Long-term predictors of outcome in fatigued employees on sick leave: a 4-year follow-up study
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ABSTRACT

Background. Persistent fatigue is strongly associated with functional status and can lead to absenteeism and work disability. Despite several prognostic studies on chronic fatigue, little attention has been paid to occupational outcomes.

Method. A total of 127 fatigued employees on sick leave were followed-up after 4 years to determine long-term predictors of work disability, fatigue caseness and chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS)-like caseness. Measures included fatigue, physical functioning, illness attributions, psychological problems and emotional exhaustion.

Results. Thirty-three participants (26%) were receiving work disability benefits at the 4-year follow-up. Older age and lower levels of physical functioning predicted work disability. Weaker psychological attributions and lower levels of physical functioning were predictors of fatigue caseness. CFS-like caseness was predicted by female gender and lower levels of physical functioning. Self-reported physical functioning remained a strong and statistically significant determinant of work disability [odds ratio (OR) 0.45, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.24–0.87] and CFS-like caseness (OR 0.20, 95% CI 0.09–0.43) after controlling for confounders.

Conclusions. This study suggests that physical functioning plays an important role in the persistence of fatigue complaints and work disability in employees on sick leave. The course of fatigue is a complex process, and exploring temporal relationships between fatigue, functional status and work status in future research could provide valuable information for the improvement of fatigue management.

INTRODUCTION

Fatigue conditions are poorly understood, difficult to treat and tend to have a poor prognosis (Joyce et al. 1997; Afari & Buchwald, 2003). To treat fatigue effectively, insight into factors associated with outcome in fatigued patients is necessary. Several prognostic studies have examined predictors of fatigue and found illness attributions and psychological problems to be important and consistent predictors of outcome in fatigue (Chalder et al. 2003; Schmaling et al. 2003; Skapinakis et al. 2003; Huibers et al. 2004b). Despite a considerable number of these prognostic studies, few data are available on occupational outcomes in studies of chronic fatigue and chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) (Ross et al. 2004; Cairns & Hotopf, 2005). Knowledge of prognostic factors related to occupational outcomes is important because...
persistent fatigue is strongly associated with functional status and can lead to absenteeism and even full work incapacity (Van Amelsvoort et al. 2002; Janssen et al. 2003; Ross et al. 2004). These associations between fatigue, functional status and work status could grow stronger and become intertwined in the course of time, as a result of which the prognosis of fatigue could take a turn for the worse.

In this study, long-term predictors of outcome were examined in a population of persistently fatigued employees already on sick leave. Participants were initially recruited to take part in a randomized controlled trial that aimed to assess the efficacy of cognitive behavioural therapy delivered by general practitioners (GPs) for fatigue and absenteeism (Huibers et al. 2004a). The intervention did not prove effective at any of the measurements. To gain more insight into factors related to outcome in this group, we aimed to determine predictors of work disability, fatigue caseness and CFS-like caseness, at 4-years’ follow-up.

**METHOD**

**Design**

This was a prospective study conducted within the framework of a randomized controlled trial. More details on the design of the trial are described elsewhere (Huibers et al. 2004a). Participants were initially followed-up at 4, 8 and 12 months. These measurements were fixed for each individual participant. A fifth measurement was conducted simultaneously for all participants, as a result of which the mean follow-up period was 3-8 years (range 3·1–4·8 years).

**Participants**

In the original trial, 151 employees were recruited from an occupational health service. Inclusion criteria were the presence of severe fatigue (a score of 35 or more on the fatigue subscale of the Dutch Checklist for Individual Strength (CIS; Vercoulen et al. 1999; Beurskens et al. 2000) for at least 4 months in combination with complete absenteeism from work for 6–26 weeks. Patients were excluded if they had a medical condition that explained fatigue, were receiving another intervention for fatigue, had a previously classified psychiatric disorder, were receiving current psychological treatment, were receiving a work disability benefit, and if their absenteeism was not health related (e.g. work conflict). In total, 127 participants responded to follow-up and were available for the present analyses. Non-responders at 4 years’ follow-up were significantly more fatigued and had significantly lower levels of physical functioning at baseline than responders.

