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Abstract. For a closed subspace $D$ of $\ell^\infty$ over a non-archimedean valued base field we study in this paper the property

1. There exists a continuous linear projection $P$ from $\ell^\infty$ onto $D$ with $\|P\| < 1$ ($D$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$)

as related to the properties 2,3,4 below.

2. For every continuous linear functional $f \in D'$ there exists a continuous linear extension $\tilde{f} \in (\ell^\infty)'$ with $\|\tilde{f}\| = \|f\|$ ($D$ has the Hahn-Banach property in $\ell^\infty$).

3. The canonical quotient map $\pi_E : E \to E/D$ is strict, i.e. for each $z \in E/D$ there exists $x \in E$ with $\pi_E(x) = z$ and $\|x\| = \|z\|$ ($D$ is strict in $\ell^\infty$).

4. $D$ is weakly closed in $\ell^\infty$.

Also, certain duality arguments allow us to obtain several descriptions of the orthocomplemented subspaces of $c_0$. In particular it is shown (Theorem 4.3) that, if $K$ is not spherically complete, a closed hyperplane $H$ in $c_0$ having the Hahn-Banach property in $c_0$ is orthocomplemented.

1. PRELIMINARIES. Throughout $K$ is a non-archimedean valued field that is complete with respect to the metric induced by the non-trivial valuation $|\cdot|$. Also, $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ will be a (non-archimedean) Banach space over $K$.

For a Banach space $F$ over $K$ and a continuous linear map $T$ from $E$ into $F$, the kernel of $T$ is the set

$$\text{Ker } T = \{x \in E : Tx = 0\}.$$  

Also, the norm of $T$ is given by

$$\|T\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} : x \in E \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

When there exists a linear isometry from $E$ onto $F$ we say that $E$ and $F$ are isometrically isomorphic and we write $E \simeq F$.
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The dual space $E'$ of $E$ consisting of all the continuous linear maps from $E$ to $K$ is again a Banach space. We set

$$J_E(x)(x') = x'(x) \quad (x \in E, x' \in E').$$

$E$ is called reflexive is $J_E$ is an isometry from $E$ onto $E''$.

For a closed subspace $D$ of $E$ we say that

a) $D$ has the HB-property (resp. HB$^+$-property) in $E$ if for every $f \in D'$ (resp. for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for every $f \in D'$) there exists a continuous linear map $\hat{f} \in E'$ extending $f$ such that $\|\hat{f}\| = \|f\|$ (resp. $\|\hat{f}\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|f\|$).

b) $D$ is strict in $E$ if the quotient map $\pi_E : E \to E/D$ is strict (i.e. for every $z \in E/D$ there exists an $x \in E$ for which $\pi_E(x) = z$ and $\|x\| = \|z\|$).

c) $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E$ if there exists a closed subspace $G$ of $E$ such that $D \cap G = \{0\}$, $E = D + G$ and

$$\|x + y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$$

$(x \in D, y \in G)$

(such a $G$ is called an orthogonal complement of $D$ in $E$).

It is not difficult to prove the following two Propositions which include some elementary (but useful) descriptions for the orthocomplemented and the strict subspaces of an arbitrary Banach space.

**Proposition 1.1.** For a closed subspace $D$ of $E$ the following are equivalent.

i) $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E$.

ii) There exists a continuous linear isometry $\varphi : E/D \to E$ such that $\pi_E \circ \varphi$ is the identity on $E/D$.

iii) There exists a continuous linear projection $P$ from $E$ onto $D$ with $\|P\| = 1$ (This $P$ is called an orthoprojection from $E$ onto $D$).

**Proposition 1.2.** For a closed subspace $D$ of $E$ the following properties are equivalent:

i) $D$ is strict in $E$.

ii) There exists a (non-necessarily linear) map $\varphi : E/D \to E$ such that $\|\varphi(x)\| = \|x\|$ for all $x \in E/D$ and $\pi_E \circ \varphi$ is the identity on $E/D$.

iii) For each $x \in E$, $D$ is orthocomplemented in $D + Kx$.

Clearly, $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E \Rightarrow D$ has the HB-property and $D$ is strict in $E$.

If $E'$ separates the points of $E$ then $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E \Rightarrow D$ is weakly closed in $E$.

Most of what we are about to do concerns converses of the above implications when $E = \ell^\infty$ or $c_0$. Firstly we consider (co)finite-dimensional subspaces (sections 3,4) and
later on arbitrary closed subspaces of $\ell^\infty$ and $c_0$ (section 5). We assume that $K$ is not spherically complete, since if $K$ is spherically complete every closed subspace of $E$ is weakly closed and has the HB-property in $E$ ([3], Theorems 4.2, 4.7) and also every finite-dimensional subspace of $E$ is orthocomplemented ([7], Lemma 4.35). The basic machinery to our purpose is included in section 2.

The following problem arises in a natural way in this paper (see Problem 4 in section 5):

**Problem.** Suppose $K$ is not spherically complete. Let $D$ be a weakly closed subspace of $\ell^\infty$ such that $D$ is strict and has the HB-property in $\ell^\infty$. Does it follow that $D$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$?

In fact we do not know the answer of this problem for any infinite-dimensional Banach space $E$ (instead of $\ell^\infty$) over a non-spherically complete field $K$.

However, if $K$ is spherically complete, the situation is completely different. Indeed, suppose that $|K| = [0, \infty)$. By a standard construction we can make a strict quotient map $\pi : c_0(I) \to \ell^\infty$ if $I$ has adequate cardinal. Now, $D = \text{Ker} \pi$ is a weakly closed subspace which is strict and has the HB-property in $c_0(I)$. If $D$ were orthocomplemented then $\ell^\infty$ would be isometrically isomorphic to a closed subspace of $c_0(I)$ and so $\ell^\infty$ has an orthogonal base: a contradiction ([7], Corollary 5.18).

