

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a preprint version which may differ from the publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/112455>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2019-10-15 and may be subject to change.

Polynomial maps with strongly nilpotent Jacobian matrix and the Jacobian Conjecture

ARNO VAN DEN ESSEN and ENGELBERT HUBBERS

Department of Mathematics, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Abstract

Let $H : k^n \rightarrow k^n$ be a polynomial map. It is shown that the Jacobian matrix JH is strongly nilpotent (definition 1.1) if and only if JH is linearly triangularizable if and only if the polynomial map $F = X + H$ is linearly triangularizable. Furthermore it is shown that for such maps F sF is linearizable for almost all $s \in k$ (except a finite number of roots of unity).

Introduction

In [1] Bass, Connell and Wright and in [7] Yagzhev showed that it suffices to prove the Jacobian Conjecture for polynomial maps $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ of the form $F = X + H$, where $H = (H_1, \dots, H_n)$ is a cubic homogeneous polynomial map i.e. each H_i is either zero or homogeneous of degree three. Since $\det(JF) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is equivalent to JH is nilpotent (cf [1, Lemma 4.1]) it follows that the Jacobian Conjecture is equivalent to: if $F = X + H$ with JH nilpotent, then F is invertible. Hence it is clear that understanding nilpotent Jacobian matrices is crucial for the study of the Jacobian Conjecture.

In [6], in an attempt to understand quadratic homogeneous polynomial maps, Meisters and Olech introduced the strongly nilpotent Jacobian matrices: a Jacobian matrix JH is strongly nilpotent if $JH(x_1) \dots JH(x_n) = 0$ for all vectors $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$. They showed in [6] that for quadratic homogeneous polynomial maps JH is strongly nilpotent if and only if JH is nilpotent, if $n \leq 4$. However for $n \geq 5$ there are counterexamples (cf [4] and [6]).

On the other hand the obvious question: is the Jacobian Conjecture true for arbitrary polynomial maps $F = X + H$ with JH is strongly nilpotent, remained open.

In this paper we give an affirmative answer to this question. In fact we obtain a much stronger result; in theorem 1.6 we show that the Jacobian matrix JH is strongly nilpotent if and only if JH is linearly triangularizable if and only if the

polynomial map $F = X + H$ is linearly triangularizable. Furthermore we show that for such maps F the map sF is linearizable for almost all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ (except a finite number of roots of unity). So for such F the linearization conjecture of Meisters is true (it turned out to be false in general as was shown in [3]).

1. Definitions and formulation of the first main result

Throughout this paper k denotes an arbitrary field and $k[X] := k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ denotes the polynomial ring in n variables over k . Let $H = (H_1, \dots, H_n) : k^n \rightarrow k^n$ be a polynomial map i.e. $H_i \in k[X]$ for all i . By JH or $JH(X)$ we denote its Jacobian matrix. So $JH(X) \in M_n(k[X])$.

Now let $Y_{(1)} = (Y_{(1)1}, \dots, Y_{(1)n}), \dots, Y_{(n)} = (Y_{(n)1}, \dots, Y_{(n)n})$ be n sets of n new variables. So for each i $JH(Y_{(i)})$ belongs to the ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in the n^2 variable polynomial ring $k[Y_{(i)j}; 1 \leq i, j \leq n]$.

Definition 1.1. *The Jacobian matrix JH is called strongly nilpotent if and only if the matrix $JH(Y_{(1)}) \dots JH(Y_{(n)})$ is the zero matrix.*

Example 1.2. *If JH is upper triangular with zeros on the main diagonal, then one readily verifies that JH is strongly nilpotent. In fact the main result of this paper (theorem 1.6 below) asserts that a matrix JH is strongly nilpotent if and only if it is uppertriangular with zeros on the main diagonal after a suitable linear change of coordinates!*

Remark 1.3. *One easily verifies that if k is an infinite field, then definition 1.1 is equivalent to $JH(x_1) \dots JH(x_n) = 0$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in k^n$. So for $k = \mathbb{R}$ and H homogeneous of degree two we obtain the strong nilpotence property introduced by Meisters and Olech in [6]. See also [4].*

To formulate the first main result of this paper we need one more definition.

