Search for new fermions ("quirks") at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider
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One of the possible minimal extensions of the standard model (SM) is an addition of a new unbroken $SU(N)$ gauge group \cite{1, 2} with new fermions, $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$, in the fundamental representation. Such a SM extension has two parameters: the new fermion mass $m_Q$ and the strength of the new $SU(N)$ gauge coupling, infracolor, which can be defined through the scale $\Lambda$ where it becomes strong.

If the new fermions, quirks, carry SM charges, they can be pair-produced at colliders. The production cross-section will scale with $N$, the number of colors in the new gauge group. In principle, the quirks could transform under any $SU(N)$ group, but $SU(2)$, $SU(3)$ and $SU(5)$ are considered explicitly. The phenomenology of such models at hadron colliders in the case $\Lambda \approx m_Q \approx 0.1 – 1$ TeV has been recently studied in \cite{3}, revealing an array of exotic final states that could have eluded previous searches for physics beyond the SM. The defining feature is that breaking of the infracolor string is exponentially suppressed due to the large value of the ratio $m_Q/\Lambda$. Un-
like the SM quarks that immediately fragment into jets of hadrons, the quirk-antiquirk pair stays connected by the infracolor string like a rubber band that can stretch to macroscopic length

\[ L \approx \frac{m_Q}{\Lambda^2} \approx 1 \mu m \left( \frac{m_Q}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right) \left( \frac{\Lambda}{100 \text{ keV}} \right)^{-2}. \]  

In this Letter, we consider a case where quirks have electric charge \((e)\), no strong color charge, \(10 \text{ keV} < \Lambda < 1 \text{ MeV}\), and \(60 \text{ GeV} < m_Q < 1 \text{ TeV}\). This results in state with a decay length of many centimeters and a string size that is too small to be resolved in a detector, but large compared to atomic scales, e.g., for \(m_Q = 100 \text{ GeV}\) the corresponding string size is less than \(100 \mu m\), which is comparable to the resolution of the D0 tracking system. The quirk-antiquirk pair will be reconstructed in the detector as a single highly ionizing track. Since the net charge of the string is zero, the track will be straight. Its experimental signature will resemble that of a single very energetic muon. However, due to low \(\beta\), the pair will often reach the muon chamber outside of the muon trigger time window. If it is produced in association with a high \(E_T\) jet from initial state radiation, the event can be triggered and the track reconstructed. The signature which we consider is thus a high transverse momentum track with a large ionization-energy loss rate \((dE/dx)\), a jet, and missing transverse energy \((E_T)\) aligned with the track.

Several collider experiments have searched for highly ionizing slowly moving particles, and set limits on pair production of stable sleptons \([4]\), stop quarks \([5]\) and charginos \([6,7]\). Although some of the searches \([5,8]\) were used to set an upper limit on the production cross section for the offline analysis. The events used in this analysis were recorded using a jet trigger requiring in addition a substantial missing transverse energy calculated using the sum of the jet momenta \((E_T = \sum \vec{p}_T)\). Jets used in this analysis are reconstructed using the iterative midpoint cone algorithm \([12]\) with a cone size of 0.5. Jets must satisfy quality criteria which suppress background from leptons, photons, and detector noise effects.

