Retinal vein occlusion: A form of venous thrombosis or a complication of atherosclerosis?

A meta-analysis of thrombophilic factors
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Summary

Previous studies have shown an increased risk of retinal vein occlusion (RVO) in patients with hypertension, hypercholesterolemia and diabetes mellitus. Literature on the association between thrombophilic factors and RVO consists of small studies and case reports. The objective was to determine the relationship between thrombophilic risk factors and RVO. Thrombophilic risk factors analyzed were hyperhomocysteinemia, MTHFR gene mutation, factor V Leiden mutation, protein C and S deficiency, antithrombin deficiency, prothrombin gene mutation, anticardiolipin antibodies and lupus anticoagulant. For all currently known thrombophilic risk factors odds ratios for RVO were calculated as estimates of relative risk. The odds ratios were 8.9 (95% CI 5.7 –13.7) for hyperhomocysteinemia, 3.9 (95% CI 2.3 – 6.7) for anticardiolipin antibodies, 1.2 (95% CI 0.9 –1.6) for MTHFR, 1.5 (95% CI 1.0 – 2.2) for factor V Leiden mutation and 1.6 (95% CI 0.8 – 3.2) for prothrombin gene mutation. In conclusion, regarding thrombophilic risk factors and RVO there is only evidence for an association with hyperhomocysteinemia and anticardiolipin antibodies, factors that are known as risk factors for venous thrombosis as well as for arterial vascular disease. The minor effect of factor V Leiden mutation and the protrombin gene mutation (risk factors for venous thrombosis only) suggests that atherosclerosis might be an important factor in the development of CRVO.
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Introduction

Retinal vein occlusion (RVO) is the second most common retinal vascular occlusive disease after diabetic retinopathy affecting not only elderly, but also young patients. A previous large population-based study reported a 4-year incidence of retinal vein occlusion of 2.14 cases per 1000 of general population older than 40 years and 5.36 cases per 1000 of general population older than 64 years (1). Retinal vein occlusions may affect the venous blood supply of the entire retina (central RVO), half of the retina (hemiretinal RVO) or a quadrant drained by one of the branches of the central retinal vein (branch RVO). Retinal vein thrombosis causes increased venous pressure and may lead to retinal capillary decompensation with macular edema and subsequent visual loss. The exact pathogenesis of RVO is unclear. Of vichow's three classical factors that play a role in thrombogenesis- stasis, vessel damage and hypercoagulability- two have been frequently reported in patients with RVO. Open-angle glaucoma is the most frequent local alteration predisposing to RVO as it compromises venous outflow by increased intra-ocular pressure (2–5). Degenerative changes of the vessel wall, abnormal perivascular changes, and abnormal haematologic factors constitute the primary mechanisms of vessel occlusion. Over the last few years, hypercoagulability, another Virchow factor, has attracted a considerable amount of interest for the internal medicine physician.

Previously, large studies using hospital-based controls have shown an increased risk of RVO in patients with hypertension, diabetes mellitus, atherosclerosis and hypercholesterolemia (6–14). Literature on associated thrombophilic abnormalities in various types of RVO has accumulated over the years, predominantly based on retrospective retrieval of information from case records of variable numbers of patients seen in routine clinical practice (15). Prospective studies on this subject however are...
The following is a meta-analysis of the literature on the relationship between currently known thrombophilic risk factors and retinal venous occlusive disease, including the genetic alterations of haemostasis.

**Methods**

**Selection of studies**

Eligible studies were identified by searching the electronic literature (Medline) for relevant reports published between 1966 to 2004 using the terms ‘retinal occlusion’, ‘retinal vein thrombosis’ combined with ‘risk factors’, ‘thrombophilia’ or ‘coagulation abnormalities’. We also manually searched reference lists of relevant studies identified.

Relevant titles and abstracts were reviewed in a single reviewer format. Articles were retrieved if they were case-control studies, retrospective or prospective, in which the controls were healthy adults with no history of thrombosis. To avoid acknowledged and covert duplication of data, only the most recent and complete series reported by investigators were included in the analysis. Studies were assessed independently by two of the authors (Mirian Janssen and Martin den Heijer).

