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A B S T R A C T

Background
Minimally invasive surgery (MIS) is an accepted surgical technique for the treatment of a variety of benign diseases. Presently, the use of MIS in patients with cancer is progressing. However, the role of MIS in children with solid neoplasms is less clear than it is in adults. Diagnostic MIS to obtain biopsy specimens for pathology has been accepted as a technique in paediatric surgical oncology, but there is limited experience with the use of MIS for the resection of malignancies.

Objectives
To ascertain the differences in outcome between the minimally invasive and open approach in the treatment of solid intra-thoracic and intra-abdominal neoplasms in children, regarding overall survival, event-free survival, port-site metastases, recurrence rate and surgical morbidity.

Search methods
We searched the electronic databases of MEDLINE/PubMed (from 1966 to February 2011), EMBASE/Ovid (from 1980 to February 2011) and CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2011, Issue 1) with pre-specified terms. In addition, we searched reference lists of relevant articles and reviews, conference proceedings and ongoing trial databases.

Selection criteria
Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) or controlled clinical trials (CCTs) comparing MIS and open surgery for the treatment of solid intra-thoracic or intra-abdominal neoplasms in children (aged 0 to 18 years).

Data collection and analysis
Two authors performed the study selection independently.
Main results

No studies that met the inclusion criteria of this review were identified.

Authors’ conclusions

No RCTs or CCTs evaluating MIS in the treatment of solid intra-thoracic or intra-abdominal neoplasms in children could be identified, therefore no definitive conclusions could be made about the effects of MIS in these patients. Based on the currently available evidence we are not able to give recommendations for the use of MIS in the treatment of solid intra-thoracic or intra-abdominal neoplasms in children. More high quality studies (RCTs and/or CCTs) are needed. To accomplish this, centres specialising in MIS in children should collaborate.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Minimally invasive surgery (MIS) compared to open surgery for the treatment of solid tumours located in the chest or the abdomen of children

MIS is an upcoming new surgical technique, which is used as a diagnostic instrument (i.e. to retrieve biopsies) and is also used for the resection of tumours. However, there is limited experience with the use of MIS for the resection of tumours in children.

This systematic review focused on (randomised) controlled studies. The authors could not identify any randomised controlled trials (RCTs) or controlled clinical trials (CCTs) on this subject to support the therapeutic use of MIS in children with solid tumours in the chest or abdomen. More high quality studies are needed.

BACKGROUND

Minimally invasive surgery (MIS) is an accepted surgical technique for the treatment of a variety of benign diseases. After the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy, other surgical procedures such as appendectomy, fundoplication, splenectomy and nephrectomy were soon performed with the use of MIS (Bax 2005; Georgeson 2000; Georgeson 2003; Johnson 1997; Schmidt 2007; Ure 2000). In the short term, MIS showed postoperative advantages compared to open surgery, i.e. less pain, a shorter duration of postoperative ileus and better pulmonary function, leading to a more rapid recovery and shorter hospital stay (Bax 2005; Leung 2004; Milsom 1998).

In patients with cancer, the use of MIS is progressing. Although randomised studies in adult cancer patients have increasingly been published, in general it still remains controversial whether MIS will be the appropriate technique for the resection of many types of neoplasms with regard to long-term survival rates. Prospective studies comparing laparoscopy with laparotomy in adults in regard to resection of colon carcinoma initially showed short-term postoperative advantages (Leung 2004; Milsom 1998). However, the development of port-site metastases (Berends 1994; Lacy 2002) concerned surgeons as to the safety of tumour clearance through port sites, as did the long-term survival after laparoscopic resection as a consequence of this phenomenon (Lacy 2002). It became clear that any initial excitement had to await the results of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) that reported on longer-term follow-up data, i.e. overall survival (OS) and event-free survival (EFS). Lacy et al showed that the laparoscopic approach might have survival advantages over the conventional method, but this difference did not reach statistical significance (Lacy 2002). A meta-analysis by Liang et al showed that compared to open resection for colorectal cancer the laparoscopic approach did not increase the rates of overall recurrence, local recurrence, distant metastases and port or wound-site recurrences (Liang 2008). In a trial comprising 1248 adult patients randomly assigned to either laparoscopic or open resection for colon cancer, a small difference in disease-free survival at three years in favour of open colectomy could not be ruled out (Buunen 2009).