For some other unexplained concepts and notations that we will use in the sequel, we refer to [3] and [7].

2. GENERAL FACTS

In this section we include some general results which will be useful in the rest of the paper.

First, we are going to see (Propositions 2.1 - 2.7) that strictness and the HB-property behave sometimes as "opposites" of one another.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $D$ be a closed subspace of $E$.

i) If $D$ is strict in $E$ and $E/D \simeq c_0(I; s)$ for some set $I$ and $s : I \to (0, +\infty)$, then $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E$.

ii) If $D$ has the HB-property in $E$ and $D \simeq \ell^\infty(I; s)$ for some set $I$ and some $s : I \to (0, +\infty)$, then $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E$ (compare Theorem 1.2 of [5]).

**Proof.**

i) Let $\{u_i : i \in I\}$ be an orthogonal base of $E/D$. By strictness, there exists $\{z_i : i \in I\} \subset E$ such that $\pi_E(z_i) = u_i$ and $\|z_i\| = \|u_i\|$ for all $i \in I$. A standard argument shows that $\varphi : E/D \to E$ given by the formula $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i u_i \to$
\[ \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i z_i \] is a linear isometry for which \( \pi_E \circ \varphi \) is the identity on \( E/D \). Hence, \( D \) is orthocomplemented.

ii) For each \( i \in I \) the coordinate function \( f_i \in D' \) given by \( f_i(x) = x_i \) (\( x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in \ell^\infty(I, s) \)) has norm \( s(i)^{-1} \). By the HB-property, \( f_i \) extends to an \( \tilde{f}_i \in E' \) with \( \| \tilde{f}_i \| = s(i)^{-1} \). Then, \( P : E \to D; x \to (\tilde{f}_i(x))_{i \in I} \) is an orthoprojection from \( E \) onto \( D \).

As a special case we obtain

**Corollary 2.2.** If \( D \) is a closed hyperplane (resp. a one-dimensional subspace) in \( E \), then \( D \) is strict (resp. \( D \) has the HB-property) in \( E \) iff \( D \) is orthocomplemented in \( E \).

**Remarks 2.3.**

1.- Observe that if \( D \) is a closed hyperplane of \( E \), there is an \( f \in E' - \{0\} \) such that \( D = \text{Ker} \ f \). Then, \( D \) is orthocomplemented iff \( \| f \| = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\| x \|} : x \in E \setminus \{0\} \right\} \). In fact, if \( a \in E \) one can easily see that \( Ka \) is an orthogonal complement of \( D \) iff \( \| f \| = \frac{1}{\| a \|} \).

2.- If \( K \) is spherically complete the finite (co)dimensional version of the above Corollary 2.2 holds.

Indeed, observe that if \( \dim E/D < \infty \), then \( E/D \) has an orthogonal base ([7], Lemma 5.5). Also, every finite-dimensional subspace of \( E \) is orthocomplemented ([7], Lemma 4.35).

3.- But, for non-spherically complete fields \( K \) the generalization in Remark 2 does not hold. In fact, let \( \pi : c_0 \to K_p^2 \) be a strict surjection ([6], 2.3, Remark 1). Then, \( \text{Ker} \pi \) is a strict two-codimensional subspace of \( c_0 \) that cannot be orthocomplemented since \( K_p^2 \) has no orthogonal base ([7], p.68).

On the other hand, the adjoint of \( \pi \) is an isometry \( \pi' : (K_p^2)' \to \ell^\infty \) and by construction \( \text{Im} \pi' \) has the HB-property in \( \ell^\infty \). But it will follow from Theorem 3.3 that it is not orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty \).

However we do have the following related statement.

**Proposition 2.4.**

i) If \( D \) is a closed subspace of \( E \) of finite codimension and if all hyperplanes \( H \) containing \( D \) are strict (orthocomplemented) in \( E \), then \( D \) is orthocomplemented in \( E \).

ii) If \( D \) is a finite-dimensional subspace of \( E \) and if every one-dimensional subspace of \( D \) has the HB-property (is orthocomplemented) in \( E \), then \( D \) is orthocomplemented in \( E \).
Proof.
i) For a proof by induction with respect to the codimension of $D$ it suffices to show that, for closed subspaces $D_1, D_2$ of finite codimension, containing $D$ from

$$D_1 \subseteq D_2, \dim D_2/D_1 = 1 \text{ and }$$

$D_2$ is orthocomplemented in $E$,

it follows that $D_1$ is orthocomplemented in $E$.

To see that, let $P$ be an orthoprojection from $E$ onto $D_2$. Then, $\dim \ker P = \text{codim } D_1 - 1$ and so $D_1 + \ker P$ is a closed hyperplane of $E$. There is an orthoprojection $Q$ from $E$ onto $D_1 + \ker P$. Hence, $PQ$ is an orthoprojection from $E$ onto $D_1$.

ii) Almost identical to the proof of Lemma 4.35,iii) of [7].

The next two Propositions stress the duality between strictness and the HB-property.

**Proposition 2.5.** For a closed subspace $D$ of $E$ and its polar $D^0$ we have

i) If $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E$, then $D^0$ is orthocomplemented in $E'$.

ii) If $D$ has the HB-property in $E$, then $D^0$ is strict in $E'$.

iii) If $D$ is strict in $E$ and $E/D$ is reflexive, then $D^0$ has the HB-property in $E'$.

