Photonic Events with Large Missing Energy in $e^+e^-$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 161$ GeV

The OPAL Collaboration

Abstract

Photonic events with large missing energy have been observed with the OPAL detector at LEP using two search topologies. The first topology looks for events with significant missing energy and at least one photon in $|\cos \theta| < 0.7$ with large scaled energy, $x_\gamma > 0.2$. The second topology looks for events with an acoplanar photon pair where both photons are in $|\cos \theta| < 0.7$ and have energy exceeding 1.75 GeV. In the data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 10.0 pb$^{-1}$, 11 events are selected for the first topology and a cross-section of $1.6 \pm 0.5$ pb is measured within the kinematic acceptance, consistent with that expected for the Standard Model process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$. No events are selected for the second topology giving a 95% CL cross-section upper limit of 0.41 pb within the kinematic acceptance. Upper limits on the cross-section times branching ratio for new particle production are also derived.
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1 Introduction

In this paper we describe a search for photonic events with large missing energy in $e^+e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 161 \text{ GeV}$. This high centre-of-mass energy provides the opportunity to search for new phenomena in $e^+e^-$ collisions at LEP. The analysed data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of $10.0 \text{ pb}^{-1}$. The event selections are essentially identical to those used for topologies A and B of the OPAL measurement of photonic events with missing energy performed at $\sqrt{s} = 130 - 140 \text{ GeV}$ [1]. Those results were also used to search for excited neutrinos with photonic decays at $\sqrt{s} = 130 - 140 \text{ GeV}$ [2].

Two different event topologies, A and B, are designed to select events with one or two photons and significant transverse momentum imbalance thus signalling the presence of at least one neutrino-like invisible particle which interacts only weakly with matter. The acceptance of each topology is defined in terms of the photon energy, $E_\gamma$, scaled by the beam energy, $(x_\gamma = E_\gamma/E_{\text{beam}})$, and the photon polar angle, $\theta$, defined with respect to the electron beam direction. The definitions of the topologies are as follows:

**Topology A:** One or two photons accompanied by invisible particle(s) ($e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(\gamma) + \text{invisible particle(s)}$). At least one photon with $x_\gamma > 0.2$ and $|\cos \theta| < 0.7$.

**Topology B:** Acoplanar photon pair ($e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + \text{invisible particle(s)}$). Two photons each with energy exceeding $1.75 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\cos \theta| < 0.7$.

Topology A is sensitive to the production of one or two photons and missing energy, which within the Standard Model is expected from the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ process. Measurements of single photon production have been made in $e^+e^-$ collisions at the $Z^0$ and at lower energies [3–5]. First results from centre-of-mass energies significantly above the $Z^0$ mass have also been reported [1, 6]. The expected visible energies are sufficiently large at the present centre-of-mass energies that doubly radiative neutrino production can lead to two photons being detected and therefore the experimental topology includes such cases. Topology A is also sensitive to several types of new physics in $e^+e^-$ collisions (see e.g. [3, 7] and references therein). One type of new physics is $e^+e^- \rightarrow XY$ where $X$ decays to $Y\gamma$ and $Y$ is an invisible particle such as a neutrino or possibly a new particle that escapes detection. One example of this type of process is production of excited neutrinos, where in this case $X$ is an excited neutrino and $Y$ is a standard neutrino. Another example is production of neutralinos in supersymmetric theories, where in this case $X$ is a heavier neutralino, for example $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$, and $Y$ is the lightest neutralino, $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, which is stable and escapes detection. The branching fraction for the radiative decay, $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0\gamma$, may be small, but in some cases can be dominant [8]. Another type of new physics that could be seen in this search topology is the production of invisible particles made visible simply through initial state radiation.

