SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF OPERATORS USING TRIDIAGONALISATION
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Abstract. A general scheme for tridiagonalising differential, difference or $q$-difference operators using orthogonal polynomials is described. From the tridiagonal form the spectral decomposition can be described in terms of the orthogonality measure of generally different orthogonal polynomials. Three examples are worked out: (1) related to Jacobi and Wilson polynomials for a second order differential operator, (2) related to little $q$-Jacobi polynomials and Askey-Wilson polynomials for a bounded second order $q$-difference operator, (3) related to little $q$-Jacobi polynomials for an unbounded second order $q$-difference operator. In case (1) a link with the Jacobi function transform is established, for which we give a $q$-analogue using example (2).

1. Introduction

Bochner’s 1929 theorem classifies polynomials which are eigenfunctions to a second order differential operator, see e.g. [12] where also a historical discussion and extensions to other operators can be found. Instead of looking for differential operators diagonalised by polynomials, we can also look for differential operators which are tridiagonalised by polynomials. Naturally, there is no need to restrict to differential operators, and we can also consider difference and $q$-difference operators. Neither is there a need to restrict to a differential or difference operator of second-order. In [13] we have discussed a general framework as well as the examples of the Schrödinger operator with Morse potential and the Lamé equation. In this paper we give a general approach for finding self-adjoint differential (or difference) operators which are tridiagonal in a suitable basis of orthogonal polynomials. Since the spectral decomposition of tridiagonal operators can be expressed completely in terms of, in general different, orthogonal polynomials, we obtain the spectral decomposition of the differential or difference operator involved.

In Section 2 we sketch the general framework, and we specialise to the case where the basis in which the operator is tridiagonal is given by orthogonal polynomials. Since we start with orthogonal polynomials which are eigenfunctions to an explicit differential or difference operator, it is natural to start from the families in the ($q$-)Askey scheme. In Section 3 we work out the case of the Jacobi polynomials, which yields an operator which is, after a change of variable, for a special choice the differential operator for the Jacobi functions. Its spectrum can be given explicitly since the tridiagonal operator corresponds to Wilson polynomials. In Section 4 we discuss a $q$-analogue of this situation involving the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials. However, due to lack of symmetry in the $q$-case we have to consider two cases. It turns out that one case can be solved within the $q$-Askey scheme using Askey-Wilson polynomials,
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whereas the other case leads to orthogonal polynomials not in the \(q\)-Askey scheme. In Section 3 we link to a result \[17\] (3.3) by Koornwinder which states that Jacobi polynomials can be mapped to Wilson polynomials by the Jacobi function transform, and we give a \(q\)-analogue of this result in Section 4.1. Koornwinder’s result is predated by a result by Broad \[5\], which the limit case of the Whittaker transform mapping Laguerre polynomials to Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials, and is hugely extended by Groenevelt \[10\] to the top of the Askey-scheme by showing that the Wilson transform maps Wilson polynomials to Wilson polynomials.

Starting within the Askey-scheme and requiring that the tridiagonalisation can be solved within the Askey-scheme leaves only the cases of the Jacobi and Laguerre polynomials. As stated, the first case is discussed in Section 3 whereas the second is a similar calculation which can also be obtained using limit transitions from the results in Section 3. The other cases in the Askey-scheme to which this procedure can be applied are the Meixner, continuous Hahn, continuous dual Hahn and Wilson polynomials. The orthogonal polynomials associated to the tridiagonalisation are no longer in the Askey-scheme, but these are orthogonal polynomials associated to birth and death processes which is a general phenomenon. A further study of these examples is desirable, and may lead to a better understanding of this procedure. A similar remark applies to the orthogonal polynomials in the \(q\)-Askey scheme, see also Section 5.

The tridiagonalisation method is also known as the \(J\)-matrix method. Tridiagonalisation for different operators in all kinds of bases is a much employed method in many physical problems, see e.g. \[2\], \[11\], \[21\], and references in \[13\]. We hope that the more general approach given here widens the class of operators to which the tridiagonalisation method applies. In Section 5 we discuss briefly the possible extension of this method to an even larger class of operators.

2. General framework

In this section we introduce the general set-up for tridiagonalising certain differential or difference operators. In Section 2.1 we give the general framework which is specialised to the case of orthogonal polynomials in Section 2.2

2.1. General framework. Let \(\mu\) and \(\nu\) be positive Borel measures on the real line \(\mathbb{R}\) so that \(\nu\) is absolutely continuous with respect to \(\mu\). Let \(\delta = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}\) be the Radon-Nikodym derivative, so \(\delta \geq 0\). Let \(\mathcal{D}\) be a function space that is dense in both \(L^2(\mu)\) and \(L^2(\nu)\) with respect to the respective topologies.

We assume that we have an operator \(L : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}\) operator such that \((L, \mathcal{D})\) is symmetric in \(L^2(\mu)\). Moreover, we assume the existence of an orthonormal basis \((\Phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) of \(L^2(\mu)\) of eigenfunctions of \(L\), so \(\Phi_n \in \mathcal{D}\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(L\Phi_n = \Lambda_n \Phi_n, \Lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}\). Then \((L, \mathcal{D})\) is essentially self-adjoint.