The role of MIS in children with solid neoplasms is less clear than it is in adults. There is growing experience in the use of MIS as a feasible technique to resect malignancies (Iwanaka 2004; Saenz 1997; Spurbeck 2004). Although the existing studies are all very positive about the growing role MIS may play in treating paediatric solid tumours in the future, most of the assumptions in this direction are based on results from studies in adults. Due to differences in tumour biology in children, and in the treatment
and prognosis of paediatric tumours, the extrapolation of results from studies in adults to children is quite controversial. To date MIS seems mostly to have a reliable diagnostic use in children (Metzelder 2007).

Up to date, therapeutic MIS is increasingly used to treat solid intra-thoracic and intra-abdominal neoplasms in children without (extensive) evidence (Al-Shanafey 2008; Castilho 2002; Leclair 2007; Warmann 2003). This is an update of the first systematic review evaluating the state of evidence on this topic, focusing on randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and controlled clinical trials (CCTs).

**OBJECTIVES**

**Primary objective**

To ascertain the differences in outcome between the minimally invasive and open approach in the treatment of solid intra-abdominal or intra-thoracic neoplasms in children regarding overall survival (OS), event-free survival (EFS), port-site metastases and recurrence rate.

**Secondary objective**

To ascertain the differences in surgical morbidity between the minimally invasive and open approach.

**METHODS**

**Criteria for considering studies for this review**

**Types of studies**

Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) or controlled clinical trials (CCTs) comparing minimally invasive surgery (MIS) and open surgery for the treatment of intra-thoracic or intra-abdominal solid neoplasms. A CCT is a study that compares one or more intervention groups to one or more control groups (Higgins 2005).

**Types of participants**

Children (aged 0 to 18 years at diagnosis) with solid intra-thoracic or intra-abdominal neoplasms who were treated with MIS or open surgery (irrespective of previous therapy).

**Types of interventions**

MIS (laparoscopy or thoracoscopy) compared to open surgery (laparotomy or thoracotomy).

**Types of outcome measures**

**Primary outcomes**

- Overall survival (OS): defined as the time from surgery to death from any cause.
- Event-free survival (EFS): as defined by the authors of the original study.
- Port-site metastases: defined as tumour recurrence in trocar sites or surgical wounds.
- Recurrence rate: defined as the rate of either local or distant recurrence.

**Secondary outcome**

- Surgical morbidity, with regard to length of operation, intra-operative blood loss, postoperative complications (such as wound infection and bleeding), restart of oral intake, pain score and length of hospital stay.

**Search methods for identification of studies**

We searched the following electronic databases: MEDLINE/PubMed (from 1966 to February 2011), EMBASE/Ovid (from 1980 to February 2011) and CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2011, Issue 1). The search strategies for the different electronic databases (using a combination of controlled vocabulary and text word terms) are shown in the Appendices (Appendix 1; Appendix 2; Appendix 3). We located information about trials not registered in MEDLINE, EMBASE or CENTRAL, either published or unpublished, by searching the reference lists of relevant articles and reviews. We also scanned the conference proceedings of the International Society for Paediatric Oncology (SIOP) and American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) from 2003 to 2010, if available electronically and otherwise by handsearching. We searched for ongoing studies in the ISRCTN Register and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) register on www.controlled-trials.com (searched in February 2011). We imposed no language restriction.

**Data collection and analysis**

**Study identification**
After employing the search strategy described previously, two authors independently undertook identification of studies meeting the inclusion criteria. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus; no third party arbitration was needed. Any study seemingly meeting the inclusion criteria on the grounds of title, abstract, or both, was obtained in full for closer inspection. We clearly stated details of reasons for exclusion of any study considered for the review (see the Characteristics of excluded studies table).

**Risk of bias in included studies**
If eligible studies had been identified, two independent authors would have assessed the risk of bias in these studies according to the criteria of the Cochrane Childhood Cancer Group (Module CCG). However, since no eligible studies were identified the assessment of the risk of bias was not applicable.

