Galvinoxyl monolayers on Au(111) studied by STM, EPR, and cyclic voltammetry
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Galvinoxyl layers on Au(111) have been studied by scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM), electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), and cyclic voltammetry (CV). We observe two phases: configuration I having a molecular density of 1.57±0.16×10−10 mol/cm² and a rectangular lattice (15 Å by 7 Å) observed at room temperature and down to 140 K; configuration II with a slightly smaller molecular density of 1.37±0.14×10−10 mol/cm² and oblique cells (22.5 Å by 5.4 Å) arranged alternatingly in stacks yielding a molecular layer with lower symmetry and comparatively large crystallographic unit cell. The latter is only observed upon cooling down to 40 K and subsequent annealing to room temperature. For both assemblies typical domain sizes in the range of 100 nm have been found. The EPR results confirm that the radical character is preserved upon adsorption and that the intermolecular distance is smaller than 11 Å. The interaction between the overlapping singly occupied spin orbitals is high, indicating no participation of the unpaired electron in the binding to the surface or laterally between neighboring radicals. The average surface concentration deduced from CV measurements is in excellent agreement with the surface coverages deduced from STM topographies. In aqueous electrolyte the adsorbate undergoes a one-electron oxidation with concomitant loss of a proton as determined from oxidation potential vs pH curves in a similar fashion as known for the free radical in solution. This indicates no dramatic change of the electronic properties of the radical upon adsorption. Structure models are proposed with molecules standing upright like “bicycles in rows.”
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I. INTRODUCTION

Interestingly, purely organic ferromagnets show long range magnetic order in the crystalline phase. Organic molecular magnets are in many respects superior to conventional magnets because they are comparably small and light, and chemically easy to control.1 Galvinoxyl is one of the most promising radicals because it is a persistent and stable radical exhibiting a ferromagnetic phase at room temperature.2,3 Ferromagnetic nanostructures are expected to form an increasingly important class of materials in future technologies and the study of ferromagnetic layers of radicals is therefore intriguing. We investigate the structure and the electronic properties of galvinoxyl adsorbed on Au(111).

In principle every atom or molecule with an unpaired electron is predefined as a radical. But only few of them are stable and persistent at ambient conditions and upon adsorption. For stable radicals, to the best of our knowledge only nine studies of nine different radical assemblies, respectively, aggregate structures on surfaces investigated by STM are published.4−12 Combined EPR and STM was used to detect spin specific signals through the tip by applying a magnetic field and a microwave to get STM-EPR spectra of g-bisdiphenylene- b-phenylallyl (BDPA) clusters containing free radicals.4 The high spatial resolution of STM together with the electronic spin sensitivity of electron-spin resonance showed the presence of localized spins in BDPA on a HOPG (highly oriented pyrolic graphitic) surface. Three other studies describe the adsorption of TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxy) on Si(100) and Si(111). The

stable and free radical is reduced and connected by dangling bonds to the surface and investigated by scanning tunnelling microscopy with varying tunnelling currents.13−15 The most recent study combines STM measurements and computational modelling of TEMPO on Si(100).7 A strong bias dependence in the topography of isolated molecules was observed in the range of −2 to +2.5 V. The computational method could confirm the binding mechanism to the surface. STM and LEED study indicate that methoxy, ethoxy, phenoxy and 1-propoxy adsorb into p(2×2)-S vacancies on a sulfur-covered Ni(100) surface.8 It has been shown by STM that methyl radicals adsorb as two-dimensional (2D) islands on Cu(111) up to a coverage as a saturation monolayer.9 For two important radical species, i.e., methyl (CH₃) and methylnitrene (NCH₃) on Cu(111) and Cu(110) high-resoluted STM images have been obtained and plausible adsorption models have been derived.10 By using the reduced thermal mobility of molecular radicals on surfaces, it was demonstrated that the cyclopentadienyl radical can be readily manipulated lateral to the STM tip motion and associatively desorbed with a STM tip at room temperature.11,12 Some of the radicals described were reduced by binding to the surface.

