Duration of the mosquitocidal effect of ivermectin
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Abstract

Background. Ivermectin (IVM) reduces the lifespan of malaria-transmitting mosquitoes after feeding on humans treated with IVM. If this effect is sufficiently long and strong, IVM could form part of a drug combination that not only treats malaria patients but also reduces onward transmission. Limited data are available on the exact duration of the mosquitocidal effect of IVM; daily mosquito feeding assays are required for this.

Materials and Methods. We determined mortality rates of Anopheles stephensi mosquitoes that took a blood meal on Swiss mice, Wistar rats and Cynomolgus monkeys that received IVM orally at 200-400 µg/kg. Mosquito feeding assays were performed on five consecutive days after IVM administration. Mosquito mortality was determined in the first 72 hours after feeding.

Results. Mosquito mortality was 70-100% when mosquitoes fed on any of the animals 1-2 days after the last IVM administration. After this time-point the mosquitocidal effect was still evident in some animals but became more variable.

Conclusions. Our findings of a pronounced but short-lived mosquitocidal effect makes the timing of IVM administration crucial to form a useful addition to anti-malarial drugs.

1 Introduction

The search for malaria transmission-blocking drugs has so far focused on drugs that clear gametocytes, the sexual stage of Plasmodium parasites that are responsible for the transmission of malaria to mosquitoes. An alternativeplementary approach to prevent malaria transmission after treatment would be a strategy that kills mosquitoes before they become infectious after feeding on a gametocyteemic blood meal. Ivermectin (IVM) may fulfil a role in such a strategy. IVM is a drug with broad-spectrum activity against nematodes and ectoparasites, and is widely used in mass treatment campaigns against onchocerciasis [1]. It has recently received much attention because of the reduced lifespan of malaria-transmitting mosquitoes after feeding on humans and cattle treated with IVM [2-5]. This makes IVM a potentially attractive component of malaria control efforts, where it could be part of a drug combination that not only treats malaria patients but also reduces onward transmission of the disease. The duration of the mosquitocidal effect of IVM is key to its potential role as an adjunct malaria therapy. Few data are available on the exact duration of this effect.

Fritz and colleagues showed previously that addition of IVM to bovine blood that is directly fed to Anopheles gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis mosquitoes reduces their survivorship and fecundity [5], and that IVM is already lethal to An. arabiensis at low concentrations (LC50 of 7.9 ppb)[6]. Work in rodents and cattle suggests a long-acting effect of IVM on anophelines; affecting mosquito survival rates as long as 10 days after feeding on an IVM-treated animal [5,7]. Chaccour and colleagues directly fed An. gambiae mosquitoes on healthy human volunteers 1 and 14 days post-IVM administration and observed an increased mortality at only the first time-point [2]. In a study from Papua New Guinea, blood-fed mosquitoes were collected from the huts of people 1-3 days and 28 days after an IVM mass treatment campaign. An. punctulatus or An. kolien-


sies caught 1-3 days post-treatment showed 70% mortality within 24 hours after capture compared to 2% caught 28 days post-treatment [8]. A study from Senegal similarly determined survival in blood-fed An. gambiae s.s. mosquitoes sampled from houses after IVN mass treatment campaigns and found that survival rates were lower for mosquitoes that were caught 1-6 days post treatment; the effect for the individual days was not reported [4]. A study where An. farauti mosquitoes were allowed to feed on the skin of a single Indonesian volunteer 0, 7, 10, 14, 26 and 44 days after administration of 250 µg/kg IVN suggested that the mosquitocidal effect may last for 14 days [3].

In short, precise estimates of the duration of the mosquitocidal effect of IVN are unavailable and studies have been hampered by logistical and ethical challenges in performing frequently repeated mosquito feeding experiments. We aimed to support the discussion on the best strategy of IVN deployment by analysing previously conducted and unpublished experiments on the mortality rate of An. stephensi mosquitoes after feeding on different animals treated with IVN at doses within the range that is recommended for use in humans (200-400 µg/kg).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal species</th>
<th># of animals</th>
<th># of treatment days</th>
<th>Daily dose (µg/kg)</th>
<th>1*</th>
<th>2*</th>
<th>3*</th>
<th>4*</th>
<th>5*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swiss mouse</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400 (17/17)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>400 (20/20)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>400 (22/22)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>400 (20/20)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>400 (20/20)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wistar rat</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400 (22/22)</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>85.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>400 (20/20)</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>400 (20/20)</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>85.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>84.0 (23/26)</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>85.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100 (16/16)</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>85.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynomolgus monkey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400 (10/10)</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>400 (10/10)</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>400 (10/10)</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>400 (10/10)</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>400 (10/10)</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined in the first 72 hours after feeding. ** Only the proportion of dead mosquitoes was recorded for these experiments.
portion of surviving mosquitoes was recorded; this did not affect the statistical analysis where we used a single estimate per mosquito feeding experiment (see below) but limited the information that could be given for individual experiments on Cynomolgus monkeys (Table 1). Mosquito mortality for each of the five feeding days was compared with 21 mosquito feeding experiments on a separate group of untreated Cynomolgus monkeys.

For each of the five time-points after IVM, the proportion of mosquitoes that died within 72 hours after their blood meal was compared with control experiments using STATA version 12.0 (StataCorp LP, Texas, US). Because the number of animals was deemed too small to reliably estimate clustering of individual mosquito observations that fed on the same animal, we chose the most conservative analytical approach: each feeding experiment contributed one observation of the proportion of mosquitoes that died within 72 hours after this feeding experiment. This continuous variable was compared between test and control experiments using a non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test; a Bonferroni correction was used to counteract the problem of multiple comparisons.

