STRUCTURE AND PROPERTIES OF N,N-DI-*n*-BUTYLDITHIOCARBAMATO-1, 2-DICYANOETHENE-1, 2-DITHIOLATO-GOLD(III)

J. H. NOORDIK, Th. W. HUMMELINK and J. G. M. VAN DER LINDEN

Department of Inorganic Chemistry and Crystallography, University of Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

(Received February 24, 1972; in final form July 25, 1972)

The crystal and molecular structure and some spectral data of the compound N,N-di-*n*-butyldithiocarbamato-1, 2-dicyanoethene-1, 2-dithiolato-gold(III), $\text{AuS}_2\text{CN}(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_2\text{S}_2\text{C}_2(\text{CN})_2$, are reported. The crystal structure has been determined from a three-dimensional single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. The orthorhombic cell, space group *Pbca*, with $a = 14.066(3)$, $b = 28.980(2)$ and $c = 9.192(2)$ Å, contains eight formula units. Intensity data were collected on an automatic diffractometer. The structural parameters were refined by full-matrix least-squares methods to a conventional *R*-factor of 0.047 for 1587 independent non-zero reflections. The structure determination proved the compound to be a mixed dithiocarbamato-dithiolato complex, $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})(\text{mnt})$, ($\text{dtc} = \text{N,N-di-}n\text{-butyldithiocarbamate}$, $\text{mnt} = \text{maleonitriledithiolate} \equiv 1, 2\text{-dicyanoethene-1, 2-dithiolate}$), as expected from spectral data. The gold atom is in approximately square-planar coordination, however, deviations from mm^2 (C_{2v}) symmetry are considerable. Au—S bond distances in the dtc -moiety (2.329(5) Å and 2.324(5) Å) are significantly longer than those in the mnt -moiety (2.303(5) Å and 2.284(5) Å). The molecules are packed pairwise around inversion centres with relatively short intermolecular S—S distances.

INTRODUCTION

Recently the structure of the ionic compound $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})_2^+ \text{Au}(\text{mnt})_2^-$, ($\text{dtc} = \text{N,N-di-}n\text{-butyldithiocarbamate}$, $\text{mnt} = \text{maleonitriledithiolate} \equiv 1, 2\text{-dicyanoethene-1, 2-dithiolate}$) has been reported.¹ In the present paper we report the crystal structure and some spectral data of the isomeric mixed-ligand complex $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})(\text{mnt})$. This complex belongs to a class of complexes of the type $M(\text{R}_2\text{dtc})(\text{mnt})^z$, ($z = 0$, $M = \text{Ni, Cu, Au}$; $z = -1$, $M = \text{Ni, Cu, Pd, Pt}$; $\text{R}_2\text{dtc} = \text{N,N-di-alkyldithiocarbamate} = \text{R}_2\text{NCS}_2^-$) of which the given members have recently been synthesized and characterized.^{2, 3} The structure determination presents the first X-ray analysis of a bis-complex involving both a 1,1- and a 1,2-dithiolato ligand.⁴

EXPERIMENTAL

X-ray Crystal Structure Analysis

The compound $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})(\text{mnt})$ was prepared as reported previously,³ and crystallizes as well-formed green plate-shaped crystals. Preliminary oscillation, rotation and Weissenberg photographs taken with Cu $\text{K}\alpha$ radiation showed that the

crystals belonged to the orthorhombic system. The systematic absences were found to be $h0l$ absent for $l = 2n + 1$; $0kl$ absent for $k = 2n + 1$; $hk0$ absent for $h = 2n + 1$; space group *Pbca*. The unit cell dimensions were obtained from Pt-calibrated Weissenberg photographs. Least-squares adjustment of observed Θ -values for 146 $hk0$ and 84 $h0l$ reflections ($56^\circ < \Theta < 81^\circ$) resulted in $a = 14.066(3)$ Å, $b = 28.980(2)$ Å, $c = 9.192(2)$ Å and $V_c = 3747(1)$ Å³.

The calculated density of 1.92 g cm^{-3} for F.W. = 541.52 and $Z = 8$, agrees well with the value of $1.93(1) \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ measured by flotation in a tetrachloromethane/iodomethane mixture. Intensity data were collected on an automatic diffractometer using the moving-counter moving-crystal method. Three series of intensity data were collected for a crystal of approximate dimensions $0.20 \times 0.08 \times 0.30 \text{ mm}^3$ that was mounted with c along the ϕ -axis.