In the wide field of radical research based on STM investigations we refer to several representative examples. One of the best examples is pure nitrogen, which is adsorbed on a Si(100) surface in UHV. Linear defects perpendicular to dimer rows found with STM and scanning tunnelling spectroscopy (STS) revealed that the initial nitridation reaction occurs preferentially at the backbonds of surface Si atoms.13,14 Another chemically very simple radical which is
nitric oxide (NO) was adsorbed to a Cu(110) surface. The molecule splits off and N- and O-induced islands are detected.\(^\text{15}\) In the case of NO two different adsorption structures on Pt(111) observed by STM have been reported.\(^\text{16}\) Additionally the chemisorption of NO on a flat stepped Ru(0001) surface was calculated using density functional theory.\(^\text{17}\)

For organic molecules deposited on surfaces we refer to the review papers of Blundell et al.,\(^\text{18}\) Schreiber,\(^\text{1}\) and Kinoshita.\(^\text{19}\)

The study of the magnetic behavior of organic radicals has started already in 1957 with the interest on galvinoxyl (2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-oxycyclohexa-2,5-di-enyliden-emethyl)-phenoxy).\(^\text{20}\) The molecule was first named after its discoverer “Coppinger-radical,” obviously his first name Galvin provided the contemporary name. Galvinoxyl is considered most interesting as the compound in the solid phase passes a first order magnetic phase transition at 85 K from ferromagnetic above, to antiferromagnetic below this temperature.\(^\text{3,18}\)

Several studies have been presented on crystalline galvinoxyl. The EPR spectra of the polycrystalline specimen shows a flat-topped single peak due to the anisotropy of packing of the individual crystallites.\(^\text{21}\) The nature of the spin exchange interaction between the unpaired electrons has been described using the band model.\(^\text{22}\) In order to understand the mechanism of the magnetic interactions, ferromagnetic properties like spin population, spin arrangement and the spontaneous magnetic moment per molecule have been calculated by \textit{ab initio} studies.\(^\text{23}\) It was found that the total DOS below the Fermi level exhibits sharp peaks, i.e., energy bands below the Fermi level are narrow and flat. The magnetic properties of a mixed crystal of the galvinoxyl radical and its precursory closed shell compound have been studied.\(^\text{24}\) From these measurements it was concluded that the ferromagnetic order, which passes a transition at 85 K in pure galvinoxyl, is maintained down to 2 K in mixed crystals. Though, there is no clear statement for the Curie temperature of galvinoxyl. Many of the conditions necessary to be an organic ferromagnet above the 85 K transition temperature are fulfilled.

Inside the galvinoxyl crystal the almost planar radical molecules are arranged in different forms of alternating stacks.\(^\text{25}\) Different configurations were assumed and all of them have similar smallest intermolecular distances. The ortho-phenyl residues are twisted relative to each other by about 12 degrees. Therefore, in all our models we presume a planar structure for the molecule as an approximation. The stacks of molecules are arranged in different forms of alternating Ru堆积.\(^\text{26}\) The coupling between the single electron spins exhibits a large intramolecular exchange which leads to a high spin polarization.

Experimental studies of crystalline galvinoxyl showed that the magnetic properties do vary with temperature. The high temperature susceptibility is well reproduced by the one-dimensional ferromagnetic Heisenberg model and follows the Curie-Weiss law with a positive Weiss constant of 19 K above 85 K.\(^\text{18}\) Therefore this radical is considered to have ferromagnetic intermolecular interaction, while most of the organic radicals rather have antiferromagnetic interaction. Galvinoxyl received additional attention due to the phase transition at 85 K. Slightly different behavior has been reported around 85 K and the paramagnetic susceptibility is supposed to be weaker below the transition temperature of 85 K down to 2 K.\(^\text{24}\) Antiferromagnetic interactions have been suggested with a negative Weiss constant in this temperature regime.\(^\text{18}\) Small impurities seem to suppress this phase.\(^\text{24}\)

Galvinoxyl is a promising candidate to stay stable on a metallic surface as two dimensional array, the free radical is not changing its oxidation state easily by exposure to oxygen or light. Stability of radicals is generally related to a combination of odd electron delocalization and steric hindrance preventing dimerization, referring to the ortho-tert-butyl groups in the case of galvinoxyl. Galvinoxyl is known to be a very reliable radical scavenger.\(^\text{27,28}\)

II. EXPERIMENT

A. Methods

The STM experiments were carried out in an ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) system with separate analysis and preparation chamber. Most of the STM measurements were done with an Omicron VT (variable temperature) Micro-SPM (scanning probe microscope) and an STM I using tungsten tips. Both systems were very well calibrated and permanently checked for drift control during the measurements. Calibration was done using atomically resolved Au(111) surfaces and steps. As the lateral calibration factor depends on frame size and history, calibration was refined using the Au(111) herringbone reconstruction. This indicates that for midsize frames (~100 nm) the x and y scale should be contracted by 10%. We show the original data.

