Mimicking the oxygen minimum zones: stimulating interaction of aerobic archael and anaerobic bacterial ammonia oxidizers in a laboratory-scale model system
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Summary

In marine oxygen minimum zones (OMZs), ammonia-oxidizing archael (AOA) rather than marine ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) may provide nitrite to anaerobic ammonium-oxidizing (anammox) bacteria. Here we demonstrate the cooperation between marine anammox bacteria and nitrifiers in a laboratory-scale model system under oxygen limitation. A bioreactor containing ‘Candidatus Scalindua profunda’ marine anammox bacteria was supplemented with AOA (Nitrosopumilus maritimus strain SCM1) cells and limited amounts of oxygen. In this way a stable mixed culture of AOA, and anammox bacteria was established within 200 days while also a substantial amount of endogenous AOB were enriched. ‘Ca. Scalindua profunda’ and putative AOB and AOA morphologies were visualized by transmission electron microscopy and a C18 anammox [3]-ladderane fatty acid was highly abundant in the oxygen-limited culture. The rapid oxygen consumption by AOA and AOB ensured that anammox activity was not affected. High expression of AOA, AOB and anammox genes encoding for ammonium transport proteins was observed, likely caused by the increased competition for ammonium. The competition between AOA and AOB was found to be strongly related to the residual ammonium concentration based on amoA gene copy numbers. The abundance of archael amoA copy numbers increased markedly when the ammonium concentration was below 30 µM finally resulting in almost equal abundance of AOA and AOB amoA copy numbers. Massive parallel sequencing of mRNA and activity analyses further corroborated equal abundance of AOA and AOB. PTIO addition, inhibiting AOA activity, was employed to determine the relative contribution of AOB versus AOA to ammonium oxidation. The present study provides the first direct evidence for cooperation of archael ammonia oxidation with anammox bacteria by provision of nitrite and consumption of oxygen.

Introduction

Oxygen minimum zones (OMZs), oxygen-deficient layers in oceanic water columns, constitute only 0.1% of oceanic volume (Paulmier and Ruiz-Pino, 2009) but play a crucial role (30–50%) in global oceanic nitrogen loss (Lam and Kuypers, 2011). In the conventional paradigm of the marine microbial nitrogen cycle, dinitrogen gas is converted to ammonium by nitrogen-fixing microbes, thereby supplying phytoplankton with nitrogen for biomass production. Surplus ammonium is oxidized by nitrifying microorganisms to nitrite and further to nitrate in the presence of oxygen. In the presence of sufficient electron donors, denitrifying microbes can reduce the oxidized nitrogen to dinitrogen gas in the absence of oxygen (Arrigo, 2005). For decades, these processes were regarded as the only pathways responsible for nitrogen loss in marine nitrogen cycling. However, the discovery of new processes and important players in the nitrogen cycle such as anaerobic ammonium oxidizing (anammox) bacteria and archael ammonia oxidizers have shown that our knowledge still needs to be extended (Jetten, 2008).
Anammox bacteria, which convert ammonium with nitrite to dinitrogen gas in the absence of oxygen, are present in significant numbers in various marine anoxic basins (Kuypers et al., 2003) and OMZs (Kuypers et al., 2005; Woebken et al., 2008; Galán et al., 2009; Lam et al., 2009; Stewart et al., 2012). The anammox process was estimated to contribute substantially (> 50%) to nitrogen loss in marine ecosystems (Kuypers et al., 2005; Hamersley et al., 2007). Different biomarkers are used to detect and quantify anammox bacteria in these ecosystems. All 16S rRNA gene sequences of anammox bacteria found in marine ecosystems affiliate with the ‘Candidatus Scalindua profunda’ cluster (Schmid et al., 2007; Woebken et al., 2007; van de Vossenberg et al., 2008; 2012). In addition to the 16S rRNA, unique ‘ladderane’ membrane lipids (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2002c) represent suitable biomarkers for the detection of anammox bacteria (Jaeschke et al., 2007; 2009; 2010; Rattray et al., 2008; Pitcher et al., 2011; Wakeham et al., 2012). The source of nitrite for anammox in OMZs, characterized by very low nitrite and ammonium concentrations, has yet not been clearly identified. Recent studies indicate that nitrite can be either supplied to anammox bacteria via partial nitrate reduction (66%) or by partial nitrification (33%) (Lam et al., 2009). The present study focuses on partial nitrification as potential source of nitrite for ‘Ca. Scalindua profunda’ marine anammox bacteria.

