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Abstract. The prognosis for patients with ovarian cancer is still poor and more effective therapeutic modalities are needed. (Radio)immunotherapy using monoclonal antibodies (Mabs) could be one of these approaches. Here, we review the status of (radio)immunotherapy using Mabs for the treatment of ovarian cancer. The Pubmed database was searched for clinical trials investigating the effect of (radio)immunotherapy in ovarian cancer published until October 1, 2007. Keywords for the search were: ovarian cancer, monoclonal antibodies, CA 125, gp38, HER2, HMFG, MUC1, TAG 72 and VEGF. A total of 44 trials on immunotherapy with unconjugated Mabs, Mab vaccination and (radio)immunotherapy directed towards the antigens CA 125, gp38, HER2, MUC1, TAG 72 or VEGF in patients with ovarian cancer were found, reviewed and discussed. Out of these trials, 23 studied immunotherapy with unconjugated Mabs, 5 vaccination with Mabs and 16 trials studied (radio)immunotherapy. The lack of large randomized prospective trials with Mabs directed to tumor-associated antigens expressed on ovarian cancer cells preclude any firm conclusion on the potential of Mabs use in the treatment of ovarian cancer. Oregovomab, directed against CA 125, and bevacizumab, targeting VEGF, are two unconjugated Mabs closest to clinical introduction for the treatment of ovarian cancer. Vaccination with Mab ACA 125 seems promising but these findings need to be confirmed in controlled randomized trials. Sole RIT should be investigated with the appropriate radionuclide and a Mab with high affinity for the specific tumor-associated antigen in the appropriate patient group to determine whether it may have a therapeutic effect. Additionally, appending (radio)immunotherapy with anti-TAG 72 or anti-MUC1 to other treatment strategies such as chemotherapy could also be a strategy worthwhile investigating. The potential of Mabs to complement current treatment paradigms, is encouraging and may bring a significant improvement to the overall therapeutic outcomes currently being achieved in ovarian cancer.
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1. Introduction

Ovarian cancer is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death in women, and accounts for the highest mortality rate of all gynecological malignancies (1). Its poor prognosis is mainly the result of the clinically occult nature of this disease. The peritoneal cavity in which the ovaries are localized provides a perfect environment for undisturbed subclinical growth. Furthermore, early detection is difficult because of the general asymptomatic presentation of the disease (2). In the majority (68%) of the patients, ovarian cancer is diagnosed with at least extensive abdominal spread (3). Standard treatment for advanced stage ovarian cancer is tumor debulking surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy. Although most ovarian cancers are sensitive to platinum-based chemotherapy, the prognosis remains poor. The 5-year
survival of patients with advanced disease, FIGO stage IIIc and IV is respectively 29 and 13% (2,4).

There is a need for new treatment modalities. Antibody-based therapy such as immunotherapy with unconjugated antibodies, vaccination and (radio)immunotherapy (RIT) could be treatment modalities useful for this disease. In most patients ovarian cancer growth is confined to the peritoneal cavity. One of the advantages of (radio)immunotherapy is the possibility of regional administration, thereby limiting systemic side-effects. Besides this, a series of ovarian cancer-associated antigens have been identified during the past few decades which may serve as potential targets for antibody-based (radio)immunotherapy. These developments, which use monoclonal antibodies (Mabs) as ‘magic bullets’ are attractive alternative options for the treatment of ovarian cancer.

In recent clinical studies in non-Hodgkin lymphoma patients, antibody-based therapy has shown remarkable response rates and, for this reason became a standard element in the treatment of these malignancies (5-6). Similar results were obtained with antibody-based therapies in several solid malignancies (7-9). The aim of the present review is to provide background information on Mab-based therapy in general and to give an overview of published clinical trials with unconjugated Mabs, vaccination and/or RIT with Mabs for the treatment of ovarian cancer.

2. Background

The therapeutic appeal of antibodies can be traced back more than a century ago, when mice were first investigated as a possible source of antibodies. Scientists injected mice with infectious agents in order to stimulate the production of antibodies against the micro-organisms. It was hypothesized that patients suffering from the same type of infection could be treated with an injection of infected rodents’ serum. However, these crude preparations were ineffective, and the sera sparked adverse immune reactions in some patients (10).

The idea to use antibodies as ‘magic bullet’ was first postulated by Paul Ehrlich at the end of the 19th century (11). Ehrlich proposed the concept that immune cells secrete ‘Seitenketten’, which we now know as antibodies, in response to foreign antigens. He postulated that these antibodies could ‘challenge and trigger the immune system to react against this pathogen. The immunoglobulin is composed of two identical light chains and two identical heavy chains linked together by disulphide bonds. An immunoglobulin G (IgG) molecule consists of two Fab domains, containing antigen-binding site, and one Fc domain, which is responsible for activation of the immune system (Fig. 1).

There are five distinct classes of immunoglobulins, IgG, IgA, IgM, IgD, IgE, of which IgG is most commonly used in diagnostic and therapeutic applications. IgG antibodies have a molecular weight of 150 kDa and are characterized by a slow clearance from the blood resulting in long circulatory half-life (>3 days). When targeting a tumor these antibodies show a heterogeneous intra-tumoral distribution (14).

Smaller antibody fragments have been produced in order to achieve more rapid blood clearance. Proteolytic degradation of IgG with pepsin results in antibody fragments; F(ab’)2 (MW 100 kDa) and Fab’ (MW 50 kDa), respectively (Fig. 1). Tumor uptake of these fragments is faster and more homogeneous than whole IgG molecules, but the absolute tumor uptake is lower and retention time is shorter as compared to that of intact Mab (15). Furthermore, an important difference between intact Mabs and Mab fragments is their route of clearance from the body. Intact Mabs are catabolized in the liver and spleen, whereas Mab fragments are mainly excreted via the kidneys (16). Consequently, the application of radio-labeled Mab fragments for therapy will result in an increased renal radiation dose.