Deposition of the molecules was done from solution using a commercial pulse valve built by Parker Instruments Inc.\(^\text{29}\) It was operated with closure times of 0.5 \textmu s up to 25 \textmu s. For this purpose a home-built supply was used. Further details will be presented in a more technical presentation that will follow later on.\(^\text{30}\) The spray valve was mounted at the inlet chamber. After every pulse we waited to allow the pressure to recover to the 10^{-7} mbar region. On top of the valve a small crucible was filled with the molecule solution and was covered by a ceramic cap.

The EPR measurements were performed with a Varian CW Multifrequency X-band (9.5 GHz) Spectrometer (Varian E109) equipped with a standard rectangular TE_{102} resonator (Varian model) described in the technical manual.\(^\text{31}\) The gold samples with the galvinoxyl layer were oriented parallel with respect to the magnetic field lines. A defined amount of the solution in the range of 10^{14} molecules was released onto the Au(111) substrate. Alternatively the gold surface was im-
immersèd inside the molecule solution. The instrument settings were as follows: microwave power 5 mW, time constant (Lock-In integration) 300 ms, modulation amplitude 1 G, 10 repeats.

All electrochemical measurements were performed in a three-electrode system under Ar, using a potentiostat PG-STAT 20 from AUTOLAB interfaced with a personal computer running under GPES for Windows, Version 4.9 (ECO Chemie).3² Beside the galvinoxyl coated gold electrode (working electrode), a Ag/AgCl reference electrode (Metrohm, 6.0724.140, separated by a salt bridge containing the electrolyte of the measuring compartment), and a platinum wire as the counter electrode were used. As supporting electrolyte we used 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄. The pH of the solution was adjusted to the desired values with 0.1 M NaOH or HCl. Unless otherwise indicated, the CV was performed at 100 mV/s. The surface concentration was calculated from CV using the surface beyond the voltammogram corrected for the capacitive contribution. The E° was calculated from each CV using $E^0 = (E_{pa} + E_{pc})/2$.

B. Sample preparation

Au(111) layers with 100 nm to 200 nm thickness were prepared by Au evaporation from a crucible onto a clean and annealed mica film of 0.49 cm². The evaporation took place at a pressure of $10^{-7}$ mbar in a separate high vacuum setup. The samples have been locked into the UHV setup. Standard Ar⁺, respectively, Ne⁺ sputter (1 keV, 2–5 μA, 10 min) and annealing cycles (550 K, 10 min), have been applied to clean and flatten the surface. The free radical galvinoxyl has been solved in pure ethanol. The mm-molar solution was sprayed directly into the vacuum chamber onto the atomically flat Au(111) surface. Parameters were optimized by subsequent variation depending on the conditions of evaporation, i.e., pumping speed in UHV. The evaporation speed plays a decisive role during deposition because vapor distribution of solvent and radical differ and the molecular layer is affected in the following way: during the deposition procedure the solution cools down, the evaporation profile of the solvent ethanol is different in comparison to the molecule galvinoxyl. Consequently the evaporation speed influences the ratio of galvinoxyl to ethanol adsorbing on the surface. Additionally with lower pumping speed the solution cone opens. After spraying the solution onto the surface the sample was annealed in the UHV to 50 °C to eliminate the deposited solvent from the surface. This procedure was controlled by a mass spectrometer.

For the experiments with EPR and cyclic voltammetry a different preparation method had to be used. Under ambient conditions the substrate has been immersed into a mM solution containing the galvinoxyl molecules solved in ethanol at 323 K, afterwards taken out and dried with pure nitrogen. The compound was bought from Aldrich with a purity of 98%. The concentration inside ethanol solution was varied from 0.2 mM to 1 mM.

For the EPR measurements the radical layers were prepared depositing a defined amount of the galvinoxyl solution onto the Au(111) surfaces using pure ethanol as solvent. If not otherwise stated 2 μl were administered, taken from a 0.2 mM galvinoxyl solution in ethanol. The sample was placed inside the resonator as shown in Fig. 1 with a self-constructed plastic holder.

The sample for electrochemical measurements was prepared by exposing freshly cleaned gold disk electrodes (Metrohm, 6.1204.140, 3 mm diameter) to 1 mM galvinoxyl in ethanol for 24 h. Prior to the sample preparation, the electrodes were polished to a mirror-finish with a wet Al₂O₃ slurry on a flat pad (Metrohm, 6.2802.000, grain size 0.3 μm), rinsed thoroughly with distilled water, cleaned for 2 min with freshly prepared piranha solution (2:3 mixture of 30% H₂O₂ and concentrated H₂SO₄), rinsed with ethanol followed by distilled water. The coated electrode is then ready for characterization after rinsing with ethanol to remove any physically adsorbed molecules.

