The Arabidopsis Mutant alh1 Illustrates a Cross Talk between Ethylene and Auxin
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Ethylene or its precursor 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) can stimulate hypocotyl elongation in light-grown Arabidopsis seedlings. A mutant, designated ACC-related long hypocotyl 1 (alh1), that displayed a long hypocotyl in the light in the absence of the hormone was characterized. Etiolated alh1 seedlings overproduced ethylene and had an exaggerated apical hook and a thicker hypocotyl, although no difference in hypocotyl length was observed when compared with wild type. Alh1 plants were less sensitive to ethylene, as reflected by reduction of ACC-mediated inhibition of hypocotyl growth in the dark and delay in flowering and leaf senescence. Alh1 also had an altered response to auxin, whereas auxin levels in whole alh1 seedlings remained unaffected. In contrast to wild type, alh1 seedlings showed a limited hypocotyl elongation when treated with indole-3-acetic acid. Alh1 roots had a faster response to gravity. Furthermore, the hypocotyl elongation of alh1 and of ACC-treated wild type was reverted by auxin transport inhibitors. In addition, auxin up-regulated genes were ectopically expressed in hypocotyls upon ACC treatment, suggesting that the ethylene response is mediated by auxins. Together, these data indicate that alh1 is altered in the cross talk between ethylene and auxins, probably at the level of auxin transport.

In the dark, ethylene-treated seedlings display a short root, an exaggerated apical hook concomitant with radial swelling and an inhibition of hypocotyl elongation (Knight and Crocker, 1913). By using exogenously applied ethylene or its precursor 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC), this so-called triple response was exploited for isolation of mutants in Arabidopsis (Bleecker et al., 1988; Guzmán and Ecker, 1990; Harpham et al., 1991; Van Der Straeten et al., 1993; Roman et al., 1995). Characterization of these ethylene-related mutants has led to the elucidation of a pathway for ethylene signaling (Stepanian and Ecker, 2000).

New screening assays could potentially uncover novel mutants with defects in the cross talk of the ethylene pathway with other hormones (Smalle and Van Der Straeten, 1997; Smalle et al., 1997). Ghassemian et al. (2000) identified alleles of ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE 2 while screening for mutants with increased sensitivity for abscisic acid. The ethylene-insensitive root (eir1-1) mutant turned out to have a defect in the auxin efflux carrier AtPIN2 (Luschnig et al., 1998; Sieberer et al., 2000). We demonstrated that the effect of ethylene on hypocotyl elongation in the light is opposite to that in the dark (Smalle et al., 1997). As for ethylene, hypocotyl elongation in the light can be stimulated by auxins (Smalle et al., 1997; Gray et al., 1998). In the dark, auxins play a limited role in hypocotyl growth (Jensen et al., 1998). Earlier observations have linked auxin and ethylene pathways at later stages of development. Ethylene production is predominantly known to be enhanced by exogenous application of high concentrations of auxins (Yu and Yang, 1979; Woeste et al., 1999). In addition, a number of Arabidopsis mutants show cross-resistance to several hormones (Smalle and Van Der Straeten, 1997, and refs. therein). In contrast, processes in which ethylene controls auxins are relatively rare. However, ethylene has been shown to reduce auxin transport (Morgan and Gausman, 1966). In addition, ethylene can mediate differential growth in the apical hook region, most probably by controlling auxin levels. This interaction is defective in the hookless1 mutant (Lehman et al., 1996).
Here, we report on the isolation and physiological characterization of a new mutant that displays defects in ethylene and auxin response, further confirming a close interaction between both signaling pathways. Our data suggest that the ethylene-induced hypocotyl elongation in the light is mediated by auxin and probably stimulates auxin transport.

RESULTS

Isolation of a Novel Mutant \textit{alh1}

ACC stimulates hypocotyl elongation in the light. The response is most pronounced on a low nutrient medium (LNM). This trait is a genuine ethylene effect, because Ag$^{+}$ ions block the response (Smalle et al., 1997). In addition, the competitive inhibitor 1-methylcyclopropene (MCP) reversed the ACC stimulation of hypocotyl elongation (Table I). The elongation response was used to screen for constitutive response mutants in the absence of ACC. Thirty thousand and 40,000 seedlings treated with ethyl methanesulfonate and fast-neutron bombardment respectively, were analyzed, of which 80 candidate mutants were isolated. Thirty-two were confirmed by rescreening after self-fertilization. Knowing that ethylene-treated hypocotyls do not exceed twice their normal size, the number of candidates was narrowed down to five mutants, thus excluding most light-signalizing mutants with long hypocotyl phenotype. On one-half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS/2) medium, one of the mutants displayed epinastic cotyledons and leaf blades. These traits are typical for ethylene-or auxin-treated plants. The characteristics segregated in a semidominant fashion (mutant:intermediate:wild type, 21:54:25). The mutant was named \textit{ACC-related long hypocotyl 1} (\textit{alh1}). However, in view of the phenotypes mentioned above and below, “\textit{alh1}” might as well stand for \textit{auxin-related long hypocotyl 1}.