The first and rate-limiting step of nitrification, the aerobic oxidation of ammonium to nitrite, has for a long time been assumed to be only performed by bacteria (ammonia-oxidizing bacteria, AOB) that possess the key enzyme ammonia monoxygenase (AMO). This membrane-bound enzyme is composed of three subunits (encoded by the genes amoA, amoB and amoC) and catalyses the initial oxidation of ammonia to hydroxylamine. The first indication for the existence of ammonia-oxidizing archaea was derived from a putative ammonia monoxygenase-encoding gene cluster associated with an archaeal scaffold detected by a metagenomic analysis on seawater (Venter et al., 2004). This hypothesis was confirmed by the isolation of Nitrosopumilus maritimus, a marine archaeon that oxidized ammonia aerobically to nitrite and contained all three subunits of the AMO (Könneke et al., 2005) as well as by the dominance of the amoA gene of AOA over that of AOB in the ocean (Wuchter et al., 2006). The amoA gene thus serves as a molecular marker to determine the diversity and abundance of AOA and AOB (e.g. Francis et al., 2005; Mincer et al., 2007; Lam et al., 2009). AOA may also be detected based on crenarchaeol (e.g. Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2002a,b; Coolen et al., 2007) which is a characteristic glycerol dibiphytanyl glycerol tetraether (GDGT) found in the membrane lipids of thaumarchaea or with attached polar head groups (Pitcher et al., 2011). Even though AOA and AOB compete for ammonium with anammox bacteria they might serve as natural partners for anammox bacteria by oxidizing just a part of ammonium to nitrite under oxygen limitation. Within the competition for ammonia between aerobic ammonia oxidizers, AOA have been shown to have an extremely high affinity (K_s = 133 nM) towards ammonia (Martens-Habbena et al., 2009), while AOB can survive oxygen deprivation for many years (van de Graaf et al., 1996). However, dedicated competition experiments with cultures have not yet been performed. AOA are highly abundant in marine ecosystems (Wuchter et al., 2006; Mincer et al., 2007) and apparently can coexist with anammox bacteria in marine OMZs (Lam et al., 2007; Woebken et al., 2007; Rusch et al., 2009) and anoxic basins (Coolen et al., 2007; Wakeham et al., 2012) where they could provide nitrite to marine anammox bacteria. Furthermore, OMZs are characterized by low ammonium conditions (< 0.35 μM, Stewart et al., 2012) which may be more suitable for activity of AOA than AOB (Martens-Habbena et al., 2009). With their high affinities for ammonia (133 nM, Martens-Habbena et al., 2009) and oxygen (2–4 μM, Parker et al., 2010) AOA seem excellently suited to thrive in marine OMZs. In contrast, AOB appear to be more competitive under conditions of relatively high ammonium, as shown in soil mesocosms in which AOB outcompeted AOA at 200 μg ammonium g^{-1} soil (Verhamme et al., 2011). One aspect greatly hampering the elucidation of whether AOA or AOB may contribute more to marine nitrification is that abundance, as determined by quantification of amoA gene copy numbers, does not necessarily reflect an actual contribution to nitrification. Recent research (Jia and Conrad, 2009; Bernhard et al., 2010) found no direct correlation between AOA abundance and potential nitrification rates in both marine, estuarine and soil ecosystems whereas other studies (e.g. Bernan et al., 2008) were able to demonstrate a correlation between AOA abundance and nitrifier activity.

As described above AOA, AOB and anammox bacteria may have both mutualistic and competing interactions under oxygen limitation, and additional research is needed to discern the cooperation and competition among these three groups. To investigate the potential interactions between aerobic and anaerobic ammonium oxidizers similar to those occurring in OMZs, an oxygen-limited marine model system was developed (Yan et al., 2010) and was used here to study the interaction between the marine ‘Ca. Scalindua profunda’ anammox bacterium (van de Vossenberg et al., 2008; 2012) and N. maritimus AOA (Könneke et al., 2005) under oxygen limitation. Because Nitrosomonas-like AOB are an indigenous component (≤ 1% of the total microbial community based on fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis) of ‘Ca. Scalindua’-dominated anammox enrichment cultures
(Yan et al., 2010), the competition between AOA and AOB in response to ammonium concentration could also be assessed. For these purposes a N. maritimus pure culture and oxygen were introduced in a 2 l bioreactor containing ‘Ca. Scalindua profunda’ marine anammox bacteria under defined and controlled conditions. A mixed culture consisting of AOA, AOB and anammox was obtained within 200 days, and monitored by activity assays, lipids analysis, amoA qPCR, and transmission electron microscopy. In addition, expression levels of relevant genes were determined by massive parallel sequencing of mRNA.

