Search for the $X(4140)$ state in $B^+ \to J/\psi K^+ \phi$ decays with the D0 detector
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We investigate the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ in a search for the $X(4140)$ state, a narrow threshold resonance in the $J/\psi \phi$ system. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 10.4 fb$^{-1}$ of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV collected by the D0 experiment at the Fermilab Tevatron collider. We observe a mass peak with a statistical significance of 3.1 standard deviations and measure its invariant mass to be $M = 4159.0 \pm 4.3$(stat) $\pm 6.6$(syst) MeV and its width to be $\Gamma = 11.7^{+8.3}_{-5.0}$(stat) $\pm 3.7$(syst) MeV.

The Belle Collaboration searched for $X(4140)$ in the process $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ and, finding no significant signal, reported upper limits on the product of the partial width $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$ and branching fraction $X(4140) \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ for $J^P = 0^+$ and $2^+$ [3]. The Belle Collaboration also searched for the $X(4140)$ state using the same $B$ mode. No significant signal was found although the upper limit on the production rate does not contradict the CDF measurement [3]. At the LHC, both the LHCb and CMS Collaborations have searched for the state. The LHCb Collaboration found no evidence [4]. A preliminary report [5] from the CMS Collaboration on a search for the same signature supports the CDF observation. With two out of four experiments failing to observe the $X(4140)$ resonance the question of the existence of this state still remains open. A detailed review is given in Ref. [6].

The quark model of three-quark baryons and quark-antiquark mesons does not predict a hadronic state at this mass. The decay channel suggests that this resonance may be a $c\bar{c}$ bound state. However, at this mass, above the open-charm threshold of 3740 MeV, it is unlikely to be a conventional charmonium state. Such states are expected to decay predominantly to pairs of charmed mesons, and they would have a much larger width than experimentally observed. It has been suggested that $X(4140)$ is a molecular structure made of two charmed mesons, e.g. $(D_s, D_s)$, but other possible states are hybrid particles composed of two quarks and a valence gluon ($q\bar{q}g$) or four-quark combinations ($c\bar{c}s\bar{s}$). For details see the review of hadronic spectroscopy in Ref. [7] and references therein.

In addition to $X(4140)$, the CDF Collaboration reported seeing a second enhancement in the same channel, located near 4.28 GeV. A similar structure is also seen by the CMS Collaboration [5]. Belle also reports a new structure at $M = 4350.6^{+4.6}_{-5.1}$(stat) $\pm 0.7$(syst) MeV.

In this article we present results of a search for the $X(4140)$ resonance and any excited states in the $J/\psi \phi$ system in the decay sequence $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 10.4 fb$^{-1}$ collected with the D0 detector in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Fermilab Tevatron collider.

The D0 detector consists of a central tracking system, calorimeter system and muon detectors, as detailed in Ref. [8]. The central tracking system comprises a silicon microstrip tracker (SMT) and a central fiber tracker (CFT), both located inside a 1.9 T superconducting solenoidal magnet. The tracking system is designed to optimize tracking and vertexing for pseudorapidities $|\eta| < 3$, where $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$, and $\theta$ is the polar angle with respect to the proton beam direction. The SMT can reconstruct the $p\bar{p}$...
interaction vertex (PV) for interactions with at least three tracks with a precision of 40 μm in the plane transverse to the beam direction and determine the impact parameter of a track relative to the PV with a precision between 20 and 50 μm, depending on the number of hits in the SMT. The muon detector, positioned outside the calorimeter, consists of a central muon system covering the pseudorapidity region of \(|\eta| < 1\) and a forward muon system covering the pseudorapidity region of \(1 < |\eta| < 2\). Both central and forward systems consist of a layer of drift tubes and scintillators inside 1.8 T toroidal magnets and two similar layers outside the toroids [9].

We use the Monte Carlo (MC) event generator PYTHIA [10] interfaced with the particle decay package EvtGen [11] to simulate the decay chain \(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+, J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\), \(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-\). The \(B^+\) decay is simulated according to three-body phase space. The detector response is simulated with GEANT [12]. Simulated signal events are overlayed with events from randomly collected \(p\bar{p}\) bunch crossings to simulate multiple interactions.

