric PEP-II $e^+ e^-$ storage ring. A full description of the BABAR detector is given in Ref. [5]. In brief, charged-particle momenta are measured with a tracking system comprised of a silicon vertex detector (SVT) and a drift chamber (DCH) placed within a highly uniform 1.5-T magnetic field generated by a superconducting solenoid. Electron and photon energies are measured with an electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC) constructed with thallium-doped CsI scintillating crystals. Muons are distinguished from hadrons in a steel magnetic-flux return instrumented with resistive plate chambers (IFR). Charged-particle identification is provided by a Cherenkov detector (DIRC) and the tracking system. The data sample consists of 210 fb$^{-1}$ collected at the peak of the $Y(4S)$ resonance, which corresponds to $232 \pm 3 \times 10^6$ $B \bar{B}$ pairs. The expected background and the expected signal efficiency are obtained from Monte Carlo simulation samples. The sample events were generated with the EVTGEN event simulator [6] and propagated through a detailed model of the BABAR detector using the GEANT4 detector simulator [7].

Isolating $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ poses a unique challenge. This decay contains at least two and as many as four neutrinos, so there is no kinematic discriminant that separates signal from background due to undetected particles. Since two $B$ mesons are produced in an $Y(4S)$ decay, the misassignment of decay products to the parent $B$ must be avoided. We completely reconstruct one $B$ candidate in each event (hereafter referred to as the companion $B$) and search for the signal decay among the remaining detected particles. The combinatorial background in the companion-$B$ reconstruction is determined by a fit to the companion-$B$ invariant mass distribution. We employ the parameters

$$m_{\text{ES}} = \sqrt{E_{\text{beam}}^2 - p_B^* r_B^*},$$

(2)

$$\Delta E = E^*_B - E_{\text{beam}}^*,$$

(3)

where $p_B^*$ and $E_{\text{beam}}^*$ are the reconstructed companion-$B$ momentum and energy in the center-of-mass (c.m.) frame. $E_{\text{beam}}^*$ is the beam energy in the c.m. frame. The $m_{\text{ES}}$ distributions are fit with a probability density function composed of a Crystal Ball function [8] to model the

FIG. 1. Standard model box and penguin processes that can mediate $B^0 \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ ($\ell = t, c, u$).
peak at the $B$ mass and an ARGUS function [9] to model the nonpeaking combinatorial background.

The companion $B$ is fully reconstructed in a hadronic mode $B^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)}X$, where $D^{(*)}$ is either a $D^+$ [10], $D^0$, or $D^{*+}$ and $X$ is a system consisting of up to five particles of the type $\pi^\pm$, $\pi^0$, $K^\pm$, or $K^0_S$ [11]. $D^{*+}$ mesons are reconstructed in the channel $D^{0}\pi^+$, $D^{0}$ mesons are reconstructed in the channels $K^-\pi^+$, $K^-\pi^+\pi^0$, $K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$, and $K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$. $D^+$ mesons are reconstructed in the channels $K^-\pi^+$, $K^-\pi^+\pi^0$, $K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$, and $K^+K^-\pi^+$. The $\Delta E$ of the companion $B$ is required to be within two mode-dependent standard deviations of the mean when no $\pi^0$ is present, or to satisfy $-0.09 < \Delta E < 0.06$ GeV for reconstructions with one or more $\pi^0$. If more than one $B$ candidate is reconstructed in the same mode, the reconstructed $B$ with the smallest $|\Delta E|$ is selected. For each mode, the purity $B_{\text{pur}}$ is the ratio of the number of events before signal selection in the fitted peak to the total number of events in the region $5.27 < m_{\text{ES}} < 5.29$ GeV. Only events reconstructed in a mode with $B_{\text{pur}} > 0.12$ are selected, which results in the reconstruction of 147 distinct modes in the data sample. If $B$ candidates are reconstructed in more than one mode, the $B$ reconstructed in the mode with the highest $B_{\text{pur}}$ is selected as the companion $B$.

We estimate the total companion-$B$ yield from all reconstructed modes using the $BB$ and $q\bar{q}$ ($q = u, d, s, c$) simulated samples before applying the signal $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ selection. We first remove the peak from the $B^0\bar{B}^0$ simulated sample using the fitted Crystal Ball probability density function. Subtracting the simulated combinatorial background $m_{\text{ES}}$ shape, fitted to the data below 5.26 GeV, from the data distribution yields a nominal companion-$B$ yield of $N_{\text{BB}}^{B^0} = (2.80 \pm 0.27) \times 10^5$ (Fig. 2). The systematic error on $N_{\text{BB}}^{B^0}$ is estimated to be 10% by varying the fit region and by varying the combinatorial background composition with event-shape-variable cuts.

