Adolescent development

Advice in ABC of adolescence is potentially misleading

Editor—Christie and Viner say that delayed puberty in boys can be quite distressing but is almost always a normal variant. They say that boys aged 15 or over with a testicular volume of 4 ml or more can be reassured that puberty is beginning and, by inference, do not require referral to a specialist. This advice is potentially misleading.

For all that it is a variant of normality, constitutional delay in growth and puberty can have adverse psychosocial and sexual consequences.¹ To deny an apubertal teenager the opportunity to choose low dose androgen treatment until he is into his 16th year would be unusual by present standards. Given the likely ensuing timescale, his doctor might as well refer him straight to an endocrinologist instead of a paediatrician.

A testicular volume of 4 ml is well within the range found in boys with irreversible hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism and therefore by no means necessarily indicates that puberty is beginning. Many boys with hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism start puberty but fail to progress beyond the early stages.² Moreover, a history of cryptorchidism (especially if bilateral) or anosmia should prompt an even earlier referral.³ Neither does a family history of pubertal delay in growth and puberty among first degree relatives of patients with hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism.

A recurring theme in the personal stories posted on the wwwKallmanns.org website by men with irreversible hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism is of just how difficult it was for them as teenagers to screw up the courage to go to see their family doctor about a lack of secondary sexual characteristics. On being told “not to worry, because it’s only pubertal delay,” many felt (or were made to feel) so crushed and foolish that they then put off seeing a doctor until many years later.
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Author’s reply Editor—Quinton acknowledges that apparent pubertal delay is a normal variant in most boys seen by general practitioners or by paediatric endocrinologists. However, he says that our advice, that boys aged 15 or over with a testicular volume of 4 ml or more can be reassured that puberty is beginning, is potentially misleading, as 4 ml testes are within the range found in adult men with the uncommon condition of irreversible hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism.

Our advice is appropriate for boys who present to general practitioners. The absence of any signs of puberty, or lack of further progression through puberty over the next six months should, of course, be viewed with suspicion and merit referral to a paediatric endocrinology service for full investigation, including consideration of conditions such as hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism.

Quinton thinks that even the normal variant of constitutional delay in growth and puberty can have adverse psychosocial consequences.⁴ However, newer larger studies have shown that boys who are small or whose adolescence is delayed are not psychologically disadvantaged.⁵ Rather than overtreating numbers of normal boys, we focus on improving the communication skills of general practitioners in discussing issues such as pubertal timing with young people.
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Cognitive behaviour therapy for adolescents with chronic fatigue syndrome

Data are insufficient and conclusion inappropriate

Editor—I have concerns about the design and interpretation of the study reported by Stulemeijer et al on cognitive behaviour therapy for adolescents with chronic fatigue syndrome.¹ The trial arms were not matched for the number of contacts with healthcare professionals. Experience from larger and more carefully controlled randomised interventional trials of patients with chronic fatigue syndrome has clearly shown that short term improvement in symptoms is related directly to the maintenance of regular contacts with healthcare professionals rather than the therapeutic effect of the intervention itself and consequently, the improvement is not sustained once the contacts are stopped.

The authors did not offer patients in their waiting list the opportunity to meet therapists regularly for five months but without having cognitive behaviour therapy. Few follow up data on patients in the intervention arm show that the specific treatment benefit was carried forward without regular contacts with the therapists. A cautious approach is essential in inferring direct benefit from cognitive behaviour therapy in the intervention arm (as opposed to short term benefit from close contact with therapists).

The level of activity in some of their participants whom the authors considered to be passive remained unclear.

In their summary points the authors claim that cognitive behaviour therapy was effective by challenging patients’ belief that activity aggravated symptoms. Epidemiological data, however, confirm that fatigue made worse by exercise is a characteristic feature of adolescents at risk of chronic fatigue syndrome.² Encouraging activity in disabled patients is entirely different from challenging an accepted feature of the disease. A rhetorical approach towards a physically and emotionally challenging condition does not help recovery and only encourages therapeutic failure.