Results and discussion

Cultivation of a mixed culture of AOA, AOB and anammox bacteria

In order to mimic the conditions in the oxygen minimum zone as close as was technically feasible, an anaerobic preculture of ‘Ca. Scalindua profunda’ marine anammox bacteria was started and stabilized. The nitrite concentrations in the feed were increased twice, on day 34 and 59, from 10 mM to 11 mM and 12.5 mM respectively (as shown in Table 1). This resulted in a residual ammonium concentration of about 400 µM, as shown in Fig. 1, which was deemed low enough to introduce AOA cells. On day 73, the precultivated N. maritimus culture (Fig. S1) was transferred into the anammox bioreactor, and oxygen was introduced carefully. An initial peak in the residual oxygen concentration was observed (maximum dissolved O2 less than 1%) which led to a temporary accumulation of 480 µM nitrite (Fig. 1). Therefore, to ensure consumption of the residual oxygen and nitrite, the ammonium concentration of the medium was increased to 10.5 mM. This led to consumption of all residual oxygen within 2 days and resulted in a stabilized ammonium concentration in the reactor of 300 ± 30 µM. From day 130 onwards, due to the increasing activity of aerobic ammonia oxidizers, the ammonium concentration in the effluent gradually decreased to zero. On day 138 more than 500 µM nitrite and 1% oxygen accumulated (data not shown). Therefore, new medium with 11 mM ammonium was used from day 140 onwards, which resulted in depletion of oxygen within 2 days and a stable residual ammonium concentration of 30 ± 20 µM.

Table 1. Substrate concentrations in influent and effluent of the bioreactor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Time (day)</th>
<th>Ammonium (mM)</th>
<th>Nitrite (mM)</th>
<th>Ammonium (µM)</th>
<th>Nitrite (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic precultivation</td>
<td>5–33</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1712</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34–58</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1388</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59–72</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen-limited operation with high (~300 µM) residual ammonium</td>
<td>73–85b</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>86–139</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen-limited operation with low (~30 µM) residual ammonium</td>
<td>140–370</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. The values of ammonium and nitrite concentrations are average concentrations in the effluent during each time period.

b. Period of unstable reactor operation.
Activity of marine anammox bacteria under oxygen limitation

Freshwater anammox bacteria are known to be reversibly inhibited by low oxygen concentrations (Strous et al., 1997). Nevertheless, marine anammox bacteria were detected in significant amounts in OMZs, not only in the OMZ core (with $O_2 \sim 1 \text{ mM}$) but also in upper layers of the OMZ where oxygen occurs in concentrations of around $20 \text{ mM}$ (Lam et al., 2009; Stewart et al., 2012). This indicates that marine anammox bacteria may be better adapted to handle oxygen exposure than freshwater anammox bacteria investigated.

Indeed, the activity of the marine anammox bacteria in our system did not appear to suffer from exposure to oxygen. The potential anammox activity as determined in the off-line batch incubations described in the experimental procedures section was found to be stable throughout the experiment at $22 \pm 2 \text{ mM NH}_4^+ \text{ g protein}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ (as shown in Table 2) under anaerobic conditions as well as during the entire oxygen-limited operation. The abundance of the 'Ca. Scalindua profunda' anammox bacteria did not change significantly as demonstrated by the hzsA gene copy numbers that remained stable at around $2.6 \pm 0.9 \times 10^8$ copies ng DNA$^{-1}$ as assessed by qPCR. Also the expression levels of the major catabolic anammox genes (Table 3; Fig. S2) did not change significantly when oxygen was introduced.

However, the transcriptome and lipid analysis data revealed that anammox bacteria did respond to the changed conditions in the system (Table 3). Most notably an enhanced expression of ammonium transport proteins encoded by the $amtB$ genes was observed. The expression increased more than 10-fold in the oxygen-limited period when the ammonium concentration was around $30 \text{ mM}$. This upregulation was most likely an effect of the increasing competition for ammonium with nitrifiers. In addition, upregulated expression of several genes involved in oxidative stress (cytochrome c oxidase, cytochrome c peroxidase and rubredoxin superoxide reductase) was observed.