- I. The octant hkl (all indices positive), scan speed $0.3^\circ/\text{min}$.
- II. The octant hkl (all indices positive), scan speed $1.2^\circ/\text{min}$.
- III. The octant hkl (h and k indices negative, l index positive), scan speed $0.3^\circ/\text{min}$.

For each of the series of measurements reciprocal space was explored up to $\sin \Theta/\lambda = 0.60 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ using Zr-filtered Mo-radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ \AA}$, linear absorption coefficient $\mu = 85.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). After every fifteen reflections a reference reflection was measured to detect and allow corrections to be made for slow fluctuations in the primary beam. (Differences between the intensities of the reference reflections did not exceed 3%). The intensity data were corrected in the usual way for Lorentz and polarization effects and absorption. The latter correction was calculated according to the Busing and Levy⁵ scheme: $11 \times 4 \times 13$ volume elements and 16 accurately located boundary planes were taken into account. (The maximum and minimum values of the absorption factor in the intensities are 4.55 and 1.83 respectively). After absorption correction the three series of intensity data were brought to a common scale.

Comparison of the three series of intensity data resulted in $R_{I,II} = 0.040$, $R_{I,III} = 0.048$ and $R_{II,III} = 0.059$ where e.g.

$$R_{I,II} = \Sigma[|I_i^I - I_i^{II}|] / \frac{1}{2}\Sigma[|I_i^I + I_i^{II}|]$$

with I_i^I being the square root of the intensity as obtained from the i th measurement. Σ denotes the summation over all reflections measured with non-zero intensity. From an analysis of the deviations between the observations of reflections that are in common in the series I, II and III, variances for individual reflections were calculated according to the semi-empirical formula:

$$\sigma_I^2 = \sigma_c^2 + a_1 + a_2 \cdot I^{\frac{1}{2}} + a_3 \cdot I + a_4 \cdot I^2 + a_5 \cdot \sin^2 \theta + a_6 \cdot \sin^4 \theta + a_7 \cdot I^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \sin^2 \theta + a_8 \cdot I \cdot \sin^4 \theta + a_9 \cdot I^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \sin^4 \theta + a_{10} \cdot I \cdot \sin^2 \theta$$

where σ_c^2 is the variance as obtained from counting statistics and where the constants a_i have the following values: -43.10^{-2} , -51.10^{-3} , 20.10^{-4} , -20.10^{-9} , -17.10^0 , 27.10^1 , 30.10^{-1} , 57.10^0 , 28.10^0 and 72.10^{-3} . (We do not attach a physical meaning to the individual values of these constants.)

For the solution and the refinement of the structure average intensity data were used. From the 1637 symmetry-independent reflections that had been measured above threshold, 50 reflections were excluded from the structure refinement because they showed differences between the three intensity measurements that exceeded 2.5σ .

Physical measurements

¹H NMR spectra of Au(dtc)(mnt) were recorded at various temperatures ranging from 20°C to

−60°C, in CDCl₃ solution using a Varian HA-100 spectrometer operating at 100 MHz.

UV-spectra were measured in methylene chloride solutions using a Unicam SP 700C spectrophotometer.

Structure determination and refinement

The positions of the gold and sulfur atoms were determined from a three-dimensional Patterson-synthesis. Three cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement of the positional parameters and isotropic temperature factors of these atoms resulted in a conventional R -factor of 0.135. From a gold and sulfur atom phased Fourier-synthesis all remaining non-hydrogen atoms were found. Least-squares refinement of all non-hydrogen atoms with anisotropic temperature factors reduced R to 0.050. A difference-Fourier-synthesis computed at this stage (using only terms for which $\sin \theta/\lambda < 0.30 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$) showed significant residual electron density (0.3 to $0.6 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$) in the neighbourhood of the carbon atoms of the butyl chains. Since the difference-synthesis did not allow accurate location of the hydrogen atoms, they were placed at calculated positions in such a way that the best fit with the difference-synthesis was obtained. Several more cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement with fixed hydrogen parameters reduced R to a final value of 0.047. (According to Hamilton's test,¹⁵ the improvement of the model after addition of the hydrogen atoms is highly significant). In the last refinement cycle (using 1587 reflections), changes in parameters did not exceed 0.2 e.s.d. The atomic scattering factors used in the least-squares refinement were those of Au⁺, S, C and H. The Au⁺ and S scattering curves were corrected for the anomalous scattering term $\Delta f'$. All pertinent data were taken from the International Tables for X-ray Crystallography.⁶

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crystal Structure of Au(dtc)(mnt)

The atomic parameters are given in Tables I and II. Bond distances and angles are presented in Figure 1. Projections of the structure along the b and c axes are given in Figures 2 and 3.

