The following full text is a preprint version which may differ from the publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.
http://hdl.handle.net/2066/169021

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2019-05-31 and may be subject to change.
Raman Active High Energy Excitations in URu$_2$Si$_2$

Jonathan Buhot$^{a,b}$, Yann Gallais$^a$, Maximilien Cazayous$^a$, Alain Sacuto$^a$, Przemysław Piekarz$^c$, Gérard Lapertot$^d$, Dai Aoki$^{d,e}$, Marie-Aude Méasson$^a$,*

$^a$Laboratoire Matériaux et Phénomènes Quantiques, UMR 7162 CNRS, Université Paris Diderot, Bât. Condorcet 75205 Paris Cedex 13, France.

$^b$High Field Magnet Laboratory, Institute for Molecules and Materials, Radboud University, Toernooiveld 7, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

$^c$Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 31-342 Kraków, Poland.

$^d$Université Grenoble Alpes, INAC-SPSMS, F-38000 Grenoble, France

CEA, INAC-SPSMS, F-38000 Grenoble, France.

$^e$Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Oarai, Ibaraki 311-1313, Japan.

Abstract

We have performed Raman scattering measurements on URu$_2$Si$_2$ single crystals on a large energy range up to $\sim 1300$ cm$^{-1}$ and in all the Raman active symmetries as a function of temperature down to 15 K. A large excitation, active only in the $E_g$ symmetry, is reported. It has been assigned to a crystal electric field excitation on the Uranium site. We discuss how this constrains the crystal electric field scheme of the Uranium ions. Furthermore, three excitations in the $A_{1g}$ symmetry are observed. They have been associated to double Raman phonon processes consistently with $ab$ initio calculations of the phonons dispersion.
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1. Introduction

Despite thirty years of intense experimental and theoretical research, the microscopic nature of the low temperature phase ($T < 17.5$ K) of the heavy fermion compound URu$_2$Si$_2$ remains unknown [1, 2]. This so-called Hidden Order (HO) phase emerges in the context of a strong mixing between 5f electrons of Uranium atoms and the conduction electrons, which makes its identification particularly difficult. Actually, the valence state of the Uranium ions, configurations $\text{U}^{3+}$ ($5f^3$ configuration) and $\text{U}^{4+}$ ($5f^2$ configuration) or intermediate, is not clearly established and valence fluctuations have been evoked [3, 4, 5]. Nevertheless many interesting theories have been proposed to explain the nature of HO, among which multipolar orders from quadrupolar to dotriacontapolar [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11], local currents [12, 13], unconventional density wave [14, 15] modulated spin liquid [16, 17], dynamical symmetry breaking [18] and hastatic
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order [19] for the most recent ones. Although many theories are based on and proposed crystal field schemes (at least the ground state level), all attempts to measure directly the crystal electric field (CEF) excitations in URu$_2$Si$_2$ in the paramagnetic state have failed. Only recently, a low energy excitation (1.7 meV) observed by Raman scattering spectroscopy [20, 21] has been suggested as related to a CEF excitation.

Raman spectroscopy is a powerful tool to address the CEF excitations [22, 23, 24], particularly thanks to the selection rules which provide symmetry dependence of each excitations. Here, using electronic Raman spectroscopy, in addition to the usual phonon modes [25], we report the existence of four high energy excitations in URu$_2$Si$_2$. Three of them, seen the $A_{1g}$ symmetry, are assigned to double phonon processes while a broader one, seen only in the $E_g$ symmetry, is associated to a crystal field excitation.

2. Methods

Polarized Raman scattering has been performed in quasi-backscattering geometry with the 532 nm line of a solid state laser. We have used a closed-cycle $^4$He cryostat with sample in high vacuum ($10^{-6}$ mbar). By comparing Stokes and anti-Stokes Raman spectra and via the evolution of phonon frequencies with incident laser power, we have estimated the laser heating of the samples at $+1$ K/mW. The scattered light has been filtered by RazorEdge filter and analyzed by a Jobin Yvon T64000 spectrometer in a simple grating configuration. All spectra are corrected by the Bose factor. URu$_2$Si$_2$ single crystals were grown by the Czochralski method using a tetra-arc furnace [26]. We have used the same samples, polished or freshly cleaved, as in our previous studies [27, 25, 20]. By combining different incident and scattered light polarizations and sample geometries, we have measured the $A_{1g}$, $A_{2g}$, $B_{1g}$, $B_{2g}$ and $E_g$ symmetries (Mulliken-Herzberg notation) of the D$_{4h}$ point group (space group n°139) [28] corresponding respectively to $\Gamma_1^+$, $\Gamma_2^+$, $\Gamma_3^+$, $\Gamma_4^+$ and $\Gamma_5^+$ in Bethe’s notation. For both notations, "g" (gerade) and "+" (even) designate the respect of the space inversion.

3. Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows Raman spectra of URu$_2$Si$_2$ up to 1250 cm$^{-1}$ at 220 K for different symmetries. The intense sharp peaks observed at $\sim 161$ cm$^{-1}$, $\sim 214$ cm$^{-1}$, $\sim 391$ cm$^{-1}$ and $\sim 433$ cm$^{-1}$ which correspond to the $B_{1g}$, $E_g(1)$, $E_g(2)$ and $A_{1g}$ phonon modes, respectively (see black arrows Fig. 1). Energies of all these phonon modes are consistent with our previous comprehensive study [27, 25]. In $[E_g]$ and $[A_{2g} + B_{1g}]$ symmetries, a weak leakage of the $A_{1g}$ phonon mode is seen due to a small crystal misalignment. Three new excitations in the $[A_{1g} + B_{2g}]$ symmetry and a broad excitation only in $[E_g]$ symmetry are reported (see respectively, red dot and straight blue arrows on the Figure 1). Very likely, the peaks in the $[A_{1g} + B_{2g}]$ symmetry correspond to double Raman
Figure 1: Raman susceptibility in a large energy range in $[E_g]$, $[A_{1g} + B_{2g}]$ and $[A_{2g} + B_{1g}]$ symmetries at 220 K. Black arrows point to the simple-process phonon modes in each symmetry. Four other peaks are observed: three in the $[A_{1g}]$ symmetry (red dotted arrow) assigned to double-process phonon modes, and one in the $[E_g]$ symmetry (blue straight arrow). It is attributed to a CEF excitation on the Uranium ions. The blue dashed line corresponds to a Lorentzian fit of this broad $E_g$ excitation.
Figure 2: a) Raman susceptibility in the $[A_{1g} + B_{2g}]$ symmetry at various temperatures. Spectra have been shifted for clarity. Inset: Raman spectrum in the $[B_{1g} + B_{2g}]$ symmetry. Simple phonon modes are denoted by black arrow. Red dot arrows point the three double phonon peaks which are of pure $A_{1g}$ symmetry. The grey areas indicate the energy range of the double phonon processes. b) Ab initio calculations of the phonon dispersion curves along $\Gamma Z$, $\Gamma \Sigma Z$ and $\Gamma X$ directions at 0 K [25]. The electronic structure was optimized including the spin-orbit coupling within the VASP program [29] and the phonon dispersion relations were obtained using the direct method with atomic displacements $u = 0.06 \text{ Å}$ [30]. The two $(\pm \vec{q})$ red arrows along the $[h,0,0]$ direction depict one possible Raman active double phonon process on the $E_g''$ branch. The energy range of the grey areas shown in a) is reported on the dispersion curves.
phonon processes with a pure $A_{1g}$ symmetry while the peak in $E_g$ symmetry originates from CEF excitation. The following sections describe further these assignments.

### 3.1. Double phonon processes in the $A_{1g}$ symmetry

Figure 2 a) presents large energy scale Raman spectra in the [$A_{1g} + B_{2g}$] symmetry for several temperatures down to 15 K. At 320 K, the three new broad peaks are seen respectively at 344, 758 and 829 cm$^{-1}$ with a linewidth of $\sim 30$ cm$^{-1}$ (See red dotted arrows in Fig. 2 a)). A slight hardening is observed with decreasing temperature. These new excitations are of pure $A_{1g}$ symmetry since they are not observed in the $B_{1g} + B_{2g}$ symmetry (See inset in Fig. 2 a)).

First, we rule out single phonon processes because all the Raman active phonon modes have been already observed and assigned. Most probably, they are signatures of double phonon processes. Indeed, their energy ranges are in good agreement with ab initio calculations of the phonon dispersion [25]. As shown in the Figure 2 b), phonon excitations due to a double process (with a total transferred wave-vector that must be zero, i.e. $\vec{Q} = \pm \vec{q} = 0$) can be Raman active along various directions (such as [h,0,0] shown in Fig 2 b), their energy ranges (grey areas) match the Raman shift of the three excitations. They can originate from pure or mixed double processes on the $B_{1g}$, $E_g$ or $A_{1g}$ branches.