Definition 1.4. *i) Let $F = X + H$ be a polynomial map. We say that F is in (upper) triangular form if $H_i \in k[X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $H_n \in k$.*
ii) We say that F is linearly triangularizable if there exists $T \in GL_n(k)$ such that $T^{-1}FT$ is in upper triangular form.

One easily verifies the following lemma:

Lemma 1.5. *Let $F = X + H$ be a polynomial map. Then F is in upper triangular form if and only if JH is upper triangular with zeros on the main diagonal.*

Now we are ready to formulate the first main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.6. *Let $H = (H_1, \dots, H_n) : k^n \rightarrow k^n$ be a polynomial map. Then there is equivalence between*

- i) JH is strongly nilpotent.*
- ii) There exists $T \in GL_n(k)$ such that $J(T^{-1}HT)$ is upper triangular with zeros on the main diagonal.*
- iii) $F := X + H$ is linearly triangularizable.*

From this theorem it immediately follows that:

Corollary 1.7. *If $F = X + H$ with JH strongly nilpotent, then F is invertible.*

2. The proof of theorem 1.6

The proof of theorem 1.6 is based on the following two results.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $JH = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} A_\alpha X^\alpha$, where $d = \max_i(\deg(H_i)) - 1$ and $A_\alpha \in M_n(k)$ for all α . Then JH is strongly nilpotent if and only if $A_{\alpha_{(1)}} \dots A_{\alpha_{(n)}} = 0$, for all multi-indices $\alpha_{(i)}$ with $|\alpha_{(i)}| \leq d$.*

Proof. By definition 1.1 we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha_{(1)}| \leq d} A_{\alpha_{(1)}} Y_{(1)}^{\alpha_{(1)}} \right) \dots \left(\sum_{|\alpha_{(n)}| \leq d} A_{\alpha_{(n)}} Y_{(n)}^{\alpha_{(n)}} \right) = 0.$$

The result then follows by looking at the coefficients of $Y_{(1)}^{\alpha_{(1)}} \dots Y_{(n)}^{\alpha_{(n)}}$. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Let V be a finite dimensional k -vectorspace and l_1, \dots, l_p k -linear maps from V to V . Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq 1$. If $l_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ l_{i_r} = 0$ for each r -tuple l_{i_1}, \dots, l_{i_r} with $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq p$, then there exists a basis (v) of V such that $\text{Mat}(l_i, (v)) = D_i$ where D_i is an upper triangular matrix with zeros on the main diagonal.*

Proof. Let $d := \dim(V)$. We use induction on d . First let $d = 1$. Then the hypothesis implies that $l_i^r = 0$ for each i . So $l_i = 0$ for each i and we are done. So let $d > 1$ and assume that the assertion is proved for all $d - 1$ dimensional vectorspaces. Now we (also) use induction on r . If $r = 1$ then each $l_i = 0$. So let $r \geq 2$. Then for each $(r - 1)$ -tuple $l_{i_2} \dots l_{i_r}$ with $1 \leq i_2, \dots, i_r \leq p$ we have

$$l_1 l_{i_2} \dots l_{i_r} = 0, \dots, l_p l_{i_2} \dots l_{i_r} = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