3. Antibody features

Antibodies or immunoglobulins are a group of glycoproteins present in serum and tissue of all mammals. They are produced by B-lymphocytes in response to a pathogenic challenge and trigger the immune system to react against this pathogen. The immunoglobulin is composed of two identical light chains and two identical heavy chains linked together by disulphide bonds. An immunoglobulin G (IgG) molecule consists of two Fab domains, containing antigen-binding site, and one Fc domain, which is responsible for activation of the immune system (Fig. 1).

3. Antibody features

Antibodies or immunoglobulins are a group of glycoproteins present in serum and tissue of all mammals. They are produced by B-lymphocytes in response to a pathogenic challenge and trigger the immune system to react against this pathogen. The immunoglobulin is composed of two identical light chains and two identical heavy chains linked together by disulphide bonds. An immunoglobulin G (IgG) molecule consists of two Fab domains, containing antigen-binding site, and one Fc domain, which is responsible for activation of the immune system (Fig. 1).

Figure 1. Monoclonal antibody structures mainly used in (radio)immunotherapy. (A) Whole (murine) IgG, V variable regions, C constant regions. (B) F(ab’)2 fragment. (C) F(ab’) fragment. (D) Chimeric IgG, the constant regions of the murine Mab have been replaced by their human analogues (black). (E) Humanized IgG (90-95% human).
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There are five distinct classes of immunoglobulins, IgG, IgA, IgM, IgD, IgE, of which IgG is most commonly used in diagnostic and therapeutic applications. IgG antibodies have a molecular weight of 150 kDa and are characterized by a slow clearance from the blood resulting in long circulatory half-life (>3 days). When targeting a tumor these antibodies show a heterogeneous intra-tumoral distribution (14).

Smaller antibody fragments have been produced in order to achieve more rapid blood clearance. Proteolytic degradation of IgG with pepsin results in antibody fragments; F(ab’)2 (MW 100 kDa) and Fab’ (MW 50 kDa), respectively (Fig. 1). Tumor uptake of these fragments is faster and more homogeneous than whole IgG molecules, but the absolute tumor uptake is lower and retention time is shorter as compared to that of intact Mab (15). Furthermore, an important difference between intact Mabs and Mab fragments is their route of clearance from the body. Intact Mabs are catabolized in the liver and spleen, whereas Mab fragments are mainly excreted via the kidneys (16). Consequently, the application of radio-labeled Mab fragments for therapy will result in an increased renal radiation dose.

4. Antibody-based therapy

The anti-tumor effects induced by injecting Mabs are generated by different mechanisms. Upon binding of the Fc receptor of the injected Mabs to the effector cells, the Fc region triggers an antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC) response resulting in lysis of the target cells
Moreover, Mabs may act as and/or may sensitize cancer cells for example for chemotherapy and radiotherapy (17). Furthermore, activation of the complement system could thus, induce complement dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) of tumor cells. Some Mabs induce apoptosis while could thus, induce complement dependent cytotoxicity (17). Furthermore, activation of the complement system may be similar to Ab1 and thus may react with MUC1. Each antibody generation induces the production of still another and larger set of anti-antibodies in a similar cascade-like manner.

Figure 2. The variable region of the murine monoclonal antibody binds with the antigen. The variable region of the murine monoclonal antibody (Ab1) contains unique structures, which stimulate the production of various anti-antibodies (Ab2). Some Ab2 express the variable-region structures (internal image) which mimic the antigen (MUC1) and therefore can stimulate the production of antibodies similar to the monoclonal antibody (Ab3). Ab3 may be similar to Ab1 and thus may react with MUC1. Each antibody generation induces the production of still another and larger set of anti-antibodies in a similar cascade-like manner.

(17). Furthermore, activation of the complement system could thus, induce complement dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) of tumor cells. Some Mabs induce apoptosis while other Mabs may block growth factor receptors on cancer cells and/or may sensitize cancer cells for example for chemotherapy and radiotherapy (17). Moreover, Mabs may act as anti-angiogenic agents, such as bevacizumab that blocks vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF) and thus inhibits angiogenesis (17). Furthermore, a humoral immune response may be induced if the injected Mab is recognized as a foreign protein. This humoral response can either be an anti-isotypic and/or an anti-idiotypic response. Anti-isotypic antibodies are directed towards antigenic determinants on the constant regions of the murine immunoglobulin molecule. Anti-idiotypic antibody response (Ab2) is directed against the hypervariable regions of the injected Mab. The presence of anti-idiotypic antibodies theoretically can evoke a second immune response by producing anti-anti-idiotypic antibodies (Ab3). The antigen binding region of these Ab3 antibodies is directed towards the antigen binding region of Ab2 and resembles the antibody (Ab1) that elicited the original anti-idiotypic antibody response (18). This cascade-like manner, in which each antibody generation induces the production of another set of antibodies was first described by Jerne and is called ‘The Jerne network theory’ (Fig. 2) (19).

Assuming that the idiotypic network of Jerne does exist, vaccination with Ab1 or Ab2 may be an attractive treatment strategy. Immunization with Ab1, specifically directed towards the tumor-associated antigen, or Ab2, resembling the antigen, may result in the production of Ab3, which recognizes the corresponding original antigen. If so, formation between the injected antibody and HAMA may result in a faster clearance of the antibody, increased hepatic and splenic uptake and reduced tumor uptake when Mabs are repeatedly administered (22). The magnitude and duration of the HAMA response in serum shows great variability and is more likely to occur after repeated injection of Mabs (20,23). HAMA can persist in blood for several months after exposure to mouse immunoglobulin. B-memory cells that produce these specific antibodies presumably remain present for years and will be re-activated upon re-exposure to the antigenic stimulus (21,24).

