Leptin Signaling Modulates the Activity of Urocortin 1 Neurons in the Mouse Nonpreganglionic Edinger-Westphal Nucleus
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A recent study systematically characterized the distribution of the long form of the leptin receptor (LepRb) in the mouse brain and showed substantial LepRb mRNA expression in the nonpreganglionic Edinger-Westphal nucleus (npEW) in the rostroventral part of the midbrain. This nucleus hosts the majority of urocortin 1 (Ucn1) neurons in the rodent brain, and because Ucn1 is a potent satiety hormone and electrical lesioning of the npEW strongly decreases food intake, we have hypothesized a role of npEW-Ucn1 neurons in leptin-controlled food intake. Here, we show by immunohistochemistry that npEW-Ucn1 neurons in the mouse contain LepRb and respond to leptin administration with induction of the Janus kinase 2-signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 pathway, both in vivo and in vitro. Furthermore, systemic leptin administration increases the Ucn1 content of the npEW significantly, whereas in mice that lack LepRb (db/db mice), the npEW contains considerably reduced amount of Ucn1. Finally, we reveal by patch clamping of midbrain Ucn1 neurons that leptin administration reduces the electrical firing activity of the Ucn1 neurons. In conclusion, we provide ample evidence for leptin actions that go beyond leptin’s well-known targets in the hypothalamus and propose that leptin can directly influence the activity of the midbrain Ucn1 neurons. (*Endocrinology* 152: 979–988, 2011)

Energy homeostasis requires tight regulation of food intake and fat storage (*e.g.* see Refs. 1–3). A very critical hormone is leptin, the product of *ob* gene and produced by adipocytes. It conveys information to the brain about the amount of peripherally stored fat (4). Leptin acts through the leptin receptor long form (LepRb), the product of *db* gene (5, 6), to activate the Janus kinase 2 (JAK2)-signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) pathway (7, 8). It has been primarily shown to act in the hypothalamus, especially on the arcuate nucleus, and it plays a key role in the regulation of food intake and energy expenditure (9, 10). However, some evidence is appearing that leptin would also have direct effects on brain centers outside the hypothalamus. For example, LepRb expression has been shown in the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, midbrain, and brain stem (11). In a recent study, using a novel LepRb-internal ribosomal entry site (IRES)-Cre-enhanced yellow fluorescent protein-reporter mouse and *in vivo*...
situ hybridization, substantial amounts of LepRb expressions were found in extrahypothalamic brain areas in adult mice (12, 13), such as in the nonpreganglionic Edinger-Westphal nucleus (npEW) in the rostroventral part of the midbrain. This nucleus is of particular interest, because its electrical lesioning substantially decreased general food intake (14) and this nucleus is the major source of urocortin 1 (Ucn1), a member of the corticotropin releasing factor family that has strong anorexigenic actions (15–17).

Recently, we showed that the rat npEW reveals substantial LepRb mRNA expression and responds to fasting (18). Moreover, the npEW of rats fed a high-fat diet showed a decrease in Ucn1 mRNA expression (19). In addition, peripheral injection of low doses of Ucn1 produces strong and prolonged inhibition of food intake (20, 21), an effect that can also be seen in leptin-deficient (ob/ob) mice (22). Intracerebroventricular (icv) administration of Ucn1 also potently reduces food intake in food-deprived rats (23), an action most probably mediated by the ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus (24).

The colocalization of LepRb and Ucn1 in npEW neurons is interesting, because a number of experimental studies have shown the interactions of leptin and Ucn1 on food intake: 1) the satiety effect of Ucn1 is enhanced by its own ability to induce plasma leptin (25), 2) cotreatment with leptin and Ucn1 that are ineffective while given alone effectively suppresses appetite (26), 3) leptin facilitates Ucn1 transport across the blood-brain barrier (27), and 4) Ucn1 seems to potentiate leptin signaling by leptin receptor-mediated STAT3 phosphorylation (27).