If $l_{i_2} \dots l_{i_r} = 0$ for each such $(r - 1)$ -tuple we are done by the induction hypothesis on r . So we may assume that for some $(r - 1)$ -tuple $l_{i_2} \dots l_{i_r}$ the map $l_{i_2} \dots l_{i_r} \neq 0$. So there exists $v \neq 0$, $v \in V$ with $v_1 := l_{i_2} \dots l_{i_r} v \neq 0$. From (2.1) we deduce that $l_i v_1 = 0$ for all i . Then consider $\bar{V} := V/kv_1$. Since $l_i v_1 = 0$ for all i we get

induced k -linear maps $\bar{\ell}_i : \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{V}$. Since $\dim(\bar{V}) = d - 1$ the induction hypothesis implies that there exist v_2, \dots, v_r in V such that $(\bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_r)$ is a k -basis of \bar{V} and $\text{Mat}(\bar{\ell}_i, (\bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_r))$ is on upper triangular form. Then $(v) = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r)$ is as desired. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let $A_1, \dots, A_p \in M_n(k)$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq 1$. If $A_{i_1} \dots A_{i_r} = 0$ for each r -tuple A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_r} with $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq p$, then there exists $T \in GL_n(k)$ such that $T^{-1}A_iT = D_i$, where each D_i is an upper triangular matrix with zeros on the main diagonal.*

Now we are able to present the proof of theorem 1.6.

Proof. *ii) \rightarrow iii)* follows from lemma 1.5. So let's prove *iii) \rightarrow i)*. If $F = X + H$ is linearly triangularizable, then by lemma 1.5 $J(T^{-1}HT)$ is an upper triangular matrix with zeros on the main diagonal. So as remarked in example 1.2 this implies that $J(T^{-1}HT)$ is strongly nilpotent. Finally observe that $J(T^{-1}HT) = T^{-1}JH(TX)T$. So the strong nilpotency of $J(T^{-1}HT)$ implies that $JH(TY_{(1)}) \dots JH(TY_{(n)}) = 0$, which implies in turn that JH is strongly nilpotent.

Finally we prove *i) \rightarrow ii)*. So let JH be strongly nilpotent. Now if we write $JH = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} A_\alpha X^\alpha$, then by lemma 2.1 $A_{\alpha_{(1)}} \dots A_{\alpha_{(n)}} = 0$ for all n -tuples with $|\alpha_{(i)}| \leq d$. So by corollary 2.3 there exists $T \in GL_n(k)$ such that $T^{-1}A_\alpha T = D_\alpha$ for all α with $|\alpha| \leq d$, where D_α is an upper triangular matrix with zeros on the main diagonal. Consequently so is $T^{-1}JH(X)T (= \sum T^{-1}A_\alpha TX^\alpha)$ and hence so is $J(T^{-1}HT) = T^{-1}JH(TX)T$, which is obtained by replacing X by TX in $T^{-1}JH(X)T$. \square

3. Strongly nilpotent Jacobian matrices and Meisters linearization conjecture

In [2] Deng, Meisters and Zampieri studied dilations of polynomial maps with $\det(JF) \in \mathbb{C}^*$. They were able to prove that for large enough $s \in \mathbb{C}$ the map sF is locally linearizable to $sJF(0)X$ by means of an analytic map φ_s , the so-called Schröder map, which inverse is an entire function and satisfies some nice properties.

Their original aim was to show that φ_s is entire analytic, which would imply that sF and hence F is injective, which in turn would imply the Jacobian Conjecture. Although they were not able to prove the 'entireness' of φ_s , calculations of many examples of polynomial maps of the form $X + H$ with H cubic homogeneous showed that in all these cases the Schröder map was even much better than expected, namely it was a polynomial automorphism! (cf [5]) This lead Meisters to the following conjecture:

Conjecture 3.1. (Linearization Conjecture, Meisters [5])

Let $F = X + H$ be a cubic homogeneous polynomial map with JH nilpotent. Then

for almost all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ (except a finite number of roots of unity) there exists a polynomial automorphism φ_s such that $\varphi_s^{-1}sF\varphi = sX$.

Recently in [3] it was shown by the first author that the conjecture is false if $n \geq 5$ and true if $n \leq 4$.