Hence, the development of HAMA has been considered a disadvantage in the treatment with Mabs (21). Interestingly, HAMA development has also been associated with a positive outcome on survival, by inducing the production of anti-anti-idiotypic antibodies (Ab3) (25-28). Induction of Ab3 following injection of Mabs to tumor-associated antigens (TAA), has been associated with cancer regression in animal models and cancer patients (26,28). To avoid the negative side-effects of HAMA development after treatment with Mabs, chimeric and humanized antibodies have been developed. Chimeric antibodies are Mabs in which the constant domains of the human IgG molecule are combined with the murine variable regions by transgenic fusion of the immunoglobulin genes (Fig. 1) (29). The application of chimeric antibodies indeed reduced HAMA responses substantially, but did not eliminate them completely in most cases. Next, humanized antibodies were developed in which the 6 complementarity determining regions (CDRs) of the heavy and light chains and a limited number of structural amino acids of the murine Mab were grafted, by recombinant technology, to the CDR-depleted human IgG scaffold (Fig. 1) (30,31).

6. Toxicity

Despite earlier concerns, adverse events as a result of the development of HAMA during and after immunotherapy or radioimmunotherapy have not proven to be significant (21). Remarkably few anaphylactic reactions have been reported, suggesting that they are quite uncommon (20,21). However, adverse reactions after Mab therapy due to a developed HAMA may occur, and the following reactions have been reported: allergic reactions, anaphylactic shock, generalized pain, hyponatremia, fever, rigors and chills, rash, paresthesias, weakness, chronic refractory postural hypotension, serum sickness, cytokine release syndrome and tumor lysis syndrome (32-35). When adverse events do occur they generally occur...
after the first Mab administration (21,34). The studies reported to date indicate that depending on the Mab, the majority of the Mab-based therapies can be safely applied with minimal adverse effects (21).

### 7. Clinical trials

A search for antibody-based trials for the treatment of ovarian cancer was performed in the PubMed and Medline databases until October 1, 2007. The following keywords were used for the search: monoclonal antibodies, ovarian cancer, CA 125, HER2, gp38, HMFG, MUC1, TAG 72 and VEGF. The search was limited by only including clinical trials in humans and written in the English language.

A total of 44 Mab-based trials in ovarian cancer patients have been published, 42 of which are phase I/II and two phase III trials dealing with patients receiving Mab. Mabs were administered using the intravenous (iv), intramuscular (im), intradermal, intraperitoneal (ip) and subcutaneous (sc) route. To date, 15 different antibodies have been used directed against 5 different tumor-associated antigens and one antiangiogenesis antigen (VEGF). Of the 44 clinical trials, 23 trials studied immunotherapy with unconjugated Mab (27,36-57), five trials studied vaccination with Mabs (58-62), while 16 trials studied RIT (63-78). Two of the RIT trials used a combination of cytotoxic chemotherapy and RIT (64,71). A combination of unconjugated Mabs combined with cytotoxic chemotherapy administration was assessed in four trials (43,47,53,57). Because of the high propensity to stay confined to the peritoneal cavity until very late in the course of the disease, many trials on radiolabeled Mab in ovarian cancer patients used the ip route for administration (19/44) (38,41,44,50,1,63,64,79,73-78). In 20 studies the Mab was administered iv (36,37,39,40,42,43,45-49,52-55,57,60,65,72,79), two studies injected im (59,61), while 2 other studies used both iv and ip routes of administration.

#### Table I. Clinical trials in ovarian cancer with Mab directed towards antigen CA 125.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (Refs.)</th>
<th>MAb</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Special features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method et al (52)</td>
<td>B43.13</td>
<td>102 consolidation</td>
<td>2 mg, repeated, iv</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Immune response correlated with improved clinical outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehlen et al (46)</td>
<td>B43.13</td>
<td>13 recurrent</td>
<td>2 mg, repeated, iv</td>
<td>3 SD, 10 PD</td>
<td>SD in patients with robust immune response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berek et al (37)</td>
<td>B43.13</td>
<td>145 CCR</td>
<td>2 mg, repeated, iv</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No difference in time to relapse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon et al (48)</td>
<td>B43.13</td>
<td>20 recurrent</td>
<td>2 mg, repeated, iv</td>
<td>2 CR, 1 PR, 3 SD, 9 PD</td>
<td>Improved survival in patients with T-cell response to CA 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehlen et al (45)</td>
<td>B43.13</td>
<td>345 consolidation</td>
<td>2 mg, repeated, iv</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Specific immune response longer median time to progression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfisterer et al (62)</td>
<td>ACA 125</td>
<td>36 recurrent</td>
<td>2 mg, repeated, sc</td>
<td>11 PD</td>
<td>Premature termination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schultes et al (54)</td>
<td>B43.13</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2 mg, repeated, iv</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ab2 responders longer survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinartz et al (59)</td>
<td>ACA 125</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>2 mg, repeated, im</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Specific immune response resulted in longer survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagner et al (61)</td>
<td>ACA 125</td>
<td>42 recurrent</td>
<td>2 mg, repeated, im</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Specific immune response resulted in longer survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagner et al (60)</td>
<td>ACA 125</td>
<td>16 advanced and recurrent</td>
<td>2 mg, repeated, iv</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CA 125-specific immune responders showed longer PFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobus et al (72)</td>
<td>99Tc-B43.13</td>
<td>44 recurrent</td>
<td>2 mg, repeated, iv</td>
<td>6 CR</td>
<td>HAMA responders longer survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahe et al (69)</td>
<td>131I-OC125</td>
<td>6 residual</td>
<td>60 mg, ip</td>
<td>2 SD, 4 PD</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baum et al (65)</td>
<td>111In-OC125, 99Tc-B43.13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Repeated, iv</td>
<td>7 CCR, or SD</td>
<td>Anti-idiotypic HAMA responders longer survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muto et al (73)</td>
<td>131I-OC125</td>
<td>29 refractory</td>
<td>10-65 mg, ip</td>
<td>1 CR, 28 PD</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CR, complete remission; HAMA, human anti-mouse antibodies; Hu, human; IFB, interferon; iv, intravenous; ip, intraperitoneal; im, intramuscular; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival; PR, partial response; sc, subcutaneous; SD, stable disease.
one study administered through ip, iv and intra-dermal routes (58) and one study only used sc route of Mabs administration (62). The median number of patients included was 29 (range 3-447). Fourteen trials included patients with ovarian cancer stages Ic through IV. The majority of trials (22/44) included patients with residual, refractory or recurrent ovarian cancer. Five trials included patients in complete clinical remission and three trials included patients after debulking and/or chemotherapy. Mab therapy targeting the CA 125 antigen was used in 14 trials of which four RIT trials and three vaccination trials (Table I). Anti-folate receptor Mabs (gp38) were used in seven trials of which one evaluated RIT (Table II). Anti-HER2 Mabs were used in five trials (Table III). Seven trials evaluated anti-MUC1 Mabs of which 6 were RIT trials, and one a vaccination trial (Table IV). Anti-VEGF Mabs were used in 5 immunotherapy trials (Table VI). The following sections discuss these trials according to the antigen that was targeted.