Next we assume that there is an orthonormal basis \((\phi_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}\) of \(L^2(\nu)\) such that \(\phi_n \in \mathcal{D}\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and that

\[
\phi_n = A_n \Phi_n + B_n \Phi_{n-1}, \quad A_n, B_n \in \mathbb{R}
\]

(with the convention \(B_0 = 0\)). Finally we assume that multiplication by the reciprocal of the Radon-Nikodym derivative preserves the space \(\mathcal{D}\), so \(M : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}, Mf = \delta^{-1}f\).
The object of study is the operator \( T = ML : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D} \) as an operator on \( L^2(\nu) \). Note
\[
\langle T\phi_n, \phi_m \rangle_{L^2(\nu)} = \langle A_n ML\Phi_n + B_n ML\Phi_{n-1}, A_m \Phi_m + B_m \Phi_{m-1} \rangle_{L^2(\nu)}
\]
\[
= \langle A_n \Lambda_n \Phi_n + B_n \Lambda_n \Phi_{n-1}, A_m \Phi_m + B_m \Phi_{m-1} \rangle_{L^2(\nu)}
\]
\[
= \Lambda_n A_n B_{n+1} \delta_{n+1,m} + (A_n^2 \Lambda_n + B_n^2 \Lambda_{n-1}) \delta_{n,m} + \Lambda_{n-1} A_n B_n \delta_{n,m+1}
\]
(2.2)

In particular, \((T, \mathcal{D})\) is a Jacobi operator on the Hilbert space \(L^2(\nu)\):
\[
T\phi_n = a_n \phi_{n+1} + b_n \phi_n + a_{n-1} \phi_{n-1},
\]
\[
a_n = \Lambda_n A_n B_{n+1}, \quad b_n = \Lambda_n A_n^2 + \Lambda_{n-1} B_n^2,
\]
where the convention \(B_0 = 0\) gives \(a_{-1} = 0\). Since \(a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{R}\) and \((\phi_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}\) is an orthonormal basis of \(L^2(\nu)\) it follows that \(T\) with domain \(D(T)\) finite linear combinations of the orthonormal basis \((\phi_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}\) is a symmetric densely defined operator to which standard techniques of tridiagonal (or Jacobi) operators can be applied, see e.g. \([1, 4, 7, 13, 14, 19]\) and references given there. We assume \(D(T) = \mathcal{D}\) so that we can obtain the spectral decomposition of suitable self-adjoint extensions of \((T, \mathcal{D})\). We assume that \((T, \mathcal{D})\) is essentially self-adjoint. In general the spectral decomposition of the closure of \((T, \mathcal{D})\) depends on the possible vanishing of the coefficients \(a_n\) and on the growth behaviour of the sequences \((a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}\), see e.g. \([13\text{ Thm. 2.7}]\).

Remark 2.1. Note that we can trivially change \(L\) by adding a suitable real constant, say \(L' = L + \gamma\), and we change \(\Lambda_n\) to \(\Lambda'_n = \Lambda_n + \gamma\). The operator in general changes by adding a multiplication operator \(T' = T + \gamma M\). By comparing the coefficients \(a'_n = a_n + \gamma \Lambda_n B_{n+1}, \quad b'_n = b_n + \gamma (A_n^2 + B_n^2)\) we find the three-term recurrence
\[
M \phi_n = A_n B_{n+1} \phi_{n+1} + (A_n^2 + B_n^2) \phi_n + A_{n-1} B_n \phi_{n-1}.
\]

The additional freedom is useful, see Sections \([3\text{ and 4}]\).

2.2. Specialising to orthogonal polynomials. The examples we consider are based on orthogonal polynomials. Let \(\mu\) and \(\nu\) be orthogonality measures for orthogonal polynomials;
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_n(x) P_m(x) \, d\mu(x) = H_n \delta_{n,m}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_n(x) p_m(x) \, d\nu(x) = h_n \delta_{n,m}.
\]
(2.4)

We assume that both \(\mu\) and \(\nu\) correspond to a determinate moment problem, so that the space \(\mathcal{P}\) of polynomials is dense in \(L^2(\mu)\) and \(L^2(\nu)\). We also assume that \(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)r(x) \, d\nu(x)\), where \(r\) is a polynomial of degree 1, so that the Radon-Nikodym derivative \(\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} = \delta = 1/r\). Then we obtain, using \(\text{lc}(p)\) for the leading coefficient of a polynomial \(p\),
\[
p_n = \frac{\text{lc}(p_n)}{\text{lc}(P_n)} P_n + \frac{\text{lc}(r)}{H_n} \frac{h_n}{H_{n-1}} \frac{\text{lc}(P_{n-1})}{\text{lc}(p_n)} P_{n-1}
\]
(2.5)

and by taking \(\phi_n\), respectively \(\Phi_n\), the corresponding orthonormal polynomials to \(p_n\), respectively \(P_n\), we see that (2.1) specialises to
\[
\phi_n = A_n \Phi_n + B_n \Phi_{n-1}, \quad A_n = \frac{\text{lc}(p_n)}{\text{lc}(P_n)} \sqrt{H_n \over h_n}, \quad B_n = \text{lc}(r) \sqrt{h_n \over H_{n-1}} \frac{\text{lc}(P_{n-1})}{\text{lc}(p_n)}.
\]
(2.6)
We assume the existence of a symmetric self-adjoint operator $L$ with domain $D = \mathcal{P}$ on $L^2(\mu)$ with $LP_n = \Lambda_n P_n$, and so $L\Phi_n = \Lambda_n \Phi_n$, for eigenvalues $\Lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $(L, \mathcal{P})$ is an essentially self-adjoint operator, since $\mathcal{P}$ is dense in $L^2(\mu)$, as assumed in Section 2.1. By convention $\Lambda_{-1} = 0$. So this means that $(P_n)_n$ satisfies a bispectrality property, and we can take the family $(P_n)_n$ from the Askey scheme or its $q$-analogue.