On media containing either 200 \textmu M CoCl$_2$, an ethylene biosynthesis inhibitor, or 100 \textmu M AgNO$_3$, an ethylene action inhibitor, \textit{alh1} retained its long hypocotyl. Both \textit{alh1} and wild type showed a similar reduction of hypocotyl elongation of about 20\%, implying that the hypocotyl phenotype in the light is probably not caused by ethylene overproduction.

On LNM in the light, the length of \textit{alh1} hypocotyls exceeded that of wild type by at least 40\% (Fig. 1). This observation suggests that part of the pathway controlling hypocotyl elongation in the light is constitutively active in \textit{alh1}. The hypocotyl length on various concentrations of ACC indicated that \textit{alh1} is hypersensitive to ACC reaching the maximal response at a lower concentration than wild type (Fig. 1). On higher concentrations of ACC, \textit{alh1} hypocotyl length does not differ significantly from the wild type. This indicates that ACC-induced hypocotyl elongation and \textit{alh1}-induced hypocotyl elongation are not additive (Fig. 1). Therefore \textit{alh1} most likely acts in the ACC/ethylene-regulated pathway. As opposed to the nonreacting ethylene-insensitive \textit{ein2-1}, the \textit{ethylene-insensitive root 1} (\textit{eir1-1/pin2}) mutant reacts in a wild type-like fashion (no significant difference at 100 \textmu M ACC with $P > 0.05$). When grown on LNM supplemented with 50 \textmu M ACC, \textit{pin1} mutants had an increase in hypocotyl elongation of only 68\% (2.46 SD 0.5 mm treated versus 1.46 SD 0.26 mm untreated), whereas wild type had an increase of 82\% (3.05 SD 0.52 mm treated versus 1.64 SD 0.27 mm untreated). Also \textit{pin3-3} mutant seedlings had a smaller increase in hypocotyl elongation upon ACC treatments. On 50 \textmu M ACC, they showed only 32\% increase in length (1.42 SD 0.25 mm treated versus 1.07 SD 0.12 mm untreated), whereas wild type had 63\% (1.75 SD 0.30 mm treated versus 1.07 SD 0.12 mm untreated). This suggests a significant role for both PIN3 and PIN1 in the elongation process under given conditions.

Map Position

The \textit{alh1} mutation was positioned on the genome by using microsatellite markers and AFLP markers (Bell and Ecker, 1994; Peters et al., 2001). As indicative traits for mutant selection, both the long hypocotyl and the rosette phenotype were scored. \textit{Alh1} was mapped in the vicinity of nga 692 on the bottom arm of chromosome 1 to a region overspanning the last 35 BACs (Table II). The ethylene mutants \textit{etr1},

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. Effect of MCP on ACC-stimulated hypocotyl growth in wild-type Col-0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Growth Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNM ($n \geq 20$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNM + 10 \textmu M ACC ($n \geq 20$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Effect of ACC on hypocotyl elongation in \textit{alh1} in the light. Seedlings of wild type (white bars), \textit{alh1} (black bars), \textit{ein2-1} (gray bars), and \textit{eir1-1/pin2} (striped bars) grown for 10 d on LNM medium supplemented with ACC in a range of concentrations. Data are mean ± sd ($n > 20$).
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**Table II. Map position of the alh1 mutation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Recombinational Distance to alh1 (cM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nga128</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nga280</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nga111</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AthATPase</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nga692</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alh1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ein5** and **ein7** all map to a different region on chromosome 1 (Roman et al., 1995).