Abhijit Chaudhuri senior lecturer in clinical neurosciences University of Glasgow, Institute of Neurological Sciences, Glasgow G51 1TF ac54p@udcf.gla.ac.uk
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Letters

How to prevent caesarean deliveries deserves more study

Editor—Declercq et al bring to light “no indicated risk” as a new classification of caesarean delivery.1 Like other classes of caesarean delivery, annual rates of caesarean sections with no indicated risk have been increasing in the United States and around the world. In the context of these increases, we are surprised that methods of care that might prevent caesarean delivery have not been pursued more aggressively.

Caesarean delivery is strongly correlated to the age of the mother, parity, and increasing gestational age within the term period of pregnancy.2 If caesarean delivery is an adverse outcome worthy of prevention, if risk factors for caesarean delivery can be identified, and if a latent period exists between the identification of risk and the development of situations requiring caesarean delivery then perhaps a preventive approach—encouraging patients with risk factors to enter labour before their risk can become disease—could lower caesarean delivery rates safely.

Our working group recently described the use of risk driven, prostaglandin assisted induction of labour, and this intervention was associated with a rate of caesarean delivery of only 4%.3 While Declercq et al think that research should be done to elucidate whether the risks of primary caesarean delivery in cases of no indicated risk will be offset by associated benefits, we hope that an equal amount of time and effort will be spent on developing and testing methods that might safely prevent, or lower, rates of caesarean delivery performed for this and the other more traditional indications.

James M Nicholson assistant professor james.nicholson@uphs.upenn.edu
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Early epidurals increase caesarean rate, meta-analysis shows

Editor—The study reported by Mayor in her news item uses the term “neuralaxal analgesia” and claims that early epidurals do not increase the rate of caesarean deliveries.4,5 This is confusing as the study was not of early epidural analgesia, and the oxytocin augmentation rate of 75% at first analgesia makes for lack of generalisability.

The claim that women need not worry that early epidurals will lead to increased caesareans is false.6 This trial was about two methods of helping women with pain in early labour. In the so called epidural arm, on their first request for analgesia, women received intrathecal fentanyl, and in the narcotic arm, hydromorphone. On their second request, almost two thirds of women in both arms were 4 cm or more dilated. In the intrathecal “epidural” arm, they received low dose epidural analgesia, in the narcotic arm, hydromorphone.

This trial, as others that have contributed to the Cochrane meta-analysis,6,7 showed no increase in caesareans in the presence of epidural analgesia, but does not acknowledge that most women were in active labour at randomisation, when most will do well. Wong et al,8 like Sharma et al, the major contributors to the Cochrane meta-analysis, have shown only that when women’s pain in the latent phase is managed with intrathecal, narcotic, or other pharmacological or non-pharmacological means, an epidural in the active phase of labour does not increase the rate of caesareans.

The role of an early epidural in contributing to increases in caesarean rates has yet to be studied in a randomised controlled trials, but the sensitivity analysis in the Cochrane meta-analysis, after removing late randomisation studies, shows that early epidurals to more than double caesarean rates.

Michael C Klein physician BC Women’s Hospital, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V8H 3VJ
mklein@interchange.ubc.ca
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Clomipramine and neuroleptic malignant syndrome

Editor—Haddow et al describe a severe adverse drug reaction but create an oxymoron in describing clomipramine induced “neuroleptic malignant syndrome.”

Clomipramine is not a neuroleptic and therefore by definition cannot cause this syndrome (any more than it can cause anti-convulsant hypersensitivity syndrome). The description is consistent with serotonin toxicity, a well described adverse reaction to serotonergic antidepressants. In attempting to describe a new adverse drug reaction, Haddow et al have focused on non-specific clinical features that are present in many drug induced neuropsychiatric syndromes.2 Clomipramine, a potent serotonin reuptake inhibitor, has been associated with hyperthermia and was more correctly labelled as a serotonin syndrome.3 Muscle rigidity and raised muscle enzyme activities also occur in severe serotonin toxicity.4 Neuroleptic malignant syndrome is an idiosyncratic reaction to therapeutic doses of neuroleptic agents.5 A pragmatic clinical
description of the syndrome includes four primary features: autonomic lability, hyperthermia (pyrexia) without other cause, extrapyramidal syndrome (cog-wheel or lead pipe rigidity), and encephalopathy. Despite superficial clinical similarities between neuroleptic malignant syndrome and serotonin syndrome, they are usually easily differentiated on the basis of careful neurological examination. Neuroleptic malignant syndrome is associated with lead pipe rigidity, bradykinesia, and other extrapyramidal features. Conversely in serotonin syndrome there is hyperkinesia, hyperreflexia, and clonus.

