

A HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION TRANSFORM AND MATRIX-VALUED ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

WOLTER GROENEVELT AND ERIK KOELINK

ABSTRACT. The spectral decomposition for an explicit second-order differential operator T is determined. The spectrum consists of a continuous part with multiplicity two, a continuous part with multiplicity one, and a finite discrete part with multiplicity one. The spectral analysis gives rise to a generalized Fourier transform with an explicit hypergeometric function as a kernel. Using Jacobi polynomials the operator T can also be realized as a five-diagonal operator, hence leading to orthogonality relations for 2×2 -matrix-valued polynomials. These matrix-valued polynomials can be considered as matrix-valued generalizations of Wilson polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that a three-term recurrence relation

$$\lambda p_n(\lambda) = a_n p_{n+1}(\lambda) + b_n p_n(\lambda) + a_{n-1} p_{n-1}(\lambda), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

with $a_{-1} = 0$, can be solved using orthogonal polynomials. A generalization of this is obtained by Durán and Van Assche [5], who showed a $2N + 1$ -term recurrence relation can be solved using $N \times N$ -matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials. Motivated by this result and previous work by Ismail and the second author [12], [13], a method is presented by Ismail and the authors [8] to obtain orthogonality relations for 2×2 -matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials from an operator T on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of functions. The operator T must satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) T is self-adjoint;
- (ii) there exists a weighted Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{V})$ and a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{V})$ so that $UT = MU$, where M is the multiplication operator on $L^2(\mathcal{V})$;
- (iii) there exists an orthonormal basis $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of \mathcal{H} , and there exist sequences $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $(b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $(c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of numbers with $a_n > 0$ and $c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$Tf_n = a_n f_{n+2} + b_n f_{n+1} + c_n f_n + \overline{b_{n-1}} f_{n-1} + a_{n-2} f_{n-2},$$

where we assume $a_{-1} = a_{-2} = b_{-1} = 0$.

In [8] two explicit examples are worked out, where the operator T is, besides a five-term operator, also realized as the second-order q -difference operator corresponding to well-known q -hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials. Thus, the unitary operator U is the integral transform with the corresponding orthogonal polynomials as a kernel. This leads to complicated, but explicit, orthogonality relations for certain matrix-valued polynomials defined by an explicit matrix three-term recurrence relation. We note that the explicit weight function differs structurally from the usually considered weight functions for matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials consisting of a matrix-deformation of a classical weight.

In this paper we apply the method from [8] with the second-order differential operator $T = T^{(\alpha, \beta; \kappa)}$ defined by

$$(1.1) \quad T = (1 - x^2)^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (1 - x^2)[\beta - \alpha - (\alpha + \beta + 4)x] \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{4}[\kappa^2 - (\alpha + \beta + 3)^2](1 - x^2).$$

Here $\alpha, \beta > -1$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup i\mathbb{R}_{> 0}$. The differential operator T is closely related to the second-order differential operator to which the Jacobi polynomials are eigenfunctions. It should be noted that T raises the degree of a polynomial by 2, so there are no polynomial eigenfunctions. We will show that the differential operator T , considered as an unbounded operator on a weighted L^2 -space, satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) given above. An interesting problem here is that T does not

correspond to orthogonal polynomials or to a known unitary integral transform such as the Jacobi function transform [16].

The unitary operator U needed in condition (ii) is given by an explicit integral transform \mathcal{F} which is obtained from spectral analysis of T . The spectrum of T consists of a continuous part with multiplicity two, a continuous part with multiplicity one, and a (possibly empty) finite discrete part of multiplicity one. As a result, the integral transform \mathcal{F} has a hypergeometric kernel which is partly \mathbb{C}^2 -valued and partly \mathbb{C} -valued. There are several (but not very many) hypergeometric integral transforms with \mathbb{C}^2 -valued kernels available in the literature, see e.g. [18], [9], [15, Exercise (4.4.11)], see also [7] for an example with basic hypergeometric functions. To the best of our knowledge all known examples can be considered as nonpolynomial extensions of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials, in the sense that the corresponding kernels are eigenfunctions of a differential/difference operator that also has orthogonal polynomials as eigenfunctions. For example, Neretin's \mathbb{C}^2 -valued ${}_2F_1$ -integral transform [18] generalizes the Jacobi polynomials. The integral transform \mathcal{F} we consider in this paper, however, does not seem to generalize a family of orthogonal polynomials, although in a special case it can be considered as a nonpolynomial extension of two different one-parameter families of Jacobi polynomials. Furthermore, other hypergeometric integral transforms and hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials correspond to a bispectral problem, see e.g. [10], which can always be related directly to contiguous relations for hypergeometric functions. From the explicit expressions as hypergeometric functions for the kernel of \mathcal{F} , it is unclear whether \mathcal{F} is also related to a bispectral problem.

In a special case the 2×2 -matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials we obtain can be diagonalized. In this case the orthogonality relations correspond to orthogonality relations for two subfamilies of Wilson polynomials [20]. This is why we consider our matrix-valued polynomials as generalizations of (subfamilies of) Wilson polynomials.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the integral transform \mathcal{F} and show that the differential operator T (1.1) satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). The proofs for this section are given separately in Section 4, where the spectral analysis of T is carried out, which can be quite technical at certain points. In Section 3 we realize T as a five-diagonal operator on a basis consisting of Jacobi polynomials, so that condition (iii) is also satisfied. The corresponding five-term recurrence relation is equivalent to a matrix three-term recurrence relation that defines 2×2 -matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials P_n for which the orthogonality relations are determined. We also consider briefly the special case $\alpha = \beta$, in which case the integral transform \mathcal{F} reduces to two Jacobi function transforms and the orthogonality relations for P_n correspond to the orthogonality relations for certain Wilson polynomials. Finally, in Section 4 eigenfunctions of T are given, which are needed for the spectral decomposition of T . The spectral decomposition leads to a proof of the unitarity of the integral transform \mathcal{F} , and to an explicit formula for its inverse.

Notations. We write \mathbb{N} for the set of nonnegative integers. We use standard notations for hypergeometric functions, as in e.g. [2, 11]. For products of Γ -functions and of shifted factorials we use the shorthand notations

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) &= \Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2)\cdots\Gamma(a_n), \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)_k &= (a_1)_k(a_2)_k\cdots(a_n)_k.\end{aligned}$$

2. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS AND A HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION TRANSFORM

In this section we describe the spectral analysis of the operator T defined by (1.1). The spectral decomposition is given by an integral transform with certain hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ -functions as a kernel which is interesting in its own right. The proofs for this section are postponed until Section 4.

Let $\alpha, \beta > -1$ be fixed, and let $w^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be the Jacobi weight function on $[-1, 1]$ given by

$$(2.1) \quad w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = 2^{-\alpha-\beta-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)} (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta.$$

The corresponding inner product is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) \overline{g(x)} w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx.$$

The weight is normalized such that $\langle 1, 1 \rangle = 1$. We denote by $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ the corresponding weighted L^2 -space; $\mathcal{H} = L^2((-1, 1), w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx)$. To stress the dependence on the parameters α and β , we will sometimes denote the inner product in $\mathcal{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\alpha, \beta}$. Let us remark that the substitution $x \mapsto -x$ sends $T^{(\alpha, \beta; \kappa)}$ to $T^{(\beta, \alpha; \kappa)}$, and $\mathcal{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ to $\mathcal{H}^{(\beta, \alpha)}$. So without loss of generality we may assume $\beta \geq \alpha$, which we do from here on.

We consider T as an unbounded operator on \mathcal{H} . The domain \mathcal{D}_0 for T is described in Section 4.2, where the following result is proved.

Proposition 2.1. *The operator (T, \mathcal{D}_0) has a unique self-adjoint extension.*

We denote the extension of T again by T . The spectral analysis of T will be described by the integral transform \mathcal{F} mapping functions in \mathcal{H} (under suitable conditions) to functions in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{V})$. We first introduce the latter space.

Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$\Omega_1 = (-(\beta + 1)^2, -(\alpha + 1)^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_2 = (-\infty, -(\beta + 1)^2).$$

We set

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda &= i\sqrt{-\lambda - (\alpha + 1)^2}, & \lambda \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \\ \eta_\lambda &= i\sqrt{-\lambda - (\beta + 1)^2}, & \lambda \in \Omega_2, \\ \delta(\lambda) &= \sqrt{\lambda + (\alpha + 1)^2}, & \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2), \\ \eta(\lambda) &= \sqrt{\lambda + (\beta + 1)^2}, & \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega_2. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\sqrt{\cdot}$ denotes the principal branch of the square root. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ as the solution of

$$(2.3) \quad \delta(\lambda) + \eta(\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda + (\alpha + 1)^2} + \sqrt{\lambda + (\beta + 1)^2} = -2n - 1 + \kappa.$$

We define the finite set Ω_d by

$$\Omega_d = \{\lambda_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n \leq \frac{1}{2}(\kappa - 1)\},$$

i.e., Ω_d consists of the real solutions of (2.3). Note that $\Omega_d = \emptyset$ if $\kappa < 1$ or $\kappa \in i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. The number $\lambda_n \in \Omega_d$ has the explicit expression

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n &= \left(-n + \frac{1}{2}(\kappa - 1) + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha + \beta + 2)}{-4n - 2 + 2\kappa} \right)^2 - (\alpha + 1)^2 \\ &= \left(-n + \frac{1}{2}(\kappa - 1) - \frac{(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha + \beta + 2)}{-4n - 2 + 2\kappa} \right)^2 - (\beta + 1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We will denote by σ the set $\Omega_2 \cup \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_d$. Theorem 2.2 will show that σ is the spectrum of T .

Next we introduce the weight functions that we need to define $L^2(\mathcal{V})$. First we define

$$(2.4) \quad c(x; y) = \frac{\Gamma(1 + y, -x)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(1 + y - x + \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1 + y - x - \kappa))}.$$

With this function we define for $\lambda \in \Omega_1$

$$(2.5) \quad v(\lambda) = \frac{1}{c(\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda))c(-\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda))}.$$

For $\lambda \in \Omega_2$ we define the matrix-valued weight function $V(\lambda)$ by

$$(2.6) \quad V(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v_{12}(\lambda) \\ v_{21}(\lambda) & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$(2.7) \quad v_{21}(\lambda) = \frac{c(\eta_\lambda; \delta_\lambda)}{c(-\eta_\lambda; \delta_\lambda)} = \frac{\Gamma(-\eta_\lambda, \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta_\lambda + \eta_\lambda + \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta_\lambda + \eta_\lambda - \kappa))}{\Gamma(\eta_\lambda, \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta_\lambda - \eta_\lambda + \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta_\lambda - \eta_\lambda - \kappa))},$$

and $v_{12}(\lambda) = \overline{v_{21}(\lambda)}$. Finally, for $\lambda_n \in \Omega_d$ we set

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} N_{\lambda_n} &= \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\lambda_n} \left(\frac{c(\eta(\lambda); \delta(\lambda))}{\eta(\lambda)c(-\eta(\lambda); \delta(\lambda))} \right) \\ &= \frac{4\delta(\lambda_n)}{-2n-1+\kappa} \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma(-\eta(\lambda_n), \kappa-n)}{n! \Gamma(\eta(\lambda_n), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta(\lambda_n) - \eta(\lambda_n) + \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta(\lambda_n) - \eta(\lambda_n) - \kappa))}. \end{aligned}$$

Note here that $\delta(\lambda_n) - \eta(\lambda_n) = \frac{(\alpha-\beta)(\alpha+\beta+2)}{-2n-1+\kappa}$.