Considering the operator $T = rL$ with domain $D = \mathcal{P}$ on $L^2(\nu)$ shows that the coefficients in (2.3) are given by

$$ a_n = \Lambda_n \text{lc}(r) \frac{\text{lc}(p_n)}{\text{lc}(p_{n+1})} \sqrt{\frac{h_{n+1}}{h_n}}, \quad b_n = \Lambda_n \frac{H_n}{h_n} \left( \frac{\text{lc}(p_n)}{\text{lc}(p_n)} \right)^2 + \Lambda_{n-1} \text{lc}(r) \frac{h_n}{H_{n-1}} \left( \frac{\text{lc}(p_{n-1})}{\text{lc}(p_n)} \right)^2 $$

By switching from $L$ and $T$ to $L^\gamma$ and $T^\gamma$, see Remark 2.1, for a suitable constant $\gamma$ we can assume that $\Lambda_n^\gamma \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$ T^\gamma \phi_n = a_n^\gamma \phi_n + b_n^\gamma \phi_n + a_{n-1}^\gamma \phi_{n-1}, \quad (2.7) $$

and $a_n^\gamma \neq 0$ for $n \geq 0$, and $a_{-1}^\gamma = 0$. So we need to solve for the orthonormal polynomials $r_n(\lambda)$ satisfying

$$ \lambda r_n(\lambda) = a_n^\gamma r_n(\lambda) + b_n^\gamma r_n(\lambda) + a_{n-1}^\gamma r_{n-1}(\lambda), \quad (2.8) $$

We assume that the corresponding Jacobi operator is essentially self-adjoint (or equivalently that the orthogonal polynomials $\{r_n\}$ correspond to a determinate moment problem). Thus we have a positive Borel measure $\rho$ so that

$$ \int_\mathbb{R} r_n(\lambda) r_m(\lambda) \, d\rho(\lambda) = \delta_{n,m}. \quad (2.9) $$

In particular, this implies that we assume $T$ with domain $\mathcal{P}$ essentially self-adjoint.

**Remark 2.2.** Polynomials associated to a birth and death process with birth-rate $\lambda_n$ and death-rate $\mu_n$ are generated by

$$ -xF_n(x) = \lambda_n F_{n+1}(x) - (\lambda_n + \mu_n) F_n(x) + \mu_n F_{n-1}(x), \quad F_0(x) = 1, \quad F_1(x) = (\lambda_0 + \mu_0 - x)/\lambda_0. $$

with $\lambda_n > 0$ for all $n$ and $\mu_n > 0$ for $n \geq 1$, $\mu_0 \geq 0$, see [12, Ch. 5]. Apart from sign issues the recursion (2.7) corresponds to a birth and death process with birth-rate $\lambda_n = |\Lambda_n^\gamma| \frac{H_n}{h_n} \left( \frac{\text{lc}(p_n)}{\text{lc}(p_n)} \right)^2$ and death-rate $\mu_n = |\Lambda_{n-1}^\gamma| \left( |\text{lc}(r)| \right) \frac{h_n}{H_{n-1}} \left( \frac{\text{lc}(p_{n-1})}{\text{lc}(p_n)} \right)^2$ for $n > 0$, $\mu_0 = 0$. Assuming that the signs of $a_n^\gamma$ and $\Lambda_n^\gamma$ are independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, this allows us to draw conclusions on the support of the spectrum of $T^\gamma$, i.e. the orthogonality measure of the polynomials $r_n$, see [12, Ch. 5, 7]. In this case, let $\varepsilon = \text{sgn}(a_n^\gamma)$, $\eta = \text{sgn}(\Lambda_n^\gamma)$. In particular, the spectrum of $T$ is contained in $[0, \infty)$ if $(\varepsilon, \eta) = (1, 1)$ or $(1, 1)$ and the spectrum of $T$ is contained in $(-\infty, 0]$ if $(\varepsilon, \eta) = (1, -1)$ or $(-1, -1)$. In the examples $\Lambda_n^\gamma$ is bounded from above or from below, so that it is possible to find a $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ so that all eigenvalues $\Lambda_n^\gamma$ have the same sign. In the examples we see that the condition on $a_n^\gamma$ is also valid.

Defining $U : L^2(\nu) \to L^2(\rho)$, $U : \phi_n \mapsto r_n$, gives a unitary operator satisfying $UT = U^* M$, where $M$ is the multiplication (by $\lambda$) operator on $L^2(\rho)$. It follows that the spectrum of $T$ equals $\sigma(T) = \text{supp}(\rho)$, and the spectrum is simple.
It follows that
\[ F(x; \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n(\lambda) \phi_n(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n(\lambda) \frac{p_n(x)}{\sqrt{h_n}} \] (2.10)
is a formal eigenvector for the eigenvalue \( \lambda \) for \( T \). The series in (2.10) converges in \( L^2(\nu) \) for \( \lambda \) a discrete mass point of \( \rho \) and diverges for \( \lambda \) in the continuous part of \( \rho \). In that case the series, which is a non-symmetric Poisson kernel,
\[ F_1(x; \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n r_n(\lambda) \frac{p_{n+1}(x)}{\sqrt{h_{n+1}}} \] (2.11)
converges for \( |t| < 1 \).

3. Jacobi polynomials

We work out the programme of Section 2.2 for the case of the Jacobi polynomials and the related hypergeometric differential operator.

For the Jacobi polynomials \( P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \), we follow the standard notation [3], [12], [15]. We take the measures \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) to be the orthogonality measures for the Jacobi polynomials for parameters \((\alpha+1, \beta)\), and \((\alpha, \beta)\) respectively. We assume \( \alpha, \beta > -1 \). So we set \( P_n(x) = P_n^{(\alpha+1,\beta)}(x), p_n(x) = P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \). This gives
\[ h_n = N_n(\alpha) = \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}}{2n+\alpha+\beta+1} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)n!}, \quad H_n = N_n(\alpha+1), \]
\[ \text{lc}(p_n) = l_n(\alpha) = \frac{(n+\alpha+\beta+1)n}{2n!}, \quad \text{lc}(P_n) = l_n(\alpha+1). \]
Moreover, \( r(x) = 1 - x \). Note that we could have also shifted in \( \beta \), but due to the symmetry \( P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = (-1)^n P_n^{(\beta,\alpha)}(-x) \) of the Jacobi polynomials in \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) it suffices to consider the shift in \( \alpha \) only.