Events used in this analysis are collected with both single-muon and dimuon triggers. Muon triggers require a coincidence of signals in trigger elements inside and outside the toroid magnets. Dimuon triggers in the central rapidity region require at least one muon to penetrate the toroid. In the forward region both muons are required to penetrate the toroid. The minimum muon transverse momentum is 1.5 GeV. No minimum \(p_T\) requirement is applied to the muon pair, but the effective threshold is near 4 GeV, and the peak value is 9 GeV. Some of the single-muon triggers include a filter requiring a presence of tracks with a nonzero impact parameter. Events recorded by such triggers constitute approximately 5% of all events. Because we do not attempt to measure the \(B^+\) lifetime in this analysis, the inclusion of these triggers does not bias our result. Candidate events are required to include a pair of oppositely charged muons accompanied by three additional charged particles with transverse momenta above 0.7 GeV. In the event selection, both muons are required to be detected in the muon chambers inside the toroid magnet, and at least one of the muons is required to also be detected outside the toroid [13]. Each of the five final-state tracks is required to have at least one SMT hit and at least one CFT hit.

To form \(B^+\) candidates, muon pairs in the invariant mass range \(2.9 < M(\mu^+\mu^-) < 3.3\) GeV, consistent with \(J/\psi\) decay, are combined with pairs of oppositely charged particles (assigned the kaon mass hypothesis) with an invariant mass in the range \(0.99 < M(K^+K^-) < 1.07\) GeV and with a third charged particle, also assigned the kaon mass hypothesis. The third kaon is required to have at least three SMT hits. The dimuon invariant mass is constrained in the kinematic fit to the world-average \(J/\psi\) mass [1], and the five-track system is constrained to a common vertex. The trajectories of the five \(B^+\) decay products are adjusted according to the decay and kinematic fit. The adjusted track parameters are used in the calculation of the \(B^+\) candidate mass. The \(B^+\) candidates are required to have an invariant mass in the range \(5.15 < M(J/\psi K^+K^-) < 5.45\) GeV. The \(\chi^2\) of the \(B^+\) vertex fit is required to be less than 20 for 6 degrees of freedom, with the contribution of the third kaon to the \(\chi^2\) required to be less than 4.

To reconstruct the PV, tracks are selected that do not originate from the candidate \(B^+\) decay, and a constraint is applied to the average beam-spot position in the transverse plane. We define the signed decay length of a \(B^+\) meson, \(L_{\text{sys}}\), as the vector pointing from the PV to the decay vertex, projected on the transverse plane. We require \(L_{\text{sys}}^B\) to be greater than 250 μm to suppress the background from prompt \(J/\psi\) production. The angle between the pointing vector and the \(B^+\) meson transverse momentum is required to be less than 3.6°. We also reconstruct the decay vertex of the \(J/\psi\phi\) pair and require the distance between the \(B^+\) and \(J/\psi\phi\) vertices in the transverse plane and in the beam direction to be less than 50 μm and less than 150 μm, respectively (5 times the RMS determined by MC simulations). The selection is limited to events with \(M(J/\psi\phi)\) below 4.59 GeV. At larger masses the background shape changes with \(M(J/\psi\phi)\) as the \(B^+\) candidate masses on the low side become kinematically unavailable and we cannot adequately model the background under the \(B^+\) to produce the background-subtracted distribution.

The background arises from a misidentified \(\phi\) meson or a misidentified third kaon. To suppress the background contribution from combinations including particles produced in the hadronization process or in other \(B\) hadron decays, we require the transverse momentum of the \(B^+\) meson to be between 7 and 30 GeV. The fraction of the \(B^+\) transverse momentum carried by the three kaons is required to be greater than 0.2. We remove decays \(B \rightarrow J/\psi(2S)X\) by vetoing the mass range \(3.661 < M(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) < 3.711\) GeV, equivalent to ±2.5 standard deviations around the world-average \(\psi(2S)\) mass [1], for all combinations of \(J/\psi\) produced with a pair of oppositely charged particles assigned a pion mass hypothesis. For the remaining sample, we accept one candidate per event, selecting the combination with the lower \(\phi\) candidate mass. Simulations show that this choice is 95% efficient for the signal. Any sample bias resulting from the above selection is quantified and corrected using the efficiency determined by MC simulations.