Now we are ready to define the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{V})$. It consists of functions that are \mathbb{C}^2 -valued on Ω_2 and \mathbb{C} -valued on $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_d$. The inner product on $L^2(\mathcal{V})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_{\Omega_2} g(\lambda)^* V(\lambda) f(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{-i\eta_\lambda} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_{\Omega_1} f(\lambda) \overline{g(\lambda)} v(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{-i\delta_\lambda} + \frac{1}{D} \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_d} f(\lambda) \overline{g(\lambda)} N_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where $D = \frac{4\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)}$.

Next we introduce the integral transform \mathcal{F} . For $\lambda \in \Omega_1$ and $x \in (-1, 1)$ we define

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_\lambda(x) &= \left(\frac{1-x}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\delta_\lambda+1)} \left(\frac{1+x}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\eta(\lambda)+1)} \\ &\quad \times {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta_\lambda + \eta(\lambda) - \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta_\lambda + \eta(\lambda) + \kappa) \\ 1 + \eta(\lambda) \end{matrix}; \frac{1+x}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Euler's transformation, see e.g. [2, (2.2.7)], we can replace δ_λ by $-\delta_\lambda$ in (2.9). Furthermore, we define for $\lambda \in \Omega_2$ and $x \in (-1, 1)$,

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_\lambda^\pm(x) &= \left(\frac{1-x}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\delta_\lambda+1)} \left(\frac{1+x}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta \mp \eta_\lambda + 1)} \\ &\quad \times {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta_\lambda \pm \eta_\lambda - \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta_\lambda \pm \eta_\lambda + \kappa) \\ 1 \pm \eta_\lambda \end{matrix}; \frac{1+x}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\overline{\varphi_\lambda^+(x)} = \varphi_\lambda^-(x)$, again by Euler's transformation. Finally, for $\lambda_n \in \Omega_d$ we define

$$(2.11) \quad \varphi_{\lambda_n}(x) = \left(\frac{1-x}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\delta(\lambda_n)+1)} \left(\frac{1+x}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\eta(\lambda_n)+1)} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, \kappa-n \\ 1 + \eta(\lambda_n) \end{matrix}; \frac{1+x}{2} \right).$$

Now, let \mathcal{F} be the integral transform defined by

$$(2.12) \quad (\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \int_{-1}^1 f(x) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_\lambda^+(x) \\ \varphi_\lambda^-(x) \end{pmatrix} w^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) dx, & \lambda \in \Omega_2, \\ \int_{-1}^1 f(x) \varphi_\lambda(x) w^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) dx, & \lambda \in \Omega_1, \\ \int_{-1}^1 f(x) \varphi_{\lambda_n}(x) w^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) dx, & \lambda = \lambda_n \in \Omega_d, \end{cases}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that the integrals converge. The following result says that \mathcal{F} is the required unitary operator U from the introduction.

Theorem 2.2. *The integral transform \mathcal{F} extends uniquely to a unitary operator $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{V})$ such that $\mathcal{F}T = M\mathcal{F}$, where $M : L^2(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{V})$ is the unbounded multiplication operator.*

Remark 2.3. In case $\alpha = \beta$ the spectral decomposition of T can be described using the Jacobi function transform [16]. To see this, we apply the change of variable $x = \tanh(t)$, then the second-order differential operator T defined by (1.1) turns into

$$\widehat{T} = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + [\beta - \alpha - (\alpha + \beta + 2) \tanh(t)] \frac{d}{dt} + \frac{\kappa^2 - (\alpha + \beta + 3)^2}{4 \cosh^2(t)}.$$

For $\alpha = \beta$, let f_λ be a solution of the eigenvalue equation $\widehat{T}f_\lambda = \lambda f_\lambda$. Now define $F_\lambda^\pm(t) = \cosh^{\frac{1}{2}(2\alpha+3\pm\kappa)}(t)f_\lambda(t)$, then F_λ satisfies

$$\frac{d^2 F_\lambda^\pm}{dt^2} + (1 \pm \kappa) \tanh(t) \frac{dF_\lambda^\pm}{dt} = \left(\lambda + (\alpha - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(1 \pm \kappa)^2 \right) F_\lambda^\pm.$$

Using the differential equation for Jacobi functions, see [16, (1.1)], we now see that the spectral decomposition of T can be given using the Jacobi function transforms corresponding to the Jacobi functions $\phi_{\delta_\lambda}^{(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\kappa)}$ and $\phi_{\delta_\lambda}^{(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\kappa)}$.

We have an explicit inverse of the integral transform \mathcal{F} . Define for $x \in (-1, 1)$ the integral transform \mathcal{G} by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{G}f)(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_{\Omega_2} (\varphi_\lambda^+(x) \varphi_\lambda^-(x)) V(\lambda) f(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{-i\eta_\lambda} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_{\Omega_1} f(\lambda) \varphi_\lambda(x) v(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{-i\delta_\lambda} + \frac{1}{D} \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_d} f(\lambda) \varphi_\lambda(x) N_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for all functions $f \in L^2(\mathcal{V})$ for which the above integrals converge.

Theorem 2.4. *The integral transform \mathcal{G} extends uniquely to an operator $\mathcal{G} : L^2(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}$.*

Theorem 2.2 and 2.4 are proved in Section 4. The following orthogonality relations are a result of Theorem 2.2 by considering the discrete spectrum of T .

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\kappa \geq 1$, then the following orthogonality relations hold*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-1}^1 {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -m, \kappa - m \\ 1 + \eta(\lambda_m) \end{matrix}; \frac{1+x}{2} \right) {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, \kappa - n \\ 1 + \eta(\lambda_n) \end{matrix}; \frac{1+x}{2} \right) \\ &\quad \times (1-x)^{\frac{1}{2}(\delta(\lambda_m) + \delta(\lambda_n) - 2)} (1+x)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta(\lambda_m) + \eta(\lambda_n) - 2)} dx \\ &= \delta_{mn} \frac{2^{\kappa-n-m}}{N_{\lambda_n}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n, m \leq \frac{1}{2}(\kappa - 1)$.

3. MATRIX-VALUED ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

In this section we show that the differential operator T can be realized as a five-diagonal operator with respect to an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . Using the spectral decomposition for T this leads to orthogonality relations for 2×2 -matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials.

3.1. The five-diagonal operator. The Jacobi polynomials are defined by

$$P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{(\alpha + 1)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, n + \alpha + \beta + 1 \\ \alpha + 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1-x}{2} \right).$$

For $\alpha, \beta > -1$ they form an orthogonal basis for \mathcal{H} ;

$$\langle P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}, P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} \rangle = \delta_{mn} h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}, \quad h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \frac{\alpha + \beta + 1}{2n + \alpha + \beta + 1} \frac{(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)_n}{(\alpha + \beta + 1)_n n!}.$$

The Jacobi polynomials are eigenfunctions of the Jacobi differential operator

$$L^{(\alpha,\beta)} = (1-x^2)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + [\beta - \alpha - (\alpha + \beta + 2)x]\frac{d}{dx},$$

$$L^{(\alpha,\beta)}P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} = -n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}.$$

We define $r(x) = 1 - x^2$, then for $x \in (-1, 1)$ the polynomial r can be written as

$$(3.1) \quad r(x) = K \frac{w^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x)}{w^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}, \quad K = \frac{4(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}{(\alpha+\beta+2)(\alpha+\beta+3)}.$$

The differential operator $T = T^{(\alpha,\beta;\kappa)}$ defined by (1.1) is related to $L^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ by

$$(3.2) \quad T^{(\alpha,\beta;\kappa)} = M(r)(L^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)} + \rho), \quad \rho = \frac{1}{4}(\kappa^2 - (\alpha + \beta + 3)^2),$$

where $M(r)$ denotes multiplication by r .

In [8, Section 3.1] it is shown that an operator of the form (3.2) acts as a five-term operator on a suitable basis of \mathcal{H} . In this case the basis consists of Jacobi polynomials. We define $\phi_n = P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}/(h_n^{(\alpha,\beta)})^{1/2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$. We also define $\Phi_n = P_n^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}/(h_n^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)})^{1/2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{\Phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}$. In order to write T explicitly as a five-diagonal operator on the basis $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, we need a connection formula between $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\Phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Lemma 3.1. *The following connection formula holds,*

$$\phi_n = \alpha_n \Phi_n + \beta_n \Phi_{n-1} + \gamma_n \Phi_{n-2},$$

where

$$\alpha_n = \frac{2}{\sqrt{K}} \frac{1}{2n + \alpha + \beta + 2} \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha + n + 1)(\beta + n + 1)(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)(n + \alpha + \beta + 2)}{(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1)(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 3)}},$$

$$\beta_n = (-1)^n \frac{2}{\sqrt{K}} \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)}}{(\alpha + \beta + 2n)(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 2)},$$

$$\gamma_n = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{K}} \frac{1}{2n + \alpha + \beta} \sqrt{\frac{n(n-1)(\alpha + n)(\beta + n)}{(\alpha + \beta + 2n - 1)(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1)}}.$$

Proof. There exists an expansion $\phi_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \Phi_k$, where

$$a_{n,k} = \langle \phi_n, \Phi_k \rangle_{\alpha+1,\beta+1} = K^{-1} \langle \phi_n, r\Phi_k \rangle_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Since r has degree 2, it follows from the orthogonality relations for ϕ_n that $a_{n,k} = 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq n-3$.

We compute the three remaining coefficients. The value of $a_{n,n}$ follows from comparing leading coefficients; $a_{n,n} = \frac{\text{lc}(\phi_n)}{\text{lc}(\Phi_n)}$. We have

$$\text{lc}(\phi_n) = 2^{-n}(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)_n \sqrt{\frac{2n + \alpha + \beta + 1}{\alpha + \beta + 1} \frac{(\alpha + \beta + 1)_n}{n!(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)_n}}$$

and $\text{lc}(\Phi_n)$ is obtained by replacing (α, β) by $(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)$, which leads to the result for $\alpha_n = a_{n,n}$.

For a polynomial p of degree n , let $k(p)$ denote the coefficient of $(1-x)^{n-1}$, then

$$a_{n,n-1} = \frac{k(\phi_n) - a_{n,n}k(\Phi_n)}{\text{lc}(\Phi_{n-1})}.$$

We have

$$k(\phi_n) = (-1)^{n+1} \text{lc}(\phi_n) \frac{2n(\alpha + n)}{\alpha + \beta + 2n}.$$

and $k(\Phi_n)$ is obtained by replacing (α, β) by $(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)$, which gives the expression for $\beta_n = a_{n,n-1}$.

Finally, the expression for $\gamma_n = a_{n,n-2}$ follows from

$$a_{n,n-2} = K^{-1} \frac{\text{lc}(r\Phi_{n-2})}{\text{lc}(\phi_n)} = -K^{-1} \frac{\text{lc}(\Phi_{n-2})}{\text{lc}(\phi_n)}. \quad \square$$

We now use [8, Lemma 3.1] to write the differential operator T as a five-diagonal operator.