**Proof.**

i) If $S$ is an orthogonal complement of $D$ in $E$, then $S^0$ is an orthogonal complement of $D^0$ in $E'$.

ii) If $i : D \hookrightarrow E$ is the canonical inclusion then its adjoint $i' : E' \rightarrow D'$ is a strict map. Hence, its kernel, $D^0$, is strict in $E'$.

iii) The quotient map $\pi_E : E \rightarrow E/D$ has an isometrical adjoint $\pi'_E : (E/D)' \rightarrow E'$ for which $\pi'_E((E/D)') = D^0$. Hence, to show that $D^0$ has the HB-property in $E'$ it suffices to prove that for any $\varphi \in (E/D)'$ there exists a $\tilde{\varphi} \in E''$ such $\|\tilde{\varphi}\| = \|\varphi\|$ and $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \pi'_E = \varphi$. By the reflexivity of $E/D$, there is a $z \in E/D$ such that $\varphi = J_{E/D}(z)$ and $\|z\| = \|\varphi\|$. Also, by strictness there is an $x \in E$ with $\pi_E(x) = z$ and $\|x\| = \|z\|$. Then, $\tilde{\varphi} = J_E(x)$ satisfies the required conditions.

Now, we consider the converse of Proposition 2.5.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let $D$ be a closed subspace of $E$.

i) Let $D^0$ be orthocomplemented (resp. $D^0$ have the HB-property in $E$). If in addition $E$ is reflexive and $D$ is weakly closed then $D$ is orthocomplemented (resp. $D$ is strict) in $E$.

ii) If $D^0$ is strict in $E'$ and $D$ has the HB$^+$-property in $E$, then $D$ has the HB-property in $E$.
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Proof.

i) By the previous Proposition the bipolar of $D$, $D^{00}$, is orthocomplemented (strict) in $E''$. By reflexivity and weak closedness $D$ is orthocomplemented (strict) in $E$.

ii) Let $i': E' \to D'$ be the adjoint map of the canonical inclusion $i: D \to E$ and let $\rho: D' \to E'/D^0$ the natural map making the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
E' \\
\pi_{E'} \\
\rho
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\nearrow \quad \nearrow
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
D' \\
\nearrow
\end{array}
$$

commute. It follows easily from the HB$^+$-property of $D$ that $\rho$ is an isometrical isomorphism. Now, $\pi_{E'}$ is strict. Hence, so is $i'$, i.e. $D$ has the HB-property.

Although in the above results the HB-property and strictness seem dual properties, sometimes they have similar behaviour. This is the case in the next few propositions.

Observe that if $D$ is a closed subspace of $E$ and $S$ is a closed subspace of $D$, then we have in a natural way the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \xrightarrow{i_1} & E \\
\downarrow \pi_D & & \downarrow \pi_E \\
D/S & \xrightarrow{i_2} & E/S
\end{array}
$$

where $i_1, \pi_E, \pi_D$ are the obvious maps and $i_2$ makes the diagram commute.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let $D$ be a closed subspace of $E$ and let $S$ be a closed subspace of $D$. If $D$ is strict (resp. has the HB-property, is orthocomplemented) in $E$, then $i_2(D/S)$ is strict (resp. has the HB-property, is orthocomplemented) in $E/S$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $D$ is strict. Let $x \in E$. There is a $d \in D$ such that

$$
\|x - i_1(d)\| \leq \|x - i_1(d')\| \quad (d' \in D).
$$

Now, for all $s' \in S, d' \in D$, we have

$$
\|\pi_E(x) - i_2\pi_D(d)\| = \|\pi_E(x) - \pi_E(i_1(d))\| \\
\leq \|x - i_1(d)\| \leq \|x - i_1(d') - s'\|
$$

Hence, $\|\pi_E(x) - i_2\pi_D(d)\| \leq \|\pi_E(x) - i_2\pi_D(d')\|$ for all $d' \in D$ and we see that the distance of $\pi_E(x)$ to $i_2(D/S)$ is attained, which means that $i_2(D/S)$ is strict in $E/S$. 
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Now, assume that $D$ has the HB-property and let $f \in (D/S)'$. Then $f \circ \pi_D \in D'$ so by assumption there is a $g \in E'$ such that $\|g\| = \|f \circ \pi_D\| = \|f\|$ and $g \circ i_1 = f \circ \pi_D$. Since $S \subset \text{Ker} \ g$ there is a unique $\tilde{f} \in (E/S)'$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ \pi_E = g$ (see the diagram).

One verifies without pain that then also $\tilde{f} \circ i_2 = f$ and that $\|\tilde{f}\| = \|f\|$.

Finally, suppose that $D$ is orthocomplemented and let $P : E \to D$ be an orthoprojection from $E$ onto $D$. Since $S \subset \text{Ker}(\pi_D \circ P)$, there is a unique continuous linear map $Q : E/S \to D/S$ such that $Q \circ \pi_E = \pi_D \circ P$ and $\|Q\| \leq 1$. Also, $Q \circ i_2 \pi_D(x) = \pi_D(x)$ for all $x \in D$. So, since $\pi_D$ is surjective, we conclude that $Q \circ i_2$ is the identity on $D/S$, which implies that $i_2(D/S)$ is orthocomplemented in $E/S$.

A partial converse of Proposition 2.7 is the following.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let $D$ be a closed subspace of $E$. If for each closed subspace $S$ of $D$ with $\dim D/S = 1$ we have that $i_2(D/S)$ has the HB-property in $E/S$, then $D$ has the HB-property in $E$.

**Proof.** Let $f \in D' \setminus \{0\}$ and let $S = \text{Ker} \ f$. Then $f = \rho_1 \circ \pi_D$ where $\rho_1 : D/S \to K$ is a similarity (i.e. there exists a nonzero real number $c$ such that $|\rho_1(z)| = c\|z\|$ for all $z \in D/S$). By assumption and Corollary 2.2, there is an orthoprojection $\rho_2 : E/S \to D/S$ such that $\rho_2 \circ i_2$ is the identity on $D/S$. Now set $\tilde{f} = \rho_1 \circ \rho_2 \circ \pi_E$. Then, $\|\tilde{f}\| = \|f\|$ and $\tilde{f} \circ i_1 = f$, and we are done.