**alh1 Displays Constitutive Auxin and Ethylene Responses**

Besides longer hypocotyls in the light, **alh1** seedlings and full-grown plants displayed additional traits characteristic of a constitutive auxin or ethylene response. Light-grown **alh1** seedlings at the cotyledon stage are phenotypically intermediate between wild type and the **ctr1-1** mutant with mildly epinastic cotyledons (Fig. 2) and thus resemble the auxin-overproducing sur1 seedlings (Boerjan et al., 1995). Leaf surface area was reduced throughout **alh1** rosette development and the edges of leaf blades curled down. However, as petioles were longer, **alh1** did not show the dwarfism characteristic for **ctr1-1** (Kieber et al., 1993). As a consequence, the rosette diameter of full-grown **alh1** plants was even larger than that of the wild type (Table III). In general, **alh1** seedlings were smaller than the wild type during the early stages of development (first 3 weeks) and gradually became larger when reaching full expansion. Bolting and flowering were delayed (Table III). **Alh1** inflorescences displayed an increased apical dominance as a result of a decrease and delay in secondary branching (Table III). Etiolated **alh1** seedlings displayed a partial triple response, characterized by an exaggerated apical hook and a thicker, but not shorter, hypocotyl (Fig. 3).

**alh1 Seedlings Overproduce Ethylene in Continuous Dark**

To verify whether the partial triple response phenotype was caused by ethylene overproduction, we measured ethylene production in **alh1** seedlings by using photo-acoustic detection. Although production levels in **alh1** (0.63 ± 0.15 pL seedling⁻¹ h⁻¹) were far below those in an ethylene overproducer, **eto2** (14.74 ± 1.53 pL seedling⁻¹ h⁻¹), **alh1** produced 4-fold more ethylene than wild-type plants (0.15 ± 0.02 pL seedling⁻¹ h⁻¹) under these conditions. The ethylene production of **alh1** seedlings under long-day conditions was not detectably different from wild type (data not shown). In addition, etiolated double mutant **alh1** **ctr1-3** seedlings resembled the ethylene-insensitive **ctr1-3** mutant (Fig. 3A), supporting the fact that the **alh1** constitutive response in the dark is due to increased ethylene biosynthesis levels.

**alh1 Shows Characteristics of Ethylene Insensitivity**

Treatment with ACC inhibited **alh1** hypocotyl elongation in the dark to a lesser extent than in the wild type. Hypocotyl elongation at 100 μM ACC in the dark was only approximately 20% inhibited for **alh1** against 65% in wild-type plants (Fig. 3B). At 50 μM ACC, **alh1** hypocotyls were approximately twice as long as those of the wild type. Treatment of etiolated **alh1** and wild-type seedlings with 10 μL L⁻¹ ethylene gave a similar result (data not shown). Therefore, the reduction in ACC sensitivity is probably not caused by an altered ACC uptake or metabolism.

Decreased ethylene sensitivity is frequently accompanied by a delay in leaf senescence (Grbic and Bleecker, 1995; Oh et al., 1997). Other reports mention a clear capability for auxins to influence this process (Grossmann and Retzlaff, 1997; Noh and Amasino, 1999). Low concentrations of auxins can delay leaf senescence, whereas high concentrations can stimulate it due to concomitant higher ethylene production levels. Total chlorophyll content can be used as a marker for senescence of tissues (Thomson and Plat-Aloia, 1987). At the end of the expansion phase of rosette leaves 7 and 8, chlorophyll levels were higher in **alh1** when compared with both wild type and the ethylene-insensitive mutants **ctr1-3** and **ein2-1** (Fig. 4). Twenty-one days after the end of leaf expansion, chlorophyll degradation was most pronounced in the wild type, less pronounced in **ein2-1** and **ctr1-3**, and the lowest in **alh1** (Fig. 4). These results suggest that the delay in senescence cannot be due to mere ethylene insensitivity.

With respect to ethylene-induced inhibition of leaf expansion in rosettes, **alh1** appeared as sensitive as wild type, because when treated with ACC, the size of the **alh1** leaf blades was similar to that of wild type (Fig. 5). On the molecular level, ethylene-treated **alh1** rosettes showed a wild-type-like induction of the ethylene-inducible ACC oxidase gene (At-ACO2) transcription (data not shown).
Roots React More Quickly to Gravistimulation

Seedling root elongation of wild type and alh1 did not differ in response to ACC (range from 0.05–50 μM ACC). Even the ein2-1 and etr1-3 mutants had a reduced root length of approximately 10% to 50% at the lowest and the highest ACC concentration tested, respectively (data not shown).

Several auxin mutants typically show defects in their response to gravitropic stimuli (Muday, 2001). We tested whether alh1 responds differently than wild type upon changes in direction of gravity. Therefore seedlings grown on vertical plates were rotated over 90°, and the angle of gravitropism was followed by time lapse imaging. Alh1 roots reacted more quickly than wild type, but no difference in growth rate was observed. Both wild type and alh1 gained 4 mm ± 1 mm of root length after 8 h. However, whereas alh1 roots had reached an angle of 45° after 150 min, wild-type roots needed 280 min to reach the same angle (Fig. 6A).

