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Ultrafast Path for Optical Magnetization Reversal via a Strongly Nonequilibrium State
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Using time-resolved single-shot pump-probe microscopy we unveil the mechanism and the time scale of all-optical magnetization reversal by a single circularly polarized 100 fs laser pulse. We demonstrate that the reversal has a linear character, i.e., does not involve precession but occurs via a strongly nonequilibrium state. Calculations show that the reversal time which can be achieved via this mechanism is within 10 ps for a 30 nm domain. Using two single subpicosecond laser pulses we demonstrate that for a 5 μm domain the magnetic information can be recorded and readout within 30 ps, which is the fastest “write-read” event demonstrated for magnetic recording so far.
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The fundamental and practical limit of the speed of magnetization reversal is a subject of vital importance for magnetic recording and information processing technologies as well as one of the most intriguing questions of modern magnetism [1–8]. The conventional way to reverse the magnetization M is to apply a magnetic field H antiparallel to M. In this collinear M-H geometry the reversal occurs via precession accompanied by damping that channels the associated angular momentum into the lattice. Although this process is perfectly deterministic, it is also unavoidably slow, typically of the order of nanoseconds, due to the required angular momentum transfer [8].

Alternatively, the driving field can be applied orthogonal to M, so that the created torque [M × H] leads to a rapid change of the angular momentum and a possible switching of the magnetization [1,3,4,9]. However, such precessional switching requires a magnetic field pulse precisely tuned to half of the precession period. The fastest precessional reversal demonstrated so far using an external magnetic field [1,5] or a spin-polarized current [6,7,10] is limited to 100 ps. Moreover, it has been shown that for field pulses shorter than 2.3 ps such a switching becomes nondeterministic [5,11].

Ultrafast laser-induced heating of a magnetic material is known to stimulate the transfer of angular momentum from spins to lattice on a femtosecond time scale [12,13]. It has been recently demonstrated that a 40 fs, circularly polarized, laser pulse is able to reverse magnetization in a collinear M-H geometry [14], as if it acts as an equally short magnetic field pulse \( H_{\text{eff}} \sim (E \times E') \) (where E is the electric field of light) pointing along the direction of light [15]. Although this experiment showed the intriguing possibility of triggering magnetization reversal with a subpicosecond stimulus, the relevant time scales and mechanism of such an optically induced magnetization reversal are still unanswered questions, since a precessional switching within 40 fs would require enormous effective magnetic fields above \( 10^5 \) T and unrealistically strong damping. To address these questions we used femtosecond single-shot time-resolved optical imaging of magnetic structures and multiscale modeling beyond the macro-spin approximation. The combination of these advanced experimental and theoretical methods unveiled an ultrafast linear pathway for magnetization reversal that does not involve precession but occurs via a strongly nonequilibrium state.

In our experiments the amorphous ferrimagnetic 20 nm Gd<sub>24</sub>Fe<sub>100−x−y</sub>Coy films with perpendicular anisotropy [14] were excited by a single circularly polarized laser pulse (FWHM of about 100 fs, a central wavelength at \( \lambda_0 = 800 \) nm). A single linearly polarized probe pulse (FWHM = 100 fs, \( \lambda_0 = 640 \) nm) delayed with respect to the pump was used for ultrafast imaging of the magnetic domain structure by means of the magneto-optical Faraday effect. Magnetic domains with magnetization parallel (“up”) or antiparallel (“down”) to the sample normal are seen as white or black regions, respectively, in an image on a CCD camera [16]. After each “write-read” event, the initial magnetic state was restored by applying a magnetic field pulse. Taking images of the magnetic structure for different delays between the pump and probe pulses we were able to visualize the ultrafast dynamics of the laser-induced magnetic changes in the material.

Figure 1(a) shows images of magnetic domains in a Gd<sub>24</sub>Fe<sub>66</sub>Coy,9.5 sample at different delays after excitation by right- (\( \sigma^+ \)) or left-handed (\( \sigma^- \)) circularly polarized pulses. The images were obtained for both types of domains with initial magnetization up and down. In the first...
This happens due to the laser-induced heating of the sample followed by slow electron-phonon coupling $G_{el-ph} = 1.7 \times 10^{18} \text{ J/K s}$. The simulations show that in the first 100 fs the electron temperature $T_e$ increases from 300 K up to $T_e^*$ and relaxes with a time constant of 0.5 ps down to the vicinity of $T_C$. Simultaneously the spins experience a short pulse of effective magnetic field with amplitude $H_{eff} = 20$ T and duration $\Delta t_{eff}$. The possibility of magnetization reversal under these circumstances has been analyzed numerically for a volume of $30 \times 30 \times 30 \text{ nm}^3$. The results of the simul-
tions are plotted in Fig. 2(a) as a phase diagram, defining the combinations of $T_{\text{el}}^*$ and $\Delta t_{\text{eff}}$ for which switching occurs for the given $H_{\text{eff}}$. The assumed perpendicular anisotropy value was $K_u = 6.05 \times 10^5 \text{ J/m}^3$ at 300 K. As can be seen from the diagram, a field pulse as short as $\Delta t_{\text{eff}} = 250$ fs can reverse the magnetization. For better insight into the reversal process we simulated the latter for $\Delta t_{\text{eff}} = 250$ fs and $T_{\text{el}}^* = 1130$ K. The result is plotted in Fig. 2(b), showing that, already after 250 fs, the effective fields of two different polarities bring the medium into two different states, while the magnetization is nearly quenched within less than 0.5 ps. This is followed by relaxation either to the initial state or to the state with reversed magnetization, achieved already within 10 ps. The considered pulse duration $\Delta t_{\text{eff}}$ of 250 fs is only 2.5 times larger than the FWHM of the optical pulse in our experiments [25] and well within the estimated lifetime of a medium excitation responsible for $H_{\text{eff}}$. Importantly, in simulations $\Delta t_{\text{eff}}$ was found to be sensitive to the parameters of the two-temperature model. In particular, an increase of $G_{\text{el-ph}}$ leads to a reduction of the minimum field pulse duration. This shows that the suggested mechanism may, in principle, explain the experimentally observed laser-induced magnetization reversal. This magnetization reversal does not involve precession; instead, it occurs via a linear reversal mechanism, where the magnetization first vanishes and then reappears in a direction of $H_{\text{eff}}$, avoiding any transverse magnetization components, just as seen in Fig. 1(a). Exactly as in the experiments, the initial 250 fs effective magnetic field pulse drives the reversal process, that takes 1–2 orders of magnitude longer.