As is clearly shown in Figure 3, the structure consists of distinct layers of molecules perpendicular to the b -axis. Neighbouring layers are separated by van der Waals distances. Figure 2 illustrates the

pairwise packing of the molecules around inversion centres, within such layers. The shortest sulfur-sulfur contacts between one molecule and its neighbours are also shown in Figure 2 and tabulated in Table III. At least one of these contacts is short as compared with the normal S-S van der Waals distance of 3.70 Å, however, not so short that the Au(dtc)(mnt) structure can be interpreted as a clear case of 'inter-donor atom bonding'.^{12,13} Nevertheless the Au(dtc)(mnt) structure is interesting in view of this suggestion.

Within one molecule, the gold atom is in approximately square-planar coordination, however, the deviations from mm2 (C_{2v}) symmetry are considerable. The interligand S-Au-S angles differ by 4.1° (95.0° and 99.1°) and the central part of the molecule deviates significantly from planarity. The

dihedral angle between the weighted least-squares planes through the atoms of the dtc-ligand and the atoms of the mnt-ligand is 8.5°. Moreover, the gold atom is neither situated in one of these planes nor in the plane through the four sulfur atoms, but at distances of 0.084 Å, 0.102 Å and 0.035 Å from these planes.

Bond distances and angles within the dtc and mnt ligand of Au(dtc)(mnt) are essentially the same as those found in bis-(dtc) and bis-(mnt) complexes of gold.^{1,8,9,10} Some important dimensions of the 1,1-dithiolato cationic complex $Au(dtc)_2^+$, the 1,2-dithiolato anionic complex $Au(mnt)_2^-$ and the neutral 1,1-dithiolato-1,2-dithiolato complex Au(dtc)(mnt), are tabulated in Table IV. In view of the discussions about metal-sulfur π -bonding in 1,1- and 1,2-dithiolato complexes of transition

TABLE I

Final atomic parameters (with e.s.d.) for Au(dtc)(mnt)

The estimated standard deviations are those obtained from the least squares refinement. The expression used for the anisotropic temperature factor is: $\exp - (\beta_{11}h^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{33}l^2 + 2\beta_{12}hk + 2\beta_{13}hl + 2\beta_{23}kl)$