Search topology B is designed for neutral events with an acoplanar photon pair and significant missing energy. This topology is sensitive to the production of two particles, one decaying invisibly and the other decaying into two photons. It is also sensitive to pair production of new neutral particles each of which undergoes radiative decay to an invisible particle, i.e. $e^+e^- \rightarrow XX, X \rightarrow Y\gamma$. Specifically regarding the latter possibility, the topology has acceptance for pair production of excited neutrinos ($X = \nu^*, Y = \nu$), and also for neutralino pair production ($X = \tilde{\chi}_2^0, Y = \tilde{\chi}_1^0$) for cases where particle $X$ can decay radiatively. The latter scenario, with a substantial photonic branching ratio, is advocated in [9]. Also of interest are models with light gravitinos, $\tilde{G}$, with $X = \tilde{\chi}_1^0$, $Y = \tilde{G}$, where the photonic branching ratio is naturally large. Such a signature has been discussed in [10] and more recently in [11, 12]; cross-sections of order 1 pb are predicted at $\sqrt{s} = 161 \text{ GeV}$ for $M_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \approx 50 \text{ GeV}$.

The acceptance of topology B overlaps partly with that of topology A but in this case the kinematic acceptance extends well below the $x_\gamma > 0.2$ requirement of topology A, thus allowing acceptance for
events with very low visible energy. However, a more restricted angular acceptance for the second photon is imposed to reduce the generally forward-peaked backgrounds. Both topologies are sensitive to doubly radiative neutrino production, \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma\gamma \). This process is considered as part of the radiative correction of the inclusive single photon measurement in topology A, while for topology B, it represents the essentially irreducible Standard Model background to a search for new physics processes.

This paper will briefly describe the detector, the data sample and the Monte Carlo samples used. Then the event selection for each topology will be described, followed by the results and comparison to expected Standard Model contributions. Implications of these results on the possibility of new physics processes of the type \( e^+e^- \rightarrow XX \) or \( XY \), \( X \rightarrow Y\gamma \) will be discussed. This analysis is particularly sensitive for the case \( M_Y \approx 0 \) which is relevant to excited neutrino \( (X = \nu^a, Y = \nu) \) production and to light gravitino \( (X = \chi^0, Y = G) \) hypotheses.

**2 Detector, Data Sample and Monte Carlo Samples**

The OPAL detector is described in detail elsewhere [13]. The measurements presented here are mainly based on the observation of clusters of energy deposited in the lead-glass electromagnetic calorimeters. These calorimeters together with the gamma-catcher calorimeter and forward detector provide a fully hermetic electromagnetic calorimeter down to polar angles of 60 mrad. The tracking system, consisting of a silicon microvertex detector, a vertex drift chamber and a large volume jet drift chamber, is used to select events consistent with zero charged particle multiplicity. Backgrounds from cosmic-ray interactions are removed using time-of-flight (TOF) information and the hadron calorimeter and muon detectors.

The data used in this analysis were recorded at an \( e^+e^- \) centre-of-mass energy of \( 161.3 \pm 0.2 \) GeV, with an integrated luminosity of 10.0 pb\(^{-1}\). The statistical error on the integrated luminosity is 0.4% and the systematic error is estimated to be 0.4%. The luminosity is determined from small angle Bhabha scattering events in the silicon-tungsten luminosity calorimeter. The Monte Carlo samples described below were all generated with a centre-of-mass energy of 161.0 GeV. The small difference in centre-of-mass energy between the Monte Carlo and data does not affect any results in this paper.

For the expected Standard Model signal process of \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma) \), the Monte Carlo generator NUNUGPV [14] was used. For the expected Standard Model background processes, we used a number of different generators: RADCOR [15] for \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma(\gamma) \); BHWIDTH [16] and TEEGG [17] for \( e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- (\gamma) \); KORALZ [18] for \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-(\gamma) \) and \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- (\gamma) \); and the Vermaasen program [19] for leptonic final states from two-photon collisions.