A modified \([3]\) MadGraph \([13]\) event generator with PYTHIA \([14]\) fragmentation and hadronization is used to simulate signal events, which are further processed with a GEANT-based \([15]\) D0 detector simulation and the same reconstruction as the data. We investigate quirk masses \((m_Q)\) in the range between 60 and 160 GeV and require that the jet from initial state radiation has a transverse momentum \(p_T > 65 \text{ GeV}\). In the simulation, quirks are treated as non-interacting particles and their energy loss in the SMT is calculated outside of GEANT. In the scenario considered in this study, the quirk-antiquirk pair forms a mesoscopic system. They follow a trajectory which is a classical superposition of the motion of the center of mass \((\text{c.o.m.)}\) of the quirk-antiquirk system and the motion of the quirk \((\text{or the antiquirk})\) in the c.o.m. frame. The distribution of the velocities of the quirk-antiquirk system is very wide and peaks at \(\beta \sim 0.8 \pm 0.2\) for \(m_Q = 60\) \((160)\) GeV. In order to estimate the specific energy loss \(dE/dx\) of the quirk-antiquirk pair, we integrate the energy deposition of each quirk along its trajectory and normalize the sum of both contributions by the corresponding displacement of the c.o.m. The superposition of both particles and such nontrivial kinematics result in a large ionization \((\geq 15 \text{ MeV/cm})\) with a distribution that is substantially wider than that of a lepton or a hadron. To simulate the effect of detector resolution and instrumental noise, we smear the calculated \(dE/dx\) with the width of the \(dE/dx\) distribution of muons from the Z boson decays measured in data. We simulate an effect of lost hits due to saturation that happens at \(\Delta E = \frac{dE/dx \sin^2 \theta}{\cos^2 \theta} \simeq \frac{4 \text{ MeV}}{\cos^2 \theta}\), where \(l = 300 \mu m\) is the thickness of a silicon wafer and where \(\theta\) is the polar angle with respect to the proton beam direction and the quirk-antiquirk trajectory.

The main SM backgrounds to the quirk signal are as-
associated production of jets with a W boson and multi-jet events. We select candidate events that have exactly one jet with $p_T > 75$ GeV and $|\eta| < 1.6$ [16] and $E_T > 50$ GeV. Events with additional jets with $p_T > 25$ GeV are rejected to suppress multi-jet background. We require a track in the fiducial region of the central tracker with $|\eta| < 1.6$ and $p_T > 40$ GeV. While the quirk-antiquirk pair is expected to follow a straight trajectory, we allow for a non-zero reconstructed track curvature and require $p_T > 40$ GeV. The track should have at least four hits in the SMT and at least 12 hits in the CFT in order to suppress background from fake tracks. Multi-jet events are further rejected by applying the following isolation criteria. The sum of the $p_T$ of all other tracks in a cone of radius $R = \sqrt{(\Delta\phi)^2 + (\Delta\eta)^2} < 0.5$ around the candidate track must be less than 2.5 GeV. A similar isolation condition is applied for the total transverse energy measured in the calorimeter cells in a hollow cone of radius $0.1 < R < 0.4$ around the candidate track; this energy must be less than 2.5 GeV. We require the track to be back-to-back in the transverse plane with the jet, $|\Delta\phi(trk, jet)| > 2.5$.

In order to suppress the $W(\rightarrow \ell\nu)+$jet background, we apply a cut on the azimuthal angle between the track and the missing transverse momentum, $|\Delta\phi(trk, E_T)| < 0.5$. We determine muon (electron) distributions of $dE/dx$ from $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ ($e^+e^-$) data events. In these events we require at least one of the leptons to have an associated track that passes all signal selection criteria and an invariant mass of the two leptons consistent with the $Z$ boson mass peak. The normalized distributions of $dE/dx$ along such tracks are shown in Fig. 1.

The background events where a candidate track is produced by a charged hadron come from multi-jet events with incorrectly reconstructed $E_T$. We construct the $dE/dx$ distribution for these events from tracks found inside a jet ($R < 0.5$) with $p_T > 40$ GeV and $|\eta| < 1.6$. The track is required to pass the signal selection, including the track isolation requirement, and to originate from the same vertex as the jet ($|z_{jet} - z_{trk}| < 1$ cm [16]).

Another background comes from fake tracks reconstructed from hit patterns due to combinatoric ambiguities. To determine the shape of the $dE/dx$ distribution, we use the same event selection criteria as those used for hadrons, except the requirement of zero CFT hits to suppress contribution from real charged particles (see Fig. 1). The shape of the $dE/dx$ distribution for the fake tracks is significantly different from that for leptons and hadrons since crossing angles for such tracks are mismeasured and thus the thickness per layer crossed is incorrectly estimated.