**Cancer antigen (CA) 125.** The tumor associated-cancer antigen CA 125 is detectable on tumor cells in over 90% of the patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer (80). An overview of Mab trials directed towards CA 125 is shown in Table I. Anti-folate receptor Mabs (gp38) were used in seven trials of which one evaluated RIT (Table II). Anti-HER2 Mabs were used in five trials (Table III). Seven trials evaluated anti-MUC1 Mabs of which 6 were RIT trials, and one a vaccination trial (Table IV). Anti-TAG 72 Mabs were used in 6 RIT trials (Table V). Anti-VEGF Mabs were used in 5 immunotherapy trials (Table VI). The following sections discuss these trials according to the antigen that was targeted.

---

### Table II. Clinical trials in ovarian cancer with Mab directed towards antigen Folate receptor (gp38).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (Refs.)</th>
<th>MAb No.</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Special features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zanten-Przybysz et al (56)</td>
<td>cMOv18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50 mg repeated, iv</td>
<td>2 SD, 3 PD</td>
<td>Patients with recurrent or residual disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crippa et al (66)</td>
<td>131I-Mov18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14 mg, ip</td>
<td>5 CR, 6 SD, 5 PD</td>
<td>Third-look laparoscopy for tumor response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miotti et al (27)</td>
<td>OC/TR</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Different schedules, ip and iv</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>High HAMA levels associated with improved clinical response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamers et al (51)</td>
<td>OC/TR</td>
<td>13 residual</td>
<td>Different schedules, ip</td>
<td>4 PR, 1 SD, 1 CR</td>
<td>OC/TR re-targeted with T-lymphocytes and IL-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamers et al (50)</td>
<td>OC/TR</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Different schedules, ip</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>OC/TR re-targeted with T-lymphocytes and IL-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canevari et al (41)</td>
<td>OC/TR</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Repeated, ip</td>
<td>3 CR, 3 PR, 7 SD</td>
<td>OC/TR re-targeted with T-lymphocytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolhuis et al (38)</td>
<td>OC/TR</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Repeated, ip</td>
<td>5 CR, 3 PR, 2 SD, 3 PD</td>
<td>OC/TR re-targeted with T-lymphocytes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See also legend of Fig. 1.
patients participating in the trial. The administration of murine B43.13 to patients led to the induction of HAMA, CA 125 specific antibodies, T helper cells, and cytotoxic T cells, generating both a cellular and humoral response to the tumor antigen (54,81).

ACA 125 is a murine anti-idiotypic antibody (Ab2) that mimics the epitope of the CA 125 antigen. Theoretically vaccination of patients with this Mab could induce the generation of Ab3. ACA 125 has shown to induce a humoral as well as a cellular anti-CA 125-specific immune response in animals and humans (60,61,82). In a phase I/II trial conducted by Wagner et al (61) 42 patients with recurrent ovarian cancer received 4 im immunizations with anti-idiotypic Mab ACA 125. In this trial Ab3 was detected in 67% of the patients.

### Table III. Clinical trials in ovarian cancer with Mab directed towards antigen HER2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (Ref.)</th>
<th>MAb</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Special features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seiden et al (55)</td>
<td>EMD7200</td>
<td>37 recurrent</td>
<td>800 mg, weekly, iv</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Well tolerated, no clinical effect of matuzumab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon et al (49)</td>
<td>Hu 2C4</td>
<td>123 recurrent</td>
<td>Two schedules, iv</td>
<td>5 PR, 8 SD, 10 CA 125, reduction</td>
<td>Response rate of 4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agus et al (36)</td>
<td>Hu 2C4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5 mg/kg, iv</td>
<td>1 SD, 1 PR, 1 PD</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bookman et al (39)</td>
<td>Hu 4D5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4 mg/kg, iv</td>
<td>1 CR, 1 PR</td>
<td>Start with 4 mg followed with 2 mg/kg weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Gramont et al (44)</td>
<td>MDX-H210</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Repeated, iv</td>
<td>6 CR, 5 PD, 3SD</td>
<td>Combined monocyte-derived activated killer (MAK) cells with the bispecific Mab MDX-210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See also legend of Fig. 1.

