Theory of optically forbidden d-d transitions in strongly correlated crystals
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Abstract

A general multiband formulation of linear and non-linear optical response functions for realistic models of correlated crystals is presented. Dipole forbidden d-d optical transitions originate from the vertex functions, which we consider assuming locality of irreducible four-leg vertex. The unified formulation for second- and third-order response functions in terms of the three-leg vertex is suitable for practical calculations in solids. We illustrate the general approach by consideration of intraatomic spin-flip contributions, with the energy of $2J$, where $J$ is a Hund exchange, in the simplest two-orbital model.
From the physical point of view the most of natural mineral dyes are the Mott or charge-transfer insulators and their colors are determined essentially by correlation effects\textsuperscript{1}. If a material has no energy gap or its value is smaller than the energy of visual light, it will be non-transparent, a black one or with metallic shine. In the case of broad-gap materials the absorption of visual light is determined by impurities (ruby, that is, Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} doped with Cr\textsuperscript{3+} ions is a prototype example\textsuperscript{1}) or by optically (dipole) forbidden d-d transitions between different terms and multiplets belonging to the same d\textsuperscript{n}-configurations of transition metal ions for a pure system. The latter processes are responsible for a green color of NiO\textsuperscript{2} and blue color of most of the divalent copper insulating compounds\textsuperscript{3}. These systems are usually colored and transparent and the transparency itself is a manifestation of dipole-forbidden character of relevant optical transitions.

Up to now the optical properties of Mott or charge transfer insulators are considered within the framework of cluster approaches\textsuperscript{4,5}. The present paper develops a general translationally invariant formalism to treat the d-d transitions in strongly correlated crystals. It is commonly accepted now that the standard LDA(GGA) approach is insufficient to describe the electronic structure of the Mott insulators\textsuperscript{6}. To have more adequate picture of single-electron spectra various approaches have been applied to the problem such as LDA+U\textsuperscript{7}, self-interaction corrections\textsuperscript{8} and the GW-scheme\textsuperscript{9}. However, all these approaches do not provide the correct atomic limit and in particular do not take into account the term and multiplet structure, which is crucial for optics. This problem can be solved within the LDA+DMFT (dynamical mean-field theory)\textsuperscript{10,11} and the Hubbard-I approximation\textsuperscript{11,12}. There were several attempts to calculate the optical properties within the LDA+DMFT using the Kubo formula for optical conductivity\textsuperscript{13-15}. However, in all these calculations the vertex contributions were not taken into account and the two-particle Green functions were calculated as a convolution of two single-particle Green functions (for review of the LDA+DMFT see\textsuperscript{16}). The latter contains only transitions related to the promotion of d-electrons to the p-band with the change of transition-metal configurations from d\textsuperscript{n} to d\textsuperscript{n±1} and thus this approach is not sufficient to explain why NiO is green.

In the DMFT approach the self-energy is local which leads to a cancellation of vertex corrections in the single-band Hubbard model\textsuperscript{17}. However, this is not the case for a generic multiband situation, similar to a treatment of optical properties of disordered alloys in the coherent-potential approximation (CPA)\textsuperscript{18}. Here we present the corresponding formulation
for linear and non-linear optical response functions.

We start with the general expression for linear optical conductivity

$$\sigma_{ab}(i\omega) = \frac{e^2}{\omega} T^2 \sum_{\nu, \nu'} \sum_{1234} \langle 4 | v_a | 1 \rangle \langle 2 | v_b | 3 \rangle \chi_{1234}(i\nu, i\nu', i\omega)$$

(1)

where $\chi_{1234}$ is a generalized susceptibility in a quasistatic basis set $|1\rangle = |iL\sigma\rangle$, where $i, L, \sigma$ label sites, orbital quantum numbers and spin projections, respectively, $v_a$ is the electron velocity operator ($a = x, y, z$), and we use the Matsubara Green functions; at the end of calculations the analytical continuation $i\omega \rightarrow \omega + i\epsilon$ should be performed.