Fig. 2 shows the comparison between the data and the average of only the lepton and hadron backgrounds (without fake tracks), normalized to the region $dE/dx < 13 \text{ MeV/cm}$, and the predicted quirk signal for two mass values. We observe no excess of highly ionizing tracks above the expected SM contribution and set limits on the quirk production cross-section.

We optimize the $dE/dx$ cut to achieve the lowest 95% C.L. expected limit for each quirk mass. The efficiency of the track quality requirement is obtained from $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ data events. Systematic uncertainties on the signal efficiency come from the combination of the uncertainties in modeling di-quirk $dE/dx$, trigger turn-on, and track quality, and are listed in Table I. We take the largest variation of individual lepton and hadron backgrounds from their average as a systematic uncertainty on the background.

We observe an excess of data at low $dE/dx$ with respect to the lepton and hadron-only background (see Fig 2). A fit of the data to a sum of fake track and SM background improves the agreement at low $dE/dx$. However, in the absence of signal we disregard the potential contribution from fake tracks to the events being considered as quirk candidates.

We perform a counting experiment in the region where $dE/dx$ is larger than a $m_Q$ dependent threshold, given in Table I, and set an upper limit on the quirk production cross section at the 95% C.L. Limits are calculated using the modified frequentist approach [17] and are consistent with expectation (Fig. 3 and Table I).

To summarize, we have performed a search for single highly ionizing tracks in events with an energetic jet and large missing transverse energy. This is the first study of this final state and the first search for quirk-antiquirk production. We find no excess of highly ionizing tracks and exclude charged quirks of mass up to 107 GeV, 119 GeV, and 133 GeV at the 95% C.L. with strong dynamics scale $\Lambda$ in the range from 10 keV to 1 MeV for $SU(2)$, $SU(3)$, and $SU(5)$ gauge sectors, respec-

![FIG. 1: (color online) Distribution of $dE/dx$ for electrons, muons, hadrons, and tracks made of random hits (fake tracks). Each distribution is normalized to unity and the last bin contains overflow events. The shaded area represents both the statistical and the systematic uncertainties.](image-url)
TABLE I: Results for each simulated quirk mass: $dE/dx$ requirement, number of events in data, number of predicted background events, overall signal efficiency, quirk plus jet production rate, and observed and expected 95% C.L. upper limits on the signal cross section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_q$ (GeV)</th>
<th>$dE/dx$ cut (MeV/cm)</th>
<th>$N_{data}$</th>
<th>$N_{bkg} \pm \text{syst}$</th>
<th>Efficiency $\pm \text{syst}$ (%)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{SU(N)}$ (fb)</th>
<th>$N = 2$</th>
<th>$N = 3$</th>
<th>$N = 5$</th>
<th>Limit obs. (exp.) (fb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$5 \pm 1$</td>
<td>$11 \pm 2.0$</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1.9 \pm 0.8$</td>
<td>$9.9 \pm 2.1$</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0.9 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$9.2 \pm 1.9$</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0.9 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$8.4 \pm 1.7$</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0.9 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$6.9 \pm 1.4$</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0.9 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$5.6 \pm 1.1$</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22 (31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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FIG. 2: (color online) Distribution of $dE/dx$ for candidate tracks in 4632 data events with overlaid background normalized to the number of events in data with $dE/dx < 13$ MeV/cm. The shaded area represents the combined background uncertainty. The quirk signal is shown for two masses, $m_q = 80$ (160) GeV, and normalized to the expected number of events, 13 (0.53). The last bin contains overflow events. The shaded area represents both the statistical and the systematic uncertainties.

FIG. 3: (color online) Observed and expected 95% C.L. limits on $\sigma(Q\bar{Q} + jet)$ for $SU(2)$, $SU(3)$ and $SU(5)$ gauge sectors. The band shows $\pm 1$ standard deviation of the median expected limit.


[16] Pseudorapidity $\eta$ is defined as $\eta = -\ln \tan \theta/2$, where $\theta$ is the polar angle with respect to the proton beam direction ($z$).