The Jacobi polynomials are eigenfunctions of
\[ Lf(x) = (1-x^2)f''(x) + (\beta - \alpha - 1 - (\alpha + \beta + 3)x)f'(x), \]
\[ LP_n^{(\alpha+1,\beta)} = -n(n+\alpha+\beta+2) P_n^{(\alpha+1,\beta)} \]
so that \( \Lambda_n = -n(n+\alpha+\beta+2) \). We set \( \gamma = -(\alpha + \delta + 1)(\beta - \delta + 1) \), so that \( \Lambda_n^\gamma = -(n+\alpha+\delta+1)(n+\beta-\delta+1) \). Hence, we study on \( L^2([-1,1], (1-x)\alpha(1+x)^\beta \, dx) \) the operator \((1-x)(L+\gamma)\) which is
\[ T^\gamma = (1-x)(1-x^2) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (1-x)(\beta - \alpha - 1 - (\alpha + \beta + 3)x) \frac{d}{dx} - (1-x)(\alpha + \delta + 1)(\beta - \delta + 1). \] (3.1)
Applying the results of Section 2.2 gives the explicit expression for the recursion coefficients
\[
a_n^\gamma = \frac{2(n + \alpha + \delta + 1)(n + \beta - \delta + 1)}{2n + \alpha + \beta + 2}\sqrt{(n + 1)(n + \alpha + 1)(n + \beta + 1)(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)/(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)(2n + \alpha + \beta + 3)}
\]
\[
b_n^\gamma = -\frac{2(n + \alpha + \delta + 1)(n + \beta - \delta + 1)(n + \alpha + 1)(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)(2n + \alpha + \beta + 2)}
- \frac{2n(n + \beta)(n + \alpha + \delta + 1)(n + \beta - \delta)}{(2n + \alpha + \beta)(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)}.
\]
Then the recursion relation (2.7) for \(\frac{1}{2}T^\gamma\) is solved by the orthonormal version of the Wilson polynomials
\[
W_n(\mu^2; \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha) + \delta, \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha) + \beta - \delta, \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha)),
\]
see [15], where the relation between the eigenvalue \(\lambda\) of \(T\) and \(\mu^2\) is given by \(\lambda = -2\left(\frac{\alpha + 1}{2}\right)^2 - 2\mu^2\).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \(\alpha > -1, \beta > -1,\) and assume \(\gamma = (\alpha + \delta + 1)(\beta - \delta + 1) \in \mathbb{R}\). The unbounded operator \((T^\gamma, \mathcal{P})\) defined by (3.1) on \(L^2([-1, 1], (1 - x)^{\alpha}(1 + x)^{\beta} dx)\) with domain the polynomials \(\mathcal{P}\) is essentially self-adjoint. The spectrum of the closure \(T^\gamma\) is simple and given by
\[
(-\infty, -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 1)^2) \cup \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 1)^2 + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha) + \delta + k\right)^2 : k \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha) + \delta + k < 0\right\}
\]
\[
\cup \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 1)^2 + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha) + \beta - \delta + l\right)^2 : l \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha) + \beta - \delta + l < 0\right\}
\]
where the first set gives the absolutely continuous spectrum and the other sets correspond to the discrete spectrum of the closure of \(T^\gamma\). The discrete spectrum is either empty or at most one of these sets is non-empty.

**Remark 3.2.** Note that in Theorem 3.1 \(\delta \in \mathbb{R}\) or \(\Re\delta = \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \alpha)\). In the second case there is no discrete spectrum.

The eigenvalue equation \(T^\gamma f_\lambda = \lambda f_\lambda\) is a second-order differential operator with regular singularities at \(-1, 1, \infty\). In the Riemann-Papperitz notation it is
\[
\mathcal{P}\left\{
\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 1 & \infty \\
0 & -\frac{i}{2}(1 + \alpha) + i\tilde{\lambda} & \alpha + \delta + 1 \\
-\beta & -\frac{i}{2}(1 + \alpha) + i\tilde{\lambda} & \beta - \delta + 1
\end{array}
\right.
\]
with the reparametrisation \(\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 1)^2 - 2\tilde{\lambda}^2\) of the spectral parameter. In case \(\gamma = 0\), i.e. \(\delta = -\alpha - 1\) or \(\delta = 1 + \beta\), we set \(\delta = 1 + \beta\), and we put \(T = T^0, a_n^0 = a_n, b_n^0 = 0\). Note that we have degenerate case, since \(a_0 = 0\) as well as \(b_0 = 0\) and \(a_n \neq 0\) for \(n \geq 1\). It follows that \(T\phi_0 = b_0\phi_0 = 0\), and we have to study the recurrence relation (2.7) on \((\mathcal{C}\phi_0)^1\), i.e. we start at \(n = 1\) instead of at \(n = 0\). The recurrence relation (2.7) starting from \(n = 1\) can also be solved similarly in terms of Wilson polynomials, namely by the orthonormal version of
\[
W_n(\mu^2; \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha), \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha), \frac{1}{2}(3 + \alpha), \beta + \frac{1}{2}(3 + \alpha)),
\]
where the relation between the eigenvalue \( \lambda \) of \( T \) and \( \mu^2 \) is given by \( \lambda = -2 \left( \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \right)^2 - 2\mu^2 \) as in the derivation of Theorem 3.1

**Proposition 3.3.** The operator \((T, \mathcal{P})\) defined by (3.1) with \( \gamma = 0 \) with domain the polynomials \( \mathcal{P} \subset L^2([-1, 1], (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta \, dx) \) is essentially self-adjoint. The spectrum of the closure \( \bar{T} \) is simple and given by

\[
(-\infty, -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 1)^2) \cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 1)^2 + 2\left( \frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha) + k \right)^2 : k \in \mathbb{N}, \ \frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha) + k < 0 \right\} \cup \{0\}
\]

where the first set gives the absolutely continuous spectrum and the other sets correspond to the discrete spectrum of the closure of \( T \).