ATOM	X	Y	Z	β_{11}	β_{22}	β_{33}	β_{12}	β_{13}	β_{23}
Au	0.11650(4)	0.00400(2)	0.20826(6)	0.00502(3)	0.00074(1)	0.1097(8)	0.00011(2)	-0.00012(5)	-0.00028(3)
S(1)	0.2489(3)	0.0381(2)	0.1019(5)	0.0063(2)	0.0013(1)	0.0150(7)	-0.0001(1)	0.0014(4)	-0.0013(2)
S(2)	0.1575(3)	0.0643(1)	0.3637(5)	0.0070(3)	0.0009(1)	0.0132(7)	-0.0001(1)	0.0011(4)	-0.0003(2)
S(3)	0.0956(3)	-0.0494(1)	0.0284(5)	0.0060(3)	0.0012(1)	0.0144(7)	-0.0001(1)	0.0012(3)	-0.0011(2)
S(4)	-0.0159(3)	-0.0228(2)	0.3291(4)	0.0071(3)	0.0016(1)	0.0132(7)	-0.0007(1)	0.0013(3)	-0.0016(2)
N(1)	0.302(1)	0.114(1)	0.251(2)	0.005(1)	0.001(1)	0.016(3)	0.000(1)	-0.001(1)	0.001(1)
N(2)	-0.060(1)	-0.143(1)	-0.083(2)	0.011(1)	0.001(1)	0.015(3)	-0.001(1)	-0.001(1)	-0.001(1)
N(3)	-0.205(1)	-0.109(1)	0.278(2)	0.011(1)	0.004(1)	0.017(3)	-0.003(1)	0.002(2)	-0.002(1)
C(1)	0.245(1)	0.077(1)	0.245(2)	0.006(1)	0.001(1)	0.013(3)	0.000(1)	-0.003(1)	-0.000(1)
C(2)	0.380(1)	0.120(1)	0.142(2)	0.006(1)	0.002(1)	0.019(3)	-0.000(1)	0.002(2)	0.001(1)
C(3)	0.355(1)	0.155(1)	0.024(2)	0.010(2)	0.002(1)	0.015(3)	0.000(1)	0.001(2)	0.001(1)
C(4)	0.430(1)	0.157(1)	-0.088(3)	0.011(2)	0.002(1)	0.026(5)	-0.001(1)	0.008(2)	0.000(1)
C(5)	0.412(2)	0.191(1)	-0.205(3)	0.014(2)	0.005(1)	0.025(4)	-0.001(1)	0.009(3)	0.003(2)
C(6)	0.292(1)	0.147(1)	0.373(2)	0.007(1)	0.001(1)	0.010(2)	0.000(1)	-0.001(1)	-0.001(1)
C(7)	0.221(1)	0.185(1)	0.334(2)	0.008(1)	0.001(1)	0.015(3)	0.001(1)	-0.003(2)	-0.001(1)
C(8)	0.204(2)	0.217(1)	0.465(2)	0.013(2)	0.002(1)	0.019(4)	0.001(1)	-0.003(2)	-0.002(1)
C(9)	0.134(2)	0.253(1)	0.428(3)	0.017(3)	0.002(1)	0.032(6)	0.003(1)	-0.003(3)	-0.004(1)
C(10)	-0.007(1)	-0.075(1)	0.086(2)	0.006(1)	0.001(1)	0.009(2)	-0.000(1)	-0.000(1)	-0.001(1)
C(11)	-0.055(1)	-0.064(1)	0.210(2)	0.006(1)	0.001(1)	0.012(2)	-0.001(1)	0.000(2)	-0.000(1)
C(12)	-0.040(1)	-0.114(1)	-0.004(2)	0.007(1)	0.001(1)	0.010(3)	-0.000(1)	0.002(2)	0.000(1)
C(13)	-0.138(1)	-0.089(1)	0.248(2)	0.008(1)	0.002(1)	0.012(3)	-0.001(1)	0.002(1)	-0.001(1)

TABLE II
Hydrogen parameters

Unrefined results (see text). The first index of the numbering identifies the parent carbon atom. The isotropic temperature factors are the same as those of the parent carbon atom.

Atom	x	y	z	B ($\text{\AA}^2$)
H(21)	0.39	0.08	0.09	5.5
H(22)	0.45	0.13	0.19	5.5
H(31)	0.35	0.19	0.08	5.8
H(32)	0.29	0.15	-0.03	5.8
H(41)	0.50	0.17	-0.04	8.0
H(42)	0.44	0.12	-0.14	8.0
H(51)	0.46	0.19	-0.29	10.0
H(52)	0.41	0.23	-0.16	10.0
H(53)	0.34	0.19	-0.25	10.0
H(61)	0.36	0.61	0.40	4.5
H(62)	0.27	0.13	0.47	4.5
H(71)	0.25	0.21	0.24	5.9
H(72)	0.15	0.17	0.29	5.9
H(81)	0.27	0.23	0.50	7.0
H(82)	0.18	0.19	0.56	7.0
H(91)	0.13	0.28	0.50	10.0
H(92)	0.15	0.27	0.32	10.0
H(93)	0.06	0.23	0.41	10.0

TABLE III
Intermolecular sulfur-sulfur contacts in Au(dtc)(mnt)