**Data extraction**
Since no eligible studies were identified, data extraction by two independent authors using a standardised form could not be performed.

**Data analyses**
No eligible studies were identified. As a result, data analyses could not be performed.

**RESULTS**

**Description of studies**
See: Characteristics of excluded studies.

After performing the searches of the electronic databases of MEDLINE/PubMed, EMBASE/Ovid and CENTRAL, we identified 378 references (129 in the update). Initial screening of the titles and/or abstracts excluded 372 references which clearly did not meet all criteria for considering studies for this review. We obtained six articles in full. However, these studies were not randomised controlled trials (RCTs) or controlled clinical trials (CCTs) evaluating MIS in children with solid intra-thoracic or intra-abdominal neoplasms and were thus not eligible for inclusion in this review (see the Characteristics of excluded studies table). Scanning the reference lists of relevant studies and reviews, and scanning the conference proceedings of SIOP and ASCO, did not identify any other eligible studies. Scanning the ongoing trials databases did not identify any eligible (ongoing) studies.

In summary, our search did not identify any eligible RCTs or CCTs evaluating MIS for the treatment of solid intra-thoracic or intra-abdominal neoplasms in children.

**Risk of bias in included studies**
Since no eligible studies were identified, the assessment of the risk of bias in included studies is not applicable.

**Effects of interventions**
Since no eligible studies were identified, the effects of MIS versus open surgery for the treatment of solid intra-thoracic or intra-abdominal neoplasms in children remain unclear.

**DISCUSSION**
Minimally invasive surgery (MIS) is well established for many operative procedures in adults, including biopsies to confirm a diagnosis, staging of malignancies and surgical treatment of malignancies (Buuren 2009; Spurbeck 2004). The use of MIS in the evaluation and treatment of solid neoplasms in children has increased rapidly over the last decade (Al-Shanafey 2008; Castilho 2002; Duarte 2009; Leclair 2007; Metzelder 2007; Sailhamer 2003; Spurbeck 2004; Varlet 2009; Warmann 2003). This is an update of the first systematic review evaluating the current state of evidence on the therapeutic use of MIS in children with solid intra-thoracic or intra-abdominal tumours.

To evaluate the role of MIS in the treatment of solid intra-thoracic and intra-abdominal childhood tumours adequately the best study design, with the highest level of evidence, is a randomised controlled trial (RCT). Unfortunately, we could not identify any such study. We similarly also identified no eligible controlled clinical trials (CCTs).

Even though results from adult RCTs in patients with colon cancer are promising (Buuren 2009; Lacy 2002; Leung 2004), extrapolation of results from studies in adults to children is not possible, given the different tumour biology of adult and paediatric malignancies, and the differences in the therapy and prognosis of cancer in children. For example, the short-term advantages of MIS in adults as compared to the open approach might be less in children, since children recover faster after an open surgical procedure than adults. RCTs in children with solid intra-thoracic or intra-abdominal neoplasms are therefore needed. In the past, a RCT was started to evaluate the role of MIS in children with cancer, but unfortunately this study failed (Ehrlich 2002). Reasons for failing included failure to accrue patients, lack of surgical expertise with MIS procedures within surgical teams and preconceived surgeon bias towards each surgical approach. When using MIS as a new technique, most complications occur during the learning curve (Song 2009); only with experience can the constraints of MIS be overcome. However, in the paediatric field the number of patients is limited, making the learning curve longer. Despite the small size of the abdominal cavity in children, which can restrict adequate
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### CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

**Characteristics of excluded studies** *(ordered by study ID)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Reason for exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duarte 2006</td>
<td>Not a RCT/CCT; case series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehrlich 2002</td>
<td>Not a RCT/CCT; questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwanaka 2004</td>
<td>Not a RCT/CCT; retrospective cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malek 2010</td>
<td>Not a RCT/CCT; retrospective chart review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanberg 2006</td>
<td>Not a RCT/CCT; letter to the editor regarding case reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford 2002</td>
<td>Not a RCT/CCT; retrospective cohort study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCT: randomised controlled trial; CCT: controlled clinical trial
DATA AND ANALYSES