To simulate possible new physics processes of the type \( e^+e^- \rightarrow XY \) and \( e^+e^- \rightarrow XX \) where \( X \) decays to \( Y\gamma \) and \( Y \) escapes detection, the SUSYGEN [20] Monte Carlo generator was used. We produced neutralino pair events of the type \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_2^0\chi_1^0 \) and \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_2^0\chi_2^0 \), where \( \chi_2^0 \rightarrow \chi_1^0\gamma \). Using the relatively flat angular distribution observed in the production of these generated events, the estimated efficiencies are generalised to the generic processes (replacing \( \chi_2^0 \) with \( X \) and \( \chi_1^0 \) with \( Y \)), assuming an isotropic angular distribution for \( XY \) and \( XX \) production and for \( X \) decay. For this paper we used samples of \( XY \) production with \( M_X \) from 80 to 160 GeV and with \( M_Y = 0 \). For \( XX \) production we analysed samples with \( M_X \) from 45 to 80 GeV and \( M_Y \) from 0 to \( M_X - 5 \) GeV. The efficiencies for \( XX \) and \( XY \) production for the case \( M_Y = 0 \) are found to be identical within statistical errors to the efficiencies obtained using the OPAL excited neutrino Monte Carlo generator described in [21].

All the above Monte Carlo samples were processed through the OPAL detector simulation [22].
3 Event Selection Description

3.1 Topology A: Events with one or two photons and invisible particle(s)

Events are selected as having one or two photon candidates if they satisfy the following criteria based on previous OPAL analyses of photonic events with missing energy [1, 3, 23]:

- **Charged track veto.** Events are required to have no tracks with 20 or more jet chamber hits assigned to them, reconstructed in the central detector.

- **Angular acceptance and minimum energy.** An event is considered to contain a photon candidate if the primary electromagnetic cluster (that with the highest deposited energy in the barrel and endcap calorimeters) is in the region \(|\cos \theta | < 0.7\) and has a scaled energy, \(x_\gamma\), that exceeds 0.2. Events are considered to have more than one photon if additional electromagnetic clusters are found in the barrel or endcap calorimeter (\(|\cos \theta| < 0.984\) having deposited energy exceeding 300 MeV. Acceptance for events with two photons is desirable in order to increase the efficiency for doubly radiative neutrino production, and so reduce the sensitivity to the modelling of that process.

- **Cluster extent.** The primary electromagnetic cluster combined with any clusters contiguous with it must not extend more than 250 mrad (equivalent to more than six lead-glass blocks) in the polar or azimuthal directions. It must also consist of at least two blocks.

- **Coil conversion seen in TOF system.** The primary electromagnetic cluster must be matched within 50 mrad in azimuthal angle by a good quality TOF counter signal produced by the photon converting in the coil in front of the TOF. The measured arrival time of the photon at the TOF must be within 20 ns of the expected time for a photon originating from the interaction point.

- **Forward calorimeter veto.** The total energy deposited in each forward calorimeter must be less than 2 GeV.

- **Gamma-catcher veto.** The most energetic gamma-catcher cluster must have an energy of less than 5 GeV. The forward calorimeter veto and gamma-catcher veto serve to ensure that photon candidate events are not accompanied by any event activity in the forward regions.

- **Muon veto.** Events are rejected if there are any muon track segments reconstructed in the barrel or endcap muon chambers, or in the barrel, endcap or pole-tip hadron calorimeters. Events are also rejected if three or more of the outer 8 layers of the barrel hadron calorimeter have strips hit in any 45° azimuthal road. The muon veto is used primarily to remove cosmic ray background.

Background from \(e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma(\gamma)\) is rejected if any of the following criteria are satisfied for events with a second cluster:

- The total energy of the two clusters exceeds 90% of the centre-of-mass energy.

- The acoplanarity angle of the two clusters\(^1\), \(\phi_{\text{acop}}\), is less than 2.5°.

- The missing momentum vector calculated from the two clusters satisfies \(|\cos \theta_{\text{miss}}| > 0.9\).

- A third electromagnetic cluster is detected with deposited energy exceeding 300 MeV.