It has been reported that anammox bacteria contain C$_{18}$ and C$_{20}$ ladderane fatty acids with three or five linearly condensed cyclobutane rings (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2002c; Rattray et al., 2008). Under anaerobic conditions, in 'Ca. Scalindua'-dominated anammox enrichments, the relative abundance of C$_{18}[3]$- and [5]-ladderane and C$_{20}[5]$ ladderane fatty acids were similar (Rattray et al., 2008). However, in the reactor sample representing oxygen-limited conditions the C$_{18}[3]$ ladderane fatty acid was much more dominant. This has never been observed before in reactor-cultivated anammox bacteria nor under in situ conditions (Table 4). Since the catabolism of anammox bacteria is assumed to occur in the anammoxosome organelle (van Niftrik et al., 2004), this observed composition change of ladderane lipids might help anammox bacteria to accommodate more $amtB$ gene

### Table 2. Results of off-line potential activity analyses of the individual functional groups ($\mu$mol g prot$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Time (day)</th>
<th>Anamnnox</th>
<th>AOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic precultivation</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen-limited operation with high ($\sim 300 \mu$M) residual ammonium</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen-limited operation with low ($\sim 30 \mu$M) residual ammonium</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Differential gene expression of anammox bacteria during the oxygen-limited period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene description</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Anaerobic</th>
<th>Oxygen limitation</th>
<th>Ratio (oxygen limitation versus anaerobic conditions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$/NO$_2^-$ antiporter</td>
<td>narK</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate reductase</td>
<td>narGH</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2^-$ transport</td>
<td>focA</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cd1 NO$_2$ reductase</td>
<td>nirS</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octaheme HAO</td>
<td>hao/i</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_4^+$ transport</td>
<td>amfB</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrazine synthase</td>
<td>HZS</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octaheme HZO</td>
<td>hzo</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochrome c oxidase</td>
<td>cbb3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochrome c peroxidase</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubredoxin superoxide reductase</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

products or better adapt to oxygen exposure. GDGTs were detected (liquid chromatography mass spectrometry analysis; LC/MS), including crenarchaeol indicative for AOA (Fig. S3).

Transmission electron microscopy was used to visualize the presence and abundance of anammox bacteria under oxygen limitation, as shown in Fig. 2A and B. As expected the majority of cells (73%) in the EM pictures were typical anammox cells showing the unique anammoxosome organelle (van Niftrik et al., 2008a,b).

Abundance and competition of AOA and AOB in the mixed culture

After the introduction of *N. maritimus* cells, oxygen was introduced into the reactor system, and bacterial and archaeal *amoA* gene copy numbers were used as molecular biomarkers to monitor the growth and abundance of AOB and AOA in the mixed culture. The relative growth of AOB versus AOA based on *amoA* copy number was strongly correlated with the ammonium concentration in the bioreactor, as shown in Fig. 2A and B. As expected the majority of cells (73%) in the EM pictures were typical anammox cells showing the unique anammoxosome organelle (van Niftrik et al., 2008a,b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ladderane fatty acids</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Relative contribution (%)</th>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>Natural environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anaerobic</td>
<td>Oxygen limitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁₈[5] fatty acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁₈[3] fatty acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂₀[5] fatty acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂₀[3] fatty acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Calculated from Rattray and colleagues (2008).
b. Values of lipid composition under oxygen limitation were the averages of values from two replicates.
c. Weighted mean of ladderane fatty acid distributions in water column particulate matter where anammox lipids have been previously reported.
d. Arabian Sea Oxygen Minimum Zone, 300–750 m water depth; Rush and colleagues (2012a).
e. Eastern Tropical North Pacific Oxygen Minimum Zone, four water column profiles, 55–600 m water depth; Rush and colleagues (2012b).

be AOA according to their size (rod-shape) and morphology (with a diameter of 0.17–0.22 mm and a length of 0.5–0.9 mm) (Könneke et al., 2005).