The companion-$B$ decay products are removed from the event, and the signal-$B$ characteristics are sought among the remaining particles. The dominant background to $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ arises from decays $b \rightarrow W^- c(\rightarrow W^+ s)$, in which the $s$ quark hadronizes into a $K^0_L$ that escapes detection and the virtual $W^+$ and $W^-$ mimic the virtual $W^+$ and $W^-$ emitted by the signal $\tau$. A secondary background originates in events in which two oppositely charged particles are lost outside the detector fiducial region. We select signal events that are consistent with each $\tau$ decaying to a single charged particle (and one or two $\nu$) by selecting events with zero net charge and two tracks in the recoil system. Each track must leave at least 12 hits in the DCH. originate within 10 cm of the beam spot in the beam direction and within 1.5 cm in the transverse direction, and have a transverse momentum of at least 0.1 GeV. To eliminate background originating from $b \rightarrow W^- c(\rightarrow W^+ s)$ events, the selection rejects events with identified $K^+$, $K^0_S$, or $K^0_L$.

The $K^\pm$ candidates are identified by a neural network with inputs taken from the SVT, the DCH, and the DIRC. The $K^0_S$ candidates are identified as a $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair with invariant mass mass consistent with the $K^0_S$ mass ($0.473 < m_{\pi^+\pi^-} < 0.523$ GeV). The $K^0_L$ candidates are identified from clusters in the EMC that have not been associated with a charged track or included in a candidate $\pi^0$. A neural network is employed to identify $K^0_L$ candidates using the cluster energy and shower-shape variables, which discriminate hadronic from electromagnetic showers.

The multiplicity of $e$, $\mu$, and $\pi^0$ in the recoil system must be consistent with each $\tau$ decaying in one of the channels $\tau \rightarrow \pi\nu$, $\rho\nu$, $e\nu\bar{\nu}$, or $\mu\nu\bar{\nu}$ (Table I). The $e$

### Table I. Signal $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ branching fraction and requirements by mode ($\ell = e, \mu$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection mode</th>
<th>$B(%)$</th>
<th>$N_e + N_\mu$</th>
<th>$N_\nu$</th>
<th>$m_{\pi\nu}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow e\nu\bar{\nu}/\ell\nu\bar{\nu}$</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow e\nu\bar{\nu}/\pi\nu$</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[0.6, 1.0] GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow \nu\nu/\pi\nu$</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[0.6, 1.0] GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow \pi\nu/\pi\nu$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow \rho\nu/\rho\nu$</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[0.6, 1.0] GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow \rho\nu/\rho\nu$</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[0.6, 1.0] GeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 2. Top panel: the $m_{\text{ES}}$ distribution for the hadronic companion $B$ in data (dots) and scaled simulated background (upper histogram) before the signal $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ selection is applied; the lower histogram is obtained by subtracting the background from the data. The companion-$B$ yield is $N_{\text{BB}}^{B^0} = (2.80 \pm 0.27) \times 10^5$. Bottom panel: the $m_{\text{ES}}$ distribution after the signal $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ selection. The fitted probability density function (short-dashed line) and its ARGUS component (dashed line) are superimposed on the data (dots). We obtain $N_{\text{obs}} = 263 \pm 19$ events in the peak.
candidates are identified with $dE/dx$ measurements from the DCH and shower-shape variables from the EMC. The $\mu$ candidates are identified with variables from the IFR (to reject the $\pi$ hypothesis) and EMC (to reject the $e$ hypothesis). Track candidates that are not identified as $e$, $\mu$, or $K$ are assumed to be $\pi$. Events with $\pi^0$ are vetoed unless the $\pi^0$ can be associated with a $\pi^+$ such that the invariant mass is consistent with the $\rho$ mass ($0.6 < m_{\pi^+\pi^-} < 1.0$ GeV). The $\pi^0$ candidates are formed from pairs of $\gamma$ candidates with invariant mass $0.090 < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 0.170$ GeV, with each $\gamma$ having an energy greater than 0.030 GeV. Since the presence of residual unassociated energy in the EMC ($E_{\text{res}}$) is a strong indication that an unreconstructed $\pi^0$ or $K^0$ is present, we require $E_{\text{res}} < 0.11$ GeV.

The $\tau$-daughter candidates are Lorentz boosted with the companion-$B$ momentum. While distributions of the momenta $p_+$ and $p_-$ of the charged daughters exhibit no discrimination from the background momentum distributions, correlations among $|p_+|$, $|p_-|$, and $\cos\theta \equiv p_+ \cdot p_-/|p_+||p_-|$ afford some discrimination, especially when categorized by signal $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ selection mode. Cascade decay background events manifest an asymmetry in $|p_+|$ and $|p_-|$ that is not present in signal events. The parameters $|p_+|$, $|p_-|$, $\cos\theta$, $E_{\text{res}}$, and the selection mode are used as inputs in a neural-network analysis trained to discriminate signal from background. The final selection requirement is a neural-network output ($NN$) consistent with signal events.