### 3.2. Crystal electric field excitation in the $E_g$ symmetry

A very broad excitation (FWHM = $\sim 400$ cm$^{-1}$) is measured at $\sim 760$ cm$^{-1}$ at room temperature (See Fig 3). As mentioned previously, this broad excitation is observed only in the $E_g$ symmetry (See Fig1). Upon cooling the sample, the energy of this large excitation slightly increases up to $\sim 800$ cm$^{-1}$ at 15 K and its width decreases down to $\sim 190$ cm$^{-1}$. This $E_g$ excitation, much larger than the three other excitations seen in the $A_{1g}$ symmetry, is unlikely to be due to a double phonon process. We also rule out the possibility of it coming from a fluorescence effect. Indeed, the fluorescence process is independent of the orientation of the polarization of the incident light. This broad excitation vanishes when the orientation of the polarization of the incident light is modified.

For instance, we have observed the broad $E_g$ excitation in the $x(y,z)\bar{x}$ ($E_g$ probed) configuration[4] where x, y, z correspond to the crystallographic axis, whereas it disappears when the polarization of the incident light is turned in the $x(z,z)\bar{x}$ ($A_{1g}$ probed) configuration. An excitation at the same energy has been extracted by inelastic neutron scattering experiment by Park et al. [31] where ThRu$_2$Si$_2$ was used as a phonon background material. Indeed, authors report three excitations, one is on line with our observation with energy of 798±8 cm$^{-1}$ and FWHM = 290±16 cm$^{-1}$ at 22 K. They claimed this excitation to be a crystal electric field one. Consequently, the broad peak in the $E_g$ symmetry observed directly by Raman scattering is most probably a crystal electric field.

---

[4] Porto’s notation: $k_i(e_i, e_d)k_d$
(CEF) excitation \((\Gamma_i \rightarrow \Gamma_f\), where \(\Gamma_i\) has to be a low energy state) from localized \(5f\) electrons of Uranium ions. Measuring a CEF excitation with such large width is certainly inherent to the dual character localized/itinerant of the \(5f\) electrons.