\(^1\)Defined as 180° minus the opening angle in the transverse plane.
3.2 Topology B: Events with an acoplanar photon pair and invisible particle(s)

The event selection for this topology is also based on the previous OPAL analyses described in references [24] and [1]. The selection criteria for topology B are identical for those of topology A except for the following two requirements:

- **Angular acceptance and minimum energy.** Candidate events are required to contain two electromagnetic clusters in the calorimeter, both having deposited energy exceeding 1.5 GeV and detected in the region $|\cos \theta| < 0.7$. Following [3], the experimental requirement on deposited energy exceeding 1.5 GeV corresponds to an effective minimum photon energy of 1.75 GeV. The cluster with the higher energy must pass the cluster extent criteria of topology A.

- **Coil conversion seen in TOF system.** At least one electromagnetic cluster must be matched within 50 mrad in azimuthal angle by a good quality TOF counter signal. The measured arrival time of the photon at the TOF must be within 20 ns of the expected time for a photon originating from the interaction point.

The restricted angular acceptance for the two photons in topology B not only discriminates against forward-peaked background, it also ensures precise measurement of the photon energies and helps ensure that time-of-flight information is available to check that the photons are consistent with originating from the interaction point. Background events, coming principally from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma(\gamma)$, are rejected if any of the same four veto conditions described in topology A are satisfied.

4 Results

4.1 Topology A

After applying the selection criteria of topology A to the data sample, 11 events are selected. From the Monte Carlo we expect a Standard Model signal from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ of $16.1 \pm 0.3$ events. The selection efficiency for this Standard Model process is $(69 \pm 1)\%$ for generated events within the kinematic acceptance (i.e. at least one photon with $x_\gamma > 0.2$ and $|\cos \theta| < 0.7$). Most of the inefficiency arises when a photon either converts in the tracking volume, or does not convert until it enters the lead glass calorimeter. In the former case, such events are vetoed by the presence of charged tracks and in the latter case it is unlikely that a TOF hit will be associated with the electromagnetic cluster.

Here and throughout the paper the quoted errors on efficiencies include estimates of the systematic errors which are small compared to the statistical errors on the measurements. The expected $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ contribution can be divided into $13.5 \pm 0.3$ single photon events and $2.6 \pm 0.1$ two photon events (see Table 1). In the data all 11 events are single photon events. The expected contributions from all Standard Model background processes are estimated to be negligible (less than 0.1 events expected). Beam-related, cosmic ray and instrumental background contributions are also negligible. With 11 observed events and correcting for the selection efficiency, we obtain a cross-section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ within the kinematic acceptance of topology A of $1.6 \pm 0.5$ pb, consistent with the Standard Model prediction of 2.3 pb. This measured cross-section, along with the same measurements by OPAL at $\sqrt{s}=130$ GeV and 136 GeV are plotted in Fig. 1. The curve shows the predicted Standard Model cross-section.

The scaled energy distribution of the most energetic photon for events found in the data is plotted along with the expected Standard Model signal $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ and background contributions in Fig. 2a. In the figure, the threshold for the $z_\gamma$ cut has been lowered to 0.025 (about 2 GeV) to
show the expected background contributions at low photon energy. There is good agreement between data and Monte Carlo predictions both for the background dominated low $x_{\gamma}$ region and in the $x_{\gamma} > 0.2$ signal region. That the 11 selected events in the data are consistent with the expectation for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ can also be seen in the distribution of the mass recoiling against the photon (or two-photon system) as shown in Fig. 2b. One expects a peak in the recoil mass at $M_2$, since the $\nu\bar{\nu}$ predominantly comes from the decay of a $Z^0$.