**Statistical analysis**

For all analyses, summary estimates were obtained by taking an inverse-variance weighted average of the log odds ratios from individual studies. Heterogeneity was assessed using standard Chi-squared tests (16, 17).

**Results**

In total, 138 reports, including case-reports on the role of coagulation abnormalities in retinal venous occlusive disease were identified in the literature. Of these, 26 were case control studies that were used for further analysis. No prospective or intervention studies were found. In most of the studies ophthalmic patients without retinal vascular occlusive disease were used as controls, whereas in other studies healthy adults and blood donors with no history of thrombosis were used.

Hyperhomocysteinemia and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T

Eleven case control studies on the role of elevated plasma homocysteine were found (18–28) with a total of 527 patients with any type of retinal vein occlusion and 955 control subjects. In all studies fasting homocysteine above the 95th percentile of controls was defined as fasting hyperhomocysteinemia. Odds ratio’s varied between 1.5 and 43.5 (Fig. 1).

The overall odds ratio for homocysteine >95th percentile was 8.9 (95% CI 5.7 to 13.7) with no significant heterogeneity (p=0.073).

In total, 10 case-controls studies on the role of MTHFR were found (19, 23, 28–35) with a total of 581 patients with retinal vein occlusion and 1080 control subjects. Results demonstrated odds ratio’s of 0.5 to 3.5. The overall odds ratio for MTHFR as risk factor for RVO was 1.2 (95% CI 0.9 to 1.6) with significant heterogeneity (p=0.003) (Fig. 2).

Factor V Leiden mutation

Fourteen case-control studies on the role of FV Leiden mutation were found (19, 22, 23, 28, 31, 32, 34, 36–42) with a total of 792 patients with any type of retinal vein occlusion and 1418 control subjects. The odds ratio’s were between 0.6 and 6.9. Figure 3 demonstrates that some authors failed to find a high prevalence of FV Leiden in RVO patients with respect to controls whereas others demonstrated a significant association. The overall adjusted odds ratio for FV Leiden for the risk of RVO was 1.5 (95% CI 1.0 to 2.2), with no heterogeneity (p=0.135). Two studies in which none of the controls had the mutation could not be included in the calculation.
In total, 12 case-control studies on the role of prothrombin gene mutation in RVO were found (19, 23, 29, 31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 40, 41, 43) with a total of 700 patients with any type of retinal vein occlusion and 1334 control subjects. The odds ratio’s were between 0.6 and 3.5. The overall odds ratio for prothrombin gene mutation for the risk of RVO was 1.6 (95% confidence interval 0.8 to 3.2), with no heterogeneity (p=0.7). Five studies in which none of the patients had the mutation and one study in which none of the controls had the mutation could not be included in the calculation.

**Prothrombin gene (G2010A) mutation**

In total, 12 case-control studies on the role of prothrombin gene mutation in RVO were found (19, 23, 29, 31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 40, 41, 43) with a total of 700 patients with any type of retinal vein occlusion and 1334 control subjects. The odds ratio’s were between 0.6 and 3.5. The overall odds ratio for prothrombin gene mutation for the risk of RVO was 1.6 (95% confidence interval 0.8 to 3.2), with no heterogeneity (p=0.7). Five studies in which none of the patients had the mutation and one study in which none of the controls had the mutation could not be included in the calculation.

**Deficiencies of physiological inhibitors of coagulation**

Deficiencies of natural anticoagulation (antithrombin, protein S and protein C) were not commonly reported in RVO. Table 1 shows the results of the case-control studies that were performed on this subject (22, 23, 31, 37, 42, 44).

**Protein C, protein S and antithrombin**

In total, 6 case-control studies on the role of protein C were analysed. A total of 351 patients with any type of retinal vein occlusion were compared with 342 control subjects. Eleven patients had protein C deficiency compared to 0 controls.

In total, 6 case-control studies on the role of protein S were analysed. A total of 351 patients with any type of retinal vein occlusion were compared with 349 control subjects. Four patients had protein S deficiency compared to 0 controls.

In total, 5 case-control studies on the role of antithrombin were analysed. A total of 324 patients with any type of retinal vein occlusion were compared with 281 control subjects. Two patients had antithrombin deficiency compared to 1 control.