The two-particle Green function $\tilde{\chi}$ is expressed in terms of the single-particle Green function $G$ and the irreducible vertex function $\Gamma$ as

$$\chi_{1234}(i\nu, i\nu', i\omega) = -G_{12}(i\nu')G_{34}(i\nu)\delta_{\nu, \nu' + \omega} - T \sum_{\nu''} G_{15}(i\nu')G_{84}(i\nu)\Gamma_{5678}(i\nu, i\nu'', i\omega)\chi_{6237}(i\nu'', i\nu', i\omega)$$

(2)

which can be written in the matrix form in the fermionic Matsubara frequencies $(i\nu, i\nu')$ and the pairs of electron quantum numbers $(14, 23)$ as

$$\tilde{\chi} = \tilde{\chi}_0 + \tilde{\chi}_0\tilde{\Gamma}\tilde{\chi}$$

(4)

where $\tilde{\chi}_0 = -\hat{G}^*\hat{G}$. Within the DMFT approximation the self-energy $\hat{\Sigma}(i\omega)$ is local, that is, diagonal in site indices and $k$-independent in the momentum representation. In addition we will assume a locality of the irreducible vertex function $\hat{\Gamma}$; this is the only approximation we add. Then, it can be obtained from the local version of Eq.(4):

$$\hat{\Gamma} = \hat{\chi}_0^{-1} - \hat{\chi}_0^{-1}\hat{\chi}_{\text{loc}}$$

(5)

where $\hat{\chi}_{\text{loc},0}, \hat{\chi}_{\text{loc}}$ are matrices in the Matsubara frequencies and pairs of orbital and spin indices, all site indices are supposed to be the same. Both single-particle and two-particle on-site Green functions can be found numerically exactly using full diagonalization scheme or continuous-time Quantum Monte Carlo method.

Thus, the optical conductivity (1) can be expressed in the following form:

$$\sigma_{ab}(i\omega) = \frac{e^2}{\omega} T \sum_{\nu} \sum_k \sum_{1234} \langle 4k | e^{ijf}(i\nu, i\omega) | 1k \rangle G_{12}(k,i\nu) \langle 2k | v_b | 3k \rangle G_{34}(k,i\nu + i\omega)$$

(6)
Figure 1: (Color online) Diagrammatic representation of Eq.(6); thick lines are the exact Green functions, dot is the bare matrix element $\langle 1k | v_a | 2k \rangle$ and dot with triangle corresponds to $\langle 1k | v_a^{\text{eff}}(i\nu, i\omega) | 2k \rangle$.

where b1234) are orbital and spin indices only and the effective matrix element (three-leg vertex) satisfies the equation

$$
\langle 4k | v_a^{\text{eff}}(i\nu, i\omega) | 1k \rangle = \langle 4k | v_a | 1k \rangle - T \sum_{k'} \sum_{k''} \langle 3k' | v_a^{\text{eff}}(i\nu', i\omega) | 2k'' \rangle G_{25}(k', i\nu') G_{63}(k, i\nu' + i\omega) \Gamma_{5146}(i\nu', i\nu, i\omega)
$$

Diagrammatically Eq.(6) is shown in Fig.1.

The effective matrix element $\langle 1k | v_a^{\text{eff}}(i\nu, i\omega) | 2k \rangle$ is convenient since its use allows us to present the linear and non-linear response functions in the unified form. For example, the non-linear optical susceptibility describing a second harmonic generation can be exactly represented as a sum of diagrams shown in Figs.2a and 2b.

The corresponding analytical expression for Fig.2a reads

$$
\chi_{abc}(i\omega, i\omega, 2i\omega) = \frac{e^2}{\omega^2} T \sum_{k} \sum_{\nu} \sum_{k''} \langle 6k | v_a^{\text{eff}}(i\nu, i\omega) | 1k \rangle G_{12}(k, i\nu) G_{34}(k, i\nu + i\omega) \langle 4k | v_a^{\text{eff}}(i\nu - i\omega, 2i\omega) | 5k \rangle G_{56}(k, i\nu - i\omega)
$$

The calculations of magnetic susceptibility in the one-band Hubbard model\textsuperscript{23} shows that the contributions of the six-leg vertex are small; one can hope that this is also the case for the second harmonic generations and thus Eq.(8) will be sufficient for real calculations.

We proceed with the multiband Hubbard model with the Hamiltonian

$$
H = \sum_{i \sigma \alpha} \epsilon_i c^+_i \sigma \alpha c_i \sigma + \sum_{i \neq j \ \alpha \beta} t^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} c^+_i \alpha \sigma c_j \beta \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \sigma \tau} U_{m1, m2, m3, m4} c^+_i \sigma \alpha c^+_j \tau \beta c_i \tau \alpha c_j \beta \sigma c_{m3} \sigma \tau c_{m2} \tau \sigma
$$
Figure 2: (Color online) Diagrammatic representation of the non-linear susceptibility for second harmonic generation; thick lines are the exact Green functions, dot with triangle corresponds to \( \langle 1k | e^{ij}(iv, i\omega) | 2k \rangle \) and the shadowed hexagon is the irreducible six-leg vertex.