**Study variables**

The fatigue subscale of the CIS (Vercoulen et al. 1999; Beurskens et al. 2000) was used to measure fatigue severity. This subscale consists of eight items that are scored on a seven-point scale (score range 8–56). Higher scores indicate higher levels of fatigue severity. Psychological and somatic causal attributions were assessed with a modified version of the Causal Attribution List (CAL), which has been used in previous studies of fatigue (Vercoulen et al. 1996; Prins et al. 2001). The psychological attribution subscale consists of seven items (score range 7–28). The somatic subscale consists of four items (score range 4–16). Higher scores indicate respectively higher levels of psychological attributions and somatic attributions. Physical functioning was assessed with the physical functioning subscale of the Dutch version of the 36-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36; Ware & Sherbourne, 1992; Aaronson et al. 1998). Scores can range from 0 to 100, higher scores indicating higher levels of physical functioning. Psychological distress was measured with the Dutch version of the Symptom CheckList-90 (SCL-90; Derogatis et al. 1973; Arrindel & Ettema, 1986). Scores can range from 90 to 450, higher scores indicate higher levels of distress. The Dutch version of the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) was used to measure depression (Bouman et al. 1985; Beck et al. 1988). Scores can range from 0 to 63, with higher scores indicating higher levels of depression. Emotional exhaustion was measured with the emotional exhaustion subscale of the Dutch validated version of the Maslach Burnout Inventory – General Survey (MBI-GS; Schaufeli et al. 1996; Schaufeli & Van Dierendonk, 2000). Scores can range from 0 to 6, higher scores indicating higher levels of emotional exhaustion. Duration of fatigue complaints and duration of absenteeism (i.e. absenteeism due to sick leave) were measured by means of self-reports.
Outcomes

Fatigue caseness

Participants were classified as fatigue cases if they had a score of 35 or higher on the fatigue subscale of the CIS (Vercoulen et al. 1999).

Work disability

In The Netherlands, employees on sick leave are eligible for a disability benefit (WAO) after being on sick leave for 2 years (previously after 1 year). After this 2-year period, a physician and a labour expert examine the employee and determine whether the employee is awarded either a full or partial disability benefit based on loss of earning capacity (Klingers & Diepstraten, 1998). Participants indicated by means of self-report whether they were receiving a full work disability benefit at the time of follow-up. Work disability was only measured at the 4-year follow-up but we also asked patients to report on their work disability status in the 3 years prior to the current study (retrospectively).

CFS-like caseness

Participants were classified as potential chronic fatigue syndrome cases (CFS-like cases) if they met the following research criteria, which were based on the criteria provided by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for CFS (Fukuda et al. 1994): a CIS fatigue subscale score of 40 or higher, a self-reported duration of fatigue complaints of 6 months or more, an SF-36 score on physical functioning of 60 or lower, and the self-reported presence of four or more CFS symptoms during the past 6 months. It must be stressed that only a physician can make a true CFS diagnosis. None of the patients received a CFS diagnosis by a physician at the start of the study. The CFS status of patients was measured by self-reports only and therefore the term ‘CFS-like’ was applied. CFS-like caseness is considered to be a good proxy for a true CFS diagnosis (Taylor et al. 2000; Jason & Taylor, 2002).

Statistical analysis

Univariate and multiple logistic regression models were used to determine associations between the predictor variables and work disability (0 = no, 1 = yes) and CFS-like caseness (0 = no, 1 = yes). In the first step of multiple regression analyses, all of the variables that were significantly associated with the outcomes in univariate analyses were entered in the model. Then in the second step demographic variables were entered. A backward stepwise procedure was used. In addition, multiple regression analyses were carried out with the change scores between baseline (t0) and the 12-month follow-up (t3) of the remaining significant predictors entered in the model. The change scores were calculated by subtracting the scores at the 12-month follow-up from the baseline scores. A backward stepwise procedure was also used in these analyses. Because of the results of the predictive analyses, additional explanatory analyses were performed to further explore the relationship between physical functioning and the outcome measures. Multiple logistic regression models were also used for these analyses. In a first step, physical functioning was entered in the model. Demographic variables were entered as potential confounders in a second step. In a third step, fatigue severity and duration of fatigue complaints were controlled for. In a final step, psychological attributions, somatic attributions, psychological problems and duration of absenteeism, allocated treatment group and follow-up period were entered as potential confounders. CFS status at baseline, allocated treatment in the original trial and follow-up period were controlled for in all the predictive analyses, but did not alter any of the findings and were therefore omitted from the analyses. All odds ratios (ORs) of continuous variables are expressed in change per standard deviation (S.D.) on the scale. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 12 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Table 1 summarizes the patients’ characteristics at baseline and at the 4-year follow-up.