**Remark 2.9.** Putting together Propositions 2.7 and 2.8 we derive that a closed subspace $D$ of $E$ has the HB-property in $E$ iff for every closed hyperplane $S$ of $D$, $i_2(D/S)$ has the HB-property in $E/S$. (Compare with Theorem 2.3 of [1]).

Observe that if $S, D$ are closed subspaces of $E$ with $S \subset D$, then the formula

$$\pi_{E/D}(\pi_1(x)) = \pi_2 \circ \pi_E(x) \quad (x \in E)$$

defines an isometrical isomorphism $\pi_{E/D} : E/D \to (E/S)/(D/S)$ making the diagram

$$D \xrightarrow{i_1} E \xrightarrow{\pi_1} E/D \xrightarrow{\pi_D} D/S \xrightarrow{i_2} (E/S)/(D/S) \quad (I)$$
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commute, where all the maps appearing in the diagram are the natural ones.

Then, we have:

**Proposition 2.10** Let $S \subset D$ be closed subspaces of $E$. If $S$ is strict (resp. has the HB-property, is orthocomplemented) in $E$ and $D/S$ is strict (resp. has the HB-property, is orthocomplemented) in $E/S$, then $D$ is strict (resp. has the HB-property, is orthocomplemented) in $E$.

**Proof.**

a) **Strictness:** Let $z \in E/D$. Then, in the diagram (I), $\pi_{E/D}(z)$ admits a $y \in E/S$ such that $\pi_2(y) = \pi_{E/D}(z)$ and $\|y\| = \|\pi_{E/D}(z)\| = \|z\|$. Also, there is an $z \in E$ with $\pi_E(x) = y$ and $\|x\| = \|y\|$. Then, $\pi_1(x) = z$ and $\|x\| = \|y\| = \|z\|$. Hence, $D$ is strict in $E$.

b) **HB-property:** Let $f \in D'$ and let $g \in E'$ be such that the restrictions $g|S$ and $f|S$ coincide and $\|g\| = \|f|S\|$. Now consider $h = f - g|D \in D'$. Since $h = 0$ on $S$ there is a $h_1 \in (D/S)'$ with $h = h_1 \circ \pi_D$ and $\|h_1\| = \|h\|$. By assumption $h_1$ extends to a $h_2 \in (E/S)'$ (i.e. $h_2 \circ i_2 = h_1$) with $\|h_2\| = \|h_1\|$ (see the diagram).

Now set $j = h_2 \circ \pi_E$. We have that $\|j\| \leq \|f\|$ and $j \circ i_1 = h$. Then, $\tilde{f} = j + g$ is a continuous linear extension of $f$ with $\|\tilde{f}\| = \|f\|$ and we are done.

c) **Orthocomplementation:** By using diagram (I), there is by assumption a $\rho_2 : (E/S)/(D/S) \rightarrow E/S$ such that $\pi_2 \circ \rho_2$ is the identity and also a $\rho_1 : E/S \rightarrow E$ such that $\pi_E \circ \rho_1$ is the identity, $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ being linear isometries. Now define $\tau : E/D \rightarrow E$ by $\tau = \rho_1 \circ \rho_2 \circ \pi_{E/D}$. We have that $\tau$ is a linear isometry for which $\pi_{E/D} \circ (\pi_1 \circ \tau) = \pi_{E/D}$, and so $\pi_1 \circ \tau$ is the identity.

### 3. Finitary-(co)dimensional Orthocomplemented Subspaces of $\ell^\infty$

As we have already announced in the Preliminaries,

**FROM NOW ON IN THIS PAPER (EXCEPT IN 3.2) WE ASSUME THAT K IS NOT SPHERICALLY COMPLETE.**
The results given in §2 can be applied now to obtain several descriptions of the finite-(co)dimensional subspaces of $\ell^\infty$ that have an orthogonal complement. For subspaces of finite codimension the situation is satisfactory.

**Proposition 3.1.** Every closed finite-codimensional subspace of $\ell^\infty$ is orthocomplemented.

**Proof.** By reflexivity the map $D \to D^*$ is a bijection between the set of all finite-dimensional subspaces of $c_0$ and the set of all finite-codimensional subspaces of $\ell^\infty$. Since every finite-dimensional subspace of $c_0$ is orthocomplemented, we can apply Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 to derive our conclusion.

**Remark 3.2.** If $K$ is spherically complete the conclusion above no longer holds.

Indeed, suppose that the valuation on $K$ is dense. Let $X$ be a maximal orthogonal subset of $\ell^\infty$ and let $H$ be a closed hyperplane of $\ell^\infty$ containing $X$. Then $H$ is not orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$.

The pictures changes when we consider finite-dimensional subspaces of $\ell^\infty$.

**Theorem 3.3.** For a finite-dimensional subspace $D$ of $\ell^\infty$, the following properties are equivalent.

i) $D$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$.

ii) Every one-dimensional subspace of $D$ is orthocomplemented (has the H.B-property) in $\ell^\infty$.

iii) For each $x = (x_n) \in D$, $\max_n |x_n|$ exists.

**Proof.** i) $\Rightarrow$ ii): By Proposition 2.5, there exists an orthogonal complement $S$ of $D^0$ in $c_0$. Then, $D \cong S'$ in a natural way, and since $S$ is finite-dimensional, there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D \cong K^n$. So, every one-dimensional subspace of $D$ is orthocomplemented in $D$ (and hence in $\ell^\infty$, by i)).

ii) $\Rightarrow$ i): It follows from Proposition 2.4 ii).

ii) $\iff$ iii): Let $f \in c_0$. By Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 we have that $Kf$ is orthocomplemented in $c_0$ iff $\text{Ker } f$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$, and this happens iff $\|f\| = \max \{|f(x)| : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ (Remark 2.4.1). So, we conclude that $Kf$ is orthocomplemented in $c_0$ iff $\|f\| = \max |f(e_n)|$ (where $e_1, e_2, \ldots$ is the canonical base of $c_0$). This is precisely ii) $\iff$ iii) (Recall that $c_0 \cong \ell^\infty$, [7]. Exercise 3.Q.i))

For one-dimensional subspaces we prove the following curious Theorem, which will be useful in the sequel.