To clarify a physical meaning of vertex corrections to the response functions we discuss first exactly solvable model of two sites with two orbitals \((i = (1, 2), m = (1, 2))\). The corresponding rotationally invariant interaction matrix is parametrized by the Hubbard energy \(U\) and the Hund exchange parameter \(J\) as

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{m_1 m_2 m_1 m_1} &= U \\
U_{m_1 m_2 m_1 m_2} &= U - 2J \\
U_{m_1 m_2 m_2 m_1} &= J
\end{align*}
\]

\((m_1 \neq m_2)\). The dimension of the Hilbert space is equal to \(2^8\) so \(\hat{\chi}\) and \(\hat{G}\) can be easily found by exact diagonalization. The results for \(\text{Im}\hat{\chi}/\omega \propto \text{Re}\hat{\sigma}(\omega)\) for real (not Matsubara) frequencies are shown in Fig.3 and Fig.4.

As one can see from the density of states the single-particle transitions which manifest themselves in \(\hat{\chi}_0\) starts at the frequency \(\omega \geq 1\) corresponding to the distance between the highest occupied and the lowest unoccupied orbitals. For small enough hopping (Fig.3a) there is a lot of peaks at smaller energies which originate from the poles of the vertex functions and represent the optically forbidden transitions in our toy model. In particular, a transition with \(\omega = 2J = 0.4\) is clearly visible for intraband intersite transitions \((11) \rightarrow (21)\). The transition corresponds to local intraatomic spin flip processes. It is visible in optical (summed up over spins) susceptibility, since the Coulomb interaction matrix couples spin-up and spin-down states. An interesting and unexpected result of the toy model is that for a moderate hopping (Fig.3b) all these local term effects disappear.
Figure 3: (Color online) Components of generalized susceptibility $\text{Im}\chi_{\text{im},\text{jm}';\text{im},\text{jm}'}/\omega$ summed up over $(\sigma,\sigma')$ for two-site two-band model with $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 0$, $U = 1$, $J = 0.2$, $t_{12} = t_{21} = 0.05$; (a): $t_{11} = t_{22} = 0.2$; (b) $t_{11} = t_{22} = 0.5$. Solid red curve corresponds to intrasite interband transitions $im = (11)$, $jm' = (12)$, dashed blue curve – to intersite intraband transitions $im = (11)$, $jm' = (21)$, and the dotted green curve – to intersite interband $im = (11)$, $jm' = (22)$. We use the spectral representation with the $\text{Im}\omega = 0.05$ and the temperature is $T = 0.05$. Energies are in the units of $U$.

Now we present the main part of our work related with the two-band lattice model with the use of local approximation for vertex $\hat{\Gamma}$ as describes above. The calculations have been done for the square lattice in the nearest neighbour approximation. The local vertex function has been obtained from Eq.(5) by exact diagonalization calculations of $\hat{\chi}$ and $\hat{G}$. If the exact diagonalization would be performed for intraatomic Coulomb interaction Hamiltonian only, this would correspond to the Hubbard I approximation$^{11}$. To go beyond this, in spirit of the DMFT$^{17}$, we have added one more orbital to the bath.

Despite simplicity of the model our calculations turned out to be rather time and memory consuming due to the inversion of $\hat{\chi}$-matrices depending of two Matsubara frequencies $(i\nu,i\nu')$. To reach convergence, we had to use about one hundred frequencies and $20 \times 20$ \textit{k}-points. The computational results are shown in Fig.5. One can see that $\hat{\chi}_0$ has only one pronounced peak at $\omega = U$ corresponding to the transition from the lower to upper Hubbard bands. At the same time, $\hat{\chi}$ has an additional peak within the gap of the single-particle excitation spectrum at the maximum around $\omega = 2J = 0.4$. This maximum originates from the spin-multiplet structure of the $d^2$ configuration.
Figure 4: (Color online) Density of single-particle states for the same parameters as in Fig.3. Energies are in the units of $U$.

Figure 5: (Color online) Intrasite, interband components of $\text{Im} \tilde{\chi}/\omega \propto \text{Re} \sigma(\omega)$ (solid red curve) and $\text{Im} \tilde{\chi}_0/\omega \propto \text{Re} \sigma_0(\omega)$ (dashed blue curve) for the square lattice in the nearest-neighbour approximation, with the same parameters as in Fig.3a. Energies are in the units of $U$.

To conclude, we present a general formalism which allows to consider term and multiplet effects on linear and non-linear optical properties of multiband strongly correlated systems. For a two-band model the computational results are quite reasonable and one can hope that the scheme can be applied to the first-principle investigations of realistic systems in the spirit of the LDA+DMFT. The approach can be apply for other response functions such as magnetic susceptibilities and STM-spectroscopy where the transition with energy $\omega = 2J$ have been recently observed for Mn-chains on Pt surface\textsuperscript{25}.
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