**Remark 3.4.** Note that putting \( \delta = 1 + \beta \) (or \( \delta = -1 - \alpha \)) in the result of Theorem 3.1 precisely gives back the statement of Proposition 3.3. The spectral decomposition \( U \) is now defined on \((\mathbb{C} \phi_0)^k\) by \( \phi_{n+1} \mapsto r_n \), where the \( r_n \) are the orthonormal Wilson polynomials with parameters \( \frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha), \frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha), \frac{1}{2}(3+\alpha), \beta + \frac{1}{2}(3+\alpha) \).

The eigenvalue equation \( T f_\lambda = \lambda f_\lambda \) is a second-order differential operator with regular singularities at \(-1, 1\) and \( \infty \), hence can be solved in terms of hypergeometric functions. Changing variables \( x = 1 - 2 \cosh^{-2} t \) transforms \( 2T \) in the second-order differential operator

\[
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \left( (2\beta + 1) \coth t - (2\alpha + 2\beta + 3) \tanh t \right) \frac{d}{dt}
\]

on \( L^2((0, \infty), 2^{\alpha+\beta+2}(\sinh t)^{2\beta+1}(\cosh t)^{-2\alpha-2\beta-3} \, dt) \). This is the Jacobi differential operator as in [16], where \((\alpha, \beta)\) of [16] correspond to \((\beta, -\alpha - \beta - 2)\). Proposition 3.3 provides an alternative proof of the simplicity and location of the spectrum of the Jacobi differential operator, see [16], Thms. 2.3, 2.4, but it does not give the Jacobi functions as eigenfunctions.

Having established the link of \( T \) to the Jacobi differential operator, we know that the Jacobi function transform gives the spectral decomposition. In particular, applying the integral transformation

\[
\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \phi_\lambda^{(\beta, -\alpha - \beta - 2)}(t) f(t)(2 \sinh t)^{2\beta+1}(2 \cosh t)^{-2\alpha-2\beta-3} \, dt
\]

for \( f \in L^2((0, \infty), 2 \sinh t)^{2\beta+1}(2 \cosh t)^{-2\alpha-2\beta-3} \, dt) \) see [16], [17] for details, to (2.7) starting from \( n = 1 \) shows that the Jacobi function transform of \( t \mapsto \phi_{n+1}(1 - \cosh^{-2} t) \) satisfies the same recurrence relation as the orthonormal Wilson polynomials corresponding to Remark 3.3. Since the solution to the recurrence relation is completely determined by its starting value we obtain

\[
\int_0^\infty \phi_\lambda^{(\beta, -\alpha - \beta - 2)}(t) P_{n+1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1 - 2 \cosh^{-2} t) (2 \sinh t)^{2\beta+1}(2 \cosh t)^{-2\alpha-2\beta-3} \, dt =
\]

\[
\sqrt{\frac{h_{n+1} - S_n}{h_1 S_n}} W_n \left( \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \left( 1 + \alpha \right), \frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha), \frac{1}{2}(3+\alpha), \beta + \frac{1}{2}(3+\alpha) \right)
\]

\[
\times \int_0^\infty \phi_\lambda^{(\beta, -\alpha - \beta - 2)}(t) P_1^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1 - 2 \cosh^{-2} t) (2 \sinh t)^{2\beta+1}(2 \cosh t)^{-2\alpha-2\beta-3} \, dt,
\]

where \( S_n \) is the squared norm of the Wilson polynomials. However, this is a special case of a result by Koornwinder [16, §9], [17, (3.3)] mapping Jacobi polynomials to Wilson polynomials.
In our case there is a drop in the degree of the polynomial, which does not occur in [17 (3.3)]. Applying [17 (3.3)] to the integral on the left hand side gives a Wilson polynomial of degree $n+1$ with parameters $(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1), -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1), -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1), \beta + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+3))$. Since two of the parameters add up to zero the first term of the hypergeometric series vanishes and the polynomial can be written as a linear term times a Wilson polynomial of degree $n$. This can also be viewed as a special case of the so-called ‘Diophantine’ properties introduced by Calogero et al., see [6 (3.10)] and references given there.

**Remark 3.5.** The Whittaker (confluent hypergeometric) differential operator can be obtained as a limit case of the Jacobi differential operator, see [17]. The operator arises from this approach if we start with Laguerre polynomials instead of Jacobi polynomials.

### 4. Little $q$-Jacobi Polynomials

Next we work out the programme of Section 2.2 for the case of the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials as a $q$-analogue of Section 3.