Proposition 3.2. *The operator T defined by (1.1) acts as a five-diagonal operator on the basis $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{H} by*

$$(3.3) \quad T\phi_n = a_n\phi_{n+2} + b_n\phi_{n+1} + c_n\phi_n + b_{n-1}\phi_{n-1} + a_{n-2}\phi_{n-2},$$

with coefficients given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= K\alpha_n\gamma_{n+2}(\Lambda_n + \rho), & b_n &= K\alpha_n\beta_{n+1}(\Lambda_n + \rho) + K\beta_n\gamma_{n+1}(\Lambda_{n+1} + \rho), \\ c_n &= K\alpha_n^2(\Lambda_n + \rho) + K\beta_n^2(\Lambda_{n-1} + \rho) + K\gamma_n^2(\Lambda_{n-2} + \rho), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_n = -n(n + \alpha + \beta + 3)$, K , ρ are given by (3.1), (3.2) and $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n$ are as in Lemma 3.1.

One easily verifies that $a_{-1} = a_{-2} = b_{-1} = 0$. Furthermore, we have the factorization

$$\Lambda_n + \rho = -\left(n + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 3 + \kappa)\right)\left(n + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 3 - \kappa)\right).$$

3.2. Matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials. From Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 3.2 it follows that the functions $\mathcal{F}\phi_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy the five-term recurrence relation

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathcal{F}\phi_n)(\lambda) &= \\ a_n(\mathcal{F}\phi_{n+2})(\lambda) &+ b_n(\mathcal{F}\phi_{n+1})(\lambda) + c_n(\mathcal{F}\phi_n)(\lambda) + b_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}\phi_{n-1})(\lambda) + a_{n-2}(\mathcal{F}\phi_{n-2})(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

for almost all $\lambda \in \sigma$. Furthermore, the set $\{\mathcal{F}\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathcal{V})$. We can determine an explicit expression for $\mathcal{F}\phi_n$ in terms of hypergeometric functions.

Lemma 3.3. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F_n(\delta, \eta) = F_n(\delta, \eta; \alpha, \beta, \kappa)$ denote the series*

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(\delta, \eta) &= D_n \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{(-n, n + \alpha + \beta + 1, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \delta + 1))_l}{l!(\alpha + 1, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta + 2))_l} \\ &\quad \times {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta + \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta - \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \eta + 1) \\ 1 + \eta, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta + 2 + 2l) \end{matrix} ; 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$D_n = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2n + \alpha + \beta + 1}{\alpha + \beta + 1} \frac{(\alpha + \beta + 1, \alpha + 1)_n}{n!(\beta + 1)_n} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \delta + 1), \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \eta + 1))}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta + 2))}}.$$

Then, for $\lambda \in \sigma$,

$$(\mathcal{F}\phi_n)(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} F_n(\delta_\lambda, \eta_\lambda) \\ F_n(\delta_\lambda, -\eta_\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Omega_2, \\ F_n(\delta_\lambda, \eta(\lambda)), & \lambda \in \Omega_1, \\ F_n(\delta(\lambda), \eta(\lambda)), & \lambda \in \Omega_d. \end{cases}$$

The above ${}_3F_2$ -series converges absolutely if $\Re(\alpha - \delta + 1 + 2l) > 0$, which is the case if $\lambda \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$. For $\lambda \in \Omega_d$ the ${}_3F_2$ -series terminates.

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &= \int_{-1}^1 \left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\delta+1)} \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\eta+1)} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta + \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta - \kappa) \\ 1 + \eta \end{matrix} ; \frac{1+x}{2} \right) \\ &\quad \times {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, n + \alpha + \beta + 1 \\ \alpha + 1 \end{matrix} ; \frac{1-x}{2} \right) w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging the order of summation and integration, and using the Beta-integral, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &= C_n \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-n, n + \alpha + \beta + 1)_l \left(\frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta + \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta - \kappa)\right)_m}{2^l l! (\alpha + 1)_l 2^m m! (1 + \eta)_m} \\ &\quad \times \int_{-1}^1 (1-x)^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \delta - 1) + l} (1+x)^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta + \eta - 1) + m} dx \\ &= C'_n \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-n, n + \alpha + \beta + 1, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \delta + 1))_l}{l! (\alpha + 1)_l} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta + \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta - \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \eta + 1)\right)_m}{m! (1 + \eta)_m \left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta + 2)\right)_{l+m}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C'_n = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \delta + 1), \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \eta + 1))}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta + 2))}.$$

Now the result follows from the explicit expressions for ϕ_n and the integral transform \mathcal{F} . \square

From Lemma 3.3 it follows that

$$F_0(\delta, \eta) = D_0 {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta + \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta - \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \eta + 1) \\ 1 + \eta, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta + 2) \end{matrix} ; 1 \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(\delta, \eta) &= D_1 \left[{}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta + \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta - \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \eta + 1) \\ 1 + \eta, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta + 2) \end{matrix} ; 1 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(\alpha + \beta + 2)(\alpha + \delta + 1)}{(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta + 2)} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta + \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta + \eta - \kappa), \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \eta + 1) \\ 1 + \eta, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta + 4) \end{matrix} ; 1 \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

These two functions and the five-term recurrence relation from (3.4) completely determine the functions $\mathcal{F}\phi_n$.

We define 2×2 -matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials P_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the three-term recurrence relations

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda P_n(\lambda) = A_n P_{n+1}(\lambda) + B_n P_n(\lambda) + A_{n-1}^* P_{n-1}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \sigma,$$

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_{2n} & 0 \\ b_{2n+1} & a_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_n = \begin{pmatrix} c_{2n} & b_{2n} \\ b_{2n} & c_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the initial conditions $P_{-1}(\lambda) = 0$ and $P_0(\lambda) = I$. From the five-term recurrence relation (3.4) we obtain, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}\phi_{2m}(\lambda) \\ \mathcal{F}\phi_{2m+1}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}(\lambda) &= P_m(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}\phi_0(\lambda) \\ \mathcal{F}\phi_1(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} && \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_d, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}\phi_{2m}(\lambda)^t \\ \mathcal{F}\phi_{2m+1}(\lambda)^t \end{pmatrix}(\lambda) &= P_m(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}\phi_0(\lambda)^t \\ \mathcal{F}\phi_1(\lambda)^t \end{pmatrix} && \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_2. \end{aligned}$$

The orthogonality relations for $\mathcal{F}\phi_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, can now be reformulated as orthogonality relations for the matrix-valued polynomials P_n , see [8, Theorem 2.1].

Theorem 3.4. *The 2×2 -matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials P_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, defined by (3.5) satisfy the orthogonality relations*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{mn} I &= \frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_{\Omega_2} P_m(\lambda) W_2(\lambda) P_n(\lambda)^* \frac{d\lambda}{-i\eta\lambda} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_{\Omega_1} P_m(\lambda) W_1(\lambda) P_n(\lambda)^* v(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{-i\delta\lambda} + \frac{1}{D} \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_d} P_m(\lambda) W_1(\lambda) P_n(\lambda)^* N_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

with

$$W_1(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} |(\mathcal{F}\phi_0)(\lambda)|^2 & (\mathcal{F}\phi_0)(\lambda)\overline{(\mathcal{F}\phi_1)(\lambda)} \\ \overline{(\mathcal{F}\phi_0)(\lambda)}(\mathcal{F}\phi_1)(\lambda) & |(\mathcal{F}\phi_1)(\lambda)|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$W_2(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle (\mathcal{F}\phi_0)(\lambda), (\mathcal{F}\phi_0)(\lambda) \rangle_{V(\lambda)} & \langle (\mathcal{F}\phi_0)(\lambda), (\mathcal{F}\phi_1)(\lambda) \rangle_{V(\lambda)} \\ \langle (\mathcal{F}\phi_1)(\lambda), (\mathcal{F}\phi_0)(\lambda) \rangle_{V(\lambda)} & \langle (\mathcal{F}\phi_1)(\lambda), (\mathcal{F}\phi_1)(\lambda) \rangle_{V(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\langle x, y \rangle_{V(\lambda)} = x^*V(\lambda)y$.

Remark 3.5. In [8, Proposition 3.6] a q -analog of Theorem 3.4 is considered. The functions ϕ_n in this case are the little q -Jacobi polynomials, and the integral transform \mathcal{F} is simply the integral transform corresponding to the continuous dual q -Hahn polynomials. It would be very interesting to see if similar results can be obtained for other q -analogs of the Jacobi polynomials, such as big q -Jacobi polynomials [1], Askey-Wilson polynomials [3] and Ruijsenaars' R -function [19].

3.3. The special case $\alpha = \beta$. We assume $\alpha = \beta$, and for convenience we also assume $\Omega_d = \emptyset$. In this case $\Omega_1 = \emptyset$, and $\delta_\lambda = \eta_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Omega_2$. The spectral decomposition of T can now be obtained in a different way.

The coefficient b_n in the five-diagonal expression for T vanishes, so T reduces to a tridiagonal operator or Jacobi operator. Explicitly,

$$T\phi_n = a_n\phi_{n+2} + c_n\phi_n + a_{n-2}\phi_{n-2},$$

with

$$a_n = \frac{(n + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 3 + \kappa))(n + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 3 - \kappa))}{2n + 2\alpha + 3} \sqrt{\frac{(n+2)(n+1)(n+2\alpha+1)(n+2\alpha+2)}{(2n+2\alpha+1)(2n+2\alpha+5)}},$$

$$c_n = -\frac{(n+2\alpha+1)(n+2\alpha+2)(n + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 3 + \kappa))(n + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 3 - \kappa))}{(2n+2\alpha+1)(2n+2\alpha+3)}$$

$$- \frac{n(n-1)(n + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta - 1 + \kappa))(n + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta - 1 - \kappa))}{(2n+2\alpha-1)(2n+2\alpha+1)}.$$

The spectral decomposition can be described with the help of the orthonormal Wilson polynomials [20, 2], which are defined by

$$W_n(x^2; a, b, c, d) = \sqrt{\frac{(a+b, a+c, a+d)_n (a+b+c+d)_{2n}}{n!(b+c, b+d, c+d, n+a+b+c+d-1)_n}}$$

$$\times {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, n+a+b+c+d-1, a+ix, a-ix \\ a+b, a+c, a+d \end{matrix}; 1 \right).$$

If $a, b, c, d > 0$ these polynomials are orthonormal with respect to an absolutely continuous measure on $(0, \infty)$.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$W_m^e(x) = W_m((2x)^2; \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1), \frac{1}{4}(1+\kappa), \frac{1}{4}(1-\kappa)),$$

$$W_m^o(x) = W_m((2x)^2; \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1), \frac{1}{4}(3+\kappa), \frac{1}{4}(3-\kappa)),$$

then we obtain from the three-term recurrence relation for the Wilson polynomials

$$-((\alpha+1)^2 + x^2)W_m^e(x) = a_{2m}W_{m+1}^e(x) + c_{2m}W_m^e(x) + a_{2m-2}W_{m-1}^e(x),$$

$$-((\alpha+1)^2 + x^2)W_m^o(x) = a_{2m+1}W_{m+1}^o(x) + c_{2m+1}W_m^o(x) + a_{2m-1}W_{m-1}^o(x).$$

Let μ^e and μ^o denote the orthogonality measures for the Wilson polynomials W_m^e and W_m^o . The unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\mu^e) \oplus L^2(\mu^o)$, given by

$$(3.7) \quad U\phi_n = \begin{cases} W_m^e & \text{if } n = 2m, \\ W_m^o & \text{if } n = 2m+1, \end{cases}$$

satisfies $UT = MU$, where M is multiplication by $-((\alpha + 1)^2 + x^2)$. So T indeed has continuous spectrum $(-\infty, -(\alpha + 1)^2) = \Omega_2$, with multiplicity 2.

