Fat intake in patients newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes: a 4-year follow-up study in general practice
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Introduction

The treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus requires counselling on lifestyle modifications and dietary advice. Consultation with a registered dietician is advised in most guidelines for type 2 diabetes.1,2 The recommended dietary advice should be tailored to patients’ individual needs and aims. Diet therapy aims to reduce risk factors such as being overweight and dyslipidaemia. To achieve these aims, (modest) weight loss by reducing total energy intake and the proportion of total fat (<30% of energy intake), as well as a reduction of saturated fat intake (<10% of energy intake) and cholesterol intake (<300 mg/day), are strongly recommended. Moreover, minimising trans-unaturated fatty acid intake and a modest intake of polyunsaturated fat (−10% of energy intake) are advised (Box 1).

Although these guidelines are based on solid evidence from mostly experimental studies, they do not take into account the normal dietary habits of the general population. This might be partly because little is known about the fat consumed by recently diagnosed patients with type 2 diabetes in general practice, or about alterations in dietary habits after diagnosis and treatment. In the United Kingdom (UK) prospective diabetes study, the intake of total fat in a sample of 65 patients, both at baseline (38.2% of energy intake) and after 3 years (36.9% of energy intake), was higher than the recommended 30–35% of energy intake.3 Data from a Dutch cross-sectional study showed no significant differences between diabetic and non-diabetic subjects regarding total energy intake or the consumption of total, saturated or polyunsaturated fats.4

The need for sensible treatment targets, which are rooted in the population for which they are aimed, has been discussed before in relation to type 2 diabetes. So far, targets for the treatment of type 2 diabetes do not account for what is feasible for patients to achieve in normal daily life.5 We therefore investigated the fat consumption of patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes in general practice.

The development of type 2 diabetes is associated with unfavourable eating habits, such as high consumption of total and saturated fat.6-8 We expected that the amount of energy, and the amount and type of dietary fat consumed by patients newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes would be unfavourable when compared with the intake of the general population. Confirmation of this hypothesis would support the above mentioned advice to refer all newly diagnosed patients with type 2 diabetes to a dietician.

We conducted our study with the following research questions:
What is the fat consumption of patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes in Dutch general practice compared with reference values for the general population?

What are the alterations in fat consumption 8 weeks after the initial diagnosis and referral to a dietician, according to the Dutch guidelines for treatment of type 2 diabetes?2

What is the fat consumption after 4 years of follow-up compared with initial consumption in these patients?

Method

This study was designed as a prospective cohort study. Reference values for the general population were obtained from the Dutch national food consumption survey (DNFCS) 1998.9

Patients and practices

Forty-six general practitioners (GPs), working in 33 general practices throughout The Netherlands, included patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes aged between 40 and 70 years in the study. Diabetes mellitus was defined according to the criteria established by the World Health Organization:10 patients were eligible for the study when they had symptoms suggestive of diabetes mellitus and a fasting blood glucose ≥6.7 mmol/l and <20.0 mmol/l. In patients with asymptomatic newly diagnosed diabetes, the fasting blood glucose had to be ≥6.7 mmol/l on two or more occasions.

Treatment

In accordance with the Dutch guidelines for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus,2 all included patients were referred to a registered dietician. Dietary intervention consisted of two consultation sessions within a 4-week period, in which patients received dietary advice concerning all aspects of medical nutritional therapy for diabetes, tailored to their individual needs. The dieticians were informed about baseline fat consumption in all patients. Otherwise, the dieticians did not receive extra training or use special protocols. Patients who still had a fasting blood glucose ≥6.7 mmol/l after 8 weeks were eligible for oral antidiabetic therapy. These patients were asked to participate in a 30-week randomised controlled trial comparing acarbose with tolbutamide.11 After the 30-week period, all patients received usual care from their GP.

Measurements

Measurements took place at diagnosis, then after 8 weeks, and after 4 years. The consumption of dietary fat was measured using a 104-item food frequency questionnaire, in which the past month was used as the reference period.12,13 This questionnaire was filled out by the patient and checked for errors by the investigator. Values for total energy per day (MJ/day), total fat (% of energy intake), saturated fatty acids (% of energy intake), monounsaturated fatty acids (% of energy intake), polyunsaturated fatty acids (% of energy intake) and cholesterol (mg/day) were calculated using a computer program (VET Express 1.02, BaS Nutrition Software, The Netherlands) designed especially for the questionnaire. For the 4 years measurement, an updated version of the questionnaire was used (Wageningen University, The Netherlands, unpublished, 1997), including an updated calculation program (Komeet 3.0, BaS Nutrition Software, The Netherlands).