Although these data taken together indicate that the collaboration of peripheral leptin signaling and Ucn1 in the npEW may play an important role in regulating feeding behavior, the mechanism by which leptin would influence the activity of the npEW-Ucn1 neurons is unclear. Here, we hypothesize that in mouse, leptin-mediated signaling occurs in Ucn1 neurons in the npEW and that modulation of leptin signaling can modify Ucn1 neuron activity.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Male C57BL/6J, B6.Cg-m+/-Lepr<sup>db/db</sup> (db/db), and LepRbEGFP mice were used. In vivo experiments were performed with young-adult mice (10–12 wk old; obtained from The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) and housed in the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas (in situ hybridization) or in the Unit for Laboratory Animal Medicine at the University of Michigan (immunohistochemistry) in groups of two to four unless stated otherwise. In vitro studies were performed with wild-type (WT) C57BL/6J pups (16–21 d old), housed with their mother, who was obtained from Janvier (Le-

Genest-St-Isle, France), in the Central Animal Laboratory at Radboud University Nijmegen. They were all housed at a 12-h light, 12-h dark cycle (lights on at 0600 h) in a humidity- and temperature (22 C)-controlled environment and had access to food and water ad libitum. All animal procedures had the approval of the respective University care and use committees.

Production of LepRbEGFP mice

To identify Lepr-expressing neurons, Lepr<sup>cre/cre</sup> mice were crossed with Rosa<sup>EGFP</sup> reporter mice to produce LepRbEGFP offspring with enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) expressed in LepRb neurons. Briefly, in Lepr<sup>cre/cre</sup> mice, an IRES-driven second cistron encoding cre recombinase is “knocked in” to the 3’-untranslated region of the LepRb-specific exon of Lepr, rendering the cre-coding sequence part of the LepRb-specific mRNA such that its expression is restricted to LepRb-expressing neurons (28). The Gt(Rosa)26Sor<sup>tm2Sho</sup> (ROSAEGFP) line from The Jackson Laboratory has been engineered such that cre-mediated deletion of a floxed transcription-blocking cassette results in the expression of EGFP from the ubiquitously expressed ROSA26 locus (29). Because IRES-mediated cre expression is modest, mice were bred to homozygosity (Lepr<sup>cre/cre</sup>, Rosa<sup>EGFP/EGFP</sup>) to enhance the detection of LepRb neurons by EGFP expression. All matings were carried out in the University of Michigan Unit for Laboratory Animal Medicine.

Peptide and antisera

Recombinant mouse leptin was obtained from the National Hormone and Peptide Program (A. F. Parlow, Los Angeles, CA), rabbit anti-Ucn1 was a generous gift from W. W. Vale (no. 5779; The Salk Institute, La Jolla, CA), chicken anti-EGFP (no. 13970) was from Abcam (Cambridge, MA), goat anti-glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) (no. GA-5) from ICN Biomedicals (Irvine, CA), mouse antiglial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) (no. GA-5) from ICN Biomedicals (Irvine, CA), goat anti-Ucn1 (no. sc-1825) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA), and Alexa 488-conjugated goat antichicken and Alexa 594-conjugated donkey-antichicken (no. sc-2021) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA), and Alexa 488-conjugated goat antichicken and Alexa 594-conjugated donkey antirabbit from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Normal donkey serum and the Cy<sub>2</sub>-conjugated donkey-antichicken, Cy<sub>2</sub>-conjugated donkey-antigot, Cy<sub>3</sub>-conjugated donkey-antirabbit, and Cy<sub>2</sub>-conjugated donkey-antimouse sera were from Jackson ImmunoResearch (West Grove, PA). All other immunolabeling supplies were purchased from Sigma Chemical (St. Louis, MO).

Tissue preparations

In vivo study with in situ hybridization (LepRb mRNA)

Four C57BL/6J male mice were deeply anesthetized with an ip injection of chloral hydrate (350 mg/kg) and perfused transcardiably with diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated 0.9% saline followed by 10% neutral buffered formalin. After decapitation, brains were removed, postfixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 4 h at 4 C, cryoprotected in 20% sucrose in DEPC-treated PBS (pH 7.0) overnight at 4 C, and cut coronally into five equal series of 25-μm sections on a freezing microtome, which were stored at −20 C in antifreeze solution (30) until processing for radioactive in situ hybridization.