### Table IV. Clinical trials in ovarian cancer with Mab directed towards antigen MUC1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (Ref.)</th>
<th>MAb</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Special features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nicholson et al (58)</td>
<td>HMFG1</td>
<td>6 residual or relapse or CCR</td>
<td>Different schedules Ip. and iv</td>
<td>3 PD</td>
<td>iv. or ip. priming followed by 6 intradermal vaccination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verheijen et al (78)</td>
<td>90Y-HMFG1</td>
<td>447 CCR</td>
<td>25 mg, ip</td>
<td>202 PD</td>
<td>No survival benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholson et al (75)</td>
<td>90Y-HMFG1</td>
<td>107 CCR</td>
<td>25 mg, ip</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No survival benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epenetos et al (67)</td>
<td>90Y-HMFG1</td>
<td>21 CCR, 31 residual disease</td>
<td>25 mg, ip</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Survival: 78% at 10-year follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholson et al (74)</td>
<td>90Y-HMFG1</td>
<td>25 after debulking</td>
<td>25 mg, ip</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10-year survival patients 70%, control 32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hird et al (68)</td>
<td>90Y-HMFG1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>25 mg, ip</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Patients have longer survival compared to historical controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart et al (77)</td>
<td>90Y-HMFG1, +AUA1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18 mg, ip</td>
<td>1 PD, 1 SD</td>
<td>AUA1 to 35 kd cell surface antigen expressed in 75% ovca</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See also legend of Fig. 1.
The mean survival of patients with an Ab3 response was 19.9±13.3 months, compared to only 5.3±4.3 months for those without an immune response. These results suggest that vaccination with the ACA 125 antibody could have a significant impact on clinical outcome. A continuation of this research by Reinartz et al (59) included 119 advanced ovarian cancer patients who received an average of 9.7 ACA 125 intramuscular injections. In 68% of the patients an Ab3 reaction occurred, which was associated with a significantly longer survival (23.4 months) as compared to patients who were Ab3 negative (4.9 months). CA 125 specific antibodies (Ab3) and ADCC of CA 125 positive tumor cells in vitro was observed in 50.4 and 26.9% of the patients, respectively. Although this study had an uncontrolled set-up, the data strongly support a relationship between the development of Ab3 and overall survival time of ovarian cancer patients with disease recurrence. A causal relation between Ab3 and disease outcome has not yet been confirmed. Recently, Pfisterer et al (62) performed a phase I trial in 36 recurrent ovarian cancer patients on the effect of subcutaneous administration of ACA 125 which was prematurely terminated due to patient withdrawal or disease progression. However, sc administration of ACA 125 did seem safe and was well-tolerated also in highly frequent dosage schedules (62).

The results of the studies cited above indicate the need for further investigation on the efficacy of antibody-based therapy directed against the CA 125 antigen in randomized clinical trials. Immunotherapy with oregovomab seems to be

Table V. Clinical trials in ovarian cancer with Mab directed towards antigen TAG 72.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (Refs.)</th>
<th>MAb</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Special features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alvarez et al</td>
<td>²⁹⁰Y-CC49</td>
<td>20 recurrent or persistent</td>
<td>5 mg, ip</td>
<td>5 SD, 2 PR, 4 ND</td>
<td>Combination with subcutaneous IFN and IP paclitaxel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meredith et al</td>
<td>¹⁷⁷Lu-CC49</td>
<td>44 recurrent or persistent</td>
<td>Repeated, ip</td>
<td>4 SD, 4 PR, 4 ND</td>
<td>Combination with IFN subcutaneous and IP Taxol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alvarez et al</td>
<td>¹⁷⁷Lu-CC49</td>
<td>27 refractory</td>
<td>20 mg, ip</td>
<td>7 SD, 2 PR</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meredith et al</td>
<td>¹⁷⁷Lu-CC49</td>
<td>12 refractory</td>
<td>20 mg, ip</td>
<td>1 PR, 3 CR, 5 PD, 1 SD</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenblum et al</td>
<td>²⁹⁰Y-B72.3</td>
<td>58 recurrent or refractory</td>
<td>2-10 mg, ip</td>
<td>2 CR, 2 PR, 30 SD</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See also legend of Fig. 1.

Table VI. Clinical trials in ovarian cancer with Mab directed towards antigen VEGF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>MAb</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Special features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wright et al</td>
<td>bevacizumab</td>
<td>23 recurrent</td>
<td>5 mg/kg, iv</td>
<td>8 PR, 10 SD, 5 PD</td>
<td>In combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohn et al</td>
<td>bevacizumab</td>
<td>10 refractory</td>
<td>10 mg/kg, iv</td>
<td>5 PD, 4 PR</td>
<td>In combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monk et al</td>
<td>bevacizumab</td>
<td>32 refractory</td>
<td>15 mg/kg, iv</td>
<td>8 PR, 5 PD</td>
<td>Partial in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannistra et al</td>
<td>bevacizumab</td>
<td>44 refractory</td>
<td>15 mg/kg, iv</td>
<td>10 PFS, 7 PR, 20 SD</td>
<td>27.4% PFS of 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia et al</td>
<td>bevacizumab</td>
<td>29 recurrent</td>
<td>10 mg/kg, iv</td>
<td>6 PR, 17 SD, 6 PD</td>
<td>In combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy 47% PFS of 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burger et al</td>
<td>bevacizumab</td>
<td>62 recurrent</td>
<td>15 mg/kg, iv</td>
<td>3 CR, 8 PR, 34 SD, 17 PD</td>
<td>38.7% had stable disease for &gt; 6 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See also legend of Fig. 1.
an attractive alternative as consolidation therapy in ovarian cancer patients.

Folate receptor. The Mab MOv18 binds to the membrane folate receptor (gp38) which is overexpressed in ~90% of epithelial ovarian cancers (83). MOv18 is directed to the α isoinform of the folate receptor. Van Zanten-Przybysz et al (56) treated 5 patients with recurrent or residual disease with four iv injections of 50 mg chimeric MOv18 (cMOv18). This strategy had minor side-effects but showed little if any effect on survival (56). In several phase I/II studies the administration of radiolabeled cMOv18 by iv and ip routes proved to be able to deliver therapeutic radiation doses to the tumor with minor side-effects (56,84-86). Crippa et al (66) administered a single-dose of ip 131I-MOv18 (3700 MBq) to 16 ovarian cancer patients with minimal disease several weeks after second-look evaluation. Tumor response assessed at thirdlook laparotomy indicated a complete response in 5 patients, stable disease in 6 patients and 5 patients with tumor progression. However, this was not a controlled, randomized trial and results should be interpreted with caution.