The \(J/\psi\phi K^+\) invariant mass distribution for \(B^+\) decay candidates satisfying the mass requirement \(1.005 < M(\phi) < 1.035\) GeV consistent with the \(\phi\) mass is shown in Fig. 1(a). A binned maximum-likelihood fit of a Gaussian signal with a mass resolution set to the value of 18 MeV (obtained from simulations), with a second-order Chebyshev polynomial background, yields 215 ± 37 \(B^+\) events with a mean mass of \(M(B^+) = 5277.8 ± 3.3\) MeV, consistent with the world-average value of the \(B^+\) mass [1]. We define
the signal mass range as $5.23 < M(B^+) < 5.33$ GeV. Figure 1(b) shows the $J/\psi$ signal for events in the $B^+$ signal region. A fit of a Gaussian function and a second-order Chebyshev polynomial background yields 1124 $\pm$ 70 $J/\psi$ events out of a total of 1269 $\mu^+\mu^-$ candidates, showing that most, if not all, of these events are $J/\psi$ events in the final state.

To establish a correspondence between the $B^+$ signal and the $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decay, we compare the invariant mass distributions of the $\phi$ candidates in the $B^+$ signal region and in the sidebands, defined as $5.15 < M(J/\psi K^+) < 5.23$ GeV or $5.33 < M(J/\psi K^+) < 5.45$ GeV. As seen in Fig. 2(a), there is a clear $\phi$ signal in the $B^+$ signal region, while the $\phi$ signal is much less pronounced in the $B^+$ sidebands. A fit, shown in Fig. 2(b), of a relativistic $P$-wave Breit-Wigner function with parameters set to the world-average values and a resolution of 3 MeV taken from simulations, with a second-order Chebyshev polynomial background is superimposed. The vertical green lines define the $\phi$ signal region.

We examine combinations of $J/\psi$ with one, two, or three charged particles, as well as of the three-kaon system, searching for structures that would affect the analysis of the $J/\psi$ distribution. There are multiple reasons for this study: (i) a resonance in a subsystem may create an enhancement in the $M(J/\psi K^+\phi)$ distribution leading to a false signal, (ii) identifying resonances and applying appropriate mass restrictions to eliminate their effects would reduce background, (iii) finding a resonance and fitting its mass and width provides an *in situ* calibration of the mass and resolution for a given configuration.

Of particular concern is the new charged charmonium-like object, $Z(3900)^\pm$, observed independently by the BESIII [14] and Belle [15] Collaborations in the $J/\psi K^+\pi^-$ decay channel. The background-subtracted distributions of $M(J/\psi K^+\phi)$, where the $J/\psi$ is paired with the particle that is not associated with the $\phi$ decay in the reconstructed $B^+$ decay, is shown in Fig. 3(a). No significant structures that would indicate resonances or reflections of other decays are observed. The mass distribution for the same pair under the pion mass assignment, shown in Fig. 3(b), is also structureless.

---

**FIG. 1** (color online). (a) Invariant mass distribution of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+K^-$ candidates after the $1.005 < M(\phi) < 1.035$ GeV requirement. The fit of a Gaussian signal with a second-order Chebyshev polynomial background is superimposed. The vertical green lines define the $B^+$ signal region. (b) Invariant mass distribution $M(\mu^+\mu^-)$ after the $B^+$ and $\phi$ mass window requirements.

**FIG. 2** (color online). (a) Invariant mass distribution of $\phi$ candidates after the $B^+$ mass requirement $5.23 < M(B^+) < 5.33$ GeV and in the $B^+$ sidebands. (b) Invariant mass distribution of $\phi$ candidates after the $B^+$ mass requirement. The fit of a relativistic $P$-wave Breit-Wigner function (RBW) with the world-average width of 4.26 MeV, convoluted with a Gaussian resolution of 3 MeV taken from simulations, with a second-order Chebyshev polynomial background is superimposed. The vertical green lines define the $\phi$ signal region.
The $M(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)$ distribution, before application of the $\psi(2S)$ veto, is shown in Fig. 4. In the fit the resonance mass is set at the world-average value of the $\psi(2S)$ mass [1] and a free resolution parameter. The arrows indicate the ±2.5 standard deviation range excluded from the analysis. The background is described by a product of a Landau function and an exponential.