In vivo studies with immunohistochemistry

The untreated LepRbEGFP mice were used to demonstrate LepRb protein. To study the effect of leptin on Ucn1 in the
npEW, 16 LepRbEGFP mice were single housed and injected ip with either leptin (5 mg/kg) or equal volume vehicle (sterile PBS, pH 7.4) and killed 2 or 4 h later. To assess the effect of disrupted leptin signaling on the npEW, five db/db and five WT mice were studied. All mice were deeply anesthetized with ip sodium pentobarbital (150 mg/kg), transcardially perfused with ice-cold PBS followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), for 30 min, decapitated, and brains removed and postfixed in 4% PFA (31), for 16 h. Four representative series of coronal sections (30 μm) were cut with a sliding microtome, into a cryoprotective solution (30% ethylene glycol, 30% glycercol; in PBS), and stored at −20°C until use for immunohistochemistry.

**In vitro brain-slice study with immunohistochemistry**

Twelve C57BL/6J pups were decapitated, their brains were quickly removed and placed into ice-cold slicing medium containing 83.5 mM NaCl, 30 mM KCl, 1.3 mM KH₂PO₄, 1.2 mM MgCl₂, 2.4 mM CaCl₂, 2.6 mM NaHCO₃, 2.5 mM glucose, and 1.0 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) (Merck Chemical, Darmstadt, Germany) and kept submerged on a grid in room temperature artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing 120 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM NaHCO₃, 3.5 mM KCl, 1.25 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.3 mM MgSO₄, 2.5 mM glucose, and 2.5 mM CaCl₂ (pH 7.4). Slices were incubated with ACSF (controls) or with ACSF + 100 μM leptin, for 30 min at 35°C. Then they were fixed in 4% PFA, for 2 d at 4°C, and 10-25-μm coronal sections at the midlevel of the npEW were cut on the freezing microtome (Microm GmbH, Walldorf, Germany) for immunohistochemistry.

**In situ hybridization**

The *in situ* hybridization procedure was a modification of that previously reported (11, 12). In short, sections were rinsed with DEPC-PBS, for 1 h, and treated with 1% sodium borohydride (Sigma Chemical) in DEPC-PBS, for 15 min, briefly rinsed in 0.1 M tetrachlorammonium (pH 8.0), treated with 0.25% acetic anhydride in 0.1 M tetrachlorammonium, for 10 min, and rinsed in DEPC-treated 2× sodium chloride/sodium citrate (SSC). Sections were incubated for 16 h at 57°C with LepR riboprobes, generated by *in vitro* transcription using [³⁵S]-labeled uridine triphosphate as previously described (12) and diluted to 10⁶ cpm/ml in a solution containing 50% formamide, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) (Life Technologies, Inc.-BRL, Bethesda, MD), 5 μg tRNA (Invitrogen), 10 mM dithiothreitol, 10% dextran sulfate, 0.3 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), and 1× Denhardt’s solution (Sigma Chemical). Then they were rinsed four times in 4× SSC, and incubated in 0.002% ribonuclease (RNase) A (Roche Applied Bioscience, Indianapolis, IN) diluted in a mixture of 0.5 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 1 mM EDTA (RNase buffer), for 30 min at 37°C. After another 30 min in RNase buffer and two rinses at room temperature in 2× SSC, the sections were rinsed three times in 50% formamide in 0.2× SSC, for 10 min at 50°C, and rinsed 2× SSC at 50°C, 0.2× SSC at 55°C, and 0.2× SSC at 60°C, each rinse for 1 h. After rinsing twice in 2× SSC at room temperature, sections were mounted on SuperFrost Plus slides (Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA), dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, and delipidated in chloroform. After rinses in 100 and 95% ethanol, slides were air-dried and placed in x-ray film cassettes with BMR-2 film (Kodak, Rochester, NY), for 2 d. Next, they were dipped in NTB2 emulsion (Kodak), air-dried, and stored in light-tight boxes at 4°C for 4 wk. Slides were developed with Kodak D-19 developer, counterstained with thionin, dehydrated in ethanol, cleared in xylene, and mounted with Permaslip. The high specificity of the probes has been shown before (12).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Single immunolabeling of EGFP was performed on the nontreated LepRbEGFP mice. Single immunolabeling of Ucn1 was performed on the PBS/leptin injected LepRbEGFP mice (4 h) and WT and db/db mice. Sections were treated with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS, for 30 min, blocked in 2% normal donkey serum, for 1 h, and incubated in primary chicken anti-EGFP (1:1000), goat anti-Ucn1 (1:100; PBS/leptin injected mice, 4 h), or rabbit anti-Ucn1 sera (1:30,000; WT and db/db mouse) overnight. This was followed by a 2-h incubation with the secondary antibodies Alexa 488-conjugated goat antichicken (1:200), Cy2-conjugated antigoat IgG (1:100), or Cy3-conjugated antirabbit IgG (1:100).