C. Galvinoxyl: structure and spin density of the free molecule

In Fig. 2 the chemical structure of the molecule is shown. In order to understand the pattern of closely packed galvinoxyl radicals observed by STM on Au(111), we start from an isolated molecule modelled using a semiempirical AM1 calculation.3³ Figure 3 shows a quasi-three-dimensional (3D) view of the molecule. The grids in green (positive) and violet (negative) represent the spin density from the Unrestricted Hartree-Fock (UHF) AM1 calculation. The optimized structure will serve to extract the orientation of the molecules within the stacks on Au(111) observed in STM topographies.

The spin densities of the galvinoxyl radical optimized in structure demonstrates the large extent of spin density distribution over the entire $\pi$ system. This fact, in combination with the shielding effect of the tert-butyl groups, is the main reason for the stability of the radical.
Figure 4 shows the molecular orbital close to the Fermi level, i.e., at −1.555 eV indicating the regions, which are important for the highest tunnelling probability during STM measurements.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study we investigate experimentally the structural, electronic, magnetic, and chemical characteristics of the self-assembled monolayer.

A. Topography: STM results

We obtained topographies with submolecular resolution of the monolayer assemblies of galvinoxyl on the Au(111) surface. Two types of patterns were observed. Both configurations show arrays of stacks, built from galvinoxyl molecules. The orientation of the molecule stacks is along the six low-index directions of the Au(111) surface due to the six-fold symmetry of the well-known 22×√3 reconstruction of Au(111). The combination of theoretical results on the crystalline phase, the observed corrugation in STM topographies, and the voltammetry results allowed us to estimate the molecular density on the surface. We consider two structure models: one with rectangular lattice, consisting of stacked molecules, in parallel aligned and a second more complex structure with oblique angles. In both cases the double-ring molecule is standing upright on the surface. The two dominating interactions, overlap of π orbitals, especially in-between the carbon rings, and hydrogen bonding, favor the molecules to stand upright like bicycles in a row, and an ordered array is formed. Stacking of aromatic parts of molecules is very common, e.g., shown for porphyrins. The two configurations differ in the angle between the molecules of adjacent stacks. Both configurations of the monolayer assembly have been observed by STM. To check for spin-ordering in the domains we used antiferromagnetic MnNi tips. Antiferromagnetic tips have no stray field that influences the sample magnetism. However, down to 140 K we found no appreciable difference in topography using these tips. Either the sensitivity, i.e., the spin-polarization of the tip is too low or spin-ordering in the aggregate is not sufficiently strong or absent.

The energy scale of the molecular orbital and the tunnelling bias scale must not necessarily coincide. On the one hand, the absolute energies depend on the reference chosen in the theoretical description, and on the other hand, molecular orbitals shift substantially in energy upon physisorption. It is however likely that the singly occupied molecular orbital (SOMO) gets close to the Fermi level and that at low biases, no matter positive or negative, the current passes the SOMO. The topography remains largely unchanged with varying bias in the interval −2.0 V to +2.0 V.

The EPR data confirmed the free radical remaining stable on the surface, i.e., not being reduced. This allows us to conclude that the dominating interaction in the self-assembly can be attributed to the intermolecular forces, and not to the bonding between galvinoxyl molecules and the gold atoms. A dimerization energy of −51 kJ/mol is reported. It is probable that intermolecular forces lead to dimerization effects already in solution. This is consistent with results on gavinoxyl crystals, where all structures rely mainly on the interaction between the carbon rings. Also it has been calculated, that radicals will have preferred adsorption positions on metal surfaces. The binding to the surface is mainly due to the comparably weak van der Waals forces.
1. Configuration I

The first configuration has been imaged by STM at room temperature and at 140 K. The deposition took place at room temperature.

Figure 5 shows the topography of galvinoxyl deposited at room temperature. On the terrace characteristic corrugation with an amplitude of 0.8 Å is observed. Straight step edges are as likely as not due to steps on the Au(111) substrate underneath. Other types of meandered step edges are present. Most significant, a line pattern is observed, that is attributed to the molecular aggregate on the surface. The periodicity of this line pattern is ≈15 Å. Along the ridges no corrugation is observed, which can, however, be due to insufficient resolution. A few etching holes can be seen clearly and the line profile shows that the same arrangement of the molecules is present inside the hole like on the rest of the surface, see Fig. 5(b). At room temperature the holes are mobile until the system is stabilized, wandering with speeds of about 5 nm per frame scan, a frame scan lasts about 2 minutes at this frame size. The height difference between hole and terrace is 2.38 Å and can be attributed to the step height of Au(111) of 2.35 Å, within the experimental error.