Blancaflor et al. (1998) have shown the importance of columella cells in gravitropic growth. In addition, auxin distribution in these cells may be of crucial importance to the process (Swarup et al., 2001; Friml et al., 2002). Inspection of the root tips of alh1 plants revealed an abnormal organization of the columella. In contrast to the very stable cell order in Columbia (Col-0) wild type (Fig. 6B), alh1 mutants showed striking phenotypic variations. Of 36 plants, 72% showed a complete disorganization of the columella cells (Fig. 6D), 16% had an additional columella col-

### Table III. Biometric analysis of alh1 relative to the wild type, ctr1-1, and etr1-3

Values are means ± sd. N.D., Not determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Wild Type</th>
<th>alh1</th>
<th>ctr1-1</th>
<th>etr1-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rosette diameter (full-grown; cm)</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>7.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical dominance (number of branches per inflorescence, n = 20)</td>
<td>15.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>9.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>13.0 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root length (mm)</td>
<td>23.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>18.3 ± 3.7</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>23.6 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosette leaf surface area (leaf 9, full-grown; cm²)</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.73</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.58</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petiole length (rosette leaf 9, full-grown; cm)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf number at bolting</td>
<td>18.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>19.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>23.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>24.0 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolting time (d after sowing)</td>
<td>57.4 ± 3.6</td>
<td>69.5 ± 6.7</td>
<td>74.4 ± 5.1</td>
<td>60.7 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free auxin (pmol g⁻¹ fresh wt)</td>
<td>16.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxin conjugates (pmol g⁻¹ fresh wt)</td>
<td>5,325 ± 1,332</td>
<td>4,335 ± 660</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>³H after auxin accumulation in basal stem part (cpm)</td>
<td>50.1 ± 11.9</td>
<td>50.6 ± 13</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 3. Constitutive and insensitive responses to ACC of etiolated alh1 seedlings. A, Apical hook region of seedlings germinated and grown on MS/2 in the dark for 4 d. B, Hypocotyl length of seedlings germinated and grown in the dark for 7 d on MS/2 medium supplemented with the denoted concentrations of ACC. White bars, Wild type; black bars, alh1; gray bars, ein2-1.

### Figure 4. Chlorophyll levels during rosette development of wild-type and ethylene mutants alh1, etr1-3, and ein2-1. Leaf discs of full-grown rosette leaves 7 and 8 were harvested immediately after the leaf expansion phase (d 1) and subsequently 4, 8, 12, 16, and 20 d later. Total chlorophyll levels were determined for the wild type (Col), alh1, etr1-3, and ein2-1. Error bars represent se.
umn (Fig. 6C), and 11% had wild-type phenotype with the characteristic four rows and four columns of columella cells (Fig. 6B; Dolan et al., 1993). In Col-0 plants, only 10% of 40 plants had an abnormality, deviating from the pattern in Figure 6B.

The Long Hypocotyl Phenotype in alh1 Is Related to Enhanced Auxin Signaling

Hypocotyl elongation can be stimulated by auxin (Romano et al., 1995), whereas on LNM and in the light, auxin also mediates ethylene effects (Smalle et al., 1997). A dose-response relation for hypocotyl length after treatment with different auxin concentrations was established (Fig. 7). Whereas in the Col-0 wild type the hypocotyl length clearly increased between 6 and 40 μM indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), ctrl-1 and alh1 showed only a small difference in hypocotyl length. The ethylene-insensitive mutants etr1-3 and ein2-1 had an elongation comparable with that of wild type (Fig. 7; etr1-3 data not shown). For all lines except ctrl-1, 60 μM IAA was supra-optimal.

As a consequence, a direct involvement of auxins in the phenotype of alh1 was tested. The content of free and conjugated auxins in rosettes and transport in stems were not significantly different from wild type (Table III). In addition, alh1 hypocotyl elongation was studied on medium containing α-naphthylphthalamic acid (NPA), a potent auxin transport inhibitor (Morgan, 1964). Under these conditions, ctrl-1 and alh1 on LNM and Col-0 wild type on LNM supplemented with 50 μM ACC had a reduced hypocotyl length (Fig. 8). NPA did not completely abolish the increase in hypocotyl elongation in ACC-treated wild type, suggesting that a factor different from auxin transport is also involved in ACC-mediated hypocotyl elongation. The NPA effect was confirmed with another auxin transport inhibitor, TIBA. The anti-auxin 2-NAA, a competitive inhibitor, reduced the increase in hypocotyl elongation caused by ACC (Fig. 8), confirming the observations with the auxin transport inhibitors.