In this section we show that Meisters linearization conjecture is true for all $n \geq 1$ if we replace ‘ JH is nilpotent’ by ‘ JH is strongly nilpotent’. In fact we even don’t need the assumption that this H is cubic homogeneous. More precisely we have:

Theorem 3.2. *Let k be a field, $k(s)$ the field of rational functions in one variable and $F : k^n \rightarrow k^n$ a polynomial map of the form $F = X + H$ with $F(0) = 0$ and JH strongly nilpotent. Then there exists an over k linearly triangularizable polynomial automorphism $\varphi_s \in \text{Aut}_{k(s)}(k(s)[X])$ such that*

$$\varphi_s^{-1}sF\varphi_s = sJF(0)X.$$

Furthermore, the zeros of the denominators of the coefficients of the X -monomials appearing in φ_s are roots of unity.

Before we can prove this result we need one definition and some lemmas.

Definition 3.3. *We say that $X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n} > X_1^{i'_1} \dots X_n^{i'_n}$ if and only if $\sum_{j=1}^n i_j > \sum_{j=1}^n i'_j$ or if $\sum_{j=1}^n i_j = \sum_{j=1}^n i'_j$ and there exists some $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $i_j = i'_j$ for all $j < l$ and $i_l > i'_l$.*

Furthermore we say that the rank of the monomial $M := X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}$ is the index of this monomial in the ascending ordered list of all monomials M' in X_1, \dots, X_n with $\deg(M') \leq \deg(M)$ (total degree).

Example 3.4. *The rank of $X_1X_2X_3$ is 15, since the ascending ordered list of all monomials in X_1, X_2 and X_3 of total degree at most three is:*

$$\begin{aligned} & X_3, X_2, X_1, \\ & X_3^2, X_2X_3, X_2^2, X_1X_3, X_1X_2, X_1^2, \\ & X_3^3, X_2X_3^2, X_2^2X_3, X_2^3, X_1X_3^2, X_1X_2X_3, X_1X_2^2, X_1^2X_3, X_1^2X_2, X_1^3 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.5. *For each $2 \leq j \leq n - 1$ let $\ell_j(X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n)$ be a linear form in X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n and let $\mu \in k$. Then the leading monomial with respect to the order of definition 3.3 in the expansion of*

$$\mu \prod_{j=2}^n (sX_j + s\ell_j(X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n))^{i_j} \tag{3.1}$$

is

$$\mu s^{i_2 + \dots + i_n} x_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}.$$

Proof. It is obvious that the monomial $\mu s^{i_2+\dots+i_n} X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}$ appears in the expansion of (3.1). Now we have to show that this is really the leading monomial. Note that all monomials in the expansion have the same (total) degree: $i_2 + \dots + i_n$. For each $j = 2, \dots, n$ we get a contribution of $(sX_j + s\ell_j(X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n))^{i_j}$ that is of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{i_j} \binom{i_j}{k} X_j^k (\ell_j(X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n))^{i_j-k}$$

and since ℓ_j is a linear term that does not contain X_j it is obvious that we get the highest order monomial if we take $k = i_j$. So if we start with $j = 2$, we see that the highest X_2 power is i_2 . And if we apply this result to $j = 3$ we see that the leading power product must begin with $X_2^{i_2} X_3^{i_3}$. If we do this for all j we see that it is obvious that the leading monomial is $\mu s^{i_2+\dots+i_n} X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}$. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let F be a polynomial map of the form:*

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 + a(X_2, \dots, X_n) + \ell_1(X_2, \dots, X_n) \\ X_2 + \ell_2(X_3, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_{n-1} + \ell_{n-1}(X_n) \\ X_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a(X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is a polynomial with leading monomial (with respect to the order of definition 3.3) $\lambda X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}$ and $i_2 + \dots + i_n \geq 2$. Furthermore $\ell_i(X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n)$ are some linear forms. Then there exists a polynomial map φ on triangular form such that

$$\varphi^{-1} s F \varphi = s \begin{pmatrix} X_1 + \tilde{a}(X_2, \dots, X_n) + \ell_1(X_2, \dots, X_n) \\ X_2 + \ell_2(X_3, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_{n-1} + \ell_{n-1}(X_n) \\ X_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

where the leading monomial of $\tilde{a}(X_2, \dots, X_n)$, say $\tilde{\lambda} X_2^{j_2} \dots X_n^{j_n}$, is of strict lower order than the leading monomial of $a(X_2, \dots, X_n)$, i.e.:

$$X_2^{j_2} \dots X_n^{j_n} < X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}.$$