Body weight and height were measured without shoes and with light clothing. Data on medical history, comorbidity and use of medication were obtained from the patients’ records by their GPs. Information regarding any additional visits to a dietician or the use of other sources for dietary information were recorded with the help of a short questionnaire. At the 4 years follow-up, GPs made the same assessment of medical history and comorbidity. Furthermore, GPs provided information about the use of antidiabetic and cholesterol-lowering medication during the interval. Central laboratories (Andreas Hospital, Amsterdam for baseline and short-term, Canisius Wilhelmina Hospital, Nijmegen for 4 years measurements) used standard techniques and reference ranges to measure glycylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) and lipids. Glucose measurements were performed locally using a calibrated glucose analyser.
Reference values

Data from the DNFCS 1998 were used to serve as reference values for fat intake by the general population. The DNFCS was a cross-sectional study of a representative sample of the Dutch population, comprising 6250 subjects (aged 1–97 years) using a 2-day dietary record method. To match these with the cohort of patients with newly diagnosed diabetes, we used the proportion of subjects aged 40–70 years ($n = 2296$).

Statistical analysis

Results are given as mean ± standard deviation (SD). For comparison between groups (i.e., missing versus non-missing, male versus female), Student’s two-sample $t$-tests were performed. For comparison of results between subsequent measurements, Student’s one-sample test was used. Additionally, a repeated measure analysis was performed to assess an overall time effect. In order to correct for multiple testing, $\alpha$ was divided by the number of tests used. Therefore, for the $t$-tests $P<0.0024$ and for the repeated measure analysis $P<0.0072$ was considered significant.

Ethics approval

This study was performed in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki. The protocol for the 8-week study was approved by the Central Medical Committee for Studies in General Practice. The Local Ethics Committee of the University Medical Centre, Nijmegen approved the protocol for the 4-year study. All patients gave their informed consent.

Results

The GPs included 144 patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes in the study. Baseline measurements were performed on all 144 patients, the 8-week measurements were performed on 110 of the 144 patients, and 106 patients participated in the long-term follow-up (4-year measurements) after a mean of 3.9 years (SD = 1.0) (Figure 1). The baseline characteristics of these 144 patients are displayed in Table 1.

With respect to blood glucose and lipid profile, the study population was representative for patients newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes. The baseline results for patients who did not participate in the 8-week or 4-year evaluation did not differ significantly from results of the patients whose measurements were not missing (data not shown).

Fat consumption

Table 2 shows the mean (SD) values for energy intake and fat consumption for newly diagnosed patients with type 2 diabetes at diagnosis, after 8 weeks, and after 4 years. Compared with reference figures for the general population, patients had a higher intake of energy and higher fat consumption. The mean changes for the three possible intervals are shown in Table 3. At the 8-week follow-up, we found a decrease in total energy intake, total fat, saturated fatty

| Table 1. Baseline characteristics of 144 newly diagnosed patients with type 2 diabetes in general practice. |
|---|---|---|
| **n** | **Mean** | **SD** |
| Male/female | 69/75 |  |
| Age (years) | 144 | 57.8 | 8.3 |
| Body mass index (kg/m$^2$) | 134 | 29.5 | 5.2 |
| Fasting blood glucose (mmol/l) | 144 | 10.5$^a$ | 6.7–19.6$^b$ |
| HbA$_1c$ (%) | 131 | 9.0 | 2.6 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mm/Hg) | 134 | 86 | 10 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mm/Hg) | 134 | 145 | 20 |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/l) | 130 | 6.2 | 1.1 |
| LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) | 125 | 3.9 | 1.0 |
| HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) | 126 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| Triglycerides (mmol/l) | 131 | 2.7 | 1.5 |