We follow the notation of [15]. For $0 < a < q^{-1}$, $b < q^{-1}$ we define the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials by

$$p_n(x; a, b; q) = 2\varphi_1\left(q^{-n}, abq^{n+1}; aq, qx\right).$$

The little $q$-Jacobi polynomials are orthogonal with respect to a discrete measure on $q^N$;

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_k(a, b; q)p_n(q^k; a, b; q)p_m(q^k; a, b; q) = \delta_{n,m}h_n(a, b; q),$$

where

$$w_k(a, b; q) = \frac{(qb; q)_k}{(q; q)_k}(aq)^k, \quad h_n(a, b; q) = \frac{(aq^2; q)_\infty}{(aq; q)_\infty}(1 - abq)(aq)^n(q, qb; q)_n(1 - abq^{2n+1})^{-1}(qa, qab; q)_n$$

(4.1)

The leading coefficient is given by

$$\text{l.c.}(p_n(\cdot; a, b; q)) = (-1)^nq^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}(abq^{n+1}; q)_n(qa; q)_n.$$

Defining the second-order $q$-difference operator

$$(L^{(a, b)} f)(x) = \frac{B(x)}{x}(f(qx) - f(x)) + \frac{D(x)}{x}(f(q^{-1}x) - f(x)),$$

$$B(x) = a(bqx - 1), \quad D(x) = x - 1$$

we have

$$L^{(a, b)}p_n(\cdot; a, b; q) = \Lambda_n(a, b; q)p_n(\cdot; a, b; q), \quad \Lambda_n(a, b; q) = q^{-n}(1 - q^n)(1 - abq^{n+1}).$$


In the notation of Section 2.2 we take $P_n(x) = p_n(x; aq, b; q)$, $H_n = h_n(aq, b; q)$, $p_n(x) = p_n(x; a, b; q)$, $h_n = h_n(a, b; q)$. We assume $0 < a < q^{-1}$ and $b < q^{-1}$. Then $\mu$ and $\nu$ are discrete measures and $\mu(\{q^k\}) = w_k(aq, b; q) = q^{-k}w_k(a, b; q) = q^k\nu(\{q^k\})$, so that $r(x) = x$. Also $L = L^{(aq, b)}$, $\Lambda_n = \Lambda_n(aq, b; q)$ and $T^\gamma$ is given as a second order difference operator on $L^2(\nu)$ by

$$(T^\gamma f)(x) = aq(bqx - 1)(f(qx) - f(x)) + (x - 1)(f(q^{-1}x) - f(x)) + \gamma xf(x).$$

(4.2)
Theorem 4.1. Consider the operator $T^\gamma$ defined by (4.2) with $\gamma = (1 + abq^2) - (acq + bq/c) \in \mathbb{R}$ and with the assumption $\Lambda^*_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $T^\gamma$ acting on $L^2(\nu)$ with $\nu$ the discrete orthogonality measure (4.1) for the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials with $0 < qa < 1$, $b < q^{-1}$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator with simple spectrum at

\[
[\sqrt{aq}, c\sqrt{aq}, \sqrt{T/q}, a\sqrt{aq}].
\]

Theorem 4.1 is a $q$-analogue of Theorem 3.1. However in Theorem 4.1 we can have that the discrete spectrum can be empty, consist of one of these sets or consists of both sets. The degenerate case $\gamma = 0$ or $c = 1/qa$ can be considered as a $q$-analogue of the Jacobi differential operator, cf. Proposition 3.3.
We can also obtain the spectral decomposition of $T$ acting on $L^2(\nu)$ in a direct way. Suppose $y_\lambda : q^\mathbb{N}\to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $T^\gamma y_\lambda = \lambda y_\lambda$. Put $y_\lambda(q^k) = \frac{p_k(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\nu_k(a,b;q)}}$, then being an eigenfunction of $T$ is equivalent to
\[
\left(\frac{aq + 1 - \lambda}{\sqrt{aq}}\right)p_k(\lambda) = \sqrt{(1 - q^{k+1})(1 - bq^{k+1})}p_{k+1}(\lambda) + q^k(c\sqrt{aq} + \frac{b}{c}\sqrt{\frac{q}{a}})p_k(\lambda) + \sqrt{(1 - q^k)(1 - bq^k)}p_{k-1}(\lambda).
\]
This corresponds to the orthonormal Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials with $(c\sqrt{aq}, \frac{b}{c}\sqrt{\frac{q}{a}})$ as parameters, so
\[
p_k(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(q, bq; q)_k}}Q_k(x; c\sqrt{aq}, \frac{b}{c}\sqrt{\frac{q}{a}})Q_k(x; \sqrt{q}/\sqrt{a}|q) (4.4)
\]
where $2x = (aq + 1 - \lambda)/\sqrt{aq}$. Here we use the notation for the Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials [9, 12, 15]. The spectrum equals the support of the orthogonality measure $\sigma$ of the Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials $Q_k(x; c\sqrt{aq}, \frac{b}{c}\sqrt{\frac{q}{a}})$, and we obtain another proof of Theorem [11].

So the spectral decomposition of $T^\gamma$ on $L^2(\nu)$ is given by the unitary map $V : L^2(\nu) \to L^2(\sigma)$
\[
(Vf)(x) = \langle f, y_\lambda \rangle L^2(\nu) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(q^k)\frac{Q_k(x; c\sqrt{aq}, \frac{b}{c}\sqrt{\frac{q}{a}})Q_k(x; \sqrt{q}/\sqrt{a}|q)}{\sqrt{q}/\sqrt{a}} w_k(a,b;q)
\]
where $\lambda = ac + 1 - 2\sqrt{aq}x, x = \cos \theta$. The unitarity of $f$ can also be checked directly using the orthogonality relations for the Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials. So $VT^\gamma = MV$, where $V$ is the multiplication by $aq + 1 - 2\sqrt{aq}x$.