**Anticardiolipin antibodies and lupus anticoagulant**

In total, 7 case-control studies on the role of anticardiolipin antibodies (ACA) in RVO were found (19, 22, 23, 45–47) with a total of 412 patients with any type of retinal vein occlusion and 508 control subjects. The odds ratio’s were between 2.1 and 8.5 (Fig. 5). The overall odds ratio for anticardiolipin for the risk of RVO was 3.9 (95% CI 2.3 to 6.7) with no heterogeneity (p=0.69). In all studies both IgM and IgG antibodies were determined, but in the analysis the results were combined. Overall, IgG antibodies were more prevalent.

**Table 1: Deficiencies of natural anticoagulants (antithrombin, protein S and protein C) in patients with RVO.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>patients positive</th>
<th>patients negative</th>
<th>controls positive</th>
<th>controls negative</th>
<th>patients positive</th>
<th>patients negative</th>
<th>controls positive</th>
<th>controls negative</th>
<th>patients positive</th>
<th>patients negative</th>
<th>controls positive</th>
<th>controls negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tekeli (44)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcucci (23)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kadayifcilar (42)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombeli (37)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahey (22)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruciani (31)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The role of rheologic or coagulation factors in the development of RVO remains unclear.

Thrombophilic risk factors, such as antithrombin deficiency, protein C and S deficiency, hyperhomocysteinemia, factor V and II mutation and antiphospholipid antibodies have been associated with venous thromboembolism (VTE). Over the past few years an increasing number of studies have evaluated the possible role of these thrombophilic factors in the pathogenesis of RVO. The problem is that many of the available studies have assessed only one or a few factors, often in a limited number of patients. The major problem encountered with these kind of studies is the number of patients required to define an association.

This meta-analysis has thoroughly evaluated the most important thrombophilic risk factors in RVO. It is demonstrated that hyperhomocysteinemia and antiphospholipid antibodies are associated with RVO. The effects on factor V Leiden and factor II mutation are less than observed in VTE. An association between protein C, S antithrombin and RVO was not demonstrated.

Regarding homocysteine genetic and environmental factors have been reported to influence plasma levels. In particular, among the genetic factors, a polymorphism (C667T) in the 5–10 methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene has been reported to affect homocysteine levels.

An elevated level of homocysteine is generally accepted to be a risk factor for systemic vascular disease and venous thrombosis. Odds ratio’s of 2–3 have been reported (49–51). It appears to have a deleterious effect on arterial vascular endothelium and may induce platelet aggregation, lipid accumulation and thrombosis. The large meta-analysis of Wald et al. showed a significant association between serum homocysteine concentration and ischemic heart disease and deep vein thrombosis (49). In the MTHFR studies, the difference in homocysteine concentration arisen from a single gene mutation are allocated at random. The fact that the MTHFR 677 TT genotype is a risk factor for both venous thrombosis and arterial vascular disease, strongly suggests that the association between homocysteine and these diseases is causal (49, 50). In our meta-analysis the odds ratio for homocysteine >95th percentile was 8.9 (95% CI 5.7 to 13.7). This is higher than reported for venous thrombosis (51). This is probably due to the selection of patients in the studies, small studies and insufficient correction for age. Although the confidence intervals for the effect of MTHFR were wide, the point estimate is similar to what was found for VTE and arterial vascular disease (17, 49, 51).

There have been conflicting reports on the prevalence of FV Leiden mutation in patients with RVO, with some authors reporting a high similar rate to those known from venous thrombosis of other locations (43, 52), but others found much lower rates (53, 54).

FV Leiden and FII mutation are undoubtedly a risk factor for thrombosis of large veins with reported odds ratio’s of 5–6, but as demonstrated in figures 3 and 4, odds ratio’s are lower for retinal vein occlusion. At present the associated risk is insufficient on its own to recommend testing of all patients with retinal vascular occlusion for FV Leiden and FII mutation. The same applies for antithrombin and protein C and S deficiency.