Work disability, fatigue and CFS-like cases

Table 2 presents the course of outcome in our sample. Thirty-three participants (26%) were claiming work disability benefits at the 4-year follow-up. The level of work disability was found to be similar over the 4 years, at
approximately 30%. At baseline, 41% of the participants met criteria for CFS-like case-
ness. Although there was an overall decrease in fatigue and CFS-like cases in the course of
the 4 years, there was a slight increase in fatigue and CFS-like cases between the 12-month and
4-year follow-ups, which could indicate a relapse of complaints.

Univariate associations

Table 3 presents the univariate associations of the potential predictors with outcome at the 4-year follow-up. Older age, a lower level of physical functioning and a higher level of emotional exhaustion were significantly related to work disability at follow-up. Factors associated with fatigue were a longer duration of fatigue complaints, lower levels of physical functioning, a lower level of psychological attribution and a higher level of somatic attribution. Female gender, a higher level of fatigue, a lower level of physical functioning and a lower level of psychological attribution were associated with CFS-like caseness at follow-up.

Multivariate analyses
Table 4 shows the multivariate prediction models for outcome at the 4-year follow-up. Older age, female gender and a lower level of physical functioning were strong predictors of work disability at follow-up. Predictors of fatigue were lower levels of physical functioning and lower levels of psychological attribution. Female gender and lower levels of physical functioning were predictors of CFS-like caseness at follow-up.

A change towards a lower level of physical functioning between baseline and the 12-month follow-up was a significant predictor of work disability, fatigue and CFS-like caseness at the 4-year follow-up (Table 4). A change score of psychological attribution could not be added to the regression model for fatigue, as this variable was not measured at the 12-month follow-up.

Physical functioning as a predictor of outcome

Physical functioning appeared to be a strong predictor in all our models. We therefore analysed the relative contribution of physical functioning as a prognostic factor to outcome. Table 5 shows that lower levels of physical functioning remained associated with work disability and CFS-like caseness when controlling for potential confounders. The association between physical functioning and fatigue lost its significance after controlling for confounders in the final step of the analysis.

DISCUSSION

Summary of main findings
We aimed to gain more insight into factors predicting outcome in a group of fatigued employees on sick leave following treatment.
for fatigue in the long term. The prognosis of fatigue in this sample was rather poor, with 57% of the participants meeting criteria for severe fatigue and 27% meeting the criteria for CFS-like caseness. Work disability was also high as 26% of participants reported receiving full work disability benefits at follow-up.

We found work disability to be predicted by older age, lower levels of physical functioning and a decline in physical functioning between baseline and the 12-month follow-up. Fatigue caseness was found to be predicted by lower levels of physical functioning, lower levels of psychological attribution and a decline in physical functioning. Being a woman, having lower levels of physical functioning and a decline in physical functioning predicted CFS-like caseness.

### Table 3. Crude associations between baseline predictors and outcome measures at the 4-year follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Work disability</th>
<th>Fatigue</th>
<th>CFS-like caseness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.24--3.13</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male = reference group)</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.80--4.21</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (reference group)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.33--3.00</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.28--2.09</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue severity</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.71--1.59</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of fatigue complaints</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.86--1.83</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of absenteeism</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.59--1.42</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.34--0.81</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological problems</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.67--1.56</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional exhaustion</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.03--2.36</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.60--1.35</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological attribution</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.49--1.08</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic attribution</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.82--1.85</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Odds ratios (ORs) of continuous variables are expressed as change per standard deviation (S.D.): higher scores on the scales of continuous variables indicate a higher age, higher levels of fatigue severity, a longer duration of complaints, a longer duration of absenteeism, higher levels of physical functioning, higher levels of psychological problems, higher levels of emotional exhaustion, higher levels of depression, and higher levels of psychological and somatic attributions compared to lower scores.

Bold values indicate statistically significant associations.

CFS, chronic fatigue syndrome; CI, confidence interval.