The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}$ can also be split up in a natural way. From (1.1) we see that T (with $\alpha = \beta$) leaves invariant the subspaces of even/odd functions, so we can split \mathcal{H} accordingly into \mathcal{H}^e and \mathcal{H}^o . The Jacobi (Gegenbauer) polynomials $\phi_{2m}(x)$ are even polynomials, hence they form an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}^e , and by a quadratic transformation they can be transformed into multiples of $P_m^{(\alpha, -\frac{1}{2})}(2x^2 - 1)$. Similarly, the odd polynomials $\phi_{2m+1}(x)$ form an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}^o , and they can be transformed into multiples of $xP_m^{(\alpha, \frac{1}{2})}(2x^2 - 1)$. Obviously there are similar transformations for the Jacobi polynomials Φ_{2m} and Φ_{2m+1} . Now the operator T restricted to \mathcal{H}^e or \mathcal{H}^o can be treated as in [12, Section 3]. The unitary operator U is given in each case by a Jacobi function transform, see Remark 2.3, so that we obtain a special case of Koornwinder's formula [17] stating that Jacobi polynomials are mapped to Wilson polynomials by the Jacobi function transform. In this light, (3.6) can be considered as a matrix-analog of Koornwinder's formula.

Remark 3.6. There exists an extension of Koornwinder's formula on the level of Wilson polynomials [6, Theorem 6.7]: Wilson polynomials are mapped to Wilson polynomials by the Wilson function transform. It would be interesting to see if there also exists a matrix-analog of this formula.

4. PROOFS FOR SECTION 2

In this section we perform the spectral analysis of the second-order differential operator T defined by (1.1), considered as an unbounded operator on \mathcal{H} .

4.1. Eigenfunctions. The eigenvalue equation $Tf\lambda = \lambda f\lambda$ is a second order differential equation with regular singular points at 1, -1 and ∞ , so it has hypergeometric (i.e. ${}_2F_1$) solutions. We first determine these solutions.

We define for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)$ the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\lambda^-(x) &= \left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\delta(\lambda)+1)} \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta+\eta(\lambda)+1)} \\ &\quad \times {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-\delta(\lambda)-\eta(\lambda)-\kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta(\lambda)-\eta(\lambda)+\kappa); \frac{1+x}{2}\right), \\ \phi_\lambda^+(x) &= \left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\delta(\lambda)+1)} \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\eta(\lambda)+1)} \\ &\quad \times {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-\delta(\lambda)+\eta(\lambda)-\kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta(\lambda)+\eta(\lambda)+\kappa); \frac{1+x}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\lambda^-(x) &= \left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\delta(\lambda)+1)} \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta+\eta(\lambda)+1)} \\ &\quad \times {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-\delta(\lambda)-\eta(\lambda)-\kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta(\lambda)-\eta(\lambda)+\kappa); \frac{1-x}{2}\right), \\ \psi_\lambda^+(x) &= \left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\delta(\lambda)+1)} \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta+\eta(\lambda)+1)} \\ &\quad \times {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+\delta(\lambda)-\eta(\lambda)-\kappa), \frac{1}{2}(1+\delta(\lambda)-\eta(\lambda)+\kappa); \frac{1-x}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where δ and η are defined by (2.2). From Euler's transformation for ${}_2F_1$ -series it follows that ϕ_λ^\pm is invariant under $\delta(\lambda) \mapsto -\delta(\lambda)$; similarly, ψ_λ^\pm is invariant under $\eta(\lambda) \mapsto -\eta(\lambda)$. Note that $\psi_\lambda^\pm(x)$ is obtained from $\phi_\lambda^\pm(x)$ by the substitution $(\alpha, \beta, x) \mapsto (\beta, \alpha, -x)$, and vice versa. Note also that the ${}_2F_1$ -series in ϕ_λ^\pm is summable at $x = 1$ if $\Re(\delta(\lambda)) > 0$, and that the ${}_2F_1$ -series in ψ_λ^\pm is summable at $x = -1$ if $\Re(\eta(\lambda)) > 0$.

Remark 4.1. From here on we will just write δ and η , instead of $\delta(\lambda)$ and $\eta(\lambda)$.

Proposition 4.2. *The functions $\phi_\lambda^\pm, \psi_\lambda^\pm$ are solutions of the eigenvalue equation $Tf = \lambda f$.*

Proof. Suppose f is a solution of the eigenvalue equation $Tf = \lambda f$. A calculation shows that if $f(x) = (1-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\delta+1)}(1+x)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta+\eta+1)}\phi(x)$, then ϕ satisfies

$$(1-x^2)\phi''(x) + [\delta - \eta + (\delta + \eta - 2)x]\phi'(x) - \frac{1}{4}(1 - \eta - \delta - \kappa)(1 - \eta - \delta + \kappa)\phi(x) = 0.$$

Now set $t = \frac{1}{2}(1+x)$, then

$$t(1-t)\frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2} + \left[(1-\eta) - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta-\eta-\kappa) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta-\eta+\kappa)\right)t \right] \frac{d\phi}{dt} - \frac{1}{4}(1-\eta-\delta-\kappa)(1-\eta-\delta+\kappa)\phi = 0.$$

This is the hypergeometric differential equation (see e.g. [2, Ch.2]) with coefficients

$$a = \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta-\eta-\kappa), \quad b = \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta-\eta+\kappa), \quad c = 1-\eta.$$

The ${}_2F_1$ -functions in $\phi_\lambda^-, \psi_\lambda^-$ are well-known solutions of this differential equation, so $\phi_\lambda^-, \psi_\lambda^-$ are solutions of the eigenvalue equation. The proof for ϕ_λ^+ and ψ_λ^+ is similar. \square

For later references we need connection formulas for ϕ_λ^\pm and ψ_λ^\pm .

Proposition 4.3. *For c defined by (2.4),*

$$(4.1) \quad \psi_\lambda^\pm(x) = c(\eta; \pm\delta)\phi_\lambda^+(x) + c(-\eta; \pm\delta)\phi_\lambda^-(x),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \phi_\lambda^\pm(x) = c(\delta; \pm\eta)\psi_\lambda^+(x) + c(-\delta; \pm\eta)\psi_\lambda^-(x).$$

Proof. This follows from a three-term transformation for ${}_2F_1$ -functions, see e.g. [2, (2.3.11)]. \square

The following identities for the c -function turn out to be useful.

Lemma 4.4. *The c -function defined by (2.4) satisfies:*

$$(i) \quad c(x; y) = -\frac{y}{x}c(-y; -x),$$

$$(ii) \quad c(x; y)c(-x; -y) - c(x; -y)c(-x; y) = -\frac{y}{x}.$$

Remark 4.5. Using the reflection equation for the Γ -function, Lemma 4.4(ii) is equivalent to the trigonometric identity

$$\sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(y-x+\kappa)\right)\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(y-x-\kappa)\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(-y-x+\kappa)\right)\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(-y-x-\kappa)\right),$$

and can also be proved in this way.

Proof. The first identity follows from $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$.

For the second identity we note that Proposition 4.3 implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} c(\delta; \eta) & c(-\delta; \eta) \\ c(\delta; -\eta) & c(-\delta; -\eta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c(\eta; \delta) & c(-\eta; \delta) \\ c(\eta; -\delta) & c(-\eta; -\delta) \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

which in turn implies

$$c(\delta; \eta) = \frac{c(-\eta; -\delta)}{c(\eta; \delta)c(-\eta; -\delta) - c(\eta; -\delta)c(-\eta; \delta)}.$$

Applying the first identity to the numerator then gives

$$c(\eta; \delta)c(-\eta; -\delta) - c(\eta; -\delta)c(-\eta; \delta) = -\frac{\delta}{\eta},$$

which proves the second identity. \square

We also need the behavior of the eigenfunctions near the endpoints -1 and 1 .

Lemma 4.6. For $x \downarrow -1$ we have

$$\phi_\lambda^\pm(x) = \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta \mp \eta + 1)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(1+x)\right).$$

For $x \uparrow 1$ we have

$$\psi_\lambda^\pm(x) = \left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha \mp \delta + 1)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(1-x)\right).$$

Proof. This is straightforward from the explicit expressions as ${}_2F_1$ -series. \square

Remark 4.7. Observe that the function $|\phi_\lambda^\pm|^2 w^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is in $L^1(-1, 0)$ if and only if $\pm \Re(\eta) > 0$. Furthermore, $|\psi_\lambda^\pm|^2 w^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is in $L^1(0, 1)$ if and only if $\pm \Re(\delta) > 0$.

4.2. Spectral analysis. We determine the spectrum and the spectral decomposition of T .

For functions f, g that are differentiable at a point $x \in (-1, 1)$ we define

$$[f, g](x) = p(x)W(f, g)(x),$$

where

$$p(x) = C(1-x)^{\alpha+2}(1+x)^{\beta+2}, \quad C = 2^{-\alpha-\beta-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+2)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)},$$

and $W(f, g)$ denotes the Wronskian

$$W(f, g)(x) = f'(x)g(x) - f(x)g'(x).$$

For $1 \leq a < b \leq 1$ we denote by $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ the subspace of $L^2((a, b), w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)dx)$ consisting of functions f such that

- f is continuously differentiable on (a, b)
- f' is absolutely continuous on (a, b)
- $Tf \in L^2((a, b), w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)dx)$

Note that $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ is dense in $L^2((a, b), w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)dx)$.

Lemma 4.8. Let $1 \leq a < b \leq 1$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$, then

$$\int_a^b \left((Tf)(x)\overline{g(x)} - f(x)\overline{(Tg)(x)} \right) w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx = [f, \bar{g}](b) - [f, \bar{g}](a).$$

Proof. We write the differential operator T as

$$T = (1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta} \frac{d}{dx} \left((1-x)^{\alpha+2}(1+x)^{\beta+2} \frac{d}{dx} \right) + \rho(1-x^2),$$

then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \left((Tf)(x)\overline{g(x)} - f(x)\overline{(Tg)(x)} \right) w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx = \\ \int_a^b \left[\overline{g(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \left(p(x)f'(x) \right) - f(x) \frac{d}{dx} \left(\overline{p(x)g'(x)} \right) \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts this is equal to

$$\left[p(x)f'(x)\overline{g(x)} - p(x)f(x)\overline{g'(x)} \right]_a^b,$$

which gives the result. \square

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}$ consist of the functions in $\mathcal{D}(-1, 1)$ with support on a compact interval in $(-1, 1)$.

Proposition 4.9. The densely defined operator (T, \mathcal{D}_0) is symmetric.

Proof. Clearly we have $\lim_{x \downarrow -1} [f, \bar{g}](x) = \lim_{x \uparrow 1} [f, \bar{g}](x) = 0$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{D}_0$. Then the result follows from Lemma 4.8. \square

The function $x \mapsto [f, g](x)$, $x \in (-1, 1)$, is constant if f and g are solutions of the eigenvalue equations $Ty = \lambda y$. In the following lemma we determine the value of the constant in case of the eigenfunctions ψ_λ^\pm en ϕ_λ^\pm .