The signal $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ selection criteria for $E_{\text{res}}$, $NN$, and $B_{\text{pur}}$ are chosen to minimize the expected upper limit on $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-)$. That optimization also rejects the signal selection modes $\tau^+ \tau^- \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell'\bar{\nu}$ and $\tau^+ \tau^- \rightarrow \pi\nu/\rho\nu$. After the full signal $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ selection, the combinatorial companion-$B$ background is estimated and subtracted using ARGUS and Crystal Ball fits to the $m_{\text{ES}}$ distributions in simulation samples and data (Fig. 2). From these fits we determine the signal efficiency ($\epsilon_{\text{sig}}$), the expected number of background events ($N_{\text{expected}}$), and the number of observed data events ($N_{\text{obs}}$). Including systematic uncertainties described below, we obtain $\epsilon_{\text{sig}} = 0.043 \pm 0.009$, and $N_{\text{expected}} = 281 \pm 48$. We extract from the fit $N_{\text{obs}} = 263 \pm 19$ events in the data after the full selection. The central value of the $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ branching fraction is $(1.5 \pm 4.4) \times 10^{-3}$. We find no evidence for signal events. Table II shows $\epsilon_{\text{sig}}$, $N_{\text{expected}}$, and $N_{\text{obs}}$ obtained from individual fits to specific signal selection modes.

Systematic uncertainties on $N_{\text{expected}}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{sig}}$ arise from several sources. The simulation statistical uncertainty for $N_{\text{expected}}$ ($\epsilon_{\text{sig}}$) is 10 events (11%). The systematic uncertainties are estimated for cluster corrections to be 8 (3%), for particle identification corrections 10 (10%), and for tracking corrections 7 (3%). The $m_{\text{ES}}$ background subtraction fits after the full selection adds a further systematic uncertainty of 4 (2%). We estimate the systematic uncertainty on $N_{\text{expected}}$ due to $B$ decay modeling in EVTGEN to be 10%. We estimate the systematic uncertainty due to our model of $\tau$ decay by inserting distributions obtained from the specialized $\tau$ Monte Carlo code TAUOLA [13] to decay two $\tau$ produced with the same helicity and the requisite momentum for a $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ decay. For each simulated event, the decay mode of each $\tau$ is identified and the $|p_+|$, $|p_-|$, and $\cos\theta$ values are replaced with values sampled from distributions generated by TAUOLA for that mode. The relative $\epsilon_{\text{sig}}$ variation between EVTGEN and TAUOLA simulation is 2%.

A final systematic uncertainty for both signal and background is assigned to the modeling of $E_{\text{res}}$. The simulation of background hits and hadronic interactions in the EMC does not perfectly model the data, and the discrepancy manifests itself in the $E_{\text{res}}$ distribution (Fig. 3). This uncertainty is estimated from the difference between data and the simulation for a control process. The control sample selection is identical to the $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ selection except that events with an additional reconstructed $K^0_S$ are selected and the $K^0_S$ daughters are removed from the event. For correct $K^0_S$ reconstructions, this control sample models the $K^0_L$ background, while for $K^0_S$ reconstructions from

![FIG. 3. The $E_{\text{res}}$ distribution in the nominal sample (left panel) and the control sample (right panel) for data (dots), simulated background (solid histogram), and simulated signal (dashed histogram). The simulated signal distribution normalization is arbitrary. All requirements except those for $E_{\text{res}}$ and $NN$ are imposed. The events to the left of the vertical line are selected.](image-url)
random combinations of tracks, it models the backgrounds in which two oppositely charged particles are lost due to the limited detector acceptance in the direction of the higher energy beam. The composition of the background in the simulated control sample agrees well with that of the simulated signal sample. The control sample yields 135 ± 14 events (data) and 125 ± 7 (simulation), for a relative discrepancy of (8 ± 13)%, consistent with zero. The systematic uncertainty due to modeling the residual energy in the EMC is taken to be the uncertainties in data and simulation yields added in quadrature, namely, 13%.

Systematic uncertainties on the companion-B yield, expected background, and $\epsilon_{\text{sig}}$ are folded into the upper limit calculation using the technique described in Ref. [14], giving

$$ B(B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) < 4.1 \times 10^{-3}, \tag{4} $$

at the 90% confidence level. The result constrains leptoquark couplings as described in Ref. [2]. For example, the scalar $SU(2)$ doublet leptoquark $S_{1/2}$ can mediate $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$. If no other leptoquark mediates the decay, the product of its coupling $\lambda_R^{S1}$ (coupling right-handed $b$ with $\tau$) with $\lambda_R^{13}$ (coupling right-handed $d$ with $\tau$) is

$$ \lambda_R^{S1} \lambda_R^{13} < 1.4 \times 10^{-2} \left[ \frac{m_{S_{1/2}}}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right]^2, \tag{5} $$

at the 90% confidence level, where $m_{S_{1/2}}$ is the $S_{1/2}$ mass.
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[8] The Crystal Ball function is defined to be

$$ X(m) = \left\{ \frac{1 + \alpha (m - m_0)/\sigma \alpha \alpha^2/n}{\exp\left(-\frac{(m - m_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)} \right\}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\alpha^2}\right) $$

for $(m - m_0) < \sigma \alpha$ (top) and $(m - m_0) \geq \sigma \alpha$ (bottom).
[9] The ARGUS function is defined to be $A(m) = m\sqrt{1 - (m/m_0)^2} \exp[a(1 - (m/m_0)^2)]$.
[10] Charge conjugate states are included implicitly throughout this Letter.