Contact (see Fig. 2)	Distance ($\text{\AA}$)	Remarks
1	3.438(6)	distances between members of one pair (see text)
2	3.658(6)	
3	3.804(6)	distances between members of different pairs (see text)
4	3.814(6)	
5	3.915(6)	
	3.70	van der Waals contact

metals, it is interesting to compare the gold-sulfur bond distance in the 1,1-dithiolato unit Au(dtc)_2^+ with that in the 1,2-dithiolato unit Au(mnt)_2^- . In Au(dtc)_2^+ this bond length averages 2.333 $\text{\AA}$, whereas in Au(mnt)_2^- it is 2.309 $\text{\AA}$, yielding a difference of 0.024(7) $\text{\AA}$. It is significant that a similar difference occurs in the Au(dtc)(mnt) complex, in which the 1,1- and 1,2-dithiolato ligand

appear jointly and coordinated with the same gold atom. The Au-S distance in the dtc-moiety of this complex is 2.327 $\text{\AA}$, whereas in the mnt-moiety it is 2.294 $\text{\AA}$, so yielding a difference of 0.033(7) $\text{\AA}$. Differences between 1,1- and 1,2-dithiolato complexes similar to those reported above for gold, have also been observed for other transition metals (e.g. Ni^{11}).

Discussions concerning those differences in bond lengths are usually given in terms of the extent of metal-ligand pi-bonding. It has appeared¹¹ from several chemical, physical and X-ray investigations that as well in 1,1- as in 1,2-dithiolates in addition to metal-sulfur sigma-bonding, metal-sulfur pi-bonding may occur. The length of the metal-ligand bond has been considered to be indicative of the extent of metal-ligand pi-bonding. From this point of view it is concluded,¹¹ from the observed differences in metal-sulfur bond distances that metal-sulfur pi-bonding in dithiocarbamate (1,1-dithiolato) complexes is considerably less important than it is in maleonitriledithiolato (1,2-dithiolato) complexes. However, this explanation of the differences in metal-sulfur bond lengths between 1,1- and 1,2-dithiolates is questionable. In our opinion, it could be explained as well from steric effects. In dithiocarbamate complexes the intra-ligand S-S distance is typically about 2.80 $\text{\AA}$, whereas in the maleonitriledithiolato complexes this distance averages 3.05 $\text{\AA}$ in complexes of Ni and Cu, and 3.25 $\text{\AA}$ in complexes of Au. In the gold complexes the intra-ligand S-Au-S angle is about 75° for dithiocarbamates and about 90° for maleonitriledithiolates. These differences in S-Au-S bond angles and in intra-ligand S-S distances between the 1,1- and the 1,2-dithiolato systems might be reflective of the efficiency of the sigma overlap between the gold and sulfur atoms. Therefore the observed differences in metal-sulfur bond lengths between 1,1- and 1,2-dithiolates, in our opinion, are not such clear cut indicators for differences in metal-sulfur pi-bonding as is sometimes suggested.

Some differences in bond lengths of chemically equivalent bonds in the Au(dtc)(mnt) molecule (e.g. C(1)-S(1) and C(1)-S(2)), are not significant and therefore do not justify the conclusion that the individual ligands are asymmetrically coordinated to the gold atom.

Spectra

As mentioned above, the interligand S-Au-S angles differ by 4.1°. To investigate whether this irregular coordination of the gold atom by the dtc and mnt

ligand in $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})(\text{mnt})$ is caused by intermolecular forces peculiar to the crystalline state, or whether it also exists in solution, ^1H NMR spectra of the complex were recorded at various temperatures. No splitting of the N -alkyl proton resonances could be observed at temperatures as low as -60°C . From this result no definitive conclusion is possible, however, since the absence of splitting might also be due to an undetectably small difference in the magnetic environments of the N -alkyl groups.¹⁴

The ultraviolet spectrum of $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})(\text{mnt})$ is clearly different from that of the isomeric complex $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})_2^+ \text{Au}(\text{mnt})_2^-$ (Table V). The structure of the last compound in the solid state was proved to

consist of two gold(III)-containing complex ions, $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})_2^+$ and $\text{Au}(\text{mnt})_2^-$.¹ The spectral data show that the spectrum of this compound is best described as the sum-spectrum of the spectra of the compounds $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})_2\text{Br}$ and $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_4\text{N Au}(\text{mnt})_2$. This demonstrates that in solution the $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})_2^+ \text{Au}(\text{mnt})_2^-$ -complex is still ionic and shows no rearrangement reaction to $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})(\text{mnt})$. Such a rearrangement reaction is shown by the $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})_2^+ \text{AuBr}_4^-$ complex, that in solution rearranges to $\text{Br}_2\text{Au}(\text{dtc})$.⁹ Thus, the different stereochemical patterns found in the solid state for both the isomers with stoichiometric formula $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})(\text{mnt})$, are retained in solution.