Lemma 4.10. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, -(\alpha + 1)^2)$,

$$[\phi_\lambda^-, \phi_\lambda^+] = -\eta D \quad \text{and} \quad [\psi_\lambda^+, \phi_\lambda^+] = -\eta D c(-\eta; \delta),$$

where $D = 2^{\alpha+\beta+3}C$.

Note that $[\psi_\lambda^-, \psi_\lambda^+]$ and $[\psi_\lambda^-, \phi_\lambda^-]$ can be obtained from Lemma 4.10 using $(\alpha, \beta, x) \mapsto (\beta, \alpha, -x)$ and $(\delta, \eta) \mapsto (-\delta, -\eta)$, respectively.

Proof. We have

$$[\phi_\lambda^-, \phi_\lambda^+] = C \lim_{x \downarrow -1} (1-x)^{\alpha+2} (1+x)^{\beta+2} \left(\frac{d\phi_\lambda^-}{dx}(x) \phi_\lambda^+(x) - \phi_\lambda^-(x) \frac{d\phi_\lambda^+}{dx}(x) \right).$$

Using

$$\frac{d\phi_\lambda^\pm}{dx}(x) = -\frac{1}{4}(\beta \mp \eta + 1) \left(\frac{1+x}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta \mp \eta + 1) - 1} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(1+x) \right), \quad x \downarrow -1,$$

we find

$$[\phi_\lambda^-, \phi_\lambda^+] = -\eta D$$

Now from the connection formula (4.1) we obtain

$$[\psi_\lambda^+, \phi_\lambda^+] = c(-\eta; \delta) [\phi_\lambda^-, \phi_\lambda^+] = -\eta D c(-\eta; \delta). \quad \square$$

Let us mention that from the explicit formula (2.4) for $c(-\eta; \delta)$ and Lemma 4.10, it follows that $[\psi_\lambda^+, \phi_\lambda^+] = 0$ if and only if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, -(\alpha + 1)^2)$ is a solution of

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta(\lambda) + \eta(\lambda) \pm \kappa) = -n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

or equivalently, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(4.4) \quad \sqrt{\lambda + (\alpha + 1)^2} + \sqrt{\lambda + (\beta + 1)^2} = -2n - 1 \mp \kappa.$$

Proposition 4.11. The symmetric operator (T, \mathcal{D}_0) has a unique self-adjoint extension.

We denote the self-adjoint extension again by T .

Proof. By [4, Thm. XIII.2.10] the adjoint of (T, \mathcal{D}_0) is $(T, \mathcal{D}(-1, 1))$, so the deficiency spaces of (T, \mathcal{D}_0) consist of the solutions of the differential equations $Tf = \pm if$ that are in \mathcal{H} , [4, Cor. XIII.2.11]. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$ be a solution of $Tf = if$, then f must be a linear combination of ϕ_i^+ and ϕ_i^- , since these are linearly independent solutions of this eigenvalue equation by Lemma 4.10. Note that $\Re(\eta(i)) > 0$, so by Remark 4.7 ϕ_i^- is not L^2 near -1 , which implies that f is a multiple of ϕ_i^+ . In the same way it follows that f is a multiple of ψ_i^+ . But ϕ_i^+ and ψ_i^+ are linearly independent by Lemma 4.10, hence $f = 0$. In the same way it follows that $f \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying $Tf = -if$ is the zero function. So T has deficiency indices $(0, 0)$, which implies it has a unique self-adjoint extension. \square

Assume $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. In this case $\Re(\eta), \Re(\delta) > 0$, so $\phi_\lambda^+ \in L^2((-1, 0), w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)dx)$ and $\psi_\lambda^+ \in L^2((0, 1), w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)dx)$. We define the Green kernel by

$$K_\lambda(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\phi_\lambda^+(x)\psi_\lambda^+(y)}{[\psi_\lambda^+, \phi_\lambda^+]}, & x < y, \\ \frac{\phi_\lambda^+(y)\psi_\lambda^+(x)}{[\psi_\lambda^+, \phi_\lambda^+]}, & x > y, \end{cases}$$

then $K(\cdot, y) \in \mathcal{H}$ for any $y \in (-1, 1)$. The Green kernel is useful for describing the resolvent operator $R_\lambda = (T - \lambda)^{-1}$.

Lemma 4.12. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ the resolvent R_λ is given by

$$R_\lambda f = \left(y \mapsto \langle f, \overline{K_\lambda(\cdot, y)} \rangle \right), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_0.$$

Proof. First note that if $f \in \mathcal{D}_0$,

$$\lim_{x \downarrow -1} [\phi_\lambda^+, f](x) = 0 = \lim_{x \uparrow 1} [\psi_\lambda^+, f](x).$$

Now from Lemma 4.8 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_\lambda(T - \lambda)f(y) &= \frac{\psi_\lambda^+(y)}{[\psi_\lambda^+, \phi_\lambda^+]} \int_{-1}^y ((T - \lambda)f)(x) \phi_\lambda^+(x) w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\phi_\lambda^+(y)}{[\psi_\lambda^+, \phi_\lambda^+]} \int_y^1 ((T - \lambda)f)(x) \psi_\lambda^+(x) w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{[\psi_\lambda^+, \phi_\lambda^+]} \left(\psi_\lambda^+(y) [f, \phi_\lambda^+](y) - \phi_\lambda^+(y) [f, \psi_\lambda^+](y) \right), \end{aligned}$$

since $(T - \lambda)\phi_\lambda^+ = 0$ and $(T - \lambda)\psi_\lambda^+ = 0$. Writing out the terms between brackets, we obtain

$$R_\lambda(T - \lambda)f(y) = \frac{1}{[\psi_\lambda^+, \phi_\lambda^+]} \left(-f(y)p(y)\psi_\lambda^+(y) \frac{d\phi_\lambda^+}{dx}(y) + f(y)p(y)\phi_\lambda^+(y) \frac{d\psi_\lambda^+}{dx}(y) \right) = f(y). \quad \square$$

Now we can determine the spectral measure E of T by

$$(4.5) \quad \langle E(a, b)f, g \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon' \downarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a+i\varepsilon'}^{b-i\varepsilon'} \left(\langle R_{\lambda+i\varepsilon} f, g \rangle - \langle R_{\lambda-i\varepsilon} f, g \rangle \right) d\lambda, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{D}_0,$$

see [4, Thm. XII.2.10]. We write

$$(4.6) \quad \langle R_\lambda f, g \rangle = \iint_{(x, y) \in \Delta} \left(f(x) \overline{g(y)} + f(y) \overline{g(x)} \right) \frac{\phi_\lambda^+(x) \psi_\lambda^+(y)}{[\psi_\lambda^+, \phi_\lambda^+]} w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y) d(x, y),$$

where $\Delta = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid -1 < x < 1, x < y < 1\}$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. To compute the spectral measure we have to consider the limit

$$(4.7) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{\phi_{\lambda-i\varepsilon}^+(x) \psi_{\lambda-i\varepsilon}^+(y)}{\eta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)c(-\eta(\lambda-i\varepsilon); \delta(\lambda-i\varepsilon))} - \frac{\phi_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}^+(x) \psi_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}^+(y)}{\eta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)c(-\eta(\lambda+i\varepsilon); \delta(\lambda+i\varepsilon))} \right).$$

Note that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \eta(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \eta(\lambda - i\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, & \text{if } \lambda + (\beta + 1)^2 \geq 0, \\ \overline{\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \eta(\lambda - i\varepsilon)} \in i\mathbb{R}_{>0}, & \text{if } \lambda + (\beta + 1)^2 < 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \delta(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \delta(\lambda - i\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, & \text{if } \lambda + (\alpha + 1)^2 \geq 0, \\ \overline{\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \delta(\lambda - i\varepsilon)} \in i\mathbb{R}_{>0}, & \text{if } \lambda + (\alpha + 1)^2 < 0. \end{cases}$$

We see that we have to distinguish several cases.

4.3. The continuous spectrum. We define an integral transform $\mathcal{F}_c^{(2)}$ mapping $f \in \mathcal{D}_0$ to a \mathbb{C}^2 -valued function on $\Omega_2 = (-\infty, -(\beta + 1)^2)$ by

$$(\mathcal{F}_c^{(2)} f)(\lambda) = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_\lambda^+(x) \\ \varphi_\lambda^-(x) \end{pmatrix} w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx, \quad \lambda \in \Omega_2, f \in \mathcal{D}_0,$$

where the functions φ_λ^\pm are defined by (2.10).

Proposition 4.13. *Let $a, b \in \Omega_2$ with $a < b$, then*

$$\langle E(a, b)f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_a^b (\mathcal{F}_c^{(2)}g)(\lambda)^* \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v_{12}(\lambda) \\ v_{21}(\lambda) & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{F}_c^{(2)}f)(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{-i\eta_\lambda},$$

where (recall from (2.7))

$$v_{21}(\lambda) = \frac{c(\eta_\lambda; \delta_\lambda)}{c(-\eta_\lambda; \delta_\lambda)},$$

and $v_{12}(\lambda) = \overline{v_{21}(\lambda)}$. Here δ_λ and η_λ are defined by (2.2).

Proof. First observe that $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \delta(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = \delta_\lambda$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \delta(\lambda - i\varepsilon) = \overline{\delta_\lambda} = -\delta_\lambda$. Similarly, $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \eta(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = \eta_\lambda$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \eta(\lambda - i\varepsilon) = \overline{\eta_\lambda} = -\eta_\lambda$. This gives us

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \phi_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}^+ = \varphi_\lambda^+, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \phi_{\lambda-i\varepsilon}^+ = \varphi_\lambda^-.$$

Now the limit in (4.7) is equal to

$$I_\lambda(x, y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{\varphi_\lambda^+(x)\psi_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}^+(y)}{-\eta_\lambda c(-\eta_\lambda; \delta_\lambda)} + \frac{\varphi_\lambda^-(x)\psi_{\lambda-i\varepsilon}^+(y)}{-\eta_\lambda c(\eta_\lambda; -\delta_\lambda)} \right).$$

Using the connection formula (4.1) this becomes

$$I_\lambda(x, y) = -\frac{1}{\eta_\lambda} \left(\frac{c(\eta_\lambda; \delta_\lambda)}{c(-\eta_\lambda; \delta_\lambda)} \varphi_\lambda^+(x)\varphi_\lambda^+(y) + \varphi_\lambda^+(x)\varphi_\lambda^-(y) + \varphi_\lambda^-(x)\varphi_\lambda^+(y) + \frac{c(-\eta_\lambda; -\delta_\lambda)}{c(\eta_\lambda; -\delta_\lambda)} \varphi_\lambda^-(x)\varphi_\lambda^-(y) \right),$$

which is manifestly symmetric in x and y . Using $\overline{\varphi_\lambda^+(x)} = \varphi_\lambda^-(x)$, we see that

$$I_\lambda(x, y) = -\frac{1}{\eta_\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_\lambda^+(y) \\ \varphi_\lambda^-(y) \end{pmatrix}^* \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v_{12}(\lambda) \\ v_{21}(\lambda) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_\lambda^+(x) \\ \varphi_\lambda^-(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

Now we can symmetrize the double integral in (4.6) again, and then the result follows from (4.5). \square

Next we define an integral transform $\mathcal{F}_c^{(1)}$ mapping \mathcal{D}_0 to complex-valued functions on $\Omega_1 = (-(\beta+1)^2, -(\alpha+1)^2)$ by

$$(\mathcal{F}_c^{(1)}f)(\lambda) = \int_{-1}^1 f(x)\varphi_\lambda(x)w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx, \quad \lambda \in \Omega_1, f \in \mathcal{D}_0,$$

where $\varphi_\lambda(x)$ is defined by (2.9).