Double immunolabeling of EGFP with Ucn1 or with pSTAT3 was performed on control or PBS/leptin-injected LepRbEGFP mice (2 h), respectively. For double immunolabeling of EGFP and Ucn1, sections were processed as described for single immunolabeling but with incubation in a mixture of the chicken anti-EGFP (1:2000) and rabbit anti-Ucn1 sera (1:30,000), for 16 h, and then in a mixture of Cy2-conjugated antichicken IgG and Cy3-conjugated antirabbit IgG (1:100), for 2 h. For double immunolabeling of EGFP and pSTAT3, sections were pretreated sequentially in 3% H₂O₂ and 1% NaOH, for 20 min, 0.3% glycine, for 10 min, and 0.03% sodium dodecyl sulfate, for 10 min, and processed as described above, with chicken anti-EGFP (1:1000) and rabbit anti-pSTAT3 (1:500) sera for 16 h, and Alexa 488-conjugated goat antichicken (1:200) and Alexa 594-conjugated donkey antirabbit sera, respectively, for 2 h (1:200).

Triple immunolabeling of Ucn1, pSTAT3, and GFAP was performed on *in vitro* brain slices. The same protocol for pSTAT3 immunohistochemistry was applied, with incubation in goat anti-Ucn1 (1:100), rabbit anti-pSTAT3 (1:400), and monoclonal mouse anti-GFAP (1:100) sera, for 16 h, and then in a mixture of Cy2-conjugated antigoat IgG, Cy3-conjugated antirabbit IgG, and Cy5-conjugated antimouse IgG (1:100) sera, respectively, for 2 h (1:200).

**Antibody characterization**

The high specificities of chicken anti-EGFP (8, 19, 28), mouse anti-GFAP (33), rabbit anti-pSTAT3 (12, 34), goat anti-Ucn1 (35, 36), and rabbit anti-Ucn1 (19, 36, 37) have been previously reported. In addition, preabsorption of antibodies with the respective synthetic peptides abolished immunostaining in the mouse npEW, and omission of primary antisera completely prevented immunoreaction in all cases.

**Image analysis**

Immunostainings were studied with a BX-51 bright field microscope with DP30BW camera (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) or a confocal laser scanning microscopy (Leica Microsystems TCS SP2 AOBS system). For quantitative determinations of the amounts of immunoreactive peptide in the npEW, two param-
eters were determined: 1) the number of neuronal perikarya was counted in four medial sections of the npEW, and 2) per perikaryon, the specific immunoreactive signal density (SSD) was measured in each of all perikarya present in these sections, using ImageJ software (version 1.37; NIH, Bethesda, MD). Data were corrected for background density outside the npEW, yielding the SSD expressed in arbitrary units per perikaryon.