Relative contribution of AOA and AOB to nitrification in the mixed culture

_Nitrosopumilus maritimus_ was found to be inhibited by PTIO (2-phenyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl 3-oxide; W. Martens-Habbena and D.A. Stahl, in preparation). Thus, in order to discriminate between the contribution of AOA and AOB, the inhibitor PTIO was used in batch experiments as shown in Fig. S5. Ammonia-oxidizing activity of AOA was fully inhibited, while activity of AOB was unaffected. PTIOs have been used extensively in medical research as a scavenger of free radical nitric oxide (NO) (Amano and Noda, 1995; Akaike and Maeda, 1996; Ellis et al., 2001). Although the mechanism of the observed differential inhibition of PTIO on the activity of AOA is not yet known, the recently proposed pathway of archaeal ammonia oxidation involving nitroxy radicals may provide some explanations. Walker and colleagues (2010) proposed that AOA use a different ammonia oxidation pathway and, in contrast to their bacterial counterparts, do not produce hydroxylamine during the conversion of ammonia, but the reactive intermediate nitroxyl (nitroxyl hydride, HNO). This nitroxyl anion (NO·) could then be rapidly oxidized to free radical NO in the presence of PTIO (Ellis et al., 2001). Under fully aerobic conditions AOB may not produce NO and thus their aerobic metabolism would not be inhibited by PTIO. Interestingly, Kartal and colleagues (2010) showed that also the anaerobic ammonium-oxidizing bacterium ‘Ca. Kuenenia stuttgartiensis’ produces NO as an intermediate and is strongly inhibited by PTIO. The different inhibition effects of PTIO on AOA and AOB, were used to estimate the contribution of each group to the total ammonium-oxidizing activity of the mixed culture. The ammonium consumption after PTIO addition was assumed to represent the contribution of bacterial nitrification only. Based on the PTIO incubations it was calculated that 40–60% of total ammonium-oxidizing activity of AOA and AOB, respectively.
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activity might be attributed to AOA (Fig. 4). The lower value of 40% was obtained in the respiratory measurement, where agitation was employed, the higher value of 60% was obtained from the static incubation to determine ammonium consumption rate. This is in agreement with Martens-Habbena and colleagues (2009) who suggested that AOA are adversely affected by stirring. Because the ammonium concentration used in the assay to determine the potential aerobic ammonia oxidation is considerably higher (250 μM) than that of the reactor (< 30 μM) the actual contribution of AOA may be underestimated.

Fig. 3. Changes on the relative abundance of amoA gene copy number from aerobic ammonium oxidizing-archaea (■) and bacteria (□) in the bioreactor throughout the entire operational period in response to changing residual ammonium concentration. Values of amoA copy number are the averages of values from three replicate measurements.

Fig. 4. Potential aerobic ammonia-oxidizing activity of the mixed culture before (total nitrification activity) and after (bacterial nitrification activity) PTIO addition: (A) oxygen respiration and (B) ammonium consumption.

© 2012 Society for Applied Microbiology and Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Environmental Microbiology, 14, 3146–3158
Anammox bacteria and aerobic ammonia-oxidizing archaea are both recently discovered players in the nitrogen cycle (Mulder et al., 1995; Könneke et al., 2005). Their discovery has greatly changed our view on nitrogen loss from marine OMZs, where bacterial nitrification and denitrification were thought previously to be solely responsible for this loss. Recently, in situ studies indicated the presence of archaean nitrification and anammox in various oxygen-limited ecosystems, such as the Peruvian (Lam et al., 2009), Chilean (Stewart et al., 2012), Namibia (Woebken et al., 2007) and Arabian Sea OMZs (Jaeschke et al., 2007; Jensen et al., 2011; Pitcher et al., 2011), the Black Sea (CooLen et al., 2007; Lam et al., 2007) and Cariaco Basin (Wakeham et al., 2012). However, because of the many interactions and contributions of different microorganisms in the nitrogen cycle, it is difficult to in situ target one or two microbial activities only. The mixed culture obtained in the present study, which consisted of marine AOA, AOB and anammox bacteria, provided a unprecedented opportunity to investigate the interaction of AOA, AOB and anammox bacteria under similar conditions as prevailing in OMZs. Under oxygen limitation, low residual ammonium concentration stimulated the growth of AOA and restricted growth of AOB, and the produced nitrite was taken up by anammox bacteria and converted directly into dinitrogen gas.

Cooperation of aerobic and anaerobic ammonium-oxidizing microbes under oxygen limitation has been observed before in one-step systems (i.e. completely autotrophic nitrogen removal over nitrite, CANON) that treat ammonium-containing waste streams (Sliekers et al., 2002). The maximum nitrogen removal rate of 0.1 kg N m$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ obtained in the present mixed culture was relatively low compared with previous studies that used higher ammonium loading rates. Notably, low residual ammonium concentrations were obtained in the present system that might be a better reflection of the OMZ conditions. The ammonium effluent concentrations in one-step CANON systems always exceeded 0.5 mM (Sliekers et al., 2002; Vázquez-Padín et al., 2009; Bagchi et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010). It might be worthwhile to investigate whether cooperation between AOA and anammox occurs within, or might benefit treatment of very dilute waste streams at ambient temperature (Kartal et al., 2010).