OC/TR is a bispecific Mab that reacts with the folate binding protein on ovarian cancer cells on the one hand and with the CD3 antigen on T-lymphocytes on the other (Table II) (87). The bispecific Mab thus combines the cytolytic potential of in vitro expanded T-lymphocytes and the in vitro tumor selectivity of the Mab OC/TR targeting the folate binding protein on ovarian cancer cells (88). The bispecific OC/TR Mab was used to coat the T-lymphocytes in vitro before administration to patients. Bolhuis et al (38) treated 13 ovarian cancer patients with T-lymphocytes retargeted with chimeric OC/TR and administered the Mab-coated T-lymphocytes directly into the peritoneal cavity. Five patients were in complete clinical remission (CCR), 3 had partial regression, 2 had stable disease and 3 patients had progressive disease. Two phase II studies in patients with advanced stage ovarian cancer using retargeted T-lymphocytes with chimeric OC/TR showed antitumor activity in 50% of the patients (41,51). Further research with OC/TR in combination with retargeted T-lymphocytes showed similar results with local immunomodulation after ip administration in ovarian cancer patients, but without systemic effects (51). In contrast to earlier findings, the development of HAMA was even suggested to be beneficial for survival in patients after ip therapy with OC/TR (27). In 35 patients treated with ip or iv OC/TR, those with progressive disease and HAMA levels of ≥150 ng/ml had a significant higher median survival as compared to patients with progressive disease with HAMA <150 ng/ml (27). The effect of HAMA development on survival after therapy with OC/TR treated with T-lymphocytes thus remains controversial.

An overview of trials using Mab directed towards gp38 is shown in Table II. In conclusion, the trials with chimeric OC/TR showed that locoregional immunotherapy with OC/TR in ovarian cancer may result in tumor regression. However, larger randomized controlled trials should be conducted to confirm these findings.

HER2. HER2, a member of the epidermal growth factor receptor family plays an important role in the deregulation of proliferation of breast and ovarian cancer cells (89). Ovarian tumors that overexpress the proto-oncogene HER2 have a particularly poor survival (90,91). Table III contains an overview of trials using Mab directed towards HER2 in ovarian cancer patients. Trastuzumab also known as Herceptin®, is a humanized antibody derived from 4D5, a murine Mab, that recognizes an epitope on the extracellular domain of HER2. This Mab has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drugs Administration (FDA) for the treatment of women with metastatic breast cancer with HER2 overexpression, given either alone or in combination with paclitaxel (92). Only 10% of the ovarian cancer patients overexpress HER2 on their tumor. Thus, treatment with HER2 antibodies would potentially benefit only a small proportion of patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. The Gynecologic Oncology Group evaluated trastuzumab in a phase I/II trial in patients with recurrent or refractory ovarian cancer overexpressing HER2 (39). A total of 41 patients received iv trastuzumab (4 mg/kg) with a median treatment of 8 weeks resulting in an overall response rate of <10% and a median progression-free interval of 2 months (39). Based on clinical data in breast cancer, the combination of trastuzumab with cytotoxic agents may have a higher impact on survival of patients with minimal residual ovarian cancer (93). Future strategies should focus on the use of the drug in combination with cytotoxic agents.

Pertuzumab is another new antibody directed to the HER2 antigen (Table III) (94). This recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody 2C4 (IgG) binds to HER2 and is directed against a different epitope than trastuzumab. Pertuzumab inhibits tumor growth after binding by inhibiting ligand-activated HER2 dimerization with HER2 (36). Agus et al (36) performed a phase I study in which patients with solid tumors received iv pertuzumab (5 mg/kg) every 3 weeks. Three ovarian cancer patients participated in this pilot study of which one had a partial response (36). A phase II open-label, multicenter study using pertuzumab has been executed in advanced or refractory ovarian cancer patients by Gordon et al (49). They explored two different dosages of iv pertuzumab in 123 patients with recurrent ovarian cancer, resulting in a disappointing low response rate of 4.3% defined on RECIST criteria (95) and CT scans. The majority of patients had diarrhea as side-effects and 4% of the patients experienced cardiotoxicity. The results of these two studies did not show any effectiveness of pertuzumab. Recently, a phase II trial in 37 platinum-resistant ovarian cancer patients with repeated administration of the Mab Matuzumab, that binds the ligand-binding portion of the EGFR receptor, did not show any effectiveness as a single agent therapy (55).

MDX-H210 is a bispecific antibody that cross-links the Fe γ receptor I on macrophages to the HER2 antigen on tumor cells (Table III) (96). MDX-H210 effectively redirects Fe γ receptor I positive effector cells such as monocytes and macrophages to tumor cells that overexpress HER2. Several trials demonstrated that MDX-H210 is well-tolerated and also immunologically active (96,97). De Gramont et al (44) combined monocyte-derived activated killer (MAK) cells with the bispecific Mab MDX-H210 in an attempt to direct the MAK killer effect in patients towards HER2 antigen expressing tumor cells. Patients with HER2 overexpression were treated with MAK cells and Mab MDX-H210 while
HER2 negative patients only received MAK cells. A total of 8 ovarian cancer patients in CCR with microscopic or macroscopic residual disease after debulking and chemotherapy received MAK cells combined with MDX-H210 (44). Of these 8 patients only 3 remained in CCR, while 4 patients had progressive disease and one patient had stable disease as diagnosed at third-look laparotomy. The full therapeutic potential of MAK cells as consolidation therapy in ovarian cancer is currently being evaluated in a large randomized, comparative trial (44).