Furthermore, the auxin-insensitive mutants axr1-3 and axr2 showed limited, if any, ACC-induced hypocotyl elongation. In contrast, another auxin-insensitive mutant, aux1-7, displayed a strongly stimulated hypocotyl elongation upon ACC treatment (Table IV), implying that the AUX1 gene product is not required for the observed response to ACC.

Our data suggest that the ethylene effect on hypocotyl elongation is mainly mediated through auxins. Supportive evidence for this hypothesis results from kinetic analysis of developing seedlings. The major difference in growth rate between ACC-treated Col-0 hypocotyls and untreated seedlings occurred between the 3rd and the 4th d after germination (Fig.
This growth phase coincided with an increase in β-glucuronidase (GUS) activity in the hypocotyl of ACC-treated plants, carrying an auxin-inducible promoter, linked to the UIDA gene (Fig. 9B). At 3.5 d of age, the staining in hypocotyls of non-treated plants was limited to the hypocotyl-root junction. After treatment with ACC, strong GUS activity was observed all over the hypocotyl. The latter observation might indicate a role of the auxin-inducible SAUR AC1 gene in elongation processes, as was suggested earlier (Gil et al., 1994). A similar effect, although less pronounced, was observed in DR5-GUS hypocotyls (Fig. 9B). Roots of DR5 seedlings seemed to be stained more intensely. However, we do not know whether that is due to an increase in UIDA activity or the compaction of the root resulting from ACC treatment (Fig. 9B). Note the general retardation in growth caused by the lack of nutrients in LNM (Smalle et al., 1997).

DISCUSSION

Alh1 Illustrates the Complexity of Signaling Networks in Plants

The contrasting phenotypes of alh1 suggest that this mutation affects several signaling networks. The study of alh1 indicates that interactions between ethylene and auxin signaling are mediated by different mechanisms under different growth conditions, at different developmental stages, and in different tissues. Moreover, it is remarkable that ethylene can have opposite effects on hypocotyl growth, depending on whether plants were grown in light or in the dark (Smalle et al., 1997). Alh1 shows that the triple response in ethylene-treated etiolated seedlings and the ethylene-induced promotion of hypocotyl elongation under light can be uncoupled to some extent.

This uncoupling of ethylene responses has also been shown with C-EIN2 transgenics. The carboxy terminus of EIN2 is sufficient to cause constitutive responses in ein2-5 mutant transgenics grown in the light, but it cannot induce triple response in the dark (Alonso et al., 1999). This suggests the existence of two partially separated ethylene-signaling networks depending on the light conditions. Alh1, which has a constitutive ethylene response in the light and decreased sensitivity in the dark, might be an additional key component in the separation of hormone responses in different developmental stages and conditions.

Plant hormones, like auxins, through their own signaling network, may have an effect on the ethylene-signaling routes that use common components. For instance, MAP kinase cascades and two-component systems have been shown to be implicated in a myriad of processes (Innes, 2001; Morris, 2001; Hwang et al., 2002). In addition, protein degradation seems a likely nod for several networks, like jasmonic acid, light, auxin, and cytokinin signaling (Hellmann and Estelle, 2002; Smalle et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2002). This posttranslational control of regulatory factors may allow fine-tuning the balance between different hormones. Ethylene responses could be yet another signaling route controlled by protein degradation.

Alh1 Shows an Altered Ethylene-Auxin Interaction Controlling Hypocotyl Growth

Auxin has been reported to stimulate ethylene production (Yu and Yang, 1979; Rodrigues-Pousada et al., 2001; Smalle et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2002). This posttranslational control of regulatory factors may allow fine-tuning the balance between different hormones. Ethylene responses could be yet another signaling route controlled by protein degradation.
Nevertheless, hypocotyl length can be increased in light-grown ethylene-insensitive mutants by exogenous auxins, suggesting that auxins act downstream of ethylene in the elongation process or indicating the existence of an ethylene-independent pathway that controls hypocotyl growth (Fig. 7; Romano et al., 1995). In addition, ethylene and auxin have been reported to act independently in the inhibition of root and hypocotyl elongation in light-grown Arabidopsis plants on a rich medium (Fujita and Syono, 1996; Collett et al., 2000). However, seedlings grown for 3 d on LNM and treated with the ethylene precursor ACC show ectopic and higher expression of the auxin-inducible SAUR AC1 gene in the hypocotyl (Fig. 9B). SAUR AC1 has been postulated to be important for cell elongation (Gil et al., 1994; Gil and Green, 1997). At this stage, non-treated seedlings have fully expanded cotyledons, whereas ACC-treated seedlings have not (Fig. 9B). Thus, ACC could extend the elongation period of the hypocotyl at the expense of cotyledon expansion (Smalle et al., 1997). In addition, the site of enhanced expression of the auxin-inducible SAUR AC1 gene corresponds with the central region of the hypocotyl (Fig. 9B). In this part of light-grown hypocotyls, large increases in cell length have been reported to occur in the time window from d 3 to 5 after germination (Gendreau et al., 1997). This observation was confirmed for seedlings grown in the presence of ACC on LNM (Fig. 9A).