$^a$Median. $^b$Range. HbA$_1c$ = glycosylated haemoglobin; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; SD = standard deviation.
acids, and monounsaturated fatty acids. Polyunsaturated fatty acids and the relative cholesterol intake (mg/MJ) did not differ significantly compared to the 8 weeks, but remained significantly lower than the baseline. Consumption of cholesterol decreased significantly compared to baseline and 8 weeks. Other values did not differ significantly from the 8 weeks measurements. The repeated measure analysis showed similar results, with the exception that the difference in consumption of total fat between 8 weeks and 4 years was not significant. Male and female patients showed similar fat consumption at baseline, except for energy and cholesterol intake, which was higher in men. Women showed a more profound decrease in the consumption of total fat, monounsaturated fatty acids, and saturated fatty acids in both the 8 weeks and 4 years measurements (data not shown). When stratified for body weight (body mass index [BMI] <25, ≥25 and ≥30, >30 kg/m²), results were similar. In both our study at all three time points, and in the reference figures for the general population, the people with BMI >30 kg/m² reported the lowest energy intake (data not shown).

Table 4 reports the percentage of patients that met the guidelines for fat consumption. The percentage of patients that met the guideline regarding saturated fatty acids (• 10% of energy intake) increased from 7.6% at baseline to 27.4% at the 4 years measurement. Similarly, the percentage of patients that consumed less than 7% of energy intake by way of saturated fatty acids increased from 0.7 to 9.4%.

### Plasma lipids, glycaemic control and body weight

After 8 weeks and two consultations with a dietician, 25.7% of the patients had a fasting blood glucose <6.7 mmol/l. HbA₁c had decreased from 9.0 to 7.8%, all plasma lipid values, except for HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides, had improved at the 8 weeks measurement (data not shown). After 4 years, HbA₁c and plasma lipids had significantly improved compared with the baseline and, except for triglycerides, to the 8 weeks measurement. BMI decreased at the 8 weeks measurement (from 29.5 to 28.3 kg/m², P<0.01) but was back at its baseline value at the 4 years measurement.

### Diabetes treatment

At the 4 years measurement, 19 of the 106 patients (18%) were still being treated with lifestyle modification alone, and for two patients treatment for their diabetes was unknown. The use of cholesterol-lowering medications increased from 10/144 (7%) at baseline, to 34/106 (32%) at the 4-year follow-up (unknown for two patients). On average, after the first two visits, patients visited a dietician 0.6 times per year (SD = 0.9). Seventy-seven per cent of the patients visited a dietician more than once a year, 13% between one and two times year, and 10% had two or more consultations.

### Discussion

#### Summary and interpretation of main findings

This study showed that patients in general practice with type 2 diabetes had an unfavourable fat intake at the time that the...
diabetes was diagnosed compared with reference values for the general population. Shortly after the first dietary consult-
tation, fat consumption decreased to levels similar to the
general population. After 4 years, consumption of total fat had increased again, but was still lower than the baseline
value. This increase was owing to a higher intake of both poly-
and monounsaturated fatty acids. The intake of cho-


Table 4. Proportion of patients newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes at diagnosis, after 8 weeks, and after 4 years, that meet recommendations for the consumption of fat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Newly diagnosed patients with type 2 diabetes</th>
<th>At diagnosis (%)</th>
<th>8 weeks after diagnosis (%)</th>
<th>4 years after diagnosis (%)</th>
<th>Recommended consumption of fat (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total fat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤30 En%</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>&lt;30 En%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤35 En%</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fatty acids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤7 En%</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>&lt;10 En%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤10 En%</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤12 En%</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysaturated fatty acids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;9 En%</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9–11 En%</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;11 En%</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monounsaturated fatty acids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;9 En%</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>NSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9–11 En%</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;11 En%</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤200 mg/day</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>&lt;300 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤300 mg/day</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a(n = 144).\(^b(n = 110).\(^c(n = 106).\(^dAmerican Diabetes Association, 2002.\(^ePatients with LDL ≥2.6 mmol/l may benefit from saturated fatty acids ≤7% of energy intake and a cholesterol ≤200 mg/day. En% = % of energy intake; NSR = No specific recommendation.

Third, the content of foodstuffs is not constant. Since ‘light’ and low-fat products are currently fashionable, manu-
facturers may tend to change the amount and type of fat in their products. In theory, it is possible that someone who
does not alter their consumption in terms of foodstuffs will have a different consumption in terms of nutrients because the
content of the foodstuffs has been changed. We have not identified studies in the literature to assess the influence of this
potential bias.