### Table 4. Results of multiple regression analysis: associations between baseline predictors, change predictors and outcome measures at the 4-year follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Work disability</th>
<th>Fatigue</th>
<th>CFS-like caseness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model including only baseline predictors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1.56--4.75</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.29--0.73</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological attribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model including baseline and change predictors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.17--3.29</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.14--0.47</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological attribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning change score t0–t3</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>1.93--6.97</td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Odds ratios (ORs) of continuous variables are expressed as change per standard deviation (S.D.): higher scores on the scales of continuous variables indicate a higher age, higher levels of physical functioning, higher levels of psychological attributions, and a change towards a lower level of physical functioning between t0 and t3 compared to lower scores.

CFS, chronic fatigue syndrome; CI, confidence interval.
We also focused on the role of physical functioning as a specific prognostic factor. After controlling for various confounders, we found that the association between physical functioning and work disability and CFS-like caseness remained significant, while the association between physical functioning and fatigue caseness did not. The magnitude of the associations found stands out in all of the analyses. In particular, the magnitude of the associations between physical functioning and the outcomes of this study are noteworthy.

Methodological considerations

In two recent reviews it was concluded that occupational outcomes are rarely assessed in prognostic studies of chronic fatigue and CFS (Ross et al. 2004; Cairns & Hotopf, 2005). Besides examining predictors of fatigue and CFS-like caseness, we also focused on predictors of work disability. Furthermore, this was the first study to present long-term predictors of outcome in a group of fatigued employees on sick leave. A potential limitation is that CFS-like caseness was assessed as a composite variable made up of self-reported fatigue severity, duration of fatigue complaints, physical functioning and four or more CFS symptoms. CFS-like caseness is considered to be a good indicator of true CFS but only a physician can give a diagnosis of CFS (Fukuda et al. 1994; Taylor et al. 2000; Jason & Taylor, 2002). None of the patients had received a diagnosis of CFS by a physician at the start of this study. However, this may have improved the internal validity of this study as CFS-like caseness was not dependent on help-seeking behaviour and the variation between physicians in diagnosing CFS. Another aspect of the internal validity concerns selection bias during the inclusion of participants in this study and during follow-up. It is not very likely that selection bias occurred during the inclusion of participants, as participants were not selected based on prognostic variables in the study. Non-responders at follow-up differed significantly from the responders on baseline fatigue scores and physical functioning scores. As this difference was to the disadvantage of the non-responders, the results presented in this study could be an underestimation of the severity of health problems in the target population. The participants in this study originally took part in a randomized controlled trial with specific inclusion criteria, including being on sick leave for at least 6 weeks. It is questionable, therefore, whether the findings of this study can be generalized to a wider range of fatigued patients who are not on sick leave. Moreover, fatigued individuals with a shorter duration of sick leave may have a different prognosis than the participants in this study.

The role of illness attributions

Previous studies have shown that illness attributions can play an important role in the course of fatigue conditions not meeting the criteria for CFS (Sharpe et al. 1992; Clark et al. 1995; Chalder et al. 2003; Huibers et al. 2004b). In this study, absence of a tendency towards psychological attributions was associated with fatigue caseness.

However, causal attributions were not related to CFS-like caseness in this sample, in contrast to several previous studies examining the role of illness attributions in diagnosed CFS patients (Wilson et al. 1994; Cope et al. 1996; Vercoulen et al. 1996; Van der Werf et al. 2002).

Physical functioning as a predictor

Physical functioning was found to be a robust predictor of outcome in this study. Like physical

---

**Table 5. Physical functioning at baseline as a risk factor for work disability, fatigue and CFS-like caseness at the 4-year follow-up**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work disability</th>
<th>Fatigue</th>
<th>CFS-like caseness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR 95% CI</td>
<td>OR 95% CI</td>
<td>OR 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.52a</td>
<td>0.34–0.81</td>
<td>0.46a 0.31–0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45b</td>
<td>0.28–0.75</td>
<td>0.54b 0.35–0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.43c</td>
<td>0.26–0.73</td>
<td>0.54c 0.35–0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45d</td>
<td>0.24–0.87</td>
<td>0.70d 0.40–1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CFS, chronic fatigue syndrome; CI, confidence interval.

Odds ratios (ORs) of continuous variables are expressed as change per standard deviation (S.D.): higher scores on the physical functioning scale indicate higher levels of physical functioning.

a Crude association.

b Corrected for age, gender and education.

c Corrected for age, gender, education, fatigue severity and duration of fatigue complaints.

d Corrected for age, gender, education, fatigue severity, duration of fatigue complaints, psychological attributions, somatic attributions, psychological problems, duration of absenteeism, group allocation and follow-up period.
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