This review has no analyses.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Search strategy for PubMed

1. For the different surgical interventions the following MeSH headings and text words were used:

2. For childhood cancer the following MeSH headings and text words were used:
   (((lymphoma OR lymphom* OR hodgkin OR hodgkin* OR T-cell OR B-cell OR non-hodgkin OR sarcoma OR sarcom* OR sarcoma, Ewing’s OR Ewing’s OR osteosarcoma OR osteosarcom* OR wilm’s tumor OR wilm’s* OR nephroblastom* OR neuroblastoma OR neuroblast* OR rhabdomyosarcoma OR rhabdomyosarcom* OR teratoma OR teratom* OR hepatoma OR hepatom* OR hepatoblastoma OR hepatoblast* OR PNET OR medulloblastoma OR medulloblastom* OR PNET* OR neuroectodermal tumors, primitive OR retinoblastoma OR retinoblast* OR meningioma OR meningiom* OR glioma OR gliom*) OR (pediatric oncology OR paediatric oncology)) OR (childhood cancer OR childhood tumor OR childhood tumors)) OR (brain tumor* OR brain tumour* OR brain neoplasms OR central nervous system neoplasm OR central nervous system neoplasms OR central nervous system tumour* OR central nervous system tumour* OR brain cancer* OR brain neoplasm* OR intracranial neoplasm*)

3. For children the following MeSH headings and text words were used:
   infant OR infan* OR newborn OR newborn* OR new-born* OR baby OR baby* OR babies OR neonat* OR perinat* OR postnat* OR child OR child* OR schoolchild* OR schoolchild OR school child OR school child* OR kid OR kids OR toddler* OR adolescent OR adoles* OR teen* OR boy* OR girl* OR minors OR minors* OR underag* OR under ag* OR juvenil* OR youth* OR kindergart* OR puberty OR puber* OR pubescen* OR prepubescen* OR prepuberty* OR pediatrics OR pediatric* OR paediatric* OR paediatric OR schools OR nursery school* OR preschool* OR pre school* OR primary school OR secondary school OR elementary school OR elementary school OR high school* OR highschool* OR school age OR schoolage OR school age* OR schoolage* OR infancy OR schools, nursery OR infant, newborn

4. For Cochrane RCTs/CCTs the following MeSH headings and text words will be used:
   ((randomized controlled trial[pt]) OR (controlled clinical trial[pt]) OR (randomized[tiab]) OR (placebo[tiab]) OR (drug therapy[sh]) OR (randomly[tiab]) OR (trial[tiab]) OR (groups[tiab])) AND (humans[mh])
   Final search 1 AND 2 AND 3 AND 4
   [pt = publication type; tiab = title, abstract; sh = subject heading; mh = MeSH term; *=one or more characters;RCT = randomized controlled trial; CCT = controlled clinical trial]
Appendix 2. Search strategy for Embase (OVID)

1. For the different surgical interventions the following Emtree terms and text words were used:
   1. (MIS or minimally invasive surgical procedures or minimally invasive surgery or minimal surgical procedure or minimal access surgical procedures or minimal surgical procedures or minimally invasive surgical procedure or minimal access surgical procedure or minimally invasive procedure or minimally invasive procedures).mp.
   2. (laparoscopy or laparoscopies or celioscopy or celioscopies or peritoneoscopy or peritoneoscopies or laparoscopic surgical procedure or laparoscopic surgical procedures or laparoscopic surgery or laparoscopic surgeries).mp.
   3. (laparoscopy or laparoscopies or laparos$ or laparoscopic).mp.
   4. (thoracoscopy or thoracoscopies or pleural thoracoscopic endoscopy or pleural thoracoscopic endoscopies or pleural endoscopy or pleural endoscopies or pleuroscopy or pleuroscopies or thoracoscopic surgical procedure or thoracoscopic surgical procedures or thoracoscopic surgery or thoracoscopic surgeries).mp.
   5. (thoracoscope or thoracoscopies or thoracos$ or thoracoscopic).mp.
   6. (VATS or VATSS or videoendoscopy or videoendoscopies or (video adj assisted thoracoscopic surgery) or (video adj assisted thoracoscopic surgeries) or (video adj assisted thoracic surgery)).mp.
   7. minimally invasive surgery/ or laparoscopy/ or laparoscop$ or thoracoscopy/ or thoracoscope/ or abdominal surgery/ or thorax surgery/ or laparoscopic surgery/ or endoscopic surgery/ or/1-7