FIG. 3. (a) Background-subtracted invariant mass distribution of $J/\psi K$ pairs after the mass requirements $5.23 < M(B^+) < 5.33$ GeV and $1.005 < M(K^+K^-) < 1.035$ GeV. (b) Invariant mass of the same pairs under the $J/\psi \pi$ hypothesis.

FIG. 4 (color online). Invariant mass distribution $M(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)$ before the $\psi(2S)$ veto. The fit assumes a Gaussian $\psi(2S)$ signal with the mean mass set to the world-average value [1] and a free resolution parameter. The arrows indicate the ±2.5 standard deviation range excluded from the analysis. The background is described by a product of a Landau function and an exponential.

FIG. 5 (color online). Invariant mass distribution $M(J/\psi \phi)$ after the mass requirements $5.23 < M(B^+) < 5.33$ GeV and $1.005 < M(K^+K^-) < 1.035$ GeV. The background is estimated from the $B^+$ sidebands. (b) Difference between the distributions of the signal and normalized background.

The $M(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)$ distribution, before application of the $\psi(2S)$ veto, is shown in Fig. 4. In the fit the resonance mass is set at the world-average value of the $\psi(2S)$ mass [1]. A fit with the mass allowed to vary gives the value consistent with the world-average value. The resolution of 10 MeV is consistent with simulations. There are no enhancements other than the $\psi(2S)$ meson peak that we remove from the sample.

Figure 5 shows the invariant mass distribution of the $J/\psi \phi$ candidates within the $B^+$ and $\phi$ mass windows. Overlaid is the background distribution estimated from the $B^+$ sidebands. An enhancement at low masses is seen, consistent with the CDF [2] and CMS [5] results. There is also a broader enhancement near 4.3 GeV.

Small statistics and high background do not allow a detailed two-dimensional analysis of the three-body $B^+$ decay. We therefore focus on the one-dimensional projection of data on $M(J/\psi \phi)$. In the search for the particular state $X(4140)$, we define the allowed region for a possible resonance mass as $M(J/\psi \phi) < 4.20$ GeV, well above the $X(4140)$ mass value, taking into account our mass resolution. There are 80 events in this region. According to ensemble tests, with a large number of pseudoexperiments with the same signal and background statistics, and assuming a direct three-body $B^+$ decay, the probability of the phase space fluctuation to this value is $8 \times 10^{-4}$.

We divide the sample into 30 MeV wide intervals in $M(J/\psi \phi)$ from 4.11 to 4.59 GeV and fit the subsamples for the number of events of the $B^+$ decay [the bin centered at $M(J/\psi \phi) = 4.155$ GeV is further divided into two parts]. In the fits, we constrain the $B^+$ mean mass, as well as the
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Extrapolating to include the entire kinematically allowed $M(J/ψϕ)$ range, assuming the three-body phase space for the nonresonant component, we obtain the relative branching fraction of $[19 ± 7(stat)]%$. The data also support the presence of a structure around 4300 MeV; however, they do not allow for a stable fit with an unconstrained width. When a second resonance is allowed with the width set to values between 10 MeV and 50 MeV, its significance is ≈1.7 standard deviations.

The $X(4140)$ mass and width measurements and the relative branching fraction are subject to systematic uncertainties associated with the precision of the $B^+$ mass measurement, with the $J/ψϕ$ mass resolution in the vicinity of $X(4140)$, with the variation of the reconstruction efficiency with $M(J/ψϕ)$, and with the uncertainty of the shape of the nonresonant component of the $B^+$ decay. To estimate these uncertainties, we perform alternative fits applying more restrictive event selection criteria, using a different bin size, and fitting the net mass distribution of $J/ψϕ$ pairs coming from $B^+$ decay obtained by subtracting the properly normalized background from the sideband region. In addition, we consider the following variations of the $B^+$ mass fits in $M(J/ψϕ)$ intervals: We vary the $B^+$ mass by its uncertainty of $±3$ MeV, vary the $B^+$ mass resolution by its uncertainty of $±1$ MeV, vary background parameters within their uncertainties and use a third-order Chebyshev polynomial in the fit to the background, and vary the shape of the nonresonant component.