**Electrophysiology**

Brain slices of WT C57BL/6J pups (thickness, 300 μm) made as described above were incubated in ACSF, at 37°C for 30 min, and then stored 2–4 h at room temperature until use. Neurons in the npEW, 100–300 μm ventral to the periaqueductal gray, were studied in the cell-attached patch-clamp mode, using an EPC-9 patch-clamp amplifier with patchMaster software version 2.20 (HEKA, Lambrecht/Pfalz, Germany). Data were recorded at 10 kHz and filtered using a 12.9-kHz Bessel filter. Patch pipettes with a resistance between 4 and 6 MΩ were pulled from Wiretrol II glass capillaries (Drummond Scientific Co., Broomall, PA) with a PP-83 pipette puller (Narishige Scientific Instrument Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan). All solutions were continuously carbogenized (5% CO₂–95% O₂), and the temperature of the superfusate was controlled with an SH-27B in-line temperature heater coupled to a TC-324B single channel heater controller (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA). Electrical activity was recorded in the cell-attached patch voltage-clamp mode at 0 mV pipette potential (38). Performing measurements in cell-attached mode preserved the cytoplasm, and in this configuration, spontaneous firing activity was observed. Cell firing activity was recorded in the form of action potential currents, which are the first derivatives of action potentials and are mainly due to the capacitive current (39). During recording, cells were incubated in ACSF, followed by ACSF containing 100 nM leptin, and finally in ACSF to washout leptin. During each incubation, which lasted 3 min, the interspike interval (ISI), i.e. the time between two successive action currents, was averaged over all currents measured. The coefficient of variation (CV) was calculated as SD/mean of ISI, according to Yang et al. (38).

**Statistical analyses**

Per experimental group, data were expressed as the mean ± SEM and entered in Student’s t test after tests for normality (Shapiro-Wilk test; see Ref. 40) and homogeneity of variance (Bartlett’s χ² test; 41) (α = 5%).

**Results**

**Expression of LepRb in npEW-Ucn1 neurons**

First, we used in situ hybridization to study the presence of LepRb mRNA in C57BL/6J mice. Sections of the midbrain were hybridized with a long-form specific antisense LepRb probe. In the npEW, neuronal perikarya revealed substantial labeling (Fig. 1A). No staining was seen in the npEW when the sense LepRb probe was used (data not shown). Next, we applied single-labeling immunofluorescence histochemistry to show the presence of LepRb protein in LepRbEGFP mice (Fig. 1E). They revealed an almost continuous, boomerang-shaped population of EGFP-immunoreactive (EGFP-ir) neurons in the midbrain, starting dorsomedially in the npEW, continuing through the rostral linear raphe and ventral teg-

![Fig. 1. Visualization of midbrain LepRb neurons. A, LepRb mRNA is present in the npEW by radioactive in situ hybridization. E, LepRb-expressing neurons are revealed by EGFP-ir (green) in the midbrain of LepRbEGFP mice. B–D, EGFP-ir (green) (B) neurons are colocalized (yellow) (D) with Ucn1-ir (red) (C) in the npEW of LepRbEGFP mice. Scale bar, 20 μm (A–D) and 100 μm (E). Aq, Central aqueduct; III, nucleus of oculomotor nerve; IPF, fasciculus interpeduncularis; PAG, periaqueductal gray; RLi, rostral linear nucleus; SC, colliculus superior; SNC, substantia nigra pars compacta; SNR, substantia nigra reticular part.](image-url)
mental area (VTA), and terminating with a few scattered neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta. Using double-labeling immunofluorescence, we showed in npEW neurons the coexistence of EGFP-ir with Ucn1-ir (Fig. 1, B–D). Nearly all (90%) EGFP-ir neurons revealed Ucn1-ir, whereas about 45% of the Ucn1-ir neurons were EGFP-ir (Fig. 1D). None of the EGFP-positive neurons in the linear raphe, VTA, and substantia nigra pars compacta showed Ucn1-ir (data not shown). One limitation of the genetic model used in this study is that not all of the LepRb neurons will exhibit EGFP-ir, because only a subpopulation of LepRb neurons expresses EGFP-ir (19, 42).