2. For childhood cancer the following Emtree terms and text words were used:
   1. (lymphoma or lymphom$ or hodgkin or hodgkin$ or T-cell or B-cell or non-hodgkin).mp.
   2. (sarcoma or sarcom$ or Ewing$ or osteosarcoma or osteosarcom$ or wilm$ tumor or wilm$.mp.
   3. (nephroblastom$ or neuroblastoma or neuroblastom$ or rhabdomyosarcoma or rhabdomyosarcom$ or teratoma or teratom$.mp.
   4. (PNET or medulloblastoma or medulloblastom$ or PNET$ or neuroectodermal tumors or primitive neuroectodermal tumor$ or retinoblastoma or retinoblastom$.mp.
   5. (pediatric oncology or paediatric oncology).mp.
   6. (childhood adj cancer) or (childhood adj tumor) or (childhood adj tumors) or childhood malignancy or (childhood adj malignancies) or childhood neoplasm$.mp.
   7. ((pediatric adj malignancy) or (pediatric adj malignancies) or (paediatric adj malignancy) or (paediatric adj malignancies)).mp.
   8. ((brain adj tumor$) or (brain adj tumour$) or (brain adj neoplasms) or (brain adj cancer$) or brain neoplasm$.mp.
   9. (central nervous system tumor$ or central nervous system neoplasm or central nervous system neoplasms or central nervous system tumour$.mp.
   10. intracranial neoplasm$.mp.
   11. Lymphoma/ or brain tumor/ or central nervous system tumor/ or teratoma/ or sarcoma/ or osteosarcoma/
   12. nephroblastoma/ or neuroblastoma/ or rhabdomyosarcoma/ or hepatoblastoma/ or medulloblastoma/ or neuroectodermal tumor/ or retinoblastoma/ or meningioma/ or meningiom$.mp.
   13. or/1-12

3. For children the following Emtree terms and text words were used:
   1. infant/ or infancy or newborn/ or baby/ or child/ or preschool child/ or school child/
   2. adolescent/ or juvenile/ or boy/ or girl/ or puberty/ or prepuberty/ or pediatrics/
   3. primary school/ or high school/ or kindergarten/ or nursery school/ or school/
   4. or/1-3
   5. (infant$ or newborn$ or (new adj born$) or baby$ or babies or neonate$ or perinat$ or postnat$).mp.
   6. (child$ or (school adj child$) or schoolchild$ or (school adj ages$) or schoolage$ or (pre adj school$) or preschool$.mp.
   7. (kid or kids or toddler$ or adole$ or teen$ or boy$ or girl$).mp.
   8. (minor$ or (under adj age$) or underage$ or juvenile$ or youth$).mp.
   9. (puber$ or pubescen$ or prepubescen$ or prepubert$).mp.
   10. (pediatric$ or paediatric$ or paediatric$.mp.
   11. (school or schools or (high adj school$) or highschool$ or (primary adj school$) or (nursery adj school$) or (elementary adj school) or (secondary adj school$) or kindergar$.mp.
   12. or/5-11
   13. 4 or 12

4. For Cochrane RCTs/CCTSs the following Emtree terms and text words were used:
1. Randomized Controlled Trial/
2. Controlled Clinical Trial/
3. randomized.ti,ab.
4. placebo.ti,ab.
5. randomly.ti,ab.
6. trial.ti,ab.
7. groups.ti,ab.
8. drug therapy.sh.
9. or/1-8
10. Human/
11. 9 and 10