Furthermore, the axr1-3 and axr2 mutations, which confer a strong inhibition of auxin-induced SAUR AC1 expression, block the ACC-induced hypocotyl elongation response (Gil et al., 1994; Timpte et al., 1995). In contrast, a defect in the AUX1 gene had no effect on ACC-stimulated hypocotyl elongation and resulted in only a very mild reduction of auxin-induced SAUR AC1 mRNA accumulation (Table IV; Gil et al., 1994). Thus, ACC could stimulate hypocotyl elongation by intensifying or prolonging auxin signaling in a pathway that involves the AXR1 and AXR2 gene products, but not AUX1. AXR1 is involved in modifying the SCF-TIR (Skp-Cdc53-F-Box-Transport Inhibitor Response) complex, which uses AXR2 as a substrate as well as other AUX/IAA proteins (Dharmasiri and Estelle, 2002). It is conceivable that ethylene also has an effect on protein degradation. Earlier findings have already confirmed that cytokinins and jasmonic acid could exert their activity through protein degradation complexes (Smalle et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2002).

The influx carrier AUX1 and the efflux carrier EIR1/PIN2 are part of the auxin transport system. They are considered root specific (del Pozo et al., 1998; Luschnig et al., 1998; Nagpal et al., 2000; Swarup et al., 2001). Other auxin transport proteins, such as PIN1, PIN3, and other PIN family members, are probably involved in the response in hypocotyls. PIN3 is necessary for differential growth in root and hypocotyl, whereas PIN1 has a role in auxin transport in stems (Okada et al., 1991; Friml et al., 2002a, 2002b). Like pin1 mutants, pin3 mutants had a

---

**Table IV. Effects of ACC on hypocotyl growth in auxin-related mutants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth Medium</th>
<th>Wild Type</th>
<th>aux1-7</th>
<th>axr1-3</th>
<th>axr2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LNM (n = 10)</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNM + 50 μM ACC (n = 10)</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.45</td>
<td>2.44 ± 0.43</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean hypocotyl lengths (in millimeters) ± SD; Measurements were done 10 d after germination.
smaller, but significant, ACC-stimulated increase in hypocotyl elongation compared with the wild type. This suggests that both auxin efflux carriers are necessary for the full effect. The fact that these mutations did not cause a total absence of ACC-stimulated hypocotyl elongation may be due to redundancy of auxin efflux carriers. In that case, ethylene could have a general effect on several auxin efflux carriers. Moreover, the ethylene-induced elongation response in the hypocotyl might rely on the same mechanisms that are involved in differential growth. Double mutants between pin mutants could help clarifying these observations.

The effect on auxin transport varies depending on the species, the developmental stage, and the environmental conditions (Abeles et al., 1992). Although in many cases, ethylene inhibits auxin transport, stimulation of the process also has been observed (Morgan and Gausman, 1966; Goldsmith, 1977). As in Arabidopsis roots, ethylene might stimulate auxin transport in hypocotyls through PIN-like auxin transport proteins (Friml et al., 2002a, 2002b). Together, the data suggest that auxin acts after ethylene, positively controlling hypocotyl elongation.

The alh1 mutation might affect the ethylene-auxin crosstalk, regulating auxin transport in hypocotyls. This is supported by a much reduced IAA-promoted growth in alh1 and ctr1-1 (Fig. 7). The response controlled by ethylene in cross talk with auxins is probably near its maximum in both mutants. This is not due to an intrinsic higher auxin content in alh1 seedlings, because auxin measurements in seedlings indicated no differences between alh1 and wild type. Also, the phenotype of the alh1 mutant in the dark argues against a general auxin overproduction as in sur1/alh1/rtv/hts3 (Boerjan et al., 1995; Celenza et al., 1995; King et al., 1995; Lehman et al., 1996). Whereas the light-grown seedlings of alh1 and sur1 mutants resemble each other, etiolated sur1 seedlings have the opposite phenotype of alh1 seedlings, displaying no apical hook and a short hypocotyl. Although the alh1 seedling phenotype was largely reverted by auxin transport inhibitors, we did not find any difference from wild type in an auxin accumulation assay. Therefore, we propose that alh1 is mutated in a component influencing the downstream part of the auxin-signaling pathway. Whether ALH1 is a positive or negative regulator cannot be revealed at this point, because the alh1 mutation, being semidominant, can be caused by either a gain or loss of function. In addition, stimulatory effects of auxin-mediated gibberellin signals in alh1 cannot be fully excluded at this point, because auxin transport inhibitors can diminish the rate of biosynthesis of gibberellins (Ross, 1998). However alh1 showed the same relative elongation of the hypocotyl upon gibberellin treatment as the wild type (data not shown). Therefore it is unlikely that alh1 is a gibberellin-signaling mutant.