Proof. Let

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 + \mu X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n} \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $\mu \in k$. It is obvious that φ is on triangular form. Proving that the equation

(3.2) is valid is equivalent with showing that

$$sF\varphi = \varphi\left(s \begin{pmatrix} X_1 + \tilde{a}(X_2, \dots, X_n) + \ell_1(X_2, \dots, X_n) \\ X_2 + \ell_2(X_3, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_{n-1} + \ell_{n-1}(X_n) \\ X_n \end{pmatrix}\right) \quad (3.3)$$

is valid. We do this by looking at the n components. For $i \geq 2$ it is easy to see that the i -th component of the lefthandside of (3.3) equals that of the righthandside of (3.3). Hence our only concern is the first component. Put $\hat{a}(X_2, \dots, X_n) := a(X_2, \dots, X_n) - \lambda X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}$. On the lefthandside we have:

$$sF\varphi|_1 = sX_1 + s\mu X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n} + s\lambda X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n} + s\hat{a}(X_2, \dots, X_n) + s\ell_1(X_2, \dots, X_n) \quad (3.4)$$

and on the righthandside:

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi\left(s \begin{pmatrix} X_1 + \tilde{a}(X_2, \dots, X_n) + \ell_1(X_2, \dots, X_n) \\ X_2 + \ell_2(X_3, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_{n-1} + \ell_{n-1}(X_n) \\ X_n \end{pmatrix}\right)|_1 \quad (3.5) \\ &= sX_1 + s\tilde{a}(X_2, \dots, X_n) + s\ell_1(X_2, \dots, X_n) + \mu \prod_{j=2}^n (sX_j + s\ell_j(X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n))^{i_j} \end{aligned}$$

By subtracting equation (3.5) from equation (3.4) under the assumption that equation (3.3) holds, we get:

$$s(\mu + \lambda)X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n} + s\hat{a}(X_2, \dots, X_n) = \mu \prod_{j=2}^n (sX_j + s\ell_j(X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n))^{i_j} \quad (3.6)$$

where $\hat{a} = \hat{a} - \tilde{a}$. Now we have to derive a relation for μ to achieve that equation (3.3) indeed holds. We can do this by restricting equation (3.6) to the coefficients of $X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}$. With lemma 3.5 we see that the restriction of the righthandside of (3.6) to $X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}$ gives $\mu s^{i_2+\dots+i_n}$, so we get:

$$s\mu + s\lambda = s^{i_2+\dots+i_n} \mu$$

and from this equation we can compute μ :

$$\mu = \frac{\lambda}{s^{i_2+\dots+i_n-1} - 1}$$

Note that we have assumed that $i_2 + \dots + i_n \geq 2$ so $s^{i_2+\dots+i_n-1} - 1 \neq 0$, hence μ is well defined. \square

Now we are able to give the proof of theorem 3.2.

Proof. By theorem 1.6 we may assume that $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$ is on triangular form. We use induction on n . If $n = 1$ F degenerates to the identical map X_1 and the theorem follows immediately.