Final search 1 and 2 and 3 and 4

[mp = title, abstract, subject headings, heading word, drug trade name, original title, device manufacturer, drug manufacturer name; sh = subject heading; ti,ab = title, abstract; / = Emtree term; $=zero or more characters ; RCT = randomized controlled trial; CCT = controlled clinical trial]

Appendix 3. Search strategy for Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)

1. For the different surgical interventions the following text words were used:
(MIS OR Minimally Invasive Surgery OR Minimal Access Surgical Procedures OR Minimal Surgical Procedures OR Minimally Invasive Surgical Procedures OR Minimal Surgical Procedure OR minimally invasive surgical procedure OR minimal access surgical procedure OR laparoscopy OR laparoscopies OR laparoscope OR laparoscopes OR laparos* OR laparoscopic OR Celsiopy OR Celioscopes OR Peritoneoncology OR Peritoneoncopes OR Laparoscopic Surgical Procedure OR Laparoscopic Surgical Procedures OR Laparoscopic Surgery OR Laparoscopic Surgeries OR thoracopy OR thoracoscopies OR thoracoscop* OR thoracoscopic OR Pleural Endoscopies OR Pleural Endoscopy OR Pleuroscopy OR Pleuroscopies OR Thoracoscopic Surgical Procedure OR Thoracoscopic Surgeries OR Thoracoscopic Surgical Procedures OR VATS OR VATSS OR Video-Assisted Thoracic Surgeries OR Video-Assisted Thoracic Surgery OR Video Assisted Thoracic Surgery OR Video-Assisted Thoracic Surgery OR Video-Assisted Thoracic Surgeries OR videolaparoscopy OR videolaparoscopies):ti,ab,kw

2. For childhood cancer the following text words were used:
(lymphoma OR lymphom* OR hodgkin OR hodgkin* OR T-cell OR B-cell OR non-hodgkin OR sarcoma OR sarcom* OR Ewing* OR osteosarcom* OR osteosarcoma OR Wilms tumor OR WILMS* OR nephroblast* OR nephroblastoma OR nephroblastoma* OR rhabdomyosarcom* OR rhabdomyosarcoma OR retaroma OR retarom* OR hepato* OR hepatoma OR hepatom* OR hepatoblastoma OR hepatoblastoma* OR PNET OR medulloblastoma OR medulloblastoma* OR PNET* OR primit* OR primitive neuroectodermal tumors OR retinoblastoma OR retinoblastom* OR meningioma OR meningiom* OR glioma OR gliom* OR pediatric oncology OR paediatric oncology OR childhood cancer OR childhood tumor OR childhood tumors OR brain tumor* OR brain tumour* OR brain neoplasms OR central nervous system neoplasm OR central nervous system neoplasms OR central nervous system tumor* OR central nervous system tumour* OR brain cancer* OR brain neoplasm* OR intracranial neoplasm*:ti,ab,kw

3. For children the following text words were used:
(infant OR infant* OR newborn OR newborn* OR new-born* OR baby OR baby* OR babies OR neonat* OR perinat* OR postnart* OR child OR child* OR schoolchild* OR schoolchild or schoolchild or school child OR school child* OR kid OR kids OR toddler* OR adolescent OR adoles* OR teen* OR boy* OR girl* OR minors OR minors* OR underag* OR under age* OR juvenil* OR youth* OR kindergart* OR puberty OR puber* OR pubesc* OR pubescent* OR prepubesc* OR prepuber* OR pediatrics OR pediatric* OR paediatric* OR paediatric OR schools OR nursery school* OR preschool* OR pre school* OR primary school* OR secondary school* OR elementary school* OR elementary school OR high school* OR highschool* OR school age OR school age* OR school age OR school age* OR schoolage* OR infancy):ti,ab,kw

Final search 1 AND 2 AND 3
The search will be performed in title, abstract or keywords
[*=zero or more characters]
**WHAT’S NEW**

Last assessed as up-to-date: 7 August 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 June 2011</td>
<td>New citation required but conclusions have not changed</td>
<td>Unfortunately, no new studies could be included in the review. As a result the conclusions have not changed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 June 2011</td>
<td>New search has been performed</td>
<td>The search for eligible studies was updated to February 8th 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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