Finally, the method of measuring food habits is a possible source of bias.\(^f\) All available instruments to measure food
intake are subject to recall bias and, therefore, a real gold standard does not exist. We used a semi-quantitative food
frequency questionnaire, whereas the reference figures for the general population were based on a dietary record
method. The comparison of the data from patients with type 2 diabetes with those from the general population should,
therefore, be interpreted with caution.

**Strengths and limitations of this study**

The main strengths of our study were that it provided follow-
up data (a) of sufficient length, (b) in a cohort of newly diag-
nosed patients with type 2 diabetes (data that provides
knowledge of what happens during a patients’ ‘career’ is
important because it is helpful for formulating feasible treat-
ment goals), (c) with a good long-term participation rate
(74%), and (d) that were rooted in general practice. In The
Netherlands, as in the UK, approximately 75% of patients
with type 2 diabetes are treated by their GP.

One of the drawbacks of our study was that a relatively
high number of patients did not complete the food frequency
questionnaire at the 8 weeks measurement: this was partly
owing to loss of motivation in the patients and the GPs. For the
4-year follow-up, 20 of these 34 patients could be
included again. The possibility of selective drop out was examined by comparing essential characteristics of patients with missing data at short-term and 4-year follow-up. No significant differences were observed, thus attrition bias was less probable. Furthermore, this study lacked a control group. We emphasise that this study was not meant as an intervention study but as a long-term study to assess the changes under usual care. The referral to a dietician is in concordance with the Dutch guidelines. After the first 8 weeks from diagnosis, patients were not given extra care regarding their lifestyle. Thus, using diabetic patients as a control group would have been unethical because, in our view, we would have deliberately undertreated them. Age-matched healthy volunteers as controls would have been helpful to estimate the secular trend for fat consumption.

Comparison with existing literature
To our knowledge, only one previous study has assessed fat consumption in newly diagnosed patients with type 2 diabetes at diagnosis and after several years. In a small sample of the UK prospective diabetes study population (n = 65) half of the patients complied with recommendations regarding total energy intake after 3 years of follow-up. The results of this study cannot easily be compared with the findings in the present study because the types of fat were not specified. The overall decrease in total fat consumption was larger in our study than the UK prospective diabetes study (>3 versus 1% of energy intake, respectively). The differences between men and women that were found in the UK population could not be confirmed in our study.

Implications for future research and policy
The results regarding the percentage of patients that met our recommendations might be of particular interest in the development of future guidelines and treatment targets. The most important treatment targets were those for saturated fatty acids and cholesterol consumption (<10% of energy intake and <300 mg/day, respectively, and for patients with LDL cholesterol ≥2.6 mmol/l, which was the case for most patients in this study at diagnosis, <7% of energy intake and <200 mg/day, respectively). Only 27% of patients consumed less than 10% of saturated fatty acids measured as % of energy intake, and only 9% of the patients consumed less than 7% of their energy intake by way of saturated fatty acids. In contrast to this disappointing result, 93% (<300 mg/day) and 55% (<200 mg/day) of patients met the target for cholesterol intake. Therefore, the target for the consumption of cholesterol seems to be realistic and attainable for this general practice population, whereas the target for the consumption of saturated fatty acids is not.

This study reports patients’ food habits in terms of nutrients. We realise that in normal daily life, patients and doctors do not talk about mono- or polyunsaturated fatty acids but about the food itself (for example, french fries, eggs, and tomatoes) instead. Future research should investigate what alterations in the choice of foods are more likely to be sustained. Furthermore, a better understanding of the characteristics of patients who have very good or very bad compliance with dietary advice might contribute to more effective dietary intervention strategies.

This study could help to remove some of the scepticism that doctors have about the feasibility of initiating and maintaining more favourable dietary habits in patients with type 2 diabetes. However, the very strict treatment targets for the consumption of saturated fatty acids and total fat are not very realistic. To optimise the dietary treatment of type 2 diabetes, further lasting care for diet and lifestyle are important, but also a reconsideration of treatment targets for dietary fats in diabetic patients remains necessary.
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