In summary, various anti-HER2 antibodies have been used in trials with ovarian cancer patients, however, one should keep in mind that only a minority of ovarian cancers express HER2 and thus anti-HER2 therapies will only be useful in a small portion of the patients.

**MUC1 antigen.** In 90% of the epithelial ovarian tumors the MUC1 antigen is overexpressed on the cell-surface (98). For an overview of anti-MUC1 Mab trials see Table IV. The murine IgG1 monoclonal human milk fat globule 1 (HMFG1) antibody, with specificity to an epitope on the protein backbone of MUC1, was developed by the Imperial Cancer Research Fund. Vaccination with the Mab HMFG1 in ovarian cancer patients was reported by Nicholson et al (58). A phase I trial of 26 ovarian cancer patients receiving a priming dose of 25 mg HMFG1 administered either ip or iv followed by up to 6 intradermal doses of HMFG1 showed that the treatment was safe and well tolerated by patients with induction of an immune response resulting in production of Ab2 and Ab3 in some patients (58).

Immunoscintigraphy with radiolabeled HMFG1 and HMFG2, an antibody similar to HMFG1 directed to MUC1, successfully detected MUC1 positive tumors in patients with primary and metastatic lesions of ovarian, breast and gastrointestinal cancer with minor adverse events (99-104). The therapeutic application of radiolabeled HMFG1 in ovarian cancer has been mainly studied following ip administration. In phase I/II trials conducted in the 1990s with 90Ytrium-labeled HMFG1 (up to 25 mCi per patient) showed that the agent is generally well-tolerated when injected ip (68,77,105). Furthermore, radiolabeled ip HMFG1 induced an immune response resulting in proliferation of T-cells and the production of Ab2 and Ab3 (106-109). Nicholson et al (74) reported that the survival of 25 ovarian cancer patients in CCR who received 90Y-HMFG1 (18 mCi) was prolonged compared to matched historical controls with a 5-year survival of respectively 70 vs. 32%. The same conclusions were drawn by Epenetos et al (67) who found a survival rate of 78% after >10 years of follow-up in 21 ovarian cancer patients in CCR who had received a single injection of 25 mg ip 90Y-HMFG1 (12-32 mCi) (67). Based on these promising results two phase III trials have been undertaken. The first one by Nicholson et al (75) included 107 ovarian cancer patients in CCR who were randomized between a single ip administration of 25 mg 90Y-HMFG1 (30 mCi) and standard treatment. With a median follow-up of 40 months, this study was not able to detect any survival advantage in patients treated with 90Y-HMFG1. The second phase III study, the Study of MAb RadioimmunoTherapy (SMART) was a multicenter, randomized prospective trial of ip 90Y-HMFG1 (18-30 mCi, 224 patients) vs. standard treatment (223 control patients) in ovarian cancer patients in CCR (78). Patients were followed for a median time of 3.5 years. This study did not show an improvement in time to relapse or overall survival (78). Reported side-effects of ip HMFG1 were nausea, fatigue, arthralgia, myalgia, thrombocytopenia and neutropenia (78). Although there was no significant difference in time to relapse and overall survival in the SMART study, interestingly, there was a significant difference in pattern of disease recurrence (110). Time to ip relapse was significantly longer in patients that were treated with ip 90Y-HMFG1, whereas significantly more extraperitoneal relapses were seen in the treatment arm compared to the standard arm (49 vs. 14%). Most of the extraperitoneal relapses were seen in the lymph nodes (78%), the majority of which was situated in the para-aortic region. This observation suggests that ip 90Y-HMFG1 leads to ip disease control in ovarian cancer patients in CCR (110). Further analysis of the data gathered in the SMART study considering the immune response of participating patients is still ongoing. In the SMART study the HMFG1 dose was relatively high and the radionuclide 90Y may not be the most appropriate for therapy in patients with minimal residual disease. An overview of all discussed trials using Mabs directed towards MUC1 antigen is given in Table IV.

A humanized variant of the murine HMFG1 has been developed and is currently under investigation for breast cancer (www.antisoma.com). This humanized antibody may also be a potential drug for immunotherapy or RIT in ovarian cancer.

**Tumor-associated glycoprotein (TAG) 72.** The Mab B72.3 targets the tumor-associated glycoprotein, TAG 72 which is expressed on most adenocarcinomas including gastrointestinal and ovarian cancers (111). Research on RIT with iplip B72.3 was done in a phase I trial in which 58 refractory ovarian cancer patients received repeated ip 2-10 mg 90Y-B72.3 (5-40 mCi) in combination with calcium disodium versenate (EDTA) (112). In this study the 90Y label was bound in an instable chelate resulting in higher bone uptake of the radionuclids, the rationale of adding EDTA was to investigate the ability of EDTA to suppress the bone uptake of 90Y label and, thus reduce the radiation dose to the bone marrow, preventing myelosuppression. Results of this trial demonstrated the myeloprotective ability of EDTA and clinical responses in four patients.