LepRb signaling increases STAT3 phosphorylation

To assess whether LepRb in the npEW is functional, the JAK2-STAT3 pathway was evaluated. This signaling pathway is induced when leptin binds to LepRb, resulting in pSTAT3. Subsequently, the pSTAT3 is translocated into the nucleus to mediate gene transcription (43, 44). To determine whether leptin stimulates pSTAT3 in the npEW in vivo, LepRbEGFP mice were ip injected with PBS or leptin. Immunohistochemistry revealed no staining of pSTAT3-ir anywhere in the midbrain 2 h after PBS injection (Fig. 2A). However, 2 h after leptin administration, clear pSTAT3-ir was seen to be colocalized with the EGFP-ir neurons in the npEW (Fig. 2B). To assess whether this stimulatory effect would be due to a direct action of leptin on the npEW, brain slices containing the npEW were incubated in vitro with leptin. In fresh, control slices, incubated in ACSF, pSTAT3-ir was seen throughout the tissue in GFAP-ir astrocytes. This was not surprising, because the mere preparation of brain slices is sufficient to induce the JAK2-STAT3 pathway in astrocytes (42). Such fresh slices never revealed any pSTAT3-ir in neurons, including the Ucn1-ir neurons in the npEW (Fig. 2C). However, in slices incubated for 30 min with ACSF + 100 nM leptin, pSTAT3-ir was not only observed in GFAP-ir astrocytes but also in about 40% of the Ucn1-ir neurons, which revealed strong labeling of nucleus (triple labeling; Fig. 2D).

Leptin changes Ucn1 peptide content in npEW neurons

Studying the effect of leptin on the degree of Ucn1-ir of the npEW of C57BL/6J mice by semiquantitative immunohistochemistry revealed that, in leptin-injected mice, the number of Ucn1-ir cells was not different from that in PBS-injected controls (\(P > 0.05; n = 5\); PBS, 31.9 ± 1.8; Leptin, 28.6 ± 2.4). However, the abundance of Ucn1-ir per individual perikaryon, as assessed by measuring the SSD, was significantly higher in leptin-injected mice than in the controls (\(P < 0.05; n = 5\)) (Fig. 3, A, B, E, and F). Next, we performed the same experiment with db/db mice and their control littermates (WT). In the db/db mice, the number of npEW-Ucn1-ir neurons and the SSD of Ucn1-ir were significantly lower (\(P < 0.0005\) and \(P < 0.005\); n = 5, respectively) than in WT (Fig. 3, C, D, G, and H).

Leptin inhibits electrical activity of Ucn1 neurons

To determine the effect of leptin on electrical activity of Ucn1-neurons in the npEW, cell-attached voltage-clamp patch-clamp measurements were performed on brain slices of C57BL/6J pups. All recorded neurons (n = 11) spontaneously displayed action current firing, with an ISI of 0.37 ± 0.08 sec (Fig. 4, A–C). When ACSF + 100 nM leptin was used as superfusate, cells showed a reduction in action current frequency (Fig. 4, A–C), reflected by an
increase in the ISI by 32.9% (P < 0.01; n = 11) (Fig. 4, A–C). In the majority of cells (n = 9), this effect was reversible upon washing out leptin, returning the ISI to control value (0.39 ± 0.07 sec; P < 0.05) (Fig. 4, A–C). To test the possibility that leptin regulates the electrical activity of npEW-LepRb neurons (see Discussion), we performed patch-clamp electrophysiology in acute brain slices with direct leptin administration. Our data demonstrates that leptin inhibits the neurons’ electrical activity in approximately 80% of patched neurons. Of two cells only, the leptin-effect could not be reversed by leptin washout. In contrast to its effect on the ISI, leptin did never affect the CV (P > 0.05; n = 11) (Fig. 4D). The recorded cells likely represent Ucn1 producing neurons, because the immunohistochemistry data show that approximately 90% of EGFP neurons are Ucn1 neurons.

Discussion

Based on the expression of LepRb in the npEW (12, 13, 18), we hypothesized that the peripheral metabolic status would directly modulate the activity of the npEW via an action of leptin on LepRb of npEW-Ucn1 neurons by recruiting JAK-STAT signaling. Our findings on the mouse npEW support this hypothesis, for the following reasons: 1) LepRb is present in the npEW, and nearly all LepRb-containing neurons produce Ucn1; 2) administration of leptin either peripherally in vivo or directly onto the npEW in vitro causes pSTAT3 in npEW-Ucn1 neurons; 3) leptin administration significantly increases the abundance of Ucn1 in npEW-neurons; 4) LepRb-deficient (db/db) mice contain considerably less Ucn1 than WT mice; and 5) leptin acutely reduces the electrical activity of npEW-Ucn1 neurons. Together, the present results reveal that leptin directly targets npEW-Ucn1 neurons to modulate the activity of these neurons, at both the short-term (electrical response of Ucn1 neurons) and long-term (abundance of Ucn1 peptide in npEW neurons) level. Below, we will discuss these conclusions into detail.
LepRb occurs in npEW-Ucn1 neurons