FIGURE 1 Dimensions of $\text{Au}(\text{dtc})(\text{mnt})$, with estimated standard deviations

FIGURE 2 Projection of the structure of Au(dtc)(mnt) along the *b*-axis. Butyl chains and CN groups are omitted.TABLE IV
Bond distances and angles in Au(dtc)₂⁺, Au(mnt)₂⁻ and Au(dtc)(mnt)

Complex	Distances (Å)				Angles (°)	
	Au-S	S-C	C-N/C-C ^b	S-Au-S	Au-S-C	S-C-S/S-C-C ^b
Au(dtc) ₂ ⁺ ^a	2.333(7)	1.76(3)	1.30(3)	75.1(2)	87.8(6)	109...
Au(mnt) ₂ ⁻	2.309(4)	1.75(2)	1.35(2)	90.7(2)	101.1(6)	123.0(10)
dtc-lig.	2.327(5)	1.71(2)	1.34(2)	75.0(2)	86.5(5)	112.0(10)
Au(dtc)(mnt)						
mnt-lig.	2.294(5)	1.71(2)	1.36(2)	90.9(2)	100.5(5)	124.0(20)

All values are averages of symmetry-independent values.

^a Average values from references 1, 8, 9 and 10.^b C-N distance and S-C-S angle in dtc, and C-C distance and S-C-C angle in mnt.TABLE V
Electronic Spectra of Golddithiolato complexes in CH₂Cl₂ solutions

Au(dtc) ₂ Br		36.1(38,700)	31.6(42,700)	25.2(659)	21.5(100)	
(C ₄ H ₉) ₄ NAu(mnt) ₂	38.4(46,600)	34.5(29,000)		26.1(2900)	21.7(120)	13.4(55)
Au(dtc) ₂ Au(mnt) ₂	37.6(81,400)	36.1(70,000)	32.0(45,700)	26.0(3300)	21.7(350)	13.4(50)
Au(dtc)(mnt)	38.7(23,700)	33.8(52,600)		28.4(3600)	23.8(230)	15.5(40)

Band maxima in kK: parentheses indicate ε(lmole⁻¹cm⁻¹).

FIGURE 3 Projection of the structure of Au(dtc)(mnt) along the c -axis

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Dr. P. T. Beurskens for his co-operation in the structure analysis, and Prof. Dr. Ir. J. J. Steggerda for his interest in this work.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. J. H. Noordik and P. T. Beurskens, *J. Cryst. Mol. Structure* **1**, 339 (1971).
2. J. G. M. van der Linden, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, in the press.
3. J. G. M. van der Linden and H. G. J. van de Roer, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **5**, 254 (1971).
4. Recently the crystal structure determination of a mixed-ligand tris-complex, $\text{Fe}(\text{S}_2\text{CNet}_2)_2(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2(\text{CF}_3)_2)_2$ has been reported. D. L. Johnstone, W. L. Rohrbaugh and W. Dew. Horrocks, *Inorg. Chem.* **10**, 1474 (1971).
5. W. R. Busing and H. A. Levy, *Acta Cryst.* **10**, 180 (1957).
6. *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*, Vol. III, The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1962.
7. The weights used in the least-squares calculation of mean planes through groups of atoms are based upon the accuracy of the positional parameters.
8. P. T. Beurskens, H. J. A. Blaauw, J. A. Cras and J. J. Steggerda, *Inorg. Chem.* **7**, 805 (1968).
9. P. T. Beurskens, J. A. Cras and J. G. M. van der Linden, *Inorg. Chem.* **9**, 475 (1970).
10. J. A. Cras, J. H. Noordik, P. T. Beurskens and A. M. Verhoeven, *J. Cryst. Mol. Structure* **1**, 155 (1971).
11. R. Eisenberg, *Progress Inorg. Chem.* Vol. 12, 295 (1971).
12. R. Eisenberg, E. I. Stiefel, R. C. Rosenberg and H. B. Gray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **88**, 2874 (1966).
13. E. I. Stiefel, Z. Dori and H. B. Gray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **89**, 3353 (1967).
14. H. C. Brinkhoff and A. M. Grotens, *Rec. Trav. Chim.* **90**, 252 (1971).
15. W. C. Hamilton, *Acta Cryst.* **18**, 502 (1965).