Descriptions of adverse reactions to psychotropic drugs need detailed clinical descriptions of neuromuscular, central, and autonomic features. Using ambiguous or non-specific criteria to label adverse reactions as a particular syndrome while ignoring the pharmacology of the implicated drug may lead to false associations between particular drugs and clinical syndromes and to inappropriate treatment.

Geoffrey K Isbister clinical toxicologist
Newcastle Mater Misericordiae Hospital, Edith Street, Waratah, NSW 2308, Australia
gbstaff@ferntree.com
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Risk of gene therapy should be weighed against lack of alternatives for many diseases

Levinson and Sternbach and referenced in our article.1,2

We described in this patient an earlier diagnosed episode of serotonin syndrome, and no clinical evidence of rigidity was found on that occasion.

In view of the action at dopamine sites of clomipramine, and the statement in the BNF from the BMA and the Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain, we would continue to support our diagnosis of neuroleptic malignant syndrome in this informative case.

Alison Haddow consultan in old age psychiatry
Royal Cornhill Hospital, Aberdeen AB25 2ZH
alison.haddow@gpct.Gramaria.scot.tbs.uk

Martin Wilson clinical lecturer
Department of Medicine for the Elderly, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD
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Authors’ reply

Ede2tor—Clomipramine is not a neuroleptic and certainly not an “antidepressant.” However, as mentioned in our article, this drug has an appreciable blocking effect at dopamine receptor sites, the traditional domain of the neuroleptic drug. This is a weak effect, but it is more potent than several other antidepressant agents.1 This action is recognised in the current edition of the BNF, which says that neuroleptic malignant syndrome may, very rarely, arise in the course of antidepressant treatment.

Reference to 50 worldwide reports received regarding clomipramine and neuroleptic malignant syndrome or suspected neuroleptic malignant syndrome, in addition to four reports received by the Committee on Safety of Medicines and two published case reports.

We agree that we should have made clear that this patient’s muscle rigidity was of the lead pipe variety, although some widely accepted diagnostic criteria require only severe muscle rigidity.1 The diagnostic criteria that we tabulated were based on clinical toxicologist.


Risks of gene therapy should be weighed against lack of alternatives for many diseases

Materials and methods

In view of the action at dopamine sites of clomipramine, and the statement in the BNF from the BMA and the Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain, we would continue to support our diagnosis of neuroleptic malignant syndrome in this informative case.
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Editors’ reply

Ede2tor—Clomipramine is not a neuroleptic and certainly not an “antidepressant.” However, as mentioned in our article, this drug has an appreciable blocking effect at dopamine receptor sites, the traditional domain of the neuroleptic drug. This is a weak effect, but it is more potent than several other antidepressant agents.1 This action is recognised in the current edition of the BNF, which says that neuroleptic malignant syndrome may, very rarely, arise in the course of antidepressant treatment.

Reference to 50 worldwide reports received regarding clomipramine and neuroleptic malignant syndrome or suspected neuroleptic malignant syndrome, in addition to four reports received by the Committee on Safety of Medicines and two published case reports.

We agree that we should have made clear that this patient’s muscle rigidity was of the lead pipe variety, although some widely accepted diagnostic criteria require only severe muscle rigidity.1 The diagnostic criteria that we tabulated were based on clinical toxicologist.