Further research on the development of new antibodies directed against TAG 72 resulted in a series of second-generation antibodies of which CC-49 was selected (Table V). Mab CC49 and Mab B72.3 recognize different epitopes on TAG 72 and CC49 has a 10-fold higher affinity for TAG 72 (113). A phase I trial of 20 mg ip 177Lu-CC49 (10-30 mCi/m2) in 12 refractory ovarian cancer patients demonstrated good tolerability and even antitumor activity (70). Tumor response as assessed during third-look laparotomy or laparoscopy resulted in one partial response in a patient with gross disease, 6 patients had progressive disease, 4 stable disease and 1 delayed recurrence of disease in patients with microscopic disease (70). Subsequently, Alvarez et al (63) performed a phase I/II trial of 20 mg ip 177Lu-CC49 (25-45 mCi/m2) in 27
patients with recurrent ovarian cancer. Follow-up with physical examination and CT scan showed that most patients with gross disease experienced disease progression while prolonged disease-free survival was again seen in patients with microscopic disease. Bone marrow toxicity was noted as the dose-limiting effect of $^{177}$Lu-CC49 (63). Meredith et al (71) administered ip $^{177}$Lu-CC49 (40-45 mCi/m$^2$) in combination with interferon α (IFNα) and paclitaxel in patients with recurrent or persistent ovarian cancer. This combined strategy was based on the findings that IFNα enhanced the expression of TAG 72 tumor antigen and improves localization of radiolabeled antibody in the tumor (114). The study of Meredith et al (71) led to partial responses in 4 of the 17 treated patients and stable disease in 4 out of 27 patients without measurable disease, assessed during third-look laparotomy or laparoscopy. A combination of ip. 20 mg $^{90}$Y-CC49 (14-24.2 mCi/m$^2$), subcutaneous IFNα2b and ip paclitaxel in 20 persistent or recurrent ovarian cancer patients showed good feasibility and was well-tolerated (64). Tumor response as assessed with CT scan during follow-up revealed a partial response in 2 patients with measurable disease. Out of the patients with non-measurable disease, 4 patients remained disease-free of which 3 longer than 18 months (64). The combination of ip. $^{90}$Y-CC49 with chemotherapy seems to be well-tolerated, but larger prospective and randomized trials are needed to demonstrate whether this therapy is effective.

VEGF. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a mediator of angiogenesis and is expressed in most ovarian cancers (115). Bevacizumab is a humanized antibody directed against VEGF (overview of trials in Table VI) (116,117). A well-characterized activity of VEGF is to promote the growth of vascular endothelial cells. Bevacizumab binds all 5 isoforms of VEGF, which prevent interaction with the VEGF receptors. Binding of bevacizumab inhibits formation of new blood vessels and a decrease in vessel diameter, density and permeability. This results in normalization of tumor vasculature (116,117). Through this mechanism, bevacizumab might increase the delivery of drugs (116,117). Trials investigating bevacizumab as monotherapy failed to prove effectiveness. However, randomized trials in breast, colon and lung cancers have shown that the addition of bevacizumab to standard chemotherapeutic regimens results in statistically significant improvements in both progression-free and overall survival (7). In 2004 the FDA approved the use of bevacizumab as adjuvant therapy with 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy in advanced stage colorectal cancer. In October 2006, bevacizumab was also approved by the FDA for the treatment of advanced lung cancer in conjunction with paclitaxel and carboplatin-based chemotherapy. These approvals established the therapeutic potential of anti-angiogenesis treatments. The pathobiology of ovarian cancer and its ip metastatic spread is similar to metastatic colorectal cancer and suggests that ovarian cancer may also be amenable to anti-angiogenic intervention. Cannistra et al (42) investigated the single-agent activity of bevacizumab (15 mg/kg) in 44 platinum-resistant heavily pre-treated ovarian cancer patients. Seven of the 44 patients had a partial response, as defined on RECIST guidelines (95), with a progression-free survival (PFS) at 4.3 months for all patients. The Gynecologic Oncology Group (GOG 170-D trial) assessed the response rates and 6-month progression-free survival (PFS) of iv bevacizumab (15mg/kg) three-weekly in a cohort of 62 refractory or recurrent ovarian cancer patients (40). Three patients were in complete clinical remission, 8 patients had partial remission, 34 patients had stable disease and 17 patients had progressive disease. In 38.7% of the patients there was stable disease for >6 months. Cohn et al (43) treated 10 ovarian cancer patients with a combination of weekly taxane and biweekly bevacizumab (10 mg/kg) therapy, which led to temporarily improvement on cancer-related symptoms (e.g. diminishing ascites, lowering CA 125 levels) without toxicity. Monk et al (53) found similar results in 32 refractory ovarian cancer patients treated with the same combination therapy (bevacizumab 15 mg/kg) resulting in one complete remission, 4 partial responses and in 62% of the patients stable disease (disease progression was defined on RECIST guidelines) (95).

A combination of oral cyclophosphamide and bevacizumab (10 mg/kg) in 29 recurrent ovarian cancer patients resulted in 6 partial responses, 17 patients with stable disease and 6 with disease progression. About 47% of the patients had stable disease at 6 months (47). A retrospective analysis with different bevacizumab doses in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapeutic agents in 23 recurrent or refractory ovarian cancer patients showed similar results, with partial remissions in 35%, stable disease in 44% and a PFS of 6 months in 13% of the patients (57). These results with bevacizumab in combination with cytotoxic therapy are promising as additional therapy to standard treatment for ovarian cancer and warrant further investigation. Two phase III trials in front-line ovarian cancer therapy are currently in progress (118).

8. Conclusion

In contrast to hematological malignancies and certain solid malignancies (breast, colorectal and lung), Mab-based therapy modalities have not yet convincingly proven to be efficacious in the treatment of ovarian cancer. Antibodies are multifunctional molecules that can target tumor cells, stimulate the immune system to attack tumor cells and engage receptor pathways effective in tumor cell destruction.

Of the discussed Mabs, oregovomab directed to the CA 125 antigen and bevacizumab targeting VEGF are two un-conjugated Mabs closest to potential clinical introduction for the treatment of ovarian cancer. Oregovomab has proven to be effective in large trials with patients with recurrent disease or as consolidation strategy. Anti-VEGF Mabs in combination with chemotherapy has proven to be effective in other malignancies and the initial trials of this combination in ovarian cancer patients show similar results.

Considering the reviewed vaccination regimens, vaccination with the Mab ACA 125 inducing the production of anti-tumor antibodies seems promising, but further research in controlled randomized trials should be performed to affirm these findings.

Sole RIT should be investigated with the appropriate radionuclide in combination with Mabs with high affinity for the tumor-associated antigen in the appropriate group.
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