**Theorem 3.4.** A one-dimensional subspace of $\ell^\infty$ is strict iff it is orthocomplemented.
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Proof. Clearly the orthocomplementation property implies strictness (see the Preliminaries).

Now suppose that \( D = Kx \) \((x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots) \in \ell^\infty, x \neq 0)\). If \( D \) is not orthocomplemented then \(|x_n| < \|x\|\) for all \( n \) (Theorem 3.3). We are going to prove that there exists a \( y \in \ell^\infty \) such that the linear hull \([x, y]\) of \( \{x, y\} \) has no orthogonal base and by Proposition 1.2 we are done.

Let \( K = B_0 \) and let \( B_1 \supset B_2 \supset \ldots \) be bounded discs in \( K \) whose intersection is empty. For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( r_n = \text{diam } B_n \) (the diameter of \( B_n \)). Define a function \( \varphi : K \to [0, \infty) \) by the formula

\[
\varphi(\lambda) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \text{dist}(\lambda, B_n) \quad (\lambda \in K).
\]

Then \( \inf \{\varphi(\lambda) : \lambda \in K\} = d \), where \( d = \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0 \), but \( d \) is not attained (observe that \( d \neq r_n \) for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \)). We shall construct \( c_1, c_2, \ldots \in K \) such that

\[
\|y - \lambda x\| = \varphi(\lambda)\|x\| \quad (\lambda \in K)
\]

with \( y := (c_1 x_1, c_2 x_2, \ldots) \) (Then, \( \text{dist}(y, Kx) \) is not attained and it follows easily that \([x, y]\) has no orthogonal base).

Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). If \( x_n = 0 \) we set \( c_n = 0 \). Now let \( x_n \neq 0 \). Then, we may choose a \( k(n) \in \mathbb{N} \) for which

\[
r_{k(n)} \leq \frac{\|x\|d}{|x_n|}
\]

and take \( c_n \in B_{k(n)} \setminus B_{k(n)+1} \).

Now let \( \lambda \in K \). First we prove that \( \|y - \lambda x\| \leq \varphi(\lambda)\|x\| \), i.e. that, for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
|c_n - \lambda| |x_n| \leq \varphi(\lambda)\|x\|.
\]

This is obvious when \( x_n = 0 \), so let \( x_n \neq 0 \). There is a unique \( m \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \) such that \( \lambda \in B_m \setminus B_{m+1} \). We distinguish two cases.

a) \( m \geq k(n) \). Then \( c_n \in B_{k(n)} \) and \( \lambda \in B_m \subseteq B_{k(n)} \). Hence, by (II) we obtain

\[
|c_n - \lambda| |x_n| \leq r_{k(n)} |x_n| \leq \|x\|\varphi(\lambda).
\]

b) \( m < k(n) \). Then \( c_n \in B_{k(n)} \subseteq B_{m+1} \) while \( \lambda \not\in B_{m+1} \) so that \( |c_n - \lambda| = \varphi(\lambda) \) and

\[
|c_n - \lambda| |x_n| = \varphi(\lambda)|x_n| \leq \varphi(\lambda)\|x\|.
\]

To finish, we prove that \( \|y - \lambda x\| \geq \varphi(\lambda)\|x\| \). Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Without loss we can assume \( \varepsilon < r_m - d \). From our assumption on \( x \) it follows that \( J := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x\|d < |x_n|(d + \varepsilon)\} \) is infinite. If \( n \in J \), then by (II)

\[
r_{k(n)} < d + \varepsilon < r_m
\]
so that \( k(n) > m \). Thus we are in case b) of above, so 
\[ |c_n - \lambda| |x_n| > \frac{d}{2|x|} \varphi(\lambda) \|x\| \]
and we are done.

**Remark 3.5.** Taking into account Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 3.4, for a one-dimensional subspace \( D \) of \( \ell^\infty \) one verifies

- \( D \) is orthocomplemented \( \iff \) \( D \) is strict \( \iff \) \( D \) has the HB-property.

We know that the implication

\[ D \text{ has the HB-property} \Rightarrow \text{D is orthocomplemented} \]
does not hold for every finite-dimensional subspace \( D \) of \( \ell^\infty \). Next we will see (Corollary 3.7) that the implication

- \( D \text{ is strict} \Rightarrow \text{D has the HB-property} \)
holds for every finite-dimensional (in fact for every weakly closed subspace) \( D \) of \( \ell^\infty \).

This will be a consequence of the following result.

**Theorem 3.6.** (Compare Theorem 2.3 of [5]). Let \( M \) be a closed subspace of \( \ell^\infty \). The following are equivalent.

1. \( M \) is weakly closed in \( \ell^\infty \).
2. \( \ell^\infty /M \cong K^n \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) or \( \ell^\infty /M \cong \ell^\infty \).
3. \( \ell^\infty /M \) is reflexive.
4. For every (for some) closed subspace \( S \) of \( M \) with \( \dim M/S = 1 \), \( S \) is weakly closed in \( \ell^\infty \).