First, we demonstrated the production of LepRb by npEW neurons by showing the expression of LepRb mRNA with in situ hybridization, which is in agreement with two recent, independent studies (12, 13). Next, we detected the LepRb by applying immunohistochemistry to a reporter mouse strain (LepRbEGFP mice) (19, 28, 45). Our finding that EGFP-labeled LepRb neurons occur in a characteristic, homogeneous, boomerang-shaped midbrain population of neurons, encompassing not only the npEW but also the rostral linear raphe, the VTA, and the substantia nigra pars compacta, is interesting, because these anatomically distinct neuronal subgroups all originate from the midbrain floor plate (46). This shared phenotype and common origin of these midbrain nuclei suggest an important coordinating role for leptin in the midbrain. Although leptin’s action on VTA (47, 48) is well documented, little is known about the hormone’s action on other midbrain LepRb expressing neurons and more in particular on LepRb expressing neurons in the npEW. Therefore, and in view of the dominant presence of Ucn1 in the npEW, we tested whether leptin would act on Ucn1 dynamics in this nucleus and found that almost all npEW-neurons that contain the LepRb also contain Ucn1 and that, conversely, 45% of Ucn1 neurons in the npEW produce LepRb. This situation is similar to that for other brain centers that are under physiological control by leptin. For example, 47% of the NPY-neurons in the arcuate nucleus and 60% of the dopaminergic neurons in the VTA express LepRb mRNA (47, 49). This analogy supports the general notion that even though brain centers may be anatomically distinctly structured, they may have many different functions, some of which are associated with similar functions of other brain centers, in this way establishing networks of collaborating neurons that serve the coordination of the various central regulations necessary to maintain physiological homeostasis.

Leptin recruits pSTAT3 in npEW neurons

In view of the capability of leptin to cross the blood-brain barrier in most parts of the brain (including the midbrain) (50) and the presence of leptin receptors throughout the brain (11–13), we tested whether leptin, by binding to LepRb, would be able to induce direct response on the npEW-Ucn1 neurons or whether additional input to these neurons from remote brain centers would be necessary for such leptin-mediated activation. For this purpose, we deprived the npEW from peripheral nervous input, by dissecting it out as a thin brain slice that was kept in vitro and treated with leptin. STAT3-tyrosine phosphorylation was used as a read-out parameter for npEW-Ucn1 neuron signal, because it represents response of the common leptin-
signaling JAK-STAT pathway (7, 8, 31). Because we showed that acute leptin administration to such brain slices induced within 30 min STAT3 phosphorylation in many npEW-Ucn1-ir neurons, we conclude that leptin is indeed able to activate these neurons directly, without the support of neuronal input from remote brain centers. This finding is likely relevant for the in vivo situation as well, because we also found that systemic administration of leptin induces pSTAT3 in npEW-LepRb neurons. Our observation of leptin-induced recruitment of Ucn1 neurons in the npEW extends the recent report on leptin-induction of STAT3 phosphorylation in mouse npEW neurons (13). Because the JAK-STAT signaling pathway is a major signaling alternative to the second messenger system and transduces external neurochemical information to gene promoters on nuclear DNA (8), our data indicate that leptin may be involved in the control of (possibly Ucn1) gene expression and/or Ucn1 biosynthesis.

**Leptin regulates npEW Ucn1 production**

Although the administration of exogenous leptin often evokes only modest effects in normal animals, deficiency in leptin signaling (e.g. lack of leptin receptor) promotes marked alterations in neuronal function and mammalian physiology. We show that peripheral injection of leptin increases the abundance of Ucn1 within the npEW-Ucn1 neurons, whereas in db/db mice, the abundance was strongly decreased. These results clearly show that leptin regulates the Ucn1 content in npEW neurons and suggest that although short-term action of leptin (as mimicked by our injection study) evokes a moderate increase in cellular Ucn1 content, long-term absence of leptin signaling (as occurs in db/db mice) leads to a profound decrease in Ucn1 in the npEW.