Finally, it should be mentioned that ACC-mediated hypocotyl elongation on LNM is probably not solely due to auxin cross talk. The response could not be inhibited completely by auxin transport blockers, indicating the existence of an auxin-independent pathway as well.

Differential Growth in alh1

Auxin is known to play a role in gravitropism, which is caused by a more pronounced cell expansion on the upper side of the root (Maher and Martinsdale, 1980; Rashotte et al., 2000). It recently became clear that auxin transport, through proteins as AUX1 and PIN-family members, is a pivotal element in the gravitropic response and that columella cells in the root cap are essential for a full response (Blancaflor et al., 1998; Swarup et al., 2001). Alh1 has extra cells in the columella region. This could enhance the gravity perception of the plant root and thus cause the faster gravitropic response.

Differential growth also occurs upon the formation of an apical hook in dark grown seedlings. This phenomenon is thought to be dependent on unequal auxin distribution in the hypocotyl (Lehman et al., 1996). When wild-type seedlings are treated with ethylene in the dark, the curvature of the hook is exaggerated. In alh1 seedlings grown in air in the dark, we detected a partial triple response that was restricted to an exaggeration of apical hook formation and a thicker hypocotyl (Fig. 3). Etiolated alh1 seedlings also overproduce ethylene. In many aspects, including apical hook formation, hypocotyl elongation in the light and root gravitropism, alh1 has the opposite phenotype of the pin3 mutants (Friml et al., 2002b). The pin3 mutation is a recessive and thus loss of function mutation. Enhancement of the activity of a PIN3-like auxin transporter with tissue-specific functions could conversely cause a alh1 like phenotype. However, the PIN3 gene does not map to the region determined for the ALH1 gene.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Seeds mutagenized by ethyl methanesulfonate and fast neutron were purchased from Leible Seeds (Tucson, AZ). The Col-0 and Landsberg erecta wild types of Arabidopsis and the ethylene mutants aux1-7, axr1-3, axr2, ctr1-3, ctr1-1, and ein2-1 all in Col-0 background were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (Columbus, OH). The alh1 mutant was backcrossed to the Col-0 wild type. The pin3 mutant is in the Enkheim background, whereas the pin3-3 mutant has a Columbia background.

Media and Treatments

Seeds were sown and plants were grown under sterile conditions as described (Smalle et al., 1997). ACC, 2-NAA, TIBA, and IAA were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis); AgNO3 was from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany); CoCl2 was from UCB Pharma (Brussels), and NPA was from Greystone, (Merseyside, UK). All hormone and inhibitor solutions were added to the medium after filter sterilization. MCP was supplied by the Depart-
Segregation Patterns

The increase in hypocotyl length, the delay in senescence, and the presence of an exaggerated apical hook in the dark are traits that cosegregated in a population of 104 F2 plants of an alh1 backcross with Col-0 in a semidominant fashion (mutant/intermediate/wild type, 21:54:25); confirmation of the characteristics was obtained from F3 populations.

Mapping of the alh1 locus was performed with simple sequence length polymorphism markers (Bell and Ecker, 1994). alh1 was crossed to Ler. The F2 population was scored for mutant and wild-type plants. The phenotypes were confirmed in F3 to distinguish between the wild-type, homozygous, or heterozygous alh1. Per F2 individual, DNA was prepared from a single leaf or from a small population in the next generation with a single-step protocol (Thomson and Henry, 1995) or the DNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), respectively. A total of 58 F2 individuals were scored. Map distances were determined by computational analysis using Joinmap (Stam, 1993) with the Kosambi and Haldane algorithms.

Isolation of a Double Mutant and Epistatic Analysis

The phenotype of the alh1 etr1-3 mutant could be observed in the F3 because both mutations display a degree of dominance. The double mutant was isolated by screening the F2 for strong ACC-insensitive seedlings (elongating roots on 10 μM ACC) with a rosette morphology of untreated alh1 seedlings. F3 populations were analyzed to allow identification of double homozygous lines.