The STM topography of the galvinoxyl layer on Au(111) observed at 140 K is presented in Fig. 6. Qualitatively the structure does not change regarding the room temperature structure. The same characteristic line patterns with distances between the molecules of 15 Å as at room temperature are observed. In contrast to the room temperature measurement the etching holes appear more sharp. While keeping the sample at low temperature we observed even less etching holes than at room temperature. The comparison of images taken at different times after deposition reveal that the monolayer becomes increasingly more stable inside UHV. This is also true for room temperature but at low temperature this effect is much stronger. The aggregate appeared inert against impurities, it stays nearly at the same condition for several weeks in UHV at a pressure below 1×10^{-10} mbar. Most striking is the enhanced contrast of the self-assembly compared to room temperature. The pattern can be imaged at higher tunnelling current without moving around molecules on the surface, this was not possible at room temperature. The different contrast in the middle of the image arises from a change in the tip state, which allows one to resolve a substructure within the lines with a period of 30 Å, which is difficult to resolve with the other tip state. The 30 Å are only observed exceptionally upon tip changes, probably a molecule or part of a molecule is picked up. Thus, the same structure is present in both areas, the 15 Å period being identical, but the sensitivity of the tip changed.

A high-resolution STM topography of galvinoxyl layer on Au(111) is presented in Fig. 7. This image shows a typical zoom into the stripe pattern, that we attribute to molecular stacks. This frame includes two contiguous domains, that enclose an angle of 60 degrees. We concluded that these domains follow the low index directions of the Au(111) surface. The transition from one domain to the other shows disordered patches of molecules, sometimes forming clusters. The disordered regions shrink upon heating the sample to 333 K. Annealing leads to ordering of the molecular layer while excess molecules desorb. The green bar has a length of 75 Å spanning 5 lines 15 Å wide.

The correlation filtered STM topography of galvinoxyl layer on Au(111) is presented in Fig. 8. The filtering allows one to enhance substantially the contrast and thereby to recognize more details of the assembly and the molecules.

A closer view to the filtered data provides intramolecular details that allow us to derive a model. Figure 8(b) shows a rectangular pattern with a basis with twofold rotation symmetry. Intramolecular details become visible. This can however reflect the symmetry of the tip. Taking line profiles in
both directions we determine the dimensions for the unit cell: 15 Å ± 1.5 Å by 7 Å ± 0.7 Å. The distance known from \( \pi-\pi \) bonding in the range of 3.5 Å – 3.8 Å is comparable to the distances between the bordering tert-butyl groups of the molecules. The distance we observe along the \( c \) axis for the stacks of galvinoxyl is thus quite high, although it is probable that these intermolecular forces originate mainly from \( \pi-\pi \) bonding between the carbon rings. Both hydrogen bonding and the weak van der Waals forces are also known to be responsible for the dominating intermolecular interactions compared to the forces occurring between substrate and surface.

Taking into account the most reasonable possibilities for the orientation of the molecule on the surface only one model matches well with the pattern and density observed, depicted in Fig. 8(b). The molecule is “standing” on the Au(111) with the two tert-butyl groups on position 3 and 4 (carbon atoms, see Fig. 2) at the surface. Of course a reverse symmetry is also thinkable. In our opinion it is impossible to decide from our data which model applies. The small shadows with a lower height visible in Fig. 8(b) could stem from a tilt of the molecule regarding the rectangular axis to the surface. This could be between 5 and 10 degrees. This is discussed in the context of the second configuration in Sec. III A 2.

2. Configuration II

Additionally to the first stable structure we observed a second one which occurred only after the sample had been cooled down to 40 K before. The configuration seems to be less influenced by the substrate structure than the first one. Thus, the binding to the surface being probably weaker. Usually, the \( 2(\pm 1) \times \sqrt{3} \) reconstruction is observed to disappear, if a self-assembled layer is formed on Au(111). Only few opposite cases have been reported.35

FIG. 6. (Color online) STM topography of galvinoxyl on Au(111), acquired at 140 K, sample preparation at room temperature, subsequently cooled down afterwards, frame size 70 nm.

FIG. 7. (Color online) STM topography of galvinoxyl on Au(111) with more detailed resolution, acquired at 140 K, sample preparation at room temperature, cooled down afterwards for the measurement, frame size 40 nm.
Galvinoxyl layer deposited at room temperature onto Au(111), measurement performed at 140 K, preparation at room temperature, subsequently cooled down for the STM measurement. The area with reduced noise is correlation filtered (Ref. 41).