Another mechanism for hypercoagulability appears to be the activity of circulating immune complexes against membrane phospholipids and plasma proteins (i.e. LAC and ACA). A clear association between ACA was demonstrated in figure 4. These circulating immune complexes may lead to thrombo-embolic arterial and venous occlusions. The detection of these antiphospholipid antibodies is also important because of the possible systemic complications of the syndrome: recurrent spontaneous abortions, deep venous thrombosis and arterial thrombosis. The first manifestation of antiphospholipid antibodies may be RVO.

Several studies indicate that antiphospholipid antibodies may play a role in the development of atherosclerosis. Induction of a prothrombotic vascular endothelial microenvironment could

---

**Figure 5: Overall odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for RVO with antiphospholipid antibodies.** The combined odds ratio for the sub-totals for each study design and their 95% CI are indicated by the diamond. The size of the diamond is inversely proportional to the variance of the log odds ratio and the width of the diamond represents the 95% CI.

In total, 5 case-control studies on the role of lupus anticoagulant (LAC) were analysed (19, 22, 23, 45, 46). A total of 315 patients with any type of retinal vein occlusion were compared with 382 control subjects. Sixteen patients had lupus anticoagulant compared to 3 controls (Table 2).

**Discussion**

RVO is a major cause of ocular morbidity. The precise mechanisms leading to thrombosis in RVO has not yet been clearly elucidated. Several risk factors have been identified, including hypertension, diabetes, history of cardiovascular disease, hypercholesterolemia, increased ocular pressure and glaucoma (4–6, 8, 9, 11, 13). The role of rheologic or coagulation factors in the development of RVO remains unclear.

**Table 2: Lupus anticoagulant in patients with RVO.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>patients positive</th>
<th>patients negative</th>
<th>controls positive</th>
<th>controls negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marcucci (23)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahey (22)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adamczuk (19)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcucci (28)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbone (46)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
be involved in the pathogenesis of RVO (55, 56). Carbone et al. (46) found that patients with antiphospholipids and RVO exhibited a high frequency of ANA, low C4 levels, low CH100 activity, elevated IgA and increased circulating immune complexes. It was suggested that these patients could define a subset prone to evolve to another systemic autoimmune disease such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). Some immunological features, like positive ANA and increased circulating immune complexes, in patients with antiphospholipid antibodies and RVO might distinguish those individuals from immunologic ocular diseases. These patients should be monitored for the development of connective tissue diseases (46).

The retrospective design of most of the included studies is a limitation of this meta-analysis and the disadvantages of retrospective studies in determining blood parameters after an occlusive event have been highlighted earlier. Disadvantages of meta-analyses include inappropriate pooling of data, acknowledged and covert duplication of data, and publication bias. Publication bias when studies with contrary or inconclusive results are not published is a potentially serious limitation of a meta-analysis. However, synthesis of data from multiple studies can overcome limitations of small sample sizes when conclusions are drawn from single studies. Based on this analysis it seems that the risk profile for arterial disease of the patient with RVO is more important than thrombophilic risk factors. An explanation for this might be the anatomy of the retinal arteries and veins. RVO is defined as a focal occlusion of a retinal vein at an arteriovenous crossing site. In almost all cases the RVO occurs at crossing sites where the artery is passing anteriorly (superficially) to the vein. The resultant thickening of the artery appears to cause compression of adjacent veins, a process that may be aggravated because the two vessels are confined within a common adventitial sheath. With increased compression, venous blood flow velocity at the crossing site gradually increases until local shear stress causes endothelial cell loss, thrombus formation and vein occlusion.

Regarding thrombophilic risk factors and RVO there is only evidence for an association with hyperhomocysteinemia and anticardiolipin antibodies, factors that are known as risk factors for venous thrombosis as well as for arterial vascular disease. The minor effect of FV Leiden mutation and the prothrombin gene mutation (risk factors for venous thrombosis only) suggest that atherosclerosis might be an important factor in the development of CRVO.

In investigating a new patient with RVO one should test for hypertension, lipid abnormalities and diabetes mellitus since retinal venous obstruction may be the presentation of significant cardiovascular morbidity. An extensive and expensive workup for thrombophilic disease is unwarranted in the vast majority of patients with CRVO.
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