We now apply a cut on the recoil mass of $M_{\text{rec}} < 75$ GeV and require only one photon. These cuts remove most of the Standard Model $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ events, providing a nearly background-free kinematic region to look for possible new physics processes. The number of events from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ expected from the Monte Carlo is reduced from 16.1 to 0.09 after the first cut and further reduced to 0.04 after the second. No events survive the first cut in the data. We can derive a 95% CL upper limit of 0.44 pb for single photon production within this kinematic region. This limit uses the expected polar angle and energy distributions for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ but is not very sensitive to the shape of these distributions. The systematic error is incorporated into all limits quoted in this paper using the method described in [25].

After applying the selection criteria of topology B to the data, no events remain, consistent with the expectation for the Standard Model process $e^+e^- \rightarrow XY, X \rightarrow Y\gamma$ ($M_Y \approx 0$), which varies from 14% for $M_X = 80$ GeV to 56% for $M_X = 160$ GeV as shown in Table 2. Based on these efficiencies and on no observed events in the data, we can set an upper limit on the cross-section times branching ratio, $\sigma_{XY} \times \text{BR}(X \rightarrow Y\gamma)$, as a function of $M_X$, as shown in Fig. 3a. This limit applies to the supersymmetry process of $\chi_3^0 \chi_1^0$ production where $\chi_3^0$ is massless and also to the case of single production of excited neutrinos ($e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu$, $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$). Further excited neutrino results obtained using these data as well as other excited lepton results from OPAL can be found in [21].

### 4.2 Topology B

After applying the selection criteria of topology B to the data, no events remain, consistent with the expectation for the Standard Model process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma\gamma$ of 0.93 ± 0.08 events. The expected number of events from other processes and instrumental backgrounds is negligible. The selection efficiency for this process is (74 ± 3) % for those events generated within the kinematic acceptance of topology B (i.e., both photons having $E_\gamma > 1.75$ GeV and $|\cos\theta| < 0.7$). Therefore we set an upper limit on the cross-section within this kinematic acceptance for acoplanar photon pair production of 0.41 pb at 95% CL. This limit uses the expected polar angle and energy distributions for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma\gamma$ but is not very sensitive to the shape of these distributions. The expected cross-section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma\gamma$ is 0.12 pb.

The results of this topology are also interpreted in the context of pair production of particle X and subsequent decay $X \rightarrow Y\gamma$. For the general case of arbitrary values of $M_X$, the efficiency of the analysis over the full angular acceptance exceeds 26% for $M_X > 40$ GeV and $M_Y < M_X - 5$ GeV. For these ranges of $M_X$ and $M_Y$, the result leads to a cross-section upper limit of 1.2 pb at 95% CL over the full acceptance. For the specific case of $M_Y \approx 0$, relevant to excited neutrinos and light gravitinos, we try to reduce further the expected background. In Fig. 4a we plot the energy of the less energetic photon ($E_2$) against the energy of the more energetic photon ($E_1$) for the Standard Model $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma\gamma$ Monte Carlo events. The distribution shows that a large fraction of the expected events contain one very energetic photon and one soft photon, and that the sum of the photon energies is likely to be close to 55 GeV (0.34$\sqrt{s}$) which is the energy expected for production of a real $Z^0$ accompanied by a low mass di-photon system. The line represents $E_1 + E_2 = 0.4\sqrt{s}$ and we choose the region above this line as the search region for new physics signals because it is kinematically unlikely that events arising from real $Z^0$ production populate this region. One expects 0.04 ± 0.02 events in this region from the Standard Model process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma\gamma$. 
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Figure 4(b)-(d) shows the expected $E_2$ versus $E_1$ distributions for XX production with $M_X$ of 55, 70 and 80 GeV, respectively, and $M_Y = 0$ GeV. The defined search region has a high acceptance for this signal, especially close to the kinematic limit, while reducing the Standard Model expectation by more than an order of magnitude. The efficiencies for XX production and expected Standard Model backgrounds are listed in Table 3 for both the standard selection and after including the energy sum requirement. Based on the latter efficiencies within the full acceptance (typically 25%) and given no observed events in the data, we set 95% CL upper limits on the cross-section for XX production multiplied by the photonic decay branching ratio squared, $\sigma_{XX} \times BR^2(X \rightarrow Y \gamma)$, for massless Y. These limits are shown in Fig. 3b. For $M_X = 50$ GeV, this limit on $\sigma_{XX} \times BR^2(X \rightarrow Y \gamma)$ is 1.5 pb and for $M_X = 75$ GeV it is 1.0 pb. For example, this can be compared with the case of a light gravitino as described in [12], for which a cross-section of about 1 pb is expected for $M_X = 50$ GeV.