If $n = 2$ we can write

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 + a(X_2) + \ell_1(X_2) \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a = \sum_{i=2}^m a_i X_2^i$ and $\ell_1 = aX_2$, the linear part. In particular we have that the leading monomial of a is $a_m X_2^m$. So with lemma 3.6 we know that there exists a map φ_m on triangular form such that

$$\varphi_m^{-1} s F \varphi_m = \begin{pmatrix} sX_1 + \tilde{a}(X_2) + s\ell_1(X_2) \\ sX_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $\deg(\tilde{a}) < m$. By applying the same lemma m times (if necessary we can use φ_j is the identity) we find a sequence $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$ such that

$$\varphi_1^{-1} \dots \varphi_m^{-1} s F \varphi_m \dots \varphi_1 = s \begin{pmatrix} X_1 + \ell_1(X_2) \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

So $\varphi_s := \varphi_m \circ \dots \circ \varphi_1$ is as desired. Now consider $F = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$. Put $\tilde{F} := (F_2, \dots, F_n)$ and $\tilde{X} := (X_2, \dots, X_n)$. Then by the induction hypothesis we know that there exists an invertible polynomial map $\tilde{\varphi}_s$ such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}_s^{-1} s \tilde{F} \tilde{\varphi}_s = s J_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{F}(0).$$

So with $\chi = (X_1, \tilde{\varphi}_s)$ and with the notation

$$F = (X_1 + a(X_2, \dots, X_n) + \ell_1(X_2, \dots, X_n), \tilde{F})$$

we get

$$\chi^{-1} s F \chi = s \begin{pmatrix} X_1 + \tilde{a}(X_2, \dots, X_n) + \ell_1(X_2, \dots, X_n) \\ X_2 + \ell_2(X_3, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_{n-1} + \ell_{n-1}(X_n) \\ X_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Now we only have to make the first component linear. Let r be the rank of the leading monomial in $\tilde{a}(X_2, \dots, X_n)$. With lemma 3.6 we know that there exists a φ_r such that

$$\varphi_r^{-1} \chi^{-1} s F \chi \varphi_r = s \begin{pmatrix} X_1 + \tilde{a}_r(X_2, \dots, X_n) + \ell_1(X_2, \dots, X_n) \\ X_2 + \ell_2(X_3, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_{n-1} + \ell_{n-1}(X_n) \\ X_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where the rank of the leading monomial of $\tilde{a}_r(X_2, \dots, X_n) < r$. So after r applica-

tions of lemma 3.6 we have obtained a sequence $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r$ such that

$$\varphi_1^{-1} \dots \varphi_r^{-1} \chi s F \chi \varphi_r \dots \varphi_1 = s \begin{pmatrix} X_1 + \ell_1(X_2, \dots, X_n) \\ X_2 + \ell_2(X_3, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_{n-1} + \ell_{n-1}(X_n) \\ X_n \end{pmatrix}$$

which proves the theorem. \square

References

- [1] H. Bass, E.H. Connell, D. Wright. *The Jacobian Conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse*. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 1982, **7**(2):287–330.
- [2] B. Deng, G. Meisters, G. Zampieri. *Conjugation for polynomial mappings*. Preprint Univ. Padova, June 1994.
- [3] A. van den Essen. *A counterexample to a conjecture of Meisters*. Report 9441, University of Nijmegen. To appear in Proceedings of the Curaçao Conference on Invertible Polynomial Maps, July 4-8, 1994.
- [4] G. Meisters. *Inverting Polynomial Maps of N-space by Solving Differential Equations*. In A.M. Fink, R.K. Miller and W. Kliemann, editors, *Delay and Differential Equations*, Proceedings in Honor of George Seifert on his Retirement, Ames, Iowa October 18-19, 1991, 107–166, Singapore • Teaneck, NJ • London • Hong Kong, 1992. World Scientific Pub. Co. Pte. Ltd. Bibliography of 208 entries. ISBN 981-02-0891-X.
- [5] G. Meisters. *Polyomorphisms conjugate to Dilations*. Preprint, September 1994. To appear in Proceedings of the Curaçao Conference on Invertible Polynomial Maps, July 4-8, 1994.
- [6] G. Meisters, Cz. Olech. *Strong nilpotence holds in dimension up to five only*. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 1991, **30**:231–255.
- [7] A. Yagzhev. *On Keller's problem*. Siberian Math. J., 1980, **21**(5):747–754.