Experimental procedures

Precultivation of Nitrosopumilus maritimus strain SCM1

Nitrosopumilus maritimus strain SCM1 (Könneke et al., 2005) was cultivated in a 10 l bottle with 9 l SCM medium (Könneke et al., 2005, 500 μM NH$_4^+$, final concentration). The incubation was performed without agitation, in the dark at room temperature (22 ± 2°C). Liquid samples (1 ml) were taken every week to monitor ammonium and nitrite concentrations in the medium. After 2 months of cultivation almost all the ammonium in the medium was converted to nitrite and the upper 8 l of the culture was pumped into another 10 l bottle (back-up culture), and the remaining concentrated cell suspension (1 l) was transferred to the marine anammox sequencing batch reactor (SBR) reactor. To check the purity of the concentrated N. maritimus cell suspension genomic DNA was extracted, PCR reactions targeting bacterial and archaean amoA genes were performed and the resulting products were cloned and sequenced (see below). No bacterial amoA PCR product was obtained.

Reactor set-up

The SBR set-up was similar to the one used in a previous study (Yan et al., 2010), except for the following: each SBR cycle of 24 h consisted of 22 h of filling, 1 h of settling biomass (no stirring) and 40 min of pumping off liquid from above the settled cells (0.5 l volume). During each filling period, 0.5 l of Red Sea Salt medium (van de Vossenberg et al., 2008) was supplemented with ammonium and nitrite (as shown in Table 1). To maintain anoxic conditions, the bioreactor and medium vessel were flushed continuously with Ar/CO$_2$ (95%/5%, v/v; 20 ml min$^{-1}$). To monitor ammonium and nitrite concentrations in the reactor, liquid samples (1 ml) were withdrawn 3–4 times every week, centrifuged (15 min at 10 000 g), and the resultant supernatant stored at −20°C until analyses.

Operation under anaerobic conditions: precultivation of Ca. Scalindua profund a marine anammox bacteria

Three hundred millilitre of ‘Ca. Scalindua profunda’ domic nated biomass (van de Vossenberg et al., 2008; 2012) was transferred into the SBR set-up and incubated anaerobically (73 days) to ensure anammox activity. The influent ammonium and nitrite concentrations were adjusted until the residual ammonium concentration dropped below 500 μM (Table 1).

Mixed-culture operation under oxygen-limited conditions

Operation under high residual ammonium regime. The precultivation of the marine ‘Ca. Scalindua profunda’ was supplemented on day 73 with the 1 l N. maritimus culture and the gas flow was supplemented with 1 ml min$^{-1}$ of air. Initial nitrite accumulation indicated that the concentration of ammonium in the medium was insufficient to allow for the total consumption of supplied and produced nitrite. Therefore, the concentration of ammonium in the medium was increased whenever nitrite started to accumulate in the bioreactor until nitrite accumulation no longer occurred and a residual ammonium concentration of −400 μM was obtained (see Table 1). The reactor was operated under these conditions till day 139.

Operation under low residual ammonium regime. To favour the presumed high-ammonia-affinity AOA instead of low-
affinity AOB, the influent ammonium and nitrite concentrations were adjusted on day 140 of SBR operation until a residual ammonium concentration of < 40 μM was obtained (see Table 1).

**Analytical methods**

Protein and nitrite concentrations were quantified as described before (Yan et al., 2010). Ammonium concentrations were determined with the use of ortho-phthaldialdehyde (OPA) reagent (adapted from Taylor et al., 1974). In short, 100 μl of sample was mixed with 2 ml of diluted OPA reagent (10-fold dilution in sodium phosphate buffer, 0.3 M pH 7.3) incubated (20 min, room temperature, in the dark) and measured with a fluorescence spectrophotometer (excitation 411 nm, emission 482 nm, slit size 5 nm, 600 V). The ammonium and nitrite concentration for each liquid sample was only analysed once.

**Determinations of potential activities**

Changes in potential activity for each individual functional group (anammox bacteria, aerobic ammonia and nitrite oxidizers) were determined by off-line batch incubations and respiratory measurements. Biomass from the anaerobic period (day 69) versus oxygen-limited periods (high and low residual ammonium regime; days 134 and 250) was used as indicated by the white triangles in Fig. 1. Potential activities of anammox bacteria, aerobic ammonia- and nitrite-oxidizers were determined as previously described (Yan et al., 2010), except for the following: final concentrations of respectively 200 μM and 500 μM ammonium and nitrite were used to test the aerobic ammonia- and nitrite-oxidizing activities in both off-line batch incubations and oxygen respiration measurements.