Park et al. claim that their result is consistent with the CEF scheme proposed by Santini and Amoretti’s \([32]\), i.e., a transition \(\Gamma_3 \rightarrow \Gamma_4\) transition. However, the \(\Gamma_3 \rightarrow \Gamma_4\) transition is not Raman active in the \(E_g\) symmetry. We can rule out this CEF transition. Table 1 provides all Raman active transitions in the \(E_g\) symmetry both for an odd and an even number of electrons on the Uranium sites as well as the mapping of the different \(5f\) states of the Uranium ions. It appears that none of the ground states \((\Gamma_i = \Gamma_1\) to \(\Gamma_7\)) for the Uranium can be excluded. But once it is established, the final state \(\Gamma_f\) of the excitation is constrained in most cases. For example, with a \(5f^2\) configuration of the Uranium ions, if \(\Gamma_i = \Gamma_1\) then \(\Gamma_f = \Gamma_5\). We also note that, with a \(5f^2\) configuration, the state \(\Gamma_5\) is always involved in the excitation either as \(\Gamma_i\) or \(\Gamma_f\). In Table 1, all the other Raman active symmetries of the excitations (in addition to the \(E_g\) symmetry activity) are presented. Here, the selection rule for the CEF excitation are given in the \(D_{4h}\) symmetry which is the local point symmetry of the Uranium site at high temperature. However, upon entering the hidden order state below 17 K, a change of local point symmetry is hypothesized \([33]\). Then other point groups \((D_4, C_{4h}, D_{2d} \text{ and } D_{2h})\) must be considered.
Figure 3: a) Raman susceptibility in $[E_g]$ symmetry at various temperature showing a possible crystal field excitation. The spectra have been shifted vertically. Black curves at 300 K and 15 K are Lorentzian fits. The $E_g$ peak slightly shifts to high energy upon cooling down. b) Raman susceptibility in $[E_g]$ symmetry at 320 K, 31 K and 15 K. $\Delta_K$ denotes the energy of closure of the Kondo gap [34].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mapping of the irreducible representations</th>
<th>Simple irreducible representations (5f(^2) configuration for (U))</th>
<th>Double irreducible representations (5f(^1) or 5f(^3) configuration for (U))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Possible (E_g) CEF transitions</td>
<td>(\Gamma_5^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_1^+)</td>
<td>(\Gamma_5^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_6^+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\Gamma_5^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_2^+)</td>
<td>(\Gamma_5^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_7^+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\Gamma_5^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_3^+)</td>
<td>(\Gamma_5^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_7^+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\Gamma_5^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_4^+)</td>
<td>(\Gamma_5^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_7^+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional symmetries of the excitation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>(\Gamma_3^+, \Gamma_4^+, \Gamma_1^+, \Gamma_2^+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible (A_{2g}) CEF transitions</td>
<td>(\Gamma_1^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_2^+)</td>
<td>(\Gamma_1^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_1^+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\Gamma_3^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_4^+)</td>
<td>(\Gamma_3^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_7^+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\Gamma_5^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_5^+)</td>
<td>(\Gamma_5^+ \rightleftharpoons \Gamma_7^+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional symmetries of the excitation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>(\Gamma_1^+, \Gamma_3^+, \Gamma_4^+, \Gamma_5^+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: List of the CEF excitations localized on the Uranium atoms for even and odd number of 5f electrons configurations in the \(D_{4h}\) local point group symmetry as expected in URu\(_2\)Si\(_2\). Are given the excitations which are Raman active in the \(E_g\) symmetry (high energy excitation) and the \(A_{2g}\) symmetry (low energy excitation [20]). Some of these excitations are active in other symmetries [35].
At present, we cannot give more precise assignment to this high energy CEF transition but it clearly constrains the CEF scheme. The only other observation of a CEF excitation hypothetized so far in URu$_2$Si$_2$ is the low energy excitation recently reported in the $A_{2g}$ symmetry at 14 cm$^{-1}$ [20]. Table I presents all the CEF transitions compatible with this $A_{2g}$ symmetry. None of these transitions are excluded by our observation in the $E_g$ symmetry. Various CEF schemes are compatible with both observations. For instance, in the $5f^2$ configuration, the $A_{2g}$ excitation may arise from the transition $\Gamma_3 \rightarrow \Gamma_4$ while the $E_g$ excitation comes from the transition $\Gamma_3 \rightarrow \Gamma_5$ (see supplementary of [20]). In this case, no other Raman active symmetry are expected for both transitions in the $D_{4h}$ point group. The CEF scheme ($\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$ and first excited state = $\Gamma_1$) proposed by Haule et al. [8] is also compatible with our observations. Furthermore, we do not exclude other kind of multipolar orders [11].

The temperature dependence of the $E_g$ and $A_{2g}$ peaks differ strongly. Indeed, the width of the high energy one ($E_g$) is roughly constant down to the hidden order transition. Upon entering it below 17 K, the width decreases roughly by a factor 2 and the energy increases slightly by $\sim$ 25 cm$^{-1}$. However, the error on our fit of the $E_g$ excitation is larger at low temperature than at high temperature because the fitting range is reduced by the opening of the Kondo gap below $\sim$ 700 cm$^{-1}$ [24] (Cf. Fig. 3(b)) and the spectrum at 15 K which have been obtained with less laser power is noisier. On the contrary, the width of the low energy excitation ($A_{2g}$) diminishes linearly from 300K to 50 K (here the quasi-elastic $A_{2g}$ peak is interpreted as a precursor of the $A_{2g}$ narrow peak of the hidden order state) and it becomes inelastic and much narrower (from 40 cm$^{-1}$ at 50 K to 1 cm$^{-1}$ at 10 K) when entering the HO state [20]. Opening of a gap below $\sim$50 cm$^{-1}$ in the same $A_{2g}$ symmetry may participate to this dramatic change. Although we associate the $E_g$ high energy excitation to a simple CEF excitation, we leave other interpretations on the nature of low energy $A_{2g}$ one open, such as a mode originating from purely itinerant electrons.

4. Conclusion

We have investigated the high energy modes of URu$_2$Si$_2$ by Raman scattering. Four new peaks have been observed. Three of them, seen in the $A_{1g}$ symmetry between 300 and 900 cm$^{-1}$, have been assigned to double phonon processes consistently with the ab initio calculations of the phonon dispersion curves. A fourth broad peak observed only in the $E_g$ symmetry at $\sim$ 760 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to a electric field excitation. This observation constrains the crystal field scheme of the $5f$ electrons on the Uranium sites, while allowing for the possibility to consider various CEF scheme, notably ones which are consistent with the interpretation of the low energy $A_{2g}$ excitation as to be due to a CEF excitation.
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