Applying $V$ to the three-term recurrence for $T^\gamma$ gives
\[
(aq + 1 - 2\sqrt{aq}x)(V\phi_n)(x) = a_n(V\phi_{n+1})(x) + b_n(V\phi_n)(x) + a_{n-1}(V\phi_{n-1})(x),
\]
so that $V\phi_n$ is a constant multiple of the orthonormal Askey-Wilson polynomials with parameters $\sqrt{aq}, c\sqrt{aq}, \frac{b}{c}\sqrt{\frac{q}{a}}, \sqrt{aq}$. The constant is $V\phi_0$. Explicitly,
\[
(Vp_n(\cdot; a, b, q))(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_n(q^k; a, b, q)) \frac{(aq)^{k/2}}{(q;q)_k} Q_k(x; c\sqrt{aq}; \frac{b}{c}\sqrt{\frac{q}{a}}|q)
\]
where $x = \cos \theta$. Since $V$ is unitary $h_n\delta_{n,m} = \langle V(p_n(\cdot; a, b, q)), V(p_m(\cdot; a, b, q)) \rangle_{L^2(\sigma)}$ establishes the Askey-Wilson polynomials $p_n(x; \sqrt{aq}, c\sqrt{aq}, \frac{b}{c}\sqrt{\frac{q}{a}}, \sqrt{aq})$ as orthogonal polynomials with respect to
\[
\int (aqe^{i\theta}, \sqrt{aqe^{-i\theta}}, \sqrt{aqe^{i\theta}}, \sqrt{aqe^{-i\theta}}; q)_\infty d\sigma
\]
which is well-known [3, 9, 12, 15].
It is straightforward to prove (1.10) from the explicit expression for the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials and the generating function [9], [12] (15.1.10), [13] (3.8.13) for the Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials, this leads to the slightly more general result

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{(q; q)_k} p_n(q^k; a, b; q) Q_k(x; c, d|q) = \frac{(tc, td; q)_\infty}{(te^{i\theta}, te^{-i\theta}; q)_\infty} \phi_3 \left( q^{-n}, abq^{n+1}, te^{i\theta}, te^{-i\theta}; aq, tc, td \mid q, q \right)
$$

(4.7)

for $|t| < 1$ and $x = \cos \theta$.

In order to get the full four-parameter Askey-Wilson polynomials as orthogonal polynomials from this interpretation we observe that $f_n: q^k \mapsto \alpha^k p_n(q^k; a, b; q)$ and $g_n: q^k \mapsto \alpha^{-k} p_n(q^k; a, b; q)$ for $\sqrt{aq} < \alpha < 1/\sqrt{aq}$ are biorthogonal functions in $L^2(\nu)$. By (4.7) we find

$$
(V f_n)(x) = \frac{\alpha^n (aq)^{n/2} (\alpha acq^{n+1}, abq^{n+1}/c; q)_\infty}{(aq; q)_n (\alpha \sqrt{aq e^{i\theta}}, \alpha \sqrt{aq e^{-i\theta}}; q)_\infty} p_n(x; \alpha \sqrt{aq}, aq/c, \sqrt{b q}; \sqrt{aq}/\alpha|q)
$$

(4.8)

and a similar expression for $V g_n$. Now $\delta_{n,m} = \langle V f_n, V g_m \rangle_{L^2(\nu)}$ gives the full four-parameter family of Askey-Wilson polynomials $p_n(x; \alpha \sqrt{aq}, aq/c, \sqrt{b q}; \sqrt{aq}/\alpha|q)$ as orthogonal polynomials with respect to

$$
d\sigma = \frac{d\sigma}{(\alpha \sqrt{aq e^{i\theta}}, \alpha \sqrt{aq e^{-i\theta}}, \alpha^{-1} \sqrt{aq e^{i\theta}}, \alpha^{-1} \sqrt{aq e^{-i\theta}}; q)_\infty}.
$$

So we have given yet another proof of the well-known orthogonality relations of the Askey-Wilson polynomials, see [3], [9], [12], [15].

Remark 4.3. By the results of Section 3 we may consider (4.8) as a $q$-analogue of [16] §9], [17] (3.3)] mapping the (bi-)orthogonal little $q$-Jacobi polynomials to the full four-parameter family of Askey-Wilson polynomials, cf. Remark 4.2. To see the formal limit transition of the Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials ([16], §9) to the Jacobi functions $\phi_\mu(\beta, -\alpha, -\beta - 2)$ we replace $e^{i\theta} = q^{3i\mu}$, $\mu \in [0, 2\pi/\ln q]$. Substituting as well $a = q^\alpha$, $b = q^\beta$, we can write the Al-Salam–Chihara polynomial of (4.4) as

$$
Q_k \left( \frac{1}{2} (q^{1/\mu} + q^{-1/\mu}; q^{-1/2 (1+\alpha)}; q^{1/2 (1+\alpha)}; q \right) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{1/2 (1-\alpha-\mu)}; q^{1/2 (1-\alpha+\mu)}; q)_l}{(q, q^{3+1}; q)_l} q^{l \left( \frac{z-1}{z}; q \right)} |_{z=q^k} \rightarrow 1
$$

$$
2 \frac{1}{\beta + 1} \frac{\left( \frac{z}{\beta} - \alpha - i\mu, \frac{\beta}{\beta} - \alpha + i\mu \right)}{\beta + 1}; 1 - 1/z \right) = \phi_\mu(\beta, -\alpha, -\beta - 2)(t), \quad z = \cosh^{-2} t
$$

This is only a formal limit transition, and we refer to [18] for another limit transitions of orthogonal polynomials from the $q$-Askey scheme to Jacobi functions. Koornwinder [18] gives a rigorous limit transition from the continuous $q$-ultraspherical polynomials to Jacobi functions with $\alpha = \beta$, where the degree of the polynomials is related to the argument of the Jacobi function as in the formal limit described in this remark. The Jacobi function transform is related to the spherical Fourier transform on rank one symmetric spaces, see [16], and for the case $a = b = 1$, and $c = 1/\sqrt{aq}$ this corresponds to the occurrence of the Al-Salam–Chihara...
polynomials in the spectral decomposition of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the quantum disc, see Vaksman [20, §1.5.4].