**Incubation in the presence of PTIO**

To evaluate the relative contribution of AOA and AOB to nitrification, on day 340 (oxygen-limited operation under low ammonium regime) the potential aerobic ammonia-oxidizing activity was investigated, in the presence of PTIO (2-phenyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxide-3-oxyl; MP biomediator, France) to distinguish between bacterial and archaeal activity. *N. maritimus* back-up culture (see precultivation of *N. maritimus*, strain SCM1) and indigenous *Nitrosomonas*-like AOB enrichments were used as controls to investigate the effect of PTIO, a NO scavenger (Amano and Noda, 1995; Akaike and Maeda, 1996; Ellis et al., 2001), on the activity of AOA and AOB. The indigenous *Nitrosomonas*-like AOB was enriched from the reactor of a preliminary experiment described previously (Yan et al., 2010) as follows: 4.5 ml co-culture was used as the inoculum for an 80 ml incubation. The medium contained Red Sea Salt as previously described (Yan et al., 2010). Incubation was performed without agitation, in the dark at room temperature (22 ± 2°C). This incubation was maintained in the lab, and every week 40 ml medium was replenished to the enrichments with fresh medium contains 250 μM ammonium after settling of the biomass. Inhibition analyses of mixed culture nitrifiers were performed in both off-line batch incubations without agitation (ammonium consumption) and through respiratory measurements (oxygen consumption). To evaluate the relative nitrification contribution of AOA and AOB, substrate consumption rates were detected before and after PTIO addition (200 μM). To calculate the relative contribution of AOA, the rate prior to PTIO addition was regarded to be 100% (representing AOB and AOA activity) and the residual rate after PTIO addition (representing AOB activity only) was subtracted to yield the percentile contribution of the AOA.

**DNA extraction, (q)PCR and sequencing analyses**

The aerobic ammonia oxidizer community composition of the bioreactor was analysed through *amoA* gene end-point PCR followed by cloning and sequencing. The competition between aerobic AOB and archaea was monitored by qPCR targeting *amoA* genes. Abundance of anammox bacteria was assessed by hydrazine synthase (HZS) gene-based q-PCR. For these purposes high molecular weight DNA was extracted from biomass from the anaerobic operation (day 63), oxygen-limited operation under the high ammonium regime (days 94, 103, 114, 135) and the oxygen-limited operation under the low ammonium regime (days 147, 167, 183, 196, 225 and 340) as indicated by asterisks in Fig. 1. High molecular weight DNA was extracted from 2 ml bioreactor biomass according to the protocol described in Yan and colleagues (2010). The isolated total DNA was loaded on an agarose gel to check the quality, and analysed on a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (LifeScience, USA) to determine the concentration. For all samples, 2 ml of reactor content was used for DNA extraction. The concentration of isolated DNA was always 70 ± 10 μg ml⁻¹. End-point PCR, cloning, sequencing and phylogenetic analyses were performed as described previously (see Table S1 for primer details). Real-time quantitative PCR was performed with an iCycler iQ5 thermocycler equipped with a real-time detection system (Bio-Rad, CA, USA). Each PCR mixture (25 μl) consisted of 12.5 μl of 2× SYBR Green PCR master mix (Finnzymes, Finland), 1 μl of forward and reverse primers (20 pmol ml⁻¹) and 1 μl of template DNA (2–10 ng) per well. PCR amplification and quantification were performed in MicroAmp Optical 96-well reaction plates (Bio-Rad, CA, USA). Thermocycling for crenarchaeal *amoA* gene qPCR detection (128 bp product) was performed as follows: initial denaturation 95°C for 3 min; amplification for 40 cycles consisting of, denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, primer annealing at 58°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and followed by a final elongation at 72°C for 5 min. Melting curve analysis showed only one peak at Tm = 80°C. For detection of bacterial *amoA* genes (490 bp) thermocycling consisting of: initial denaturation 96°C for 3 min; amplification for 40 cycles consisting of, denaturation at 96°C for 1 min, primer annealing at 57.5°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and followed by a final elongation at 72°C for 5 min. Melting curve analysis showed only one peak at Tm = 81°C. A primer set targeting the hydrazine synthase gene (*hzsA*) was used to quantify anammox bacteria, resulting in a 226 bp product. Thermocycling was performed as follows: initial denaturation 95°C for 3 min; amplification for 40 cycles consisting of, denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, primer annealing at 55°C for 1 min,
extinction at 72°C for 1 min, followed by a final elongation at 72°C for 5 min. Only one peak was detected in the melting curve analysis at Tm = 80°C. No detectable peaks that were associated with primer–dimer artefacts or non-specific PCR amplification products were observed. Quantification standard curves were constructed from series of 10-fold dilutions of sequenced plasmids (DNA copy numbers ranging from 10^6 to 10^8 per reaction), with insert of the amoA genes of *N. maritimus* and *Nitrosomonas*-like AOB and the *hzsA* gene of ‘Ca. Scalindua profunda’ anammox bacteria respectively. The amplification efficiencies were between 95% and 105%, with R² value ranging from 0.994 to 0.999.