**Proof.** The implications ii) \( \Rightarrow \) iii) and iii) \( \Rightarrow \) i) are obvious.

i)\( \Rightarrow \)ii): For a closed subspace \( D \) of \( c_0 \) the adjoint of the inclusion map \( D \to c_0 \) is a quotient map, so \( D' \cong c_0/D^0 \). By applying this for \( D := M^0 \) and by using \( M^{00} = M \) we obtain \( (M^0)' \cong c_0/M^{00} \cong \ell^\infty /M \). Since \( M^0 \) is a closed subspace of \( c_0 \), we have that \( M^0 \cong K^n \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) (and so \( \ell^\infty /M \cong K^n \)) or \( M^0 \cong c_0 \) (and so \( \ell^\infty /M \cong \ell^\infty \)).

i)\( \Rightarrow \)iv): If \( S \) is a closed subspace of \( M \) with \( \dim M/S = 1 \), then \( S \) is weakly closed in \( M \). By (c)\( \Rightarrow \) (h) in Theorem 2.3 of [5], it follows that \( S \) is also weakly closed in \( \ell^\infty \).

iv)\( \Rightarrow \)i): Let \( S \) be a closed subspace of \( M \) as in iv). Since \( (\ell^\infty /S)' \) separates the points of \( \ell^\infty /S \) and \( \dim M/S = 1 \), we have that \( ((\ell^\infty /S)/(M/S))' \) separates also the points of \( (\ell^\infty /S)/(M/S) \) which is isometrically isomorphic to \( \ell^\infty /M \) (see diagram (I)). Hence, \( M \) is weakly closed in \( \ell^\infty \).

**Corollary 3.7.** If \( D \) is a weakly closed subspace of \( \ell^\infty \) and \( D \) is strict in \( \ell^\infty \), then \( D \) has the HB-property in \( \ell^\infty \).

**Proof.** Let \( S \) be a closed subspace of \( D \) with \( \dim D/S = 1 \). It suffices to prove that \( t_2(D/S) \) has the HB-property in \( \ell^\infty /S \) (Proposition 2.8).
By strictness and Proposition 2.7, \( i_2(D/S) \) is a one-dimensional and strict sub-

space of \( \ell_\infty/S \). But \( \ell_\infty/S \cong K^n \) for some \( n \) or \( \ell_\infty/S \cong \ell_\infty \) (Theorem 3.6). Now, the conclusion follows by Theorem 3.4.

**Remark 3.8.** Looking at Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.7 the following question arises

in a natural way.

**Problem 1.** Is every finite-dimensional and strict subspace of \( \ell_\infty \) orthocomplemented in \( \ell_\infty \)?

Observe that this problem is equivalent to each one of the following questions.

**Problem 2.** Let \( D \) be a finite-dimensional strict subspace of \( \ell_\infty \). Is there any one-
dimensional subspace \( Kx \ (x \in D\setminus\{0\}) \) of \( D \) that is strict (orthocomplemented) in \( \ell_\infty \),

i.e. \( \|x\| = \max_n |x_n| \)?

**Problem 3.** Let \( D \) be a finite-dimensional strict subspace of \( \ell_\infty \), \( \dim D \geq 2 \). Is there

any closed subspace \( G \) of \( D \) with \( 0 \not\subset G \subset D \) such that \( G \) is strict (orthocomplemented) in \( \ell_\infty \)?

Indeed, it follows by Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 that if Problem 1 has an affirmative

answer then so has Problem 2. Also, it is obvious to pass from Problem 2 to Problem 3.

Finally, suppose that Problem 3 has an affirmative answer. We prove by induction that

Problem 1 has also an affirmative answer. Let \( D \) be a \( n \)-dimensional strict subspace of \( \ell_\infty \). We may assume that \( n \geq 2 \) (Theorem 3.4). Let \( 0 \not\subset G \subset D \) be such that \( G \) is strict

(and hence orthocomplemented, by the induction hypothesis) in \( \ell_\infty \). Since \( D/G \) is strict

in \( \ell_\infty/G \) (Proposition 2.7) and \( \ell_\infty/G \cong \ell_\infty \) (Theorem 3.6) it follows by the induction hypothesis that \( D/G \) is orthocomplemented in \( \ell_\infty/G \). Now the orthocomplementation of \( D \) follows from Proposition 2.10.

4. **FINITE-(CO)DIMENSIONAL ORTHOCOMPLEMENTED SUBSPACES OF \( c_0 \)**

It is well known that every finite-dimensional subspace of \( c_0 \) is orthocomplemented

(see [7]).

We now translate the results we have found in the above section about orthocomple-
mented finite-dimensional subspaces of \( \ell_\infty \) into statements about finite-codimensional
subspaces of \( c_0 \). The next lemma, which is a direct consequence of Propositions 2.5 and
2.6, contains the key to do that.
Lemma 4.1. Let $D$ be a closed subspace of $c_0$ (resp. a weakly closed subspace of $\ell^\infty$). Then,

$$D \begin{cases} \text{is orthocomplemented} \\ \text{is strict} \\ \text{has the HB-property} \end{cases} \text{ in } c_0 \text{ (resp. in } \ell^\infty) \quad \iff \quad D^0 \begin{cases} \text{is orthocomplemented} \\ \text{has the HB-property} \\ \text{is strict} \end{cases} \text{ in } \ell^\infty \text{ (resp. in } c_0),$$

(observe that every weakly closed subspace of $\ell^\infty$ has the HB$^+$-property, [5], Theorem 2.3).

Theorem 3.3 admits the following "dual":

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $S$ be a closed subspace of $c_0$ with finite codimension. Then the following properties are equivalent

i) $S$ is orthocomplemented in $c_0$.

ii) Every hyperplane containing $S$ is orthocomplemented (strict) in $c_0$.

iii) If $f \in c_0$ and $f = 0$ on $S$, then $\|f\| = \max_n |f(e_n)|$ (where $e_1, e_2, \ldots$ is the canonical base of $c_0$).

Analogously, Theorem 3.4 converts into the following result for closed hyperplanes of $c_0$.

**Theorem 4.3.** A closed hyperplane in $c_0$ has the HB-property in $c_0$ iff it is orthocomplemented in $c_0$.

In the same line, from Corollary 3.7 we deduce

**Corollary 4.4.** Every closed subspace of $c_0$ with the HB-property in $c_0$, is strict in $c_0$.

Finally, Problems 1-3 of the previous section give rise to the following equivalent questions.