In the following we present an overview of the second structure observed with galvinoxyl on Au(111), deposition from ambient into UHV, using the same procedure as for the first configuration. We did not obtain a good resolution of this structure at 40 K. This could be related, e.g., to a more unstable aggregate related to the phase transition as mentioned before (see Sec. I). It is known from the crystal structure that the properties change significantly below 85 K. Consequently the properties like spin density, localization, and chemical bonding could be changed and can lead to difficulties in getting a good resolution in STM imaging. Also other authors describe surprising observations: As bulk and beyond the phase transition galvinoxyl appears to be a ferromagnet with an extrapolated Curie temperature of 19 K. The nature of the transition at 85 K seems to be quite drastic compared to the interlayer spacing of ~5 Å in the molecular crystal. The analysis of Fig. 11 reveals that in the profile always two high protrusions follow two low ones and so on. Alway two very bright spots follow two weaker ones, so that every second molecule has either two weaker or two stronger bright spots. The molecules in-between have one

The second configuration is shown in Fig. 9. The average corrugation is slightly higher than before (1.2 Å compared to 0.8 Å) and a more complex pattern is observed. The angle between rows of molecules repeats every second pattern. An angle of 60 degrees is included by the stacks of molecules. In Fig. 9(b) the distance of 10.8 Å ± 1 Å between the bright spots inside the stacks is shown. As we can see in the second profile only every second molecule has a bright spot in one row, the molecules are separated by a distance of 5.4 Å ± 1 Å along the c direction. The distance is slightly bigger than the distance of 3.785 Å found for the crystalline phase along the c axis, and slightly smaller than the distance of 7 Å found in the first configuration. This might be due to the close angle of the standing molecule off the vertical axis being smaller or zero with this structure. Consequently, the positions of the galvinoxyl molecules deviate only very slightly from standing exactly 90 degrees upright on the Au(111) surface.

The reconstruction can be viewed with a better contrast for the diagonal lines in Fig. 10. The period we observe for the Au reconstruction is 63 ± 0.5 Å, compatible with the 22(±1) × 3 herringbone reconstruction. Surprisingly, this reconstruction is preserved with the molecular assembly on top. The zoom allows to see submolecular contrast of the configuration. The Fig. 11(a) with a frame size of about 11 nm shows rows with a pattern according to the herringbone structure including a 60 degree angle. The molecules are surrounded by alternating more and less bright spots at the endpoints. The apparent height of these bordering regions of the molecule is modulated inside the stacks.

The observed length of 1.53 nm in-between the bright spots fits well with the measured length of ~13.9 Å inside one of the crystal configurations. The corrugation of the bright spots appears large, however this height modulation of 1.2 Å within individual molecules is substantially smaller compared to the interlayer spacing of ~5 Å in the molecular crystal. The analysis of Fig. 11(b) reveals that in the profile always two high protrusions follow two low ones and so on. Always two very bright spots follow two weaker ones, so that every second molecule has either two weaker or two stronger bright spots. The molecules in-between have one
bright and one less bright spot. The tert-butyl parts of adjacent galvinoxyl molecules inside the stacks seem to be overlapping.

Figure 12 exhibits the same section with improved intramolecular resolution. The model pattern superimposed on the STM image indicates the orientation of the molecules inside the assembly. Two slightly different variations of the molecule structures are shown, one with absolute parallel molecules inside the stacks and one with alternating shifts along the lateral axis of the molecule. This model allows us to estimate the shift between the individual molecules along the lateral axis to about 2 Å. The highlighted side of each

FIG. 9. (Color online) STM topography galvinoxyl on Au(111), second configuration, molecules deposited at room temperature, sample cooled to 40 K, STM measured at room temperature, frame size 35 nm.

FIG. 10. (Color online) STM topography of galvinoxyl on the Au(111) surface showing the Au reconstruction below the adlayer, cooled to 40 K, STM measurement acquired at room temperature, frame size 35 nm.
molecule could be due to a different spin density. The shift of density can have different origin but in this case we can exclude a tip state change being responsible.

**B. EPR results**

EPR spectra of galvinoxyl in solution, galvinoxyl as a polycrystalline powder and galvinoxyl on an Au\(111\) substrate were measured. To obtain information about the isotropy, exchange frequency, and linewidth fits for the spectra were performed.