As described above, the efficiencies over the full angular range have been calculated with isotropic angular distributions for production and decay of X. We have examined the validity of this assumption based on the angular distributions calculated for photino pair production in [10]. For models proposed in [11], the production angular distributions are more central and so this assumption is conservative. For an extreme case, namely a $1 + \cos^2 \theta$ production angular distribution, appropriate to t-channel exchange of a very heavy particle [10], the relative efficiency reduction would be at most 15%. We have evaluated the efficiency with zero decay length for X; efficiencies are unaffected if the decay length is much less than the inner radius of the barrel electromagnetic calorimeter (2.5 m).

5 Conclusions

We have searched for photonic events with large missing energy in two different and complementary topologies from data taken at $\sqrt{s} = 161$ GeV. The search is sensitive to several types of new physics in $e^+e^-$ collisions producing invisible particles that escape detection.

In the topology A selection which requires events to have at least one photon with $z_\gamma > 0.2$ and $|\cos \theta| < 0.7$, 11 events are observed in the data compared to an expected contribution from the Standard Model process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ of $16.1 \pm 0.3$ events. This corresponds to a cross-section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ of $1.6 \pm 0.5$ pb within the kinematic acceptance of topology A, consistent with the Standard Model prediction of 2.3 pb. By considering only events with a single photon and with a recoil mass below 75 GeV, based on no observed events, we determine a 95% CL upper limit for the cross-section of 0.44 pb. In addition we set an upper limit on the cross-section times photonic branching ratio for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow XY, X \rightarrow Y \gamma, M_Y \approx 0$ as a function of $M_X$. This limit is of particular interest for the case of new physics processes producing single photons such as for the supersymmetry process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{X}\tilde{X} \rightarrow X^0\bar{X}^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0_{1+}X^0\gamma$ and for single production of excited neutrinos [21].