Let $S$ be a closed subspace of $c_0$ that has finite codimension and the HB-property in $c_0$.

**Problem I.** Is $S$ orthocomplemented in $c_0$?

**Problem II.** Is there any closed hyperplane $H$ in $c_0$ with $H \supset S$ such that $H$ has the HB-property (is orthocomplemented) in $c_0$?
Problem III. If $2 \leq \text{codim } S$, is there a closed subspace $T$ of $c_0$ with $S \not\subseteq T \not\subseteq c_0$ such that $T$ has the HB-property (is orthocomplemented) in $c_0$?

5. SOME CONSEQUENCES AND REMARKS

Next we shall apply the results proved in the previous sections to study orthocomplementation for arbitrary closed subspaces of $\ell^\infty$ and $c_0$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $D$ be a closed subspace of $\ell^\infty$. Then the following are equivalent.

i) $D$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$.

ii) $D \cong K^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $D \cong \ell^\infty$ and $D$ is strict (has the HB-property) in $\ell^\infty$.

iii) $D$ is weakly closed and strict (has the HB-property) in $\ell^\infty$ and $D'$ has an orthogonal base.

iv) $D$ is weakly closed and for every closed subspace $F$ of $D$ with $\dim D/F < \infty$, $D/F$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty/F$.

v) $D$ is strict and there exists a closed subspace $F$ of $D$ with $\dim D/F = 1$ such that $F$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$.

vi) There exists a closed subspace $F$ of $D$ with $\dim D/F = 1$ such that $F$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$ and $D/F$ is orthocomplemented (strict) in $\ell^\infty/F$.

Proof. i)⇒ii): Clearly $D$ is strict and weakly closed. By Corollary 3.7, $D$ has the HB-property in $\ell^\infty$.

Also, $D'$ is isometrically isomorphic to a closed subspace of $c_0$ and so $D' \cong K^n$ (for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$) or $D' \cong c_0$. Since $D$ is reflexive ([5], Lemma 2.2) we derive that $D \cong K^n$ or $D \cong \ell^\infty$.

ii)⇒iii): Follows from Theorem 2.3 of [5] and Corollary 3.7.

iii)⇒i): By reflexivity of $D$ ([5], Lemma 2.2), $D \cong \ell^\infty(I; s)$ for some set $I$ and some $s : I \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$. Now, apply Proposition 2.1.

i)⇒iv): Follows from Proposition 2.7.

iv⇒iii): By Proposition 2.8, $D$ has the HB-property in $\ell^\infty$.

On the other hand, since $D' \cong c_0/D^0$ is of countable type, it is enough to see that every finite-dimensional subspace $G$ of $c_0/D^0$ has an orthogonal base. Let $\pi_0 : c_0 \rightarrow c_0/D^0$ be the canonical surjection. There is a finite-dimensional subspace $M$ of $c_0$ with $\pi_0(M) = G$. Since $D^0 + M$ is weakly closed in $c_0$ ([7], Lemma 3.14 and [3], Theorem 4.7), there exists a weakly closed subspace $S$ of $\ell^\infty$ such that $D^0 + M = S^0$.

By assumption and Proposition 2.7 we conclude that $D^0$ is orthocomplemented in $S^0$ (observe that $(\ell^\infty/S)' \cong S^0$ and under this isometry $(D/S)^0$ maps onto $D^0$). Then, there is a closed subspace $M_1$ of $c_0$ which is an orthogonal complement of $D^0$ in $S^0$. In
particular, $D^0 + M = D^0 + M_1$. So, $\pi_0(M_1) = G$. But $M_1$, being a subspace of $c_0$, has an orthogonal base. Hence, so has $G$.

i) $\Rightarrow$ vi): Clearly $D$ is strict in $\ell^\infty$.

Now, let $F$ be a closed subspace of $D$ with $\dim D/F = 1$. By i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) and Proposition 3.1 it follows that $F$ is orthocomplemented in $D$ (and hence in $\ell^\infty$).

v) $\Rightarrow$ vi): Let $F$ be a closed subspace of $D$ with $\dim D/F = 1$. By strictness of $D$ and Proposition 2.7 it follows that $D/F$ is strict in $\ell^\infty/F$. Since $F$ is weakly closed in $\ell^\infty$, we can apply Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.6 i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) to conclude that $D/F$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty/F$.

vi) $\Rightarrow$ i): Follows by Proposition 2.10.

Recall that an absolutely convex set $A$ of a locally convex space over $K$ is called:

a) c'-compact: if for each neighbourhood $U$ of $0$ there exists a finite set $B \subseteq A$ such that $A \subseteq U + \text{co}B$ (where $\text{co}B$ is the absolutely convex hull of $B$).

b) KM-compactoid: if it is complete and there exists a compact set $X \subseteq A$ such that $A$ is the closed absolutely convex hull of $X$ (for the general properties of such sets see [4]).

By using Proposition 2.3 of [2] and a proof similar to the one given for (d) $\iff$ (i) in Theorem 2.3 of [5], it is not difficult to obtain the following.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $D$ be a closed subspace of $\ell^\infty$. Then, properties i) - vi) of Theorem 5.1 are equivalent to

vii) $D$ is strict (has the H$B$-property) in $\ell^\infty$ and $B_D = \{x \in D : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ is weakly KM-compactoid in $\ell^\infty$.

viii) $D$ is strict (has the H$B$-property) in $\ell^\infty$ and $B_D$ is weakly closed and weakly c'-compact in $\ell^\infty$.

As in section 4, we can now dualize Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 to describe the orthocomplemented subspaces of $c_0$.