Although the conditions in UHV and ambient are not the same, we can assume a similar structure. First, the electrochemical measurements in Sec. III C confirmed a similar coverage and, second, STM under ambient conditions revealed a molecular adlayer. Additionally an Au\(111\) sample was covered with galvinoxyl in ambient conditions and afterwards measured in UHV. Similar structures could be observed. Although we could not achieve a high resolution, we could confirm that galvinoxyl forms a dense layer. The additional impurities from the ambient surrounding are negligible because they give no relevant EPR signal.

Figure 13 shows a 2.2 mM solution EPR spectrum of galvinoxyl in ethanol at room temperature. It shows a doublet absorption centered at \(g = 2.00\). Similar patterns were shown by Scheffler et al.\(^{45}\) for a 0.01 mM solution in an \(O_2\) free solvent like, for example, toluene. In the latter case a super-hyperfine splitting with 10 lines was resolved.\(^{46}\) The hyperfine splitting shown in Fig. 13 originates from the coupling of the single electron with the hydrogen proton at the methylcarbon at position 7 (see Fig. 2). The super-hyperfine splitting with the other protons is resolved in case of a very low concentration and an oxygen free solution. In the presented doublet the exchange interaction between the orbitals of the dissolved molecular oxygen and the orbitals of the single molecular electron in the free radical leads to a line broadening. The fit deducted by the blofit routine\(^{47}\) gives a hyperfine splitting constant of 5.57 Gauss, which is in agreement with values found in the literature.\(^{45,48}\)

The EPR absorption spectrum obtained from the polycrystalline specimen shows a flat-topped single peak,
Lorentz-type adsorption with a width of 5.91 Gauss between points of maximum slope (derivative shown in Fig. 14), centered at $g \approx 2.00$. The anisotropy characteristic and linewidth is comparable to the data of Mukai et al. The high spin exchange interaction between the singly occupied molecular orbitals (SOMO) leads to overlap of the super-hyperfine lines and narrowing of the spectral linewidth.

To measure EPR spectra of galvinoxyl on the Au(111) surface, the substrate was placed parallel to the magnetic field and the electric field as shown in the experimental section (Sec. II B). The spectrum shows a singlet with a linewidth of 8.1 Gauss, which exceeds the linewidth of the powder spectrum in accordance with the higher intermolecular distance inside the layer compared to the crystal.

The EPR measurements confirm that the radical galvinoxyl is not reduced upon binding to the surface but is stable and persistent as a free radical. Comparison of the solution and powder spectra with the spectrum of the single layer reveals that the exchange frequency $\omega_{\text{ex}}$, which leads to an exchange narrowing of the absorption line, decreases in the order $\omega_{\text{ex}} > \omega_{\text{ex}}(\text{surface layer}) > \omega_{\text{ex}}(\text{solution})$. An estimation of the exchange frequency using modified Bloch equations yields approximately $\omega_{\text{ex}}(\text{surface layer}) \approx 1 \text{ GHz}$. If we assume an exchange through directly overlapping of the single electron orbitals, an upper limit of 11 Å for the distance between the centers of highest spin density can be estimated.

The same number of molecules on both the Au(111) layer and a glass substrate of the same size differ in their double integrated spectra: The signal of galvinoxyl on Au(111) is enhanced by a factor of 4.

C. Electrochemical measurements

Additionally to the structural studies we studied the galvinoxyl bound to the Au(111) surface with cyclic voltammetry. For this purpose we used the cyclic voltammetry method in a setup described in the experimental section.

Cyclic voltammograms of the galvinoxyl coated gold electrode show well-defined cathodic and anodic peak currents. The linear variation of the peak currents with scan rate and the small peak-to-peak separation are typical characteristics of electroactive surface confined species [Figs. 15(a) and 15(b)]. The surface coverage $\Gamma = 1.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^2$ obtained from integration of the area under the voltammetric curve is less than $\Gamma = 1.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^2$ and $2.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^2$ reported for galvinoxyl anchored on a gold surface via a molecular spacer and spacer diluted with other molecules, respectively.53−55 In aqueous solution the oxidation of the surface bound galvinoxyl is coupled to the loss of a proton as indicated by the linear dependence of $E$ from pH with a slope of −54 mV/pH [see Fig. 15(c)]. Proton coupled electron transfer is important in many processes between surface and the adsorbed molecules, in this case the galvinoxyl. According to the voltammetric response one could find a linear plot regarding $I$ versus $V$ in both directions. Appropriately to the Nernst equation, a plot of $E$ versus $pH$ should give a straight line as we observed in Fig. 15(b). This suggests a one-proton one-electron process while reducing and oxidizing the molecule. The plot of $E^0$ as a function of pH shows a slope of $-54 \text{ mV/pH}$ (Fig. 15, III). This value is close to the slope of $E^0$ vs pH plot, i.e., $-59 \text{ mV/pH}$, for the one-electron one-proton process showing a Nernstian dependence. The same dependence with a slope of $-60 \text{ mV/pH}$ was reported for galvinoxyl anchored on a gold surface via spacer.54