3 Results

In mice, the proportion of mosquitoes that died within 72 hours after their blood meal was strongly elevated when feeding one or two days after IVM (Table 1; Figure 1a). On these days 70-100% of mosquitoes died within 72 hours after feeding on any of the IVM-treated mice compared to 0-10% in control mice (p<0.0001 for both days). Beyond day two, higher mosquito mortality was still observed in some animals but we no longer found evidence for a statistically significantly elevated mosquito mortality compared to control mice.

For rats, mosquito mortality was also most pronounced for mosquitoes feeding one or two days after IVM: 85-100% of all mosquitoes died within 72 hours after feeding on any of the IVM-treated rats compared to 2-28% in control rats (Table 1; Figure 1b; p=0.001 for day 1 and p=0.0009 for day 2). Mosquito mortality decreased after this time-point but remained significantly elevated up to day 4 after IVM-treatment (p=0.008).

Similar as in rats and mice, mosquito mortality in experiments with Cynomolgus monkeys was highest when feeding one or two days after IVM (Table 1; Figure 1c; 77-100% mosquito mortality within 72 hours after feeding, p=0.002 for both days). On the third day after treatment, mosquito mortality was more variable (38-86%) although still significantly higher than the control experiments (p=0.002).

Figure 1. Mosquito mortality within 72 hours after feeding on different animals treated with ivermectin (IVM). Mosquito feeding experiments were conducted on five consecutive days following IVM treatment and mosquito mortality was calculated for the first 72 hours. Plotted on the X-axes is the day since the last IVM dose, plotted on the Y-axes is the proportion of all fully fed mosquitoes that died within 72 hours after their blood meal. Swiss mice (n=10) and Wistar rats (n=5) received IVM 400 µg/kg for 1-5 days (A and B). Cynomolgus monkeys (n=3) received a single dose of IVM at 200 (n=2) or 400 µg/kg (n=1) (C). Dots indicate the median mosquito mortality and error bars indicate the interquartile range; for IVM-treated monkeys this interquartile range equals the total range because only 3 monkeys received IVM. Lines are drawn between the estimates of the median and do not represent fitted or smoothed curves. * = statistically significant after adjusting p-values for multiple comparisons.
4 Discussion

We observed a pronounced effect of IVM on mosquito mortality rates in all animal models. The strong but short-lived mosquitocidal effect of IVM that we observed supports several previous observations [2,4,8] with more detailed daily assessments and a larger number of mosquito observations. A strength of our study is that we have performed daily mosquito feeding experiments in three animal species and thereby are able to add an estimate of mortality on different days post IVM to the available literature. Since the mosquitocidal effect of IVM was previously shown to be highly dependent on the concentration of IVM in plasma [9], we interpret our finding that the mosquitocidal effect becomes more variable after two days, as an indication for inter-individual variation in IVM metabolism. Since we did not determine IVM plasma concentrations in our study animals, we were unable to address this further. This is a shortcoming of the current study. We found no evidence in literature that the plasma disposition of IVM differs between our animal models and humans. Since our findings are consistent between the different animals, we consider it plausible that also in humans the mosquitocidal effect of IVM is limited to the first few days after treatment.

Our findings have implications for the next steps in evaluating IVM as a tool for use in malaria control. The strong but short-lived mosquitocidal effect makes IVM less attractive as a stand-alone drug for mass drug administration (MDA) campaigns that aim to reduce malaria transmission. IVM may, however, be a potent addition to antimalarial drugs in MDA campaigns or to prevent transmission shortly after treatment of symptomatic malaria cases. Current therapy for malaria patients is based on treatment with artemisinin-combination therapy (ACT). Whilst ACTs are highly effective against asexual parasite stages and immature gametocytes, mature gametocytes persist for several weeks after treatment [10]. As a result, there is a pronounced but incomplete reduction of malaria transmission in the first 7-14 days after treatment [11-13]. Primaquine (PQ) is the only currently available drug that can play a role in reducing the infectious period after ACT by actively clearing mature gametocytes. Addition of PQ to ACT reduces the duration of gametocyte carriage fourfold compared to ACTs alone [14]. However, a single dose of PQ at the currently recommended concentration (0.75 mg/kg) is associated with haemolysis in glucose-6-phosphate-dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficient individuals [15,16]. This sub-optimal safety profile hinders wide-scale use of PQ in malaria control [17]. There are currently no safe alternatives to PQ available, although dose-finding studies to determine a lower but efficacious dose of PQ are ongoing and the gametocytocidal activity and safety profile of the drug candidate methylene blue are promising [18].

Adding IVM to ACTs may be a promising strategy to further reduce post-treatment malaria transmission. For this, the timing of IVM administration has to be optimised to cover the period when an individual is most infectious, which is plausibly the first week after initiation of treatment with ACTs [11,19]. An attractive element of IVM as a ‘targeted vector control tool’ is that it acts against both indoor and outdoor biting vectors and targets different effect molecules compared to indoor residual spraying with insecticides and insecticide treated bednets [20,21]. An additional benefit in terms of integrated disease management would be the curative effect of IVM on intestinal strongyloidiasis, onchocerciasis and scabies [1]. Several important safety and tolerability data of an ACT-IVM drug combination are needed to confirm its potential, as well as pharmacokinetic data to ensure there are no drug interactions that may reduce the efficacy of the ACT or partner drug component.
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