4.2. Case two: shift in $b$. In the notation of Section 2.2 we take $P_n(x) = p_n(x; a, bq; q)$, $H_n = h_n(a, bq; q)$, $p_n(x) = p_n(x; a, b; q)$, $h_n = h_n(a, b; q)$. We assume $0 < a < q^{-1}$ and $b < q^{-1}$. Then $\mu$ and $\nu$ are discrete measures and $\mu(\{q^k\}) = w_k(a, bq; q) = \frac{(1 - bq^{k+1})}{(1 - bq)}w_k(a, b; q) = r(q^k)\nu(\{q^k\})$, where $r(x) = \frac{1 - bx}{1 - bxq}$. Also $L = L(a, bq)$, $\Lambda_n = \Lambda_n(a, bq; q)$ we take $\gamma = (1 + abq^2) - (acq + bq/c)$ as in Section 4.1. Again we assume $\Lambda_n^\gamma > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $T^\gamma$ is given as a second order difference operator on $L^2(\nu)$ by

$$
(T^\gamma f)(x) = \frac{a(bq^2x - 1)(1 - bq)}{x(1 - bq)}(f(qx) - f(x)) + \frac{(x - 1)(1 - bqx)}{x(1 - bq)}(f(q^{-1}x) - f(x)) + \left((1 + abq^2) - (acq + bq/c)\right)\frac{1 - bq}{1 - bq}f(x)
$$

(4.9)

Note that $T$ is unbounded as an operator on $L^2(\nu)$.

Then we can calculate

$$
a_n^\gamma = \frac{bq\sqrt{aq}(1 - acq^{n+1})(1 - bq^{n+1}/c)}{(1 - abq^{2n+2})} \sqrt{\frac{(1 - q^{n+1})(1 - acq^{n+1})(1 - bq^{n+1})(1 - abq^{n+1})}{(1 - abq^{2n+1})(1 - abq^{2n+3})}}
$$

$$
b_n^\gamma = q^{-n}\frac{(1 - acq^{n+1})(1 - bq^{n+1}/c)(1 - abq^{n+1})(1 - bq^{n+1})}{(1 - bq)(1 - abq^{2n+1})(1 - abq^{2n+1})} + abq^2q^{n+2}\frac{(1 - acq^{n+1})(1 - bq^{n+1}/c)(1 - q^n)(1 - acq^n)}{(1 - bq)(1 - abq^{2n})(1 - abq^{2n+1})}
$$

(4.10)

Note that $(a_n^\gamma)_n$ is a bounded sequence, even though $T^\gamma$ is an unbounded operator. Since the sequence $(b_n^\gamma)_n$ is a sum of a bounded sequence and unbounded sequence tending to infinity, it follows that [3] Thm. VIII.4] gives that $T^\gamma$ is essentially self-adjoint. However, these polynomials do not fit in the $q$-Askey-scheme. This proves the first statement of the following proposition. The statement on the location of the spectrum follows, since we are dealing with birth and death processes, see Remark 2.2 since we assume $\Lambda_n^\gamma > 0$.

**Proposition 4.4.** The unbounded operator $T$ defined by (4.9) on $L^2(\nu)$ with $\nu$ the discrete orthogonality measure (4.11) for the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials with $0 < qa < 1$, $b < q^{-1}$ is an unbounded self-adjoint operator with simple spectrum located in $[0, \infty)$.

As in Section 4.1 we can try to solve the eigenvalue equation $Ty_\lambda = \lambda y_\lambda$ directly. Putting $y_\lambda(q^k) = p_k(\lambda)/\sqrt{w_k(a, b; q)}$ leads to the recurrence

$$
(1 - bq)\lambda p_k(\lambda) = a_k p_{k+1}(\lambda) + b_k p_k(\lambda) + a_{k-1} p_{k-1}(\lambda),
$$

$$
a_k = -\sqrt{aq^{k-\frac{1}{2}}(1 - bq^{k+2})}\sqrt{1 - bq^{k+1}}(1 - q^{k+1}),
$$

$$
b_k = q^{-k}(1 - bq^{k+1})(a(1 - cq^{k+1}) + (1 - bq^{k+1}/c)).
$$

(4.11)
Using [4, Thm. VII1.4] we see that the polynomials correspond to a determinate moment problem. It is remarkable that the support of the orthogonality measure of (4.11) and the one arising from Proposition 4.4 coincide.

5. Concluding remarks

We comment on several possible extensions of the results described, which are outside the scope of this paper.

In the context of Section 2 we can also obtain tridiagonalisations of higher order differential or difference operators by looking at the operator \( p(L)Mp(L) \) for \( p \) a real-valued function, such as a polynomial of degree \( d \) and \( M \) a multiplication by a linear function. Then \( T \) is again a symmetric differential or \((q-)\)difference operator on \( L^2(\mu) \) if \( L \) is self-adjoint on \( L^2(\mu) \) and diagonal with a suitable basis of eigenfunctions. Note that the degree of \( T \) is \( 2d \) on \( L^2(\mu) \). A possible link to Krall-type polynomials needs to be investigated, see e.g. [8].

Secondly, we can extend the relation (2.1) to allow for more terms. This leads to higher-order recurrences for \( T \), which may be solved by matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials. Conversely, for known operators \( T \) we can obtain spectral information on matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials that occur in this way. A similar remark is valid if we look for tridiagonalisation on \( \mathbb{Z} \) instead of \( \mathbb{N} \).
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