In the nominal fits of the signal yield as a function of $M(J/ψϕ)$, we use the $J/ψϕ$ mass resolution of 4 MeV as obtained in simulations. For decay processes with a similar topology, $ψ(2S) → J/ψπ^+π^−$ and $X(3872) → J/ψπ^+π^−$, the measured mass resolutions are $9.9 ± 0.3$ MeV and $15.9 ± 3.2$ MeV, respectively. Both are in a good agreement with simulations. Since the mass resolution is better for lower values of the kinetic energy released in the decay, the resolution for the structures under study is not larger than that for the $ψ(2S)$ decay. We repeat the analysis using the value of 10 MeV. The change in the resolution does not affect the results for the resonance mass and yield but it reduces its width. We assign an asymmetric uncertainty of $−8$ MeV due to this effect.

We vary the efficiency dependence on $M(J/ψϕ)$ within the statistical uncertainties. In alternative fits, we use a relative efficiency that is independent of $M(J/ψϕ)$ and also a relative efficiency that drops to the value of 0.8 (instead of the default value of $0.9 ± 0.1$) at the $M(J/ψϕ)$ threshold. We have also checked that the relative efficiency versus $M(J/ψϕ)$ is independent of the various helicity angle distributions of the $J/ψ$ and $ϕ$ so it is not sensitive to possible polarization effects in the production and decay of the signal.

We allow for the deviation of the nonresonant component from the shape expected for the three-body phase space by multiplying the phase-space shape by a quadratic function with two parameters allowed to vary.

From the results of the alternative fits we estimate the systematic uncertainties on the $X(4140)$ mass and width to be $±4$ MeV and $±3$ MeV, and the systematic uncertainty of the relative branching fraction to be $±4%$. The systematic uncertainty on the mass of the second structure from alternative fits is estimated to be $±5$ MeV.

We estimate the uncertainty in the $J/ψϕ$ mass scale from the vertex reconstruction by comparing the $M_{\text{def}} = M(J/ψϕ)$ value used in this analysis with the alternative estimate, obtained from the mass difference $M_{\text{alt}} = M(μ^+μ^-K^+) − M(μ^+μ^-) + M(J/ψ)$. The difference $ΔM = M_{\text{def}} − M_{\text{alt}}$ is on average 1.3 MeV, and the RMS is 5.2 MeV. We conclude that there is no significant mass bias due to the vertexing procedure, and we conservatively assign a systematic uncertainty of $±5.2$ MeV due to the uncertainty in the $J/ψϕ$ mass scale. The statistical significance of the $X(4140)$ signal is larger than 3 standard deviations in all alternative fits. A search conducted in the entire mass range ($4.11,4.59$) GeV, ignoring the prior observation of $X(4140)$, would result in the signal significance reduced due to the “look elsewhere effect” [16] by the trial factor of 5 to 2.6 standard deviations.

In summary, in the decay $B^+ → J/ψϕK^+$, we find a threshold enhancement in the $J/ψϕ$ mass distribution consistent with the $X(4140)$ state with a statistical significance of 3.1 standard deviations. The data can also accommodate a second structure, near 4.3 GeV, with a local significance of 1.7 standard deviations. The measured invariant mass of the lower-mass peak is $4159.0 ± 4.3$ (stat) $± 6.6$ (syst) MeV and the measured width is $19.9 ± 12.6$ (stat) $± 6.2$ (syst). The relative branching fraction $B_{\text{rel}} = B(B^+ → X(4140)K^+)/B(B^+ → J/ψϕK^+)$ is measured to be $(19 ± 7(stat) ± 4(syst))%$ under the assumption of the three-body phase space model for the continuum. Our results support the existence of the $X(4140)$ resonance.
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