The state of magnetization after the pulse is critically dependent on the peak temperature $T_{\text{el}}^*$ and the pulse duration. For ultrafast linear reversal by a 250 fs field pulse it is necessary that, within this time, $T_{\text{el}}$ reaches the vicinity of $T_C$. If, however, this temperature is too high and persists above $T_C$ for too long, the reversed magnetization is destroyed and the effect of the helicity is lost. This leads to a phase diagram [Fig. 2(a)], showing that the magnetization reversal may occur in a certain range of $T_{\text{el}}^*$. Such a theoretically predicted reversal window of electron temperature can be easily verified in the experiment when one changes the intensity of the laser pulse. Figure 2(c) shows the switchability, i.e., the difference between the final states of magnetization achieved in the experiment with $\sigma^+$- and $\sigma^-$-polarized pulses, as a function of $T_{\text{el}}^*$, calculated from the laser pulse intensity. It is seen that, indeed, switching occurs within a fairly narrow laser intensity range [26]. For intensities below this window no laser-induced magnetization reversal occurs, while if the intensity exceeds a certain level both helicities result in magnetization reversal, since the laser pulse destroys the magnetic order completely, which is then reconstructed by stray fields [27,28].

Despite this qualitative agreement between simulations and experiments, the experimentally observed reversal time is several times larger than the calculated 10 ps. The latter, however, is calculated for a 30 nm domain, whereas in our experiments the magnetization in a 5 µm domain is manipulated. This size is defined by (i) the minimum size of the stable domain in the material and (ii) by the area within the laser spot, where the intensity favors the helicity-dependent reversal. Inhomogeneities in the sample and the intensity profile will lead to variations of $T_{\text{el}}^*$ over the laser spot. If due to these factors every 30 nm element of the 5 µm spot is reversed with a probability between 50% and 100%, the actual time of magnetization reversal of this large spot will depend on its size and the speed of domain walls. Their mobility increases dramatically in GdFeCo alloys in the vicinity of their angular momentum compensation point ($T_{\text{comp}}$); i.e., the temperature where the angular momenta of the two sublattices cancel each other [29–31]. Therefore, one should expect a dramatic acceleration of magnetization reversal near $T_{\text{comp}}$. Note that this would also perfectly explain the difference between the times required for the formation of the switched domain and the relaxation to the initial state [Fig. 1(b)]. Indeed, in the former case the domain wall motion is additionally accelerated by the demagnetizing field, while in the latter case this field slows the motion down.

This hypothesis was verified experimentally by investigating the reversal process as a function of temperature in three alloys Gd$_{22}$Fe$_{68.2}$Co$_{9.8}$, Gd$_{24}$Fe$_{66.5}$Co$_{9.5}$, and Gd$_{26}$Fe$_{64.7}$Co$_{9.3}$ that are characterized by different compensation temperatures. The observed write-read time $\tau_{\text{w-r}}$ is plotted in Fig. 3 as a function of the difference between the sample temperature and the compensation point $T - T_{\text{comp}}$. The write-read time is the fastest and weakly de-
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[16] The angles of incidence for the pump and probe beams were 20° and 0°, respectively.
[17] Note that the heat load brought to the sample by the laser pulse is several orders of magnitude smaller than the one during current-induced magnetization reversal used in real devices. Moreover, the heat diffusion can be accelerated providing a proper nanostructure design.
[25] The switching was observed even for laser pulses with a FWHM of ~3 ps, which corresponds to a larger $\Delta_{lat}$.
[26] For $T < T_{\text{comp}}$, the intensity required for switching was increasing with temperature decrease. For example, for sample Gd$_2$Fe$_{56.8}$Co$_{9.8}$ the decrease of $T$ by 300 K caused an increase of the required intensity by ~8%.
[28] The slower reversal in heat-assisted recording actually corresponds to $T_{\text{d}}$ above our reversal window, when the whole system is brought above $T_{C}$ and the dynamics is determined by cooling in an external magnetic field [18].