Target SHOs and registrars for communication skills training

Enrór—Kidd et al argue that undergraduates need to learn clinical and communication skills side by side.1 Every doctor needs communication skills, from pathologists to surgeons and physicians. In the Netherlands undergraduates spend considerable time on how to communicate with patients. But I think that this is targeting the wrong group, and time is taken away from essential preclinical and clinical studies. Students learn to talk to simulated patients, with, for example, a Dukes’s D colon cancer, at a time in their studies when they don’t know what cancer is, what a Dukes’s D colon cancer means, and what the impact is on a patient. Students receive this kind of training in their second year and have forgotten about it when they become senior house officers.

Senior house officers (SHOs) and registrars should be doing the training. We do the damage in our “bad news” talks. We know what we are talking about, and we do it daily. We should be the ones filmed on camera and evaluated. This should be done with clinicians with experience, together with “communicators,” and not only by people who studied communication skills who have never had to tell anybody that they have cancer and are dying, or let a family know that a patient has died. The impact of this is greater than most people can imagine, and I think poor communication on those subjects often reflects the inability of the doctor to deal with his or her own feelings.

The same goes for communicating with colleagues. In my hospital, emergency departments are now filmed in major trauma cases, and the people on the floor are actually the ones being filmed. Evaluation is then done by the surgeons, together with a psychologist.

Communication skills are essential, but not at the expense of medical students’ preclinical and clinical curriculum. The target groups should therefore be senior house officers and specialist registrars.

Erik T Walbeehm
specialist registrar plastic surgery
Rotterdam, 3022 BC, Netherlands
erikwalbeehm@mac.com
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Old docs and new tricks

Seasoned doctors may be better than young doctors at some things

Enrór—Spurgeon reports that the doctors’ standards of care drop with years in practice.1 When I began clinical practice in the late 1980s I thought that one key to being a “good doc” was keeping up with the latest drugs and technologies. I was dismayed to see seasoned colleagues who were slow to change.

I then saw many new drugs get pulled from the market (of course it is not the first non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug to be withdrawn) and various medical fads come and go. Evidence based medicine appropriately shed light on the poor evidence available to support most things that physicians do.

Armed with this keener analytical approach, I came to realise that most claims of benefit are greatly exaggerated compared with absolute incremental changes and that most patients are not like trial subjects. Although an intervention might benefit a small subset of patients, it is much less certain that it will benefit the patient who sits before me.

I suspect that seasoned doctors are better than their junior colleagues at some things, and worse at others. Maybe the ability to see the big picture, both historically and on the basis of hypothesis and conclusions make me advise to proceed down this route with caution. Being a doctor is already a difficult job. Being advised that all your thought patient experience has actually made you a worse doctor is demotivating. Perhaps experienced doctors and patients would have a different set of criteria about what good care is?

Graeme M Mackenzie
general practitioner
Whitehaven CA28 7RG
graeme.mackenzie@gp-a82041.nhs.uk
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Efficiency is important

Enrór—In British general practice, where everyday demand exceeds capacity, the efficient general practitioner is king. That is one thing that experience should bring. If all general practitioners followed every guideline the system would collapse, and although a few patients would have exemplary care, many would have no care at all as they would just not get seen because they would find the wait intolerable. Perhaps this is what happens now in secondary care, where care delivered is often very good but access is less and less. What is accepted practice is often developed for a “one issue patient.” Reality means multiissue patients, who themselves have limited ability to follow all the investigation and treatment “recommended” by the single issue academic establishment. Many indications for treatment are immediately met with contraindications. Experience allows general practitioners to cut back on too much excess investigation and treatment while still striving to meet the guidelines.

Protecting the patient from the iatrogenic harm of excess health care used to be a core skill of the general practitioner. Is this being taken away from us as well?

A system that fails to value the soft end points and often efficient and effective care that experience brings will have to restructure to meet the demand and that inevitably will lead to a hugely expanded system with resource implications. I am not disputing the findings of the paper reported by Spurgeon, that the standard of care may drop with years spent in practice,1 but the immediate common sense illogical logic of hypothesis and conclusions makes me advise to proceed down this route with caution. Being a doctor is already a difficult job. Being advised that all your thought patient experience has actually made you a worse doctor is demotivating. Perhaps experienced doctors and patients would have a different set of criteria about what good care is?
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