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The notoriously problematic cases of the Late Latin stress shift from the antepenultimate to
the penultimate syllable in words with a short vowel in the penultimate followed by a
consonant + liquid cluster, such as, in for example, integrum 'total', colubram 'serpent',
palpebram 'eye lid', cathedram 'chair' has received considerable attention throughout the
history of Romance linguistics (cf. among others, de Groot (1921), Niedermann (1931), Pope
(1934), Richter (1934), Ward (1951), Fouché (1958), Bourciez (1974), Pulgram (1975),
Steriade (1988), Lahiri, Riad & Jacobs (1999), and more recently Bullock (2001) and Ségéral
& Scheer (to appear)). No completely satisfactory account, however, has yet been provided.
In all but the last two accounts, broadly speaking three different types of explanation are
offered. A change in the number of syllables, a change in the syllabic affiliation of the cluster
and a change in the stress rule. De Groot (1921), Niedermann (1931) and Richter (1934)
assume an epenthetic vowel which triggered the shift and which was subsequently deleted
again (cólubram EPENTHESIS colub[ə]ram > STRESS SHIFT colúb[ə]ram SYNCOPE colúbram).
Fouché (1958) and Bourciez (1974) assume that the first consonant became geminated
thereby closing the preceding syllable. Both accounts are problematic, the epenthetic vowel
cannot be motivated independently from the shift and the second solution is at odds with the
available romance evidence (such as, for instance, the diphthongisation in the French reflexes
entier, couleuvre, paupière and chaire (Bullock 2001:177-178)) that shows that the
penultimate syllable remained an open syllable. Ward (1951), Pope (1934), Pulgram (1975),
Steriade (1988) and Lahiri e.a. (1999), although differing in details, describe the stress shift as
a result of a changing stress rule (the leading idea being that the loss of vocalic quantity
distinctions and syncope led to the breakdown of the classical Latin stress rule).
Ségéral & Scheer (to appear) provide a government phonology-based approach which
basically relies on assuming ambiguous status of consonant+liquid clusters (they can be
analysed as coda-onset sequences, as onset clusters or as a monosegmental (affricate-like)
onset), which means that they should be coda-onset at the moment of the stress shift, to
become onset cluster again at the moment of diphthongisation, rendering the analysis subject
to the same criticism as the vowel epenthesis account.
Finally, Bullock (2001) offers an OT-based account and assumes double prosody: an
additional mora, projected to the syllable, but not realized by the vowel allows a light syllable
to count as heavy before consonant+liquid clusters. Syncope in cases such as manipulus
> maníplus leads to a light (ma.ní.plus) penultimate syllable being stressed, unless it is assumed
that the mora of the deleted vowel is preserved and associated with the penultimate syllable
(the syllable is then heavy, but because the vowel is not long, weight is covert). This double
prosody is then carried over to other light syllables preceding stop-liquid clusters, triggering
the stress shift. The stress rule of the language therefore remains the same for Classical Latin
as well as for Late Latin (Bullock 2001: 187).
Our goal in this talk is twofold. The first is more descriptive, the second more theoretical. After briefly reviewing the proposed accounts, we will concentrate on Bullock's analysis.

We will show that the doubly prosody approach in syncope cases such as manipulus > maníplus, contrary to her claim, does lead to opacity of the Classical Latin stress algorithm and, hence, that the stress algorithm for Late Latin cannot be the same as for Classical Latin. As a matter of fact, what syncope in these cases, but also the stress shift cases in words such as muli.ierem mul[i]érem share, is the stress has to become lexicalised, leading expectedly to doublets, such as, French paupières (from palpébram) and Old French paupres (from pálpebram) or French entier (from intégrum ) and Old French entre (from integrum). We will then provide a more formal OT-account of the Steriade (1988) line of account, in which the shift is seen as a result of a changing stress system.

The second objective of the paper is to describe within an OT-model the further shift from a quantity-sensitive to a quantity-insensitive stress system, as it occurred in Gallo-Romance. We will argue and demonstrate why the set of standard OT-stress constraints is unable to characterize the distinction between a quantity-sensitive and a quantity-insensitive stress system, but instead makes the wrong typological predictions. We will conclude by providing an OT-account not thwarted by the same drawbacks.
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