The efficacy of radiofrequency lesioning of the cervical spinal dorsal root ganglion in a double blinded randomized study: no difference between 40°C and 67°C treatments
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Abstract

The efficacy of radiofrequency lesion treatment of the cervical dorsal root ganglion (RF-DRG) in cervicobrachialgia was investigated in 61 patients by a randomized prospective double blinded study. Before lesion treatment the putative pain provoking spinal root was identified by diagnostic blocks with a local anesthetic agent. One group of patients (n = 32, group I) was treated with a radiofrequency lesion of 67°C and in a control group (n = 29, group II) a temperature of 40°C was applied. Three months after treatment a significant reduction in VAS scores was demonstrated in both groups. The outcome of the treatments was identical (VAS reduction: group I, 1.7; group II, 1.9; P = 0.001). In group I a VAS reduction of 3 or more occurred in 11/31 (34%) and in group II in 11/29 (38%) of patients. A VAS reduction of 2 or more occurred in group I in 15/31 (47%) and in group II in 15/29 (51%) of patients. This study suggests that treatment with 40°C radiofrequency application of the dorsal root ganglion is equally effective as treatment at 67°C. Further appraisal of this treatment is required. © 1997 International Association for the Study of Pain. Published by Elsevier Science B.V.
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1. Introduction

Annually in the Netherlands radiofrequency lesion treatments of the dorsal root ganglion (RF-DRG) are applied in about 3000–4000 patients suffering from chronic benign pain. About 1000 of these patients are treated for cervicobrachialgia. Although the value of RF-DRG has been clearly demonstrated in cancer patients (Lahuerta et al., 1990), the usefulness of the technique is questioned in patients with pain of chronic benign origin (Koning et al., 1991). Good results are claimed as long as the technique is applied properly and in carefully selected patients (Sluyter, 1981; Nash, 1986; Vervest and Stolker, 1991). A main cause of the current discord can be attributed to the shortage of critical clinical research.

RF-DRG is performed in patients with cervicobrachialgia of monosegmental origin established by preceding prognostic root blocks with a local anesthetic agent.

The method requires meticulous attention to detail since cases of irreversible neurological damage have been reported (Lahuerta et al., 1990).

A prospective randomized double blind study was performed to compare the effectiveness of RF-DRG at two temperatures in patients with intractable cervicobrachialgia.

2. Methods

The study was approved by the ethics committees of the participating hospitals. This multicenter study was performed in three hospitals: University Hospital Nijmegen (pain treatment: Professor B.J.P. Crul, anesthesiologist), St
Anna Hospital, Oss (pain treatment: G.J.J. Braak, anesthesiologist) and University Hospital, Utrecht (pain treatment: J.W. Geurts, anesthesiologist).

2.1. Treatment of patients with cervicobrachialgia

All patients with cervicobrachialgia were examined by an anesthesiologist during their first visit to the pain clinic. They were also seen by a neurologist and an orthopedic surgeon. A neurosurgeon or rheumatologist was also consulted when indicated. CAT scans were obtained in all patients. Depending on the history and the results of psychological questionnaires (SCL 90 symptom check list; Derogatis, 1977) completed by the patient, a psychologist was also involved.

After the intake procedure a therapeutic protocol was established, which consisted of conventional measures, e.g., analgesics, triggerpoint injections with local anesthetics, physical therapy, transcutaneous nerve stimulation, psychological intervention and social support. Only when definitive therapy was not possible (orthopedic or neurosurgery) and conventional therapy was not effective was the patient advised to undergo RF-DRG. Psychological intervention was not a contraindication for RF-DRG.

2.2. Study design

When paravertebral mechanical pain was present suggestive of cervical facetal joint syndrome a radiofrequency lesion of the ramus dorsalis on the side corresponding to the painful segments was performed to achieve facetal joint denervation in the painful segments. When the patient thereafter still complained of unilateral cervicobrachialgia, diagnostic blockades were performed to identify the putative pain provoking cervical spinal root. These blocks included the levels C3 to C7. After a clearly identified cervical spinal root was identified by the prognostic blockades, patients were asked to participate in the study and to provide written informed consent. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are summarized in Table 1.

Patients were assigned at random to two study groups, a treatment group (group I), and a control group (group II). Both groups were treated with a radiofrequency lesion limited to one spinal root; group I received a radiofrequency lesion at a temperature of 67°C for 90 s, and group II received a radiofrequency lesion at a temperature of 40°C for 90 s. An independent investigator operating the radiofrequency lesioning generator (Radionics® RFG 3C lesion generator) adjusted the temperature to the values. In both groups the timer ran for 90 s. Neither the patient nor the therapist were able to observe which procedure was being performed. For ethical reasons the double blind period was limited to 3 months, after which the code was broken. If the pain was still present, patients of group II were offered the possibility of RF-DRG treatment with a temperature of 67°C.

2.3. Evaluation

In both groups the first evaluation was done before RF-DRG was performed, and included: (i) pain measurement (Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), a pain scale between 0 and 10, where 0 indicates no pain and 10 indicates maximum pain); (ii) subjective changes (better, equal or worse than before RF-DRG treatment); and (iii) side-effects (impairment of motor function, sensory impairment or other). After 6 weeks and 3 months (before the double blinded code was broken) measurement of pain, and prevalence of side-effects was assessed by a questionnaire and a visit to the pain clinic.