**Transcriptomics**

The expression of relevant genes was determined in samples from days 48 (anaerobic condition) and 232 (the oxygen-limited operation under the low ammonium regime), by extraction of total RNA, reverse transcription and sequencing of cDNA by Illumina technology (indicated by the reference mark in Fig. 1) as described by van de Vossenberg and colleagues (2012). Total RNA isolation was performed using the RiboPure™-Bacteria kit (Ambion, Austin, USA) according to the supplier’s instructions (DNase treatment was performed twice). The isolated total RNA was loaded on gel to check the quality, and analysed on a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer to determine the concentration. Reverse transcription was performed using the RevertAidTM First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Fermentas GMBH, St Leon-Rot, Germany) with random hexamer primers according to the supplier’s instructions. Second strand cDNA synthesis was performed following the suppliers instructions. At least 20 ng double-stranded cDNA was sent for Illumina sequencing to the Darwin Center for Biogeosciences (SCMH: projects 1051, 3146–3158) of the Netherlands. The gene expression ratio was calculated by each gene read times average of each gene was calculated by each gene read times average coverage of all genes. The gene expression ratio is compared the expression under anaerobic and oxygen-limited conditions, relative gene coverage was used, which was divided by the length of the gene. To analyse (indicated by clubs in Fig. 1). Sample preparation was performed as previously described by van Niftrik and colleagues (2008b).

**Lipid extraction and identification**

On day 230 (oxygen-limited operation under the low ammonium regime), 20 ml of concentrated biomass was harvested from the reactor by centrifugation (10 min at 10 000 g, 4°C) and freeze-dried for lipid analyses (indicated by a white star in Fig. 1). The freeze-dried mixed culture was extracted using a modified Bligh–Dyer method (Bligh and Dyer, 1959). The sample was ultrasonically extracted for 15 min using a volume ratio of 2:1:0.8 (v/v) [methanol (MeOH) : dichloromethane (DCM) : phosphate buffer, pH 7.4]. The supernatant was collected and the residue was re-extracted ultrasonically twice. The solvent ration of the combined supernatants was adjusted to 1.4 (v/v) (MeOH : DCM : phosphate buffer) and centrifuged. The bottom DCM layer was collected and the remaining solvent re-extracted twice with DCM. The DCM layers were combined and dried to near-dryness under rotary evaporator.

Ladderane lipids analysis was performed by saponification of an aliquot of the Bligh–Dyer extract by refluxing with aqueous KOH (in 96% MeOH) at 100°C for 1 h. Fatty acids were obtained by acidifying the solution to pH 3 with 1 M HCl in MeOH and extracted using DCM. The fatty acids were converted to their corresponding fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) by methylation with diazomethane (CH₂N₂). Excess CH₂N₂ was removed by evaporation under N₂. Polysaturated fatty acids were removed by eluting the sample over a small AgNO₃ (5%) impregnated silica column with DCM. The fatty acid fraction was dissolved in acetone, filtered through a 0.45 μm, 4 mm diameter PTFE filter and analysed by HPLC/APCI-MS/MS (high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to positive ion atmospheric pressure chemical ionization tandem mass spectrometry) in SRM (selective reaction monitoring) mode as described in Hopmans and colleagues (2006) and modified in Rattray and colleagues (2008).

For GDGTs lipids analysis, the Bligh–Dyer extract was hydrolysed by refluxing with 2 M HCl/MeOH (1/1, v/v) for 3 h. The pH of the solution was adjusted to pH 5 using 1 M KOH (in 96% MeOH). GDGT core lipids were extracted three times using DCM. The extract was eluted over Na₂SO₄ dried under N₂. A known amount of internal standard (C46 GDGT) was added to the sample before it was filtered through a 0.45 μm, 4 mm diameter PTFE filter using hexane : isopropanol (99:1). GDGTs were analysed and quantified by HPLC/APCI-MS in single ion mode (Schouten et al., 2007).

**Transmission electron microscopy**

To visualize different morphologies of the co-culture, on day 310 (oxygen-limited operation under the low ammonium regime), 40 ml reactor sample was taken and cryofixed by high pressure freezing, freeze-substituted in acetone containing 2% osmium tetroxide and acetone containing 2% osmium tetroxide, 0.2% uranyl acetate and 1% water, embedded in Epon resin and sectioned using an ultramicrotome for TEM analysis (indicated by clubs in Fig. 1). Sample preparation was performed as previously described by van Niftrik and colleagues (2008b).
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