Biometrics

Hypocotyl measurements were performed on seedlings grown for 10 d in 16 h of light/8 h of darkness. All seedlings were grown on horizontal plates, except for the kinetic study of hypocotyl growth, for which the seedlings were grown on vertical plates. Hypocotyl length (of light- and dark-grown seedlings) was measured using a Stemi SV11 binocular (Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Rosette diameter was measured on 5-week-old plants using a ruler with 1-mm precision. Petiole length and leaf blade surface area were measured from rosette leaf 9 of 5-week-old plants (at this developmental stage silique length were measured by pressing and taping the respective seedlings or plant organs onto 3MM paper (Whatman, Clifton, NJ), scanning the image, and computing distance or surface with the ScionImage software (Scion Corp., Frederick, MD).

For measurements of gravitropism, plants were grown for 6 d on vertical plates containing MS/2 medium in a 16-h-light/8-h-dark photoperiod. Plates were rotated over 90°. The roots were photographed every 15 min, and the angle of gravitropic curvature was measured using the ScionImage software. Root tip staining was done in a 10 μg mL−1 propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich) solution for 2 min, before visualization on a confocal laser scanning microscope.

Chlorophyll Levels

To determine chlorophyll concentrations, a set of 30 plants was used for each line. At each harvesting point, five plants were randomly chosen. Immediately after leaves stopped growing (end of elongation, i.e. d 1) 0.5-cm² discs were harvested from the center of the widest part of the leaf blade of leaves 7 and 8, starting at 7 weeks of age. The leaf discs were frozen in liquid nitrogen. The same procedure was repeated 4, 8, 12, 16, and 20 d later. Determination of chlorophyll concentration was performed according to Graan and Ort (1984). Chlorophyll content was expressed in micromolar.

GUS Staining

The lines containing the auxin-inducible reporter constructs SAUR AC1-GUS and DR5-GUS lines were kind gifts from Pamela J. Green (Michigan State University, East Lansing) and Thomas J. Guilfoyle (University of Missouri, Columbia; Gil and Green, 1997; Ulmasov et al., 1997). For each treatment, 10 to 15 seedlings were harvested after 8 h of light at d 3. The second samples were taken at d 8, 2 d before the emergence of the first leaves. Seedlings were submerged in 90% (v/v) acetone for 30 min and washed with 1 m phosphate buffer for 15 min. The seedlings were subsequently incubated for 18 h in 0.1 m phosphate solution containing 0.5 mm Fe(CN)2, 0.5 mm Fe(CN)3, and 2 mm 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronide (ImmunoSource, Antwerp, Belgium). Destaining was done in 70% (v/v) ethanol for at least 8 h.

Hormone Measurements

For ethylene measurements, 150 seeds were sterilized and sown on LNM agar (Smalle et al., 1997) in 10-ml vials. The seeds were kept at 4°C for 48 h for stratification, then exposed to light for 4 h to stimulate germination, and incubated in the dark for 4 d. The vials were subsequently capped, and ethylene emission was measured every 2.6 h during 24 h using photoacoustic detection (Bijnens et al., 1996).

IAA was prepared from 3-week-old rosettes. Samples were ground in liquid nitrogen, transferred into 80% (v/v) MeOH, and extracted overnight at −20°C. [13C6]IAA (100 pmol, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Inc., Andover, MA) was added for isotope dilution purposes. After centrifugation (20,000 rpm, 15', 4°C), IAA was purified by a combined solid phase extraction procedure and methylated before analyses (Prinsen et al., 2000). Quantification was done by microLC-ESI tandem mass spectrometry in single reactant monitoring mode (Prinsen et al., 1998). The chromatograms obtained were processed by means of Masslynx software (Micromass, Manchester, UK). Concentrations were expressed in picomoles per gram fresh weight. IAA conjugates were purified and analyzed as described for IAA after alkaline hydrolysis (Prinsen et al., 2000).

For the auxin accumulation assay, the lower 2 cm of the bolting stem of 4-week-old plants was cut and put upside down in an Eppendorf tube containing 20 μL of an auxin solution. The latter had an overall concentration of 1.45 μM including 2.4 μCi of [3-14C]-IAA (Amersham). After 18 h, 5 mm from the basal side (that was not in the liquid), was cut off and extracted in ethanol. These samples were measured using a scintillation counter (1409, PerkinElmer Wallac, Gaithersburg, MD).
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