We used the herein obtained results to recalculate the coverage. Compared to the $1.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^2$ derived by cyclic voltammetry we found with STM a slightly higher coverage of $1.57 \pm 0.16 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol/cm}^2$, respectively, $9.46 \pm 0.94 \times 10^{13}$ molecules per square cm for configuration I. This corresponds to 0.068 molecules per Au atom compared to 0.059 molecules per Au atom calculated for the second configuration with a coverage of $1.37 \pm 0.16 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol/cm}^2$, respectively, $8.23 \pm 0.82 \times 10^{13}$ molecules per square cm as determined from our STM measurements.

The electrochemical data indicate that the galvinoxyl is organized in a dense layer and suggest that a monolayer
is formed like in UHV, possibly with additional clusters aggregated preferentially at the step edges of the surface.

D. Summary

The galvinoxyl molecule forms at least two different types of molecule assemblies on the Au(111) surface. The first configuration is more similar to the structure in galvinoxyl crystals. The second configuration occurs with cooling down the sample to 40 K, which suggests relative size of unit cells playing a role. In both cases a correlation between the sixfold symmetry of the Au(111) top layer and the arrangement of the galvinoxyl molecules is present. Consequently the substrate structure is relevant for the assembling, although the binding to the surface is estimated to be quite weak. Probably the whole assembly is only connected through hydrogen bonds or through the weak van der Waals interaction. In contrast to configuration II, with configuration I no herringbone reconstruction is observed. It is however not clear that the Au(111) reconstruction is lifted with configuration I. The reconstruction could remain invisible in the STM topographies. Note, such sublayer contrasts are difficult to achieve and depend delicately on tip state and tunnelling parameters.

The second structure observed after cooling down to 40 K gives a density of $8.23 \times 10^{13}$ molecules per cm$^2$. The distance between the molecules along the $c$ axis decreases after the sample had been cooled down. The reason could be the factor of 10 between the expansion coefficients of the Au(111) surface and the galvinoxyl molecules. This energy configuration change is strong enough to persist upon achieving room temperature and time.

The protruding dots in the topography shown in Fig. 11 with two high peaks in a row could be explained by alternate swapping of the galvinoxyl within the stacks. It is assumable that always two molecules in a row shown in the line section stand on the surface like in configuration I and the next two are flipped. Additionally a localization of the single spin orbitals could occur related to an eventual ferromagnetic interaction. Exchange integral and overlap integral depend decisively on the intermolecular distance and orientation, i.e., the lattice parameters of the aggregate. Small lattice constants, associated with large SOMO-SOMO overlap, would favor antiferromagnetic coupling or even formation of a molecular bond, while larger lattice constants with small but appreciable overlap rather favor ferromagnetic interaction.

We tried to estimate the signal intensity of the galvinoxyl on the Au(111) surface compared to the number of spins. The signal intensity for the molecular layer on Au(111) is 4 times higher than expected. This may point towards the galvinoxyl layer on the Au(111) surface being ferromagnetic.

The CV results show a slightly lower concentration about 20% than inferred for the first configuration. This can be due to a higher density of impurities expected for the gold electrode surface, which leads to an effectively smaller surface area for adsorption.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have found galvinoxyl self-assembles as monolayer on Au(111). Two different configurations occur, one with a unit cell of 15 Å by 7 Å and the other one with 22.5 Å by 5.4 Å. The configurations correspond to coverages of 0.068 and 0.059 galvinoxyl molecules per Au atom, respectively, and we suggested structure models for both configurations.

EPR measurements confirmed the galvinoxyl not being reduced on the Au(111) surface and remaining stable as radical. An estimation of the exchange frequency of
~1000 MHz yields a more dense packing on the surface than in the crystal, involving orbitals from the missing third dimension into the exchange interaction. The distance between the single spin orbitals could be estimated to be smaller than 11 Å along the c axis.

The electrochemical results suggest the formation of an electroactive monolayer upon exposure of the gold electrode to galvinoxyl solution. The monolayer shows the one-electron one-proton transfer Nernstian behavior and the molecule density on the surface (Γ' = 6.022 × 10^{13} molecule cm^{-2}) is compatible with the STM topographies.
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