Proposition 4.14. *Let $a, b \in \Omega_1$ with $a < b$, then*

$$\langle E(a, b)f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_a^b (\mathcal{F}_c^{(1)}f)(\lambda) \overline{(\mathcal{F}_c^{(1)}g)(\lambda)} v(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{-i\delta_\lambda},$$

where (recall from (2.5))

$$v(\lambda) = \frac{1}{c(\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda))c(-\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda))}.$$

Proof. In this case

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \delta(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = \delta_\lambda = -\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \delta(\lambda - i\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \eta(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = \eta(\lambda) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \eta(\lambda - i\varepsilon).$$

Consequently, using Euler's transformation,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \phi_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}^+ = \varphi_\lambda = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \phi_{\lambda-i\varepsilon}^+,$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \psi_{\lambda-i\varepsilon}^+(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \psi_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}^+(x).$$

so that the limit (4.7) is equal to

$$I_\lambda(x, y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{\varphi_\lambda(x) \psi_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}^-(y)}{-\delta_\lambda c(\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda))} + \frac{\varphi_\lambda(x) \psi_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}^+(y)}{-\delta_\lambda c(-\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda))} \right),$$

where we have used Lemma 4.4(i). Using the connection formula (4.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(x, y) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_\lambda(x) [c(-\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda)) \psi_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}^-(y) + c(\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda)) \psi_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}^+(y)]}{-\delta_\lambda c(\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda)) c(-\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda))} \\ &= \frac{\varphi_\lambda(x) \varphi_\lambda(y)}{-\delta_\lambda c(\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda)) c(-\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda))}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from (4.5) and (4.6) after symmetrizing the double integral. \square

Since the spectrum is a closed set, the points $-(\alpha + 1)^2$ and $-(\beta + 1)^2$ must belong to the spectrum.

Proposition 4.15. *The points $-(\alpha + 1)^2$ and $-(\beta + 1)^2$ belong to the continuous spectrum.*

Proof. This follows from the fact none of the eigenfunctions is in \mathcal{H} for these values of λ , see Remark 4.7. \square

4.4. The discrete spectrum. Recall the finite set $\Omega_d = \{\lambda_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n \leq \frac{1}{2}(\kappa - 1)\}$, where λ_n is defined by (2.3). For $\kappa \geq 1$, i.e., if Ω_d is nonempty, we define the integral transform \mathcal{F}_d on \mathcal{H} by

$$(\mathcal{F}_d f)(\lambda) = \langle f, \varphi_\lambda \rangle, \quad \lambda \in \Omega_d, \quad f \in \mathcal{H},$$

where φ_{λ_n} is defined by (2.11). Note that $\varphi_{\lambda_n} = \phi_{\lambda_n}^+$.

Proposition 4.16. *Let $-(\alpha + 1)^2 < a < b$. If $\Omega_d \cap (a, b)$ consists of exactly one number λ_n , then*

$$\langle E(a, b)f, g \rangle = (\mathcal{F}_d f)(\lambda_n) \overline{(\mathcal{F}_d g)(\lambda_n)} \frac{N_{\lambda_n}}{D}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{H},$$

where (recall from (2.8))

$$N_{\lambda_n} = \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\lambda_n} \left(\frac{c(\eta(\lambda), \delta(\lambda))}{\eta(\lambda) c(-\eta(\lambda); \delta(\lambda))} \right).$$

Furthermore, if $\Omega_d \cap (a, b) = \emptyset$, then

$$\langle E(a, b)f, g \rangle = 0, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Proof. Assume $\Omega_d \cap (a, b) = \{\lambda_n\}$. By (4.5) and (4.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E(a, b)f, g \rangle &= D^{-1} \iint_{(x, y) \in \Delta} \left(f(x) \overline{g(y)} + f(y) \overline{g(x)} \right) w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \overline{w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y)} \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\phi_\lambda^+(x) \psi_\lambda^+(y)}{-\eta(\lambda) c(-\eta(\lambda); \delta(\lambda))} d\lambda \right] d(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{C} is a small clockwise oriented rectifiable closed curve encircling λ_n exactly once. The integral over the curve \mathcal{C} is equal to

$$\phi_{\lambda_n}^+(x) \psi_{\lambda_n}^+(y) \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\lambda_n} \left(\frac{1}{\eta(\lambda) c(-\eta(\lambda); \delta(\lambda))} \right).$$

By (4.3) we have $c(-\eta(\lambda); \delta(\lambda)) = 0$ if and only if $\lambda = \lambda_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where λ_n is defined by (2.3). So in this case (4.1) becomes $\psi_{\lambda_n}^+ = c(\eta(\lambda_n); \delta(\lambda_n)) \phi_{\lambda_n}^+$, from which we see that the integrand is symmetric in x and y . Symmetrizing the double integral gives the result. \square

Corollary 4.17. *Suppose Ω_d is nonempty, then the following orthogonality relations hold:*

$$\langle \varphi_{\lambda_m}, \varphi_{\lambda_n} \rangle = \frac{D}{N_{\lambda_n}} \delta_{mn}, \quad \lambda_m, \lambda_n \in \Omega_d.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_n, \lambda_m \in \Omega_d$ and set $f = \varphi_{\lambda_m}$ and $g = \varphi_{\lambda_n}$. From Proposition 4.16 it follows that $\langle \varphi_{\lambda_m}, \varphi_{\lambda_n} \rangle = 0$ if $\lambda_n \neq \lambda_m$. Furthermore, if $\lambda_n = \lambda_m$, then

$$\langle \varphi_{\lambda_n}, \varphi_{\lambda_n} \rangle = \langle \varphi_{\lambda_n}, \varphi_{\lambda_n} \rangle \langle \varphi_{\lambda_n}, \varphi_{\lambda_n} \rangle \frac{N_{\lambda_n}}{D},$$

from which the result follows. \square

We have now completely determined the spectrum of T .

Theorem 4.18. *The self-adjoint closure of the densely defined operator (T, \mathcal{D}_0) has continuous spectrum $(-\infty, -(\alpha+1)^2]$ and (possibly empty) discrete spectrum Ω_d . The sets Ω_2 and Ω_1 inside the continuous spectrum have multiplicity two and one, respectively.*

4.5. The integral transform. We define an integral transform \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{D}_0 by

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{F}f = \mathcal{F}_c^{(2)}f + \mathcal{F}_c^{(1)}f + \mathcal{F}_d f, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_0.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{D}_0$ this coincides with (2.12).

Proposition 4.19. *\mathcal{F} extends uniquely to an isometry $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{V})$.*

Proof. For $f, g \in \mathcal{D}_0$ we have

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}f, \mathcal{F}g \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}$$

by Propositions 4.13, 4.14 and 4.16, so $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{D}_0 \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{V})$ is an isometry. By continuity it extends uniquely to an isometry $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{V})$. \square

Our next goal is to show that $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{V})$ is surjective and determine the inverse. For convenience we assume that T has no discrete spectrum.

For $0 < a < 1$ we define

$$\langle f, g \rangle_a = \int_{-a}^a f(x)g(x)w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx,$$

for all functions f, g for which the integral converges. Note that for $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ the limit $a \uparrow 1$ gives the inner product $\langle f, \bar{g} \rangle$. Suppose now that f_λ is a solution of the eigenvalue equation $Tf_\lambda = \lambda f_\lambda$, then by Lemma 4.8,

$$\langle f_\lambda, f_{\lambda'} \rangle_a = \frac{[f_\lambda, f_{\lambda'}](a) - [f_\lambda, f_{\lambda'}](-a)}{\lambda - \lambda'}, \quad \lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We will use this expression with $f_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda^\pm$ and we want to let $a \uparrow 1$. We need to consider several cases.

4.5.1. Case 1: $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Omega_2$. From Lemma 4.6 we find for $x \downarrow -1$, cf. the proof of Lemma 4.10,

$$\begin{aligned} [\varphi_\lambda^+, \varphi_{\lambda'}^-](x) &= \frac{D}{2}(\eta_\lambda + \eta_{\lambda'}) \left(\frac{1+x}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta_\lambda - \eta_{\lambda'})} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(1+x) \right), \\ [\varphi_\lambda^+, \varphi_{\lambda'}^+](x) &= \frac{D}{2}(\eta_\lambda - \eta_{\lambda'}) \left(\frac{1+x}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta_\lambda + \eta_{\lambda'})} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(1+x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The behavior at $x = -1$ of $[\varphi_\lambda^-, \varphi_{\lambda'}^+](x)$ and $[\varphi_\lambda^-, \varphi_{\lambda'}^-](x)$ follows from $\varphi_\lambda^+(x) = \overline{\varphi_\lambda^-(x)}$. For $x \uparrow 1$ we use the expansion from (4.2) (recall that $\varphi_\lambda^\pm = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \phi_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}^\pm$) and Lemma 4.6 to find

$$[\varphi_\lambda^+, \varphi_{\lambda'}^-](x) = \frac{D}{2} \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{+, -\}} c(\varepsilon\delta_\lambda; \eta_\lambda) c(-\varepsilon'\delta_{\lambda'}; -\eta_{\lambda'}) (\varepsilon\delta_\lambda + \varepsilon'\delta_{\lambda'}) \left(\frac{1-x}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon\delta_\lambda - \varepsilon'\delta_{\lambda'})} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(1-x) \right),$$

and

$$[\varphi_\lambda^+, \varphi_{\lambda'}^+](x) = \frac{D}{2} \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{+, -\}} c(\varepsilon\delta_\lambda; \eta_\lambda) c(-\varepsilon'\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta_{\lambda'}) (\varepsilon\delta_\lambda + \varepsilon'\delta_{\lambda'}) \left(\frac{1-x}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon\delta_\lambda - \varepsilon'\delta_{\lambda'})} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(1-x) \right).$$

We will need the following behavior of the c -functions.