**Leptin inhibits electrical activity of npEW neurons**

To test the possibility that leptin regulates the electrical activity of npEW-LepRb neurons, we performed patch-clamp electrophysiology in acute brain slices with direct leptin administration. Our data demonstrates that leptin inhibits the neurons’ electrical activity in approximately 80% of patched neurons. This finding is consistent with the described action of leptin on the electrical activity of the VTA (47), the dorsal vagal nucleus (51), the premamillary nucleus of the hypothalamus (45), the lateral hypothalamic area (19), and the dorsal and ventral raphe nucleus (52). Based on the fact that approximately 90% of EGFP and pSTAT3 positive neurons are Ucn1 positive and the responses in the electrophysiological study, we argue that the observed effects of leptin are through a direct interaction of leptin via LepRb on the Ucn1 neurons, although we cannot exclude a (although not very likely) possible involvement of interneurons. The electrophysiological response of the mouse npEW after leptin administration indicates that rapid signaling downstream of LepRb is an important facet of leptin regulating the activity of npEW neurons. Meanwhile, leptin’s ability to induce STAT3 activation supports the idea that the messenger may control long-term (e.g. transcriptional) events, such as (Ucn1) gene expression and Ucn1 biosynthesis as well.

Based on the anorexigenic action of centrally administered Ucn1, one would expect an increased release of Ucn1 from the npEW after leptin injection. Our results do not support this expectation but are well in line with the facts that lesioning the EW results in inhibition of food intake (14) and that decreased leptin by starvation increases Ucn1 mRNA in the rat npEW (18). In addition, high-fat diet decreases Ucn1 mRNA in the npEW too, concomitant with increased plasma leptin level (53). Furthermore, the physiological role(s) of a centrally administered neuropeptide has always been difficult to interpret. Ucn1 administered via an icv route has access to both corticotropin-releasing factor receptor subtypes, which could lead to differential (even opposing) behavioral, physiological, and neuroendocrine responses. Central injection of Ucn1 not only reduces food intake (23) but also increases energy expenditure (54), increases anxiety-like behavior (55–57), and even improves learning and memory (58), suggesting that the physiological role(s) of icv-injected Ucn1 will highly depend on its concentration, its site of action, and its binding with its cognate receptor(s). Taken together, we argue that a negative correlation exists between plasma leptin and Ucn1 specifically in the npEW.

**Conclusion and possible functional consideration**

The relationship between leptin and the regulation of short and long-term aspects of the activity of Ucn1 neurons in the npEW, as emanate from the present studies, support a notion that these neurons play an important role in the processing of information about the metabolic status of the animal. Interestingly, developmental studies of midbrain (dopaminergic) neurons strongly suggest a common origin for midbrain LepRb neurons, including the substantia nigra, VTA, rostral linear raphe nucleus, and the npEW (19, 46). Regulation of the mesolimbic dopamine system plays an important role in feeding behavior, as well as in reward processing (59–61), and metabolic status is an important modulator of such motivational behaviors. It has been suggested that leptin, a major signal of long-term energy balance, can modulate the response to food and nonfood rewards via the mesolimbic dopami-
nergic system (62). Although the VTA is a well-known center modulating reward processing (59), as well as expresses LepRb, in a recent study, Leshan et al. (63) have found that LepRb VTA neurons represent a subclass of VTA dopaminergic neurons that specifically innervate and control the central amygdala and send only scarce projections to the nucleus accumbens (63). This clearly indicates that yet another population(s) of midbrain LepRb neurons may play roles in mediating/controlling reward processing. In support of such action, particularly by the midbrain npEW-Ucn1/LepRb expressing neurons, are the facts that these neurons are directly regulated by leptin (this study) and that Ucn1’s ability to reduce food intake was interestingly accompanied by a reduced motivation to eat (64). This intriguing (inter)action of npEW-Ucn1/LepRb is currently under investigation in our group.
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