The topology B selection which requires events to have two photons, each with energy exceeding 1.75 GeV and $|\cos \theta| < 0.7$, finds no events passing all cuts. This is consistent with the prediction for the Standard Model process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma\gamma$ of $0.93 \pm 0.08$ events. We set an upper limit on the cross-section for acoplanar photon pair production within the kinematic acceptance of topology B of 0.41 pb at 95% CL. We also set a 95% CL upper limit on the cross-section times photonic branching ratio squared of 1.2 pb for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow XX, X \rightarrow Y \gamma$ for $M_X > 40$ GeV and $M_Y < M_X - 5$ GeV. This limit is of interest for the case of pair produced new particles which decay to undetectable massive particles and photons. By requiring a minimum total energy for the two photons, the already small $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma\gamma$ contribution is made negligible and we derive a 95% CL upper limit for the cross-section times branching ratio squared for XX production as a function of $M_X$ where $M_Y \approx 0$. This limit is of particular interest for new physics processes producing acoplanar photon pairs and undetectable massless particles, for example, pair produced neutralinos, where the neutralino decays into a photon and a light gravitino, or pair produced excited neutrinos [21].
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Table 1: Topology A selection: Number of events observed in the data and expected number of events from the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}(\gamma)$ for an integrated luminosity of $10.0 \text{ pb}^{-1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>1 photon</th>
<th>1 or 2 photons</th>
<th>1 photon and $M_{\text{rec}} &lt; 75 \text{ GeV}$</th>
<th>1 or 2 photons and $M_{\text{rec}} &lt; 75 \text{ GeV}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}(\gamma)$</td>
<td>$13.5 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$16.1 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$0.04 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.09 \pm 0.02$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Topology A selection efficiency as a function of mass for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow XY, X \rightarrow Y\gamma$, and $M_Y \approx 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_X$ (GeV)</th>
<th>Efficiency in % for topology A selection</th>
<th>Efficiency in % for topology A with $1$ photon and $M_{\text{rec}} &lt; 75 \text{ GeV}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>42 \pm 2</td>
<td>14 \pm 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>40 \pm 2</td>
<td>20 \pm 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>42 \pm 2</td>
<td>33 \pm 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>53 \pm 2</td>
<td>50 \pm 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>56 \pm 2</td>
<td>56 \pm 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Topology B selection: Efficiencies and expected number of events for an integrated luminosity of $10.0 \text{ pb}^{-1}$. The efficiency for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}(\gamma)$ is defined relative to the kinematic region mentioned in the text. The last five rows of the table give the efficiency for selecting events of the type $e^+e^- \rightarrow XX, X \rightarrow Y\gamma$ and $M_Y \approx 0$, for varying $M_X$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>standard selection</th>
<th>standard selection and $E_1 + E_2 &gt; 0.4\sqrt{s}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>effic. in %</td>
<td>no. of events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}(\gamma)$</td>
<td>$74 \pm 3$</td>
<td>$0.93 \pm 0.08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_X = 45 \text{ GeV}$</td>
<td>$32 \pm 1$</td>
<td>$20 \pm 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_X = 55 \text{ GeV}$</td>
<td>$33 \pm 1$</td>
<td>$22 \pm 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_X = 70 \text{ GeV}$</td>
<td>$31 \pm 1$</td>
<td>$24 \pm 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_X = 75 \text{ GeV}$</td>
<td>$35 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$31 \pm 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_X = 80 \text{ GeV}$</td>
<td>$33 \pm 1$</td>
<td>$33 \pm 1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: The measured cross-section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(\gamma) + \text{invisible particle(s)}$ versus centre-of-mass energy. The data points with error bars are OPAL measurements from this paper and from the analysis at centre-of-mass energies of 130 and 136 GeV. The curve is the Standard Model prediction for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma(\gamma)$. 
Figure 2: a) The $x_\gamma$ distribution for the most energetic photon in events selected by topology A without the $x_\gamma$ cut in order to show the expected background contributions at low $x_\gamma$. The data are the points with error bars, the solid line is the expected contribution from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ and the broken lines are the additional contribution from other Standard Model backgrounds. The Monte Carlo contributions are normalised to the $10.0\text{ pb}^{-1}$ of the data. b) The recoil mass distribution for selected events in topology A. The points with error bars are the data and the histogram is the expectation from the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ Monte Carlo normalised to the $10.0\text{ pb}^{-1}$ of the data.
Figure 3: a) The 95% CL upper limit on the cross-section times the photonic branching ratio for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow XY$, $M_Y \approx 0$, versus $M_X$. This limit uses the topology A selection for one photon only and $M_{\text{rec}} < 75$ GeV. b) The 95% CL upper limit on the cross-section times the photonic branching ratio squared for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow XX$, $M_Y \approx 0$, versus $M_X$. This limit uses the topology B selection with the $E_1 + E_2 > 0.4\sqrt{s}$ cut.
Figure 4: The energies of the lower versus the higher energy photon for events passing the topology B selection. No data events are selected for this topology. a) $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma\gamma\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV Monte Carlo events corresponding to a luminosity of 1.5 fb$^{-1}$. b-d) Events of the type $e^+e^- \rightarrow XX, X \rightarrow Y\gamma$ with $M_Y \approx 0$. The events shown correspond to 1000 events generated for each of three mass values for X: b) $M_X = 55$ GeV, c) $M_X = 70$ GeV, d) $M_X = 80$ GeV.