Observe that as a direct consequence of Propositions 2.7 and 2.8, we have

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $D$ be a weakly closed subspace of $\ell^\infty$ and let $F$ be a closed subspace of $D$ with $\dim D/F < \infty$ (so, $F$ is weakly closed, Theorem 3.6). Then, $D/F$ is orthocomplemented (resp. is strict, has the H$B$-property) in $\ell^\infty/F$ iff $D^0$ is orthocomplemented (resp. has the H$B$-property, is strict) in $F^0$.

Then, putting together Lemmas 4.1 and 5.3 we have that Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 convert into the following descriptions of the orthocomplemented subspaces of $c_0$.

**Theorem 5.4.** For a closed subspace $S$ of $c_0$ the following properties are equivalent.
i) $S$ is orthocomplemented in $c_0$.

ii) $c_0/S \cong K^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $c_0/S \cong c_0$ and $S$ has the HB-property (is strict) in $c_0$.

iii) $S$ has the HB-property (is strict) in $c_0$ and $c_0/S$ has an orthogonal base.

iv) $S$ is orthocomplemented in any closed subspace $T$ of $c_0$ with $T \supseteq S$ and $\dim T/S < \infty$.

v) $S$ has the HB-property in $c_0$ and there exists a closed subspace $T$ of $c_0$ with $T \supseteq S$ and $\dim T/S = 1$ such that $T$ is orthocomplemented in $c_0$.

vi) There exists a closed subspace $T$ of $c_0$ with $T \supseteq S$ and $\dim T/S = 1$ such that $S$ is orthocomplemented in $T$ and $T$ is orthocomplemented in $c_0$.

vii) $S$ has the HB-property (is strict) in $c_0$ and $B_{(c_0/S)'}$ is weakly-$*$ KM-compactoid in $(c_0/S)'$.

viii) $S$ has the HB-property (is strict) in $c_0$ and $B_{(c_0/S)'}$ is weakly-$*$ $c'$-compact in $(c_0/S)'$.

**Remarks 5.5.**

1. There is a closed subspace $D$ of $\ell^\infty$ with $D \cong \ell^\infty$ (and hence $D$ is weakly closed [5], Theorem 2.3) such that $D$ is not orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$.

**Example:** Choose $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots$ in $K$ with $0 < |\lambda_1| < |\lambda_2| < \ldots \uparrow 1$. There are $z_1, z_2, \ldots$ in $c_0$ with $|\lambda_i| \leq \|x_i\| < 1$ for all $i$ such that every $x \in c_0$ with $\|x\| < 1$ can be written as $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i z_i$ where $|\mu_i| \leq 1$ for all $i$ and $\mu_i \to 0$. Now, the map $T : c_0 \to c_0$ given by $T(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i z_i$ is a continuous linear function mapping $\{x \in c_0 : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ onto $\{x \in c_0 : \|x\| < 1\}$: if $x \in c_0$ is such that $\|T x\| = 1$, then $\|x\| > 1$. So $T$ (and hence $\ker T$) is not strict. Thus, $D = (\ker T)^0$ satisfies the required conditions (Lemma 4.1).

2. There exists a closed subspace $D$ of $\ell^\infty$ such that $D \cong K$ (hence $D$ is weakly closed) and such that $D$ is not orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$.

**Example:** We know (Remark 2.3.3) that there exists a linear isometry $\iota$ from $K^2$ into $\ell^\infty$ (Recall that $K^2 \cong (K^2)'$). Since $K^2$ does not contain non-trivially mutually orthogonal elements, we derive that every one-dimensional subspace $D$ of $K^2$ satisfies our requirements.

3. There exists a closed subspace $D$ of $\ell^\infty$ with the HB-property in $\ell^\infty$ such that $D'$ has an orthogonal base but $D$ is not orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$.

**Example:** Take for $D$ the closed subspace of $\ell^\infty$ constructed in [7], 4.J (observe that since $D$ is not reflexive, it is not orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$).

4. Looking at Theorem 5.1 and the above Remark the following question arises in a natural way.
**Problem.** Can we without harm remove the weak closedness of \( D \) in property iii) (when \( D \) is strict) or in property iv) of Theorem 5.1?

5. **There is a weakly closed subspace \( D \) of \( \ell^\infty \) such that \( D' \) has an orthogonal base but \( D \) is not orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty \).**

**Example:** Take \( D = H^0 \), where \( H \) is a closed hyperplane of \( c_0 \) which is not orthocomplemented in \( c_0 \) and apply Lemma 4.1.

6. **There is a finite-dimensional (and hence weakly closed) subspace \( D \) of \( \ell^\infty \) such that \( D \) has the HB-property in \( \ell^\infty \) but is not orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty \).**

**Example:** See Remark 2.3.3.

7. Finally observe that Problems 1-3 appearing in Remark 3.8 are equivalent to

**Problem 4.** Let \( D \) be a weakly closed subspace of \( \ell^\infty \) such that \( D \) is strict and has the HB-property in \( \ell^\infty \). Does it follow that \( D \) is orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty \)?

Indeed, clearly if Problem 4 has an affirmative answer then so has Problem 1 (recall that every finite-dimensional and strict subspace of \( \ell^\infty \) has the HB-property in \( \ell^\infty \), Corollary 3.7).

Conversely, assume Problem 1 has an affirmative answer and let \( D \) be a weakly closed subspace of \( \ell^\infty \) such that \( D \) is strict. Let \( F \) be a closed subspace of \( D \) with \( \dim D/F < \infty \). By Theorem 5.1 i) \( \iff \) iv) it is enough to prove that \( D/F \) is orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty/F \). For that observe that it follows from Proposition 2.7 that \( D/F \) is a one-dimensional and strict subspace of \( \ell^\infty/F \). But \( F \) is weakly closed in \( \ell^\infty \) and so \( \ell^\infty/F \approx K^n \) (for some \( n \)) or \( \ell^\infty/F \approx \ell^\infty \) (Theorem 3.6). By assumption \( D/F \) is orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty/F \) and we are done.
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