Lemma 4.20. *The c -function defined by (2.4) satisfies*

$$c(\delta_\lambda; \eta_\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(e^{-\pi\sqrt{-\lambda}}), & \lambda \rightarrow -\infty, \\ \mathcal{O}(1), & \lambda \uparrow -(\beta+1)^2, \end{cases}$$

$$c(-\delta_\lambda; \eta_\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(1), & \lambda \rightarrow -\infty, \\ \mathcal{O}(1), & \lambda \uparrow -(\beta+1)^2, \end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from the definition (2.4) of the c -function, and well-known asymptotic properties of the Γ -function, see e.g. [2, Section 1.4]. \square

Proposition 4.21. *Let $f \in C(\Omega_2)$ satisfy*

$$f(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1-\varepsilon}), & \lambda \rightarrow -\infty, \\ \mathcal{O}(1), & \lambda \uparrow -(\beta+1)^2, \end{cases}$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $\lambda' \in \Omega_2$, then

$$\lim_{a \uparrow 1} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \langle \varphi_\lambda^+, \varphi_{\lambda'}^- \rangle_a d\lambda = -2\pi i D \delta_{\lambda'} c(-\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta_{\lambda'}) c(\delta_{\lambda'}; -\eta_{\lambda'}) f(\lambda').$$

Proof. Note that

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{\eta_\lambda + \eta_{\lambda'}}{\lambda - \lambda'} = \frac{1}{\eta_\lambda - \eta_{\lambda'}}, \quad \frac{\eta_\lambda - \eta_{\lambda'}}{\lambda - \lambda'} = \frac{1}{\eta_\lambda + \eta_{\lambda'}},$$

and similar expressions are valid for δ_λ . Now use $\delta_\lambda = i|\delta_\lambda|$ and $\eta_\lambda = i|\eta_\lambda|$, and write $N = -\frac{1}{2} \ln(\frac{1-a}{2})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a \uparrow 1} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \langle \varphi_\lambda^+, \varphi_{\lambda'}^- \rangle_a d\lambda &= \lim_{a \uparrow 1} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \frac{[\varphi_\lambda^+, \varphi_{\lambda'}^-](a) - [\varphi_\lambda^+, \varphi_{\lambda'}^-](-a)}{\lambda - \lambda'} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{D}{2} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \sum_{\epsilon \in \{+, -\}} \left(\xi_\pm^\epsilon(\lambda) \frac{\cos N(|\delta_\lambda| + \epsilon|\delta_{\lambda'}|)}{\delta_\lambda + \epsilon\delta_{\lambda'}} + i\xi_\pm^\epsilon(\lambda) \frac{\sin N(|\delta_\lambda| + \epsilon|\delta_{\lambda'}|)}{\delta_\lambda + \epsilon\delta_{\lambda'}} \right) d\lambda, \\ &\quad - \frac{D}{2} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \frac{\cos N(|\eta_\lambda| - |\eta_{\lambda'}|)}{\eta_\lambda - \eta_{\lambda'}} d\lambda - \frac{iD}{2} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \frac{\sin N(|\eta_\lambda| - |\eta_{\lambda'}|)}{\eta_\lambda - \eta_{\lambda'}} d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\xi_\pm^\epsilon(\lambda) = c(\delta_\lambda; \eta_\lambda) c(\epsilon\delta_{\lambda'}; -\eta_{\lambda'}) \pm c(-\delta_\lambda; \eta_\lambda) c(-\epsilon\delta_{\lambda'}; -\eta_{\lambda'}).$$

The terms with ξ_\pm^+ vanish by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, which follows from Lemma 4.20 and the assumptions on f .

Claim:

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \xi_-^-(\lambda) \frac{\cos N(|\delta_\lambda| - |\delta_{\lambda'}|)}{\delta_\lambda - \delta_{\lambda'}} d\lambda - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \frac{\cos N(|\eta_\lambda| - |\eta_{\lambda'}|)}{\eta_\lambda - \eta_{\lambda'}} d\lambda = 0.$$

Proof of claim. Using (4.9) and $\cos \theta_1 - \cos \theta_2 = -2 \sin(\frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2}) \sin(\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_-^-(\lambda) \frac{\cos N(|\delta_\lambda| - |\delta_{\lambda'}|)}{\delta_\lambda - \delta_{\lambda'}} - \frac{\cos N(|\eta_\lambda| - |\eta_{\lambda'}|)}{\eta_\lambda - \eta_{\lambda'}} &= \frac{\xi_-^-(\lambda)(\delta_\lambda + \delta_{\lambda'}) - (\eta_\lambda + \eta_{\lambda'})}{\lambda - \lambda'} \cos N(|\delta_\lambda| - |\delta_{\lambda'}|) \\ &\quad + \frac{2 \sin \frac{N}{2} (|\delta_\lambda| + |\eta_\lambda| - |\delta_{\lambda'}| - |\eta_{\lambda'}|) \sin \frac{N}{2} (|\delta_\lambda| - |\eta_\lambda| + |\delta_{\lambda'}| - |\eta_{\lambda'}|)}{\eta_\lambda - \eta_{\lambda'}}. \end{aligned}$$

We multiply the right hand side of the above identity by $f(\lambda)$ and integrate over λ . Since the function $\lambda \mapsto \frac{\xi_-^-(\lambda)(\delta_\lambda + \delta_{\lambda'}) - (\eta_\lambda + \eta_{\lambda'})}{\lambda - \lambda'}$ has a removable singularity by Lemma 4.4(ii), it follows from the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma that the first term vanishes as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For the second term we may use

$$\left| \frac{\sin \frac{N}{2} (|\delta_\lambda| + |\eta_\lambda| - |\delta_{\lambda'}| - |\eta_{\lambda'}|)}{\eta_\lambda - \eta_{\lambda'}} \right| \leq B$$

for λ in a neighborhood of λ' and for some $B > 0$, then we see that we can apply the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma again, which proves the claim. \square

To finish the proof of the proposition we use

$$(4.10) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_A^B g(x) \frac{\sin N(x-y)}{x-y} dx = g(y),$$

if $g \in L^1(A, B)$ is continuous. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a \uparrow 1} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \langle \varphi_\lambda^+, \varphi_{\lambda'}^- \rangle_a d\lambda &= \frac{iD}{2} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \xi_+^-(\lambda) \frac{\sin N(|\delta_\lambda| - |\delta_{\lambda'}|)}{\delta_\lambda - \delta_{\lambda'}} d\lambda \\ &\quad - \frac{iD}{2} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \frac{\sin N(|\eta_\lambda| - |\eta_{\lambda'}|)}{\eta_\lambda - \eta_{\lambda'}} d\lambda \\ &= -\pi i D (\delta_{\lambda'} \xi_+^-(\lambda') + \eta_{\lambda'}) f(\lambda') \end{aligned}$$

provided $\xi_+^- f$ and f are continuous functions in $L^1(\Omega_2)$, which is indeed the case. Here we used the substitutions $x = |\delta_\lambda|$ and $x = |\eta_\lambda|$ before applying (4.10); note that $\frac{dx}{d\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2x}$ in both cases. Finally, applying Lemma 4.4(ii) with $(x, y) = (\delta_\lambda, \eta_\lambda)$ the last expression becomes

$$-2\pi i D \delta_{\lambda'} c(-\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta_{\lambda'}) c(\delta_{\lambda'}; -\eta_{\lambda'}) f(\lambda'),$$

which finishes the proof. \square

The following result is proved in the same way as Proposition 4.21.

Proposition 4.22. *Let $f \in C(\Omega_2)$ satisfy the same conditions as in Proposition 4.21 and let $\lambda' \in \Omega_2$, then*

$$\lim_{a \uparrow 1} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \langle \varphi_\lambda^+, \varphi_{\lambda'}^+ \rangle_a d\lambda = -2\pi i D \delta_{\lambda'} c(\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta_{\lambda'}) c(-\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta_{\lambda'}) f(\lambda').$$

By combining Propositions 4.21 and 4.22 we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.23. *Let f_1 and f_2 satisfy the conditions from Proposition 4.21, then*

$$\mathcal{F}_c^{(2)} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_{\Omega_2} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_\lambda^+(x) \\ \varphi_\lambda^-(x) \end{pmatrix}^* \begin{pmatrix} f_1(\lambda) \\ f_2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} d\lambda \right] (\lambda') = -i\delta_{\lambda'} A(\lambda') \begin{pmatrix} f_1(\lambda') \\ f_2(\lambda') \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$A(\lambda') = \begin{pmatrix} c(-\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta_{\lambda'}) c(\delta_{\lambda'}; -\eta_{\lambda'}) & c(\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta_{\lambda'}) c(-\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta_{\lambda'}) \\ c(\delta_{\lambda'}; -\eta_{\lambda'}) c(-\delta_{\lambda'}; -\eta_{\lambda'}) & c(-\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta_{\lambda'}) c(\delta_{\lambda'}; -\eta_{\lambda'}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let f_1 and f_2 satisfy the conditions of Proposition 4.21, then from this proposition and from applying Fubini's theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -i\delta_{\lambda'} c(\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta_{\lambda'}) c(-\delta_{\lambda'}; -\eta_{\lambda'}) f_2(\lambda') &= \frac{1}{2\pi D} \lim_{a \uparrow 1} \int_{\Omega_2} f_2(\lambda) \int_{-a}^a \varphi_\lambda^+(x) \varphi_{\lambda'}^-(x) w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx d\lambda \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 \left[\frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_{\Omega_2} f_2(\lambda) \overline{\varphi_\lambda^-(x)} d\lambda \right] \varphi_{\lambda'}^-(x) w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From Propositions 4.21 and 4.22 we find three similar identities, leading to

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left[\frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_{\Omega_2} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_\lambda^+(x) \\ \varphi_\lambda^-(x) \end{pmatrix}^* \begin{pmatrix} f_1(\lambda) \\ f_2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} d\lambda \right] \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{\lambda'}^+(x) \\ \varphi_{\lambda'}^-(x) \end{pmatrix} w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx = -i\delta_{\lambda'} A(\lambda') \begin{pmatrix} f_1(\lambda') \\ f_2(\lambda') \end{pmatrix},$$

which is the desired result. \square

We need the inverse of the matrix $A(\lambda)$ from Proposition 4.23.

Lemma 4.24. *For $\lambda \in \Omega_2$, $A(\lambda)^{-1} = V(\lambda)$ with $V(\lambda)$ defined by (2.6)*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \det A(\lambda) &= c(\delta_\lambda; -\eta_\lambda)c(-\delta_\lambda; \eta_\lambda) \left(c(\delta_\lambda; -\eta_\lambda)c(-\delta_\lambda; \eta_\lambda) - c(\delta_\lambda; \eta_\lambda)c(-\delta_\lambda; -\eta_\lambda) \right) \\ &= \frac{\eta_\lambda}{\delta_\lambda} c(\delta_\lambda; -\eta_\lambda)c(-\delta_\lambda; \eta_\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.4(ii). Now it is straightforward to compute the inverse of A . The result then follows from the definition of $V(\lambda)$ and Lemma 4.4(i). \square

Let $C_0(\Omega_2; \mathbb{C}^2)$ denote the set of continuous \mathbb{C}^2 -valued functions $g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix}$ on Ω_2 satisfying

$$g_j(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}), & \lambda \rightarrow -\infty, \\ \mathcal{O}(|\lambda + (\beta + 1)^2|^{\frac{1}{2}}), & \lambda \uparrow -(\beta + 1)^2, \end{cases} \quad j = 1, 2,$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. For $g \in C_0(\Omega_2; \mathbb{C}^2)$ we define the function $\mathcal{G}_c^{(2)}g$ by

$$(\mathcal{G}_c^{(2)}g)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_{\Omega_2} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_\lambda^+(x) \\ \varphi_\lambda^-(x) \end{pmatrix}^* V(\lambda)g(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{-i\eta_\lambda}, \quad x \in (-1, 1).$$

Proposition 4.25. *Let $g \in C_0(\Omega_2; \mathbb{C}^2)$ and $\lambda \in \Omega_2$, then $(\mathcal{F}_c^{(2)}\mathcal{G}_c^{(2)}g)(\lambda) = g(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $g \in C_0(\Omega_2; \mathbb{C}^2)$, define the \mathbb{C}^2 -valued function f by $f(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(\lambda) \\ f_2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{-i\delta_\lambda} A(\lambda)^{-1}g(\lambda)$. Since

$$v_{21}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(e^{-\pi\sqrt{-\lambda}}), & \lambda \rightarrow -\infty, \\ \mathcal{O}(1), & \lambda \uparrow -(\beta + 1)^2, \end{cases}$$

by Lemma 4.20, the functions f_1 and f_2 satisfy the conditions from Proposition 4.21. Now Proposition 4.23 shows that

$$\mathcal{F}_c^{(2)} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_{\Omega_2} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_\lambda^+(x) \\ \varphi_\lambda^-(x) \end{pmatrix}^* \frac{1}{-i\delta_\lambda} A(\lambda)^{-1}g(\lambda) d\lambda \right] (\lambda') = g(\lambda').$$

From Lemma 4.24 we see that the term inside square brackets is exactly $(\mathcal{G}_c^{(2)}g)(x)$. \square

4.5.2. *Case 2:* $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Omega_1$. In this case,

$$\lim_{x \downarrow -1} [\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_{\lambda'}](x) = 0,$$

and for $x \uparrow 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_{\lambda'}](x) = \\ &\frac{D}{2} \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{+, -\}} c(\varepsilon\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda))c(-\varepsilon'\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta(\lambda'))(\varepsilon\delta_\lambda + \varepsilon'\delta_{\lambda'}) \left(\frac{1-x}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon\delta_\lambda - \varepsilon'\delta_{\lambda'})} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(1-x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have the following behavior of the c -functions.

Lemma 4.26. *The c -function defined by (2.4) satisfies*

$$c(\pm\delta_\lambda; \eta(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(1), & \lambda \downarrow -(\beta + 1)^2, \\ \mathcal{O}(|\lambda + (\alpha + 1)^2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}), & \lambda \uparrow -(\alpha + 1)^2. \end{cases}$$

In the same way as in Proposition 4.21 this leads to the following result.

Proposition 4.27. *Let f be a continuous function satisfying*

$$f(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(1), & \lambda \downarrow -(\beta + 1)^2, \\ \mathcal{O}(|\lambda + (\alpha + 1)^2|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}), & \lambda \uparrow -(\alpha + 1)^2, \end{cases}$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $\lambda' \in \Omega_1$, then

$$\lim_{a \uparrow 1} \int_{\Omega_1} f(\lambda) \langle \varphi_\lambda, \varphi_{\lambda'} \rangle_a d\lambda = -\frac{2\pi i D \delta_{\lambda'}}{W^{(1)}(\lambda')} f(\lambda'),$$

where (recall from (2.5)) $v(\lambda') = (c(\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta(\lambda'))c(-\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta(\lambda')))^{-1}$.

Note that

$$v(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(1), & \lambda \downarrow -(\beta+1)^2, \\ \mathcal{O}(|\lambda + (\alpha+1)^2|), & \lambda \uparrow -(\alpha+1)^2, \end{cases}$$

by Lemma 4.26. Let $C_0(\Omega_1)$ denote the set of continuous functions g on Ω_1 satisfying

$$g(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(1), & \lambda \downarrow -(\beta+1)^2, \\ \mathcal{O}(|\lambda + (\alpha+1)^2|^\varepsilon), & \lambda \uparrow -(\alpha+1)^2, \end{cases}$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. We define an integral transform $\mathcal{G}_c^{(1)}$ on $C_0(\Omega_1)$ by

$$(\mathcal{G}_c^{(1)}g)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_{\Omega_1} g(\lambda) \varphi_\lambda(x) W^{(1)}(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{-i\delta_\lambda}, \quad x \in (-1, 1), \quad g \in C_0(\Omega_1).$$

Now similar as in Proposition 4.25, it follows from Proposition 4.27 that $\mathcal{F}_c^{(1)}$ is a left-inverse of $\mathcal{G}_c^{(1)}$.

Proposition 4.28. *For $g \in C_0(\Omega_1)$ and $\lambda \in \Omega_1$, we have $(\mathcal{F}_c^{(1)}\mathcal{G}_c^{(1)}g)(\lambda) = g(\lambda)$.*

4.6. The integral transform \mathcal{G} . We define \mathcal{G} on $C_0(\Omega_1) \cup C_0(\Omega_2; \mathbb{C}^2)$ by $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_c^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{G}_c^{(2)}$. We will show that \mathcal{F} is a left-inverse of \mathcal{G} . We need the following result.

Proposition 4.29.

- (i) *Let $\lambda \in \Omega_1$ and $g \in C_0(\Omega_1)$, then $(\mathcal{F}_c^{(2)}\mathcal{G}_c^{(1)}g)(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.*
- (ii) *Let $\lambda \in \Omega_2$ and $g \in C_0(\Omega_2; \mathbb{C}^2)$, then $(\mathcal{F}_c^{(1)}\mathcal{G}_c^{(2)}g)(\lambda) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \Omega_2$ and $\lambda' \in \Omega_1$, then

$$\lim_{x \downarrow -1} [\varphi_\lambda^\pm, \varphi_{\lambda'}](x) = 0,$$

and for $x \uparrow 1$,

$$[\varphi_\lambda^\pm, \varphi_{\lambda'}](x) = \frac{D}{2} \sum_{\epsilon, \epsilon' \in \{+, -\}} c(\epsilon\delta_\lambda; \pm\eta_\lambda) c(-\epsilon'\delta_{\lambda'}; \eta(\lambda')) (\epsilon\delta_\lambda + \epsilon'\delta_{\lambda'}) \left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon\delta_\lambda - \epsilon'\delta_{\lambda'})} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(1-x)\right).$$

Similar as in the proof of Proposition 4.21 it follows by application of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma that

$$\lim_{a \uparrow 1} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \langle \varphi_\lambda^\pm, \varphi_{\lambda'} \rangle_a d\lambda = \lim_{a \uparrow 1} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\lambda) \frac{[\varphi_\lambda^\pm, \varphi_{\lambda'}](a) - [\varphi_\lambda^\pm, \varphi_{\lambda'}](-a)}{\lambda - \lambda'} d\lambda = 0,$$

for suitable functions f . As in Proposition 4.23 we obtain from this $(\mathcal{F}_c^{(2)}\mathcal{G}_c^{(1)}g)(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

In the same way, it follows from

$$\lim_{a \uparrow 1} \int_{\Omega_1} f(\lambda) \langle \varphi_\lambda, \varphi_{\lambda'}^\pm \rangle_a d\lambda = \lim_{a \uparrow 1} \int_{\Omega_1} f(\lambda) \frac{[\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_{\lambda'}^\pm](a) - [\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_{\lambda'}^\pm](-a)}{\lambda - \lambda'} d\lambda = 0,$$

for suitable functions f , that $(\mathcal{F}_c^{(1)}\mathcal{G}_c^{(2)}g)(\lambda) = 0$. \square

Combining Propositions 4.25, 4.28 and 4.29 shows that $(\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G})g = g$ for $g \in C_0(\Omega_1) \cup C_0(\Omega_2; \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Proposition 4.30. *The integral transform \mathcal{G} extends uniquely to an operator $\mathcal{G} : L^2(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}$.*

Proof. Let $g \in C_0(\Omega_1) \cup C_0(\Omega_2; \mathbb{C}^2)$, then

$$\langle g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \langle (\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G})g, (\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G})g \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \langle \mathcal{G}g, \mathcal{G}g \rangle,$$

by Proposition 4.19. Since $C_0(\Omega_1) \cup C_0(\Omega_2; \mathbb{C}^2)$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{G} extends by continuity uniquely to an operator $\mathcal{G} : L^2(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G}$ extends to the identity operator on \mathcal{H} , hence $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}$. \square

Remark 4.31. In case the discrete spectrum Ω_d is nonempty the inverse of \mathcal{F} is the extension of the operator $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_c^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{G}_c^{(2)} \oplus \mathcal{G}_d$ with

$$(\mathcal{G}_d g)(x) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_d} g(\lambda) \varphi_\lambda(x) N_\lambda, \quad x \in (-1, 1),$$

for any function $g : \Omega_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The proof in this case is the same as in the case of empty discrete spectrum.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey, *Classical orthogonal polynomials*, in: Polynômes orthogonaux et applications, Lecture Notes in Math., **1171**, Springer, Berlin, 1985, 36–62.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Encycl. Math. Appl. 71, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.
- [3] R. Askey, J. Wilson, *Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1985), no. 319.
- [4] N. Dunford, J.T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part II: Spectral theory. Self adjoint operators in Hilbert space*, Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons New York-London, 1963.
- [5] A.J. Durán, W. Van Assche, *Orthogonal matrix polynomials and higher-order recurrence relations*, Linear Algebra Appl. **219** (1995), 261–280.
- [6] W. Groenevelt, *The Wilson function transform*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2003**, no. 52, 2779–2817.
- [7] W. Groenevelt, *The vector-valued big q -Jacobi transform*, Constr. Approx. **29** (2009), no. 1, 85–127.
- [8] W. Groenevelt, M.E.H. Ismail, E. Koelink, *Spectral decompositions and matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials*, arXiv:1206.4785.
- [9] W. Groenevelt, E. Koelink, H. Rosengren, *Continuous Hahn functions as Clebsch-Gordan coefficients*, Theory and applications of special functions, 221–284, Dev. Math. **13**, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [10] F.A. Grünbaum, *The bispectral problem: an overview*, Special functions 2000: current perspective and future directions (Tempe, AZ), 129–140, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., **30**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
- [11] M.E.H. Ismail, *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable*, paperback ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 2009.
- [12] M.E.H. Ismail, E. Koelink, *Spectral properties of operators using tridiagonalisation*, Analysis and Applications **10**, no. 3, (2012), 327–343.
- [13] M.E.H. Ismail, E. Koelink, *Spectral analysis of certain Schrödinger operators*, SIGMA **8** (2012), 061, 19 pages.
- [14] R. Koekoek, R.F. Swarttouw, *The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analogue*, online at <http://aw.twi.tudelft.nl/~koekoek/askey.html>, Report 98-17, Technical University Delft, 1998.
- [15] E. Koelink, *Spectral theory and special functions*, in: Laredo Lectures on Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions, 45-84, Adv. Theory Spec. Funct. Orthogonal Polynomials, Nova Sci. Publ., Hauppauge, NY, 2004.
- [16] T.H. Koornwinder, *Jacobi functions and analysis on noncompact semisimple Lie groups*, in: Special functions: group theoretical aspects and applications, 1-85, Math. Appl., Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984.
- [17] T.H. Koornwinder, *Special orthogonal polynomial systems mapped onto each other by the Fourier-Jacobi transform*, in: Orthogonal polynomials and applications (Bar-le-Duc, 1984), 174–183, Lecture Notes in Math., **1171**, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [18] Yu.A. Neretin, *Some continuous analogues of the expansion in Jacobi polynomials, and vector-valued orthogonal bases*, (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **39** (2005), no. 2, 31-46, 94; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. **39** (2005), no. 2, 106–119.
- [19] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, *A generalized hypergeometric function satisfying four analytic difference equations of Askey-Wilson type*, Comm. Math. Phys. **206** (1999), no. 3, 639–690.
- [20] J.A. Wilson, *Some hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **11** (1980), no. 4, 690–701.

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITEIT DELFT, DIAM, PO BOX 5031, 2600 GA DELFT, THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: w.g.m.groenevelt@tudelft.nl

RADBOUD UNIVERSITEIT, IMAPP, FNWI, HEYENDAALSEWEG 135, 6525 AJ NIJMEGEN, THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: e.koelink@math.ru.nl