2.4. Diagnostic blockade of the cervical spinal ganglion

To identify the putative pain provoking spinal root, three to four diagnostic blockades of different cervical spinal roots were performed. Diagnostic blockades were performed using fluoroscopy with a C-arm. The C-arm was positioned parallel to the axis of the interven vertebral foram en. Under direct vision an electrode (Top-XE electrode, 23-gauge needle with 4-mm bare tip, Radionics®) was introduced parallel to the beam of the X-rays into the selected cervical foram en (tunnel vision). Anatomically, the (dorsal) caudal part of the foramen is where the sensory nerve fibers are located. A physiologically correct placement was obtained by 50-Hz stimulation of the needle tip. A tingling sensation in the corresponding cervical dermatome was obtained between 0.3 and 0.7 V. After correct physiological placement, the anatomical placement of the needle was controlled making use of a contrast dye (iohexol 240 mg/ml, Omnipaque® 240). The correct position of the needle tip was at the lateral edge of the facetal column, injected contrast dye imaging the corresponding cervical root (Fig. 1).

In addition to the cervical foramen view, the anterior posterior view was also used in all cases to identify any
eventual unwanted epidural spread of the contrast dye and so guarantee a blockade of one single cervical root (Fig. 2). If both anatomical and physiological positioning of the needle were correct, a diagnostic blockade of the cervical spinal root was made by injecting 0.5–1 ml lidocaïne 2%. A diagnostic blockade was judged to be positive if followed by pain relief of at least 3 points at the VAS scale with a minimum duration of 1 h. After a positive diagnostic blockade was obtained, two adjacent roots were also blocked in different sessions with a week interval between each diagnostic block. Only when these other diagnostic blockades yielded reductions in VAS scores of less than 3 points was it judged that an indication was present to perform RF-DRG of the spinal ganglion in question.

2.5. RF-DRG of the cervical spinal root ganglion

RF-DRG was performed using fluoroscopy in the same manner as during the diagnostic blockade. Under direct vision, a thermocouple electrode (54-mm insulated 23-gauge needle with 4-mm bare tip, Radionics®) was introduced into the selected cervical foramen, by preference the dorsal caudal part of the foramen (Fig. 3), where the ganglion is located (Nash, 1986). Using this technique, damage to motor fibers is avoided, and chance of puncture to the vertebral artery is minimal (the vertebral artery is positioned in the ventral part of the foramen). The stylet was replaced by a thermocouple electrode. The correct position was verified by electrical 50-Hz stimulation. A tingling sensation in the corresponding dermatome had to be obtained between 0.3 and 0.7 V. After obtaining correct sensory physiological placement, a motor response was provoked under 2-Hz stimulation. This should not occur below a voltage of at least twice the threshold value obtained during the sensory 50-Hz stimulation parameter. Anatomical placement of the electrode was controlled by fluoroscopy in the anterior posterior view by checking the tip of the thermocouple electrode was midway between the medial and lateral borders of the facial joints column. After these conditions were met the electrode was withdrawn and 2 ml lidocaïne 2% was injected through the needle. Five minutes later, the electrode was reinserted in the cannula and a 90-s RF-DRG was applied. In group I a temperature of 67°C was applied and in group II the 40°C procedure was performed.

2.6. Statistical analysis

A restricted blockwise (block size, \(n = 6\)) randomization was conducted separately in each of the three centers involved. Evaluation of RF-DRG comprised of the comparison of the outcomes in group I of the various tests taken before RF-DRG and 3 months later. These results were compared with those obtained in group II. To analyze the relation of group and other (independent) variables to the change in VAS after 3 months, use was made of stepwise multiple linear regression. A value of \(P < 0.05\) was considered statistically significant.

---

Fig. 1. Anterior posterior view imaging of a cervical spinal root after injection of 0.5 ml contrast dye (iohexol 240 mg/ml).

Fig. 2. Anterior posterior view imaging of a cervical spinal root after injection of 1.0 ml contrast dye (iohexol 240 mg/ml). Unwanted epidural spread of contrast dye is visible.

Fig. 3. Direct vision of an electrode into a selected dorsal caudal part of a cervical, where the ganglion is located.
3. Results

In total 314 patients with cervicobrachialgia visited the pain clinic from January 1993 to June 1995 in the participating hospitals. After diagnostic blockades of the cervical spinal ganglion 63 patients with a clearly identified pain provoking spinal root were finally included in the study. One patient refused to continue to participate in the study 3 months after RF-DRG. In another patient the record of the applied temperature was missing. Finally the results in 61 patients could be analyzed.

Demographic data are summarized in Table 2. Overall, both groups are comparable.

After diagnostic blockade of the eventually treated spinal ganglion, the mean VAS score decreased to 0.5 in both groups. In all these patients VAS scores returned to baseline values within 1 week. In both groups, following RF-DRG a highly significant reduction of mean VAS scores was observed (Table 3).

There were no significant differences in reduced pain scores between group I and II. Three patients in group I had an increase in pain (increase VAS score >3 points) 3 months after RF-DRG, in contrast to group II, where no patient had an increase in VAS score.

In order to investigate if any variables were related to the change in VAS score after 3 months, a stepwise linear regression analysis of the VAS score changes was done with this change as the dependent variable and the following independent variables: treatment, gender, treatment and gender interaction, age, sensory threshold, motor threshold, number of medications at baseline, sleep pattern (sleeping pattern score: 0 = undisturbed, 1 = moderate without sedative, 2 = moderate with sedative, 3 = bad with sedative) and baseline VAS score. From these, only the last one, baseline VAS score appeared to be related to the change after 3 months (P = 0.03), with higher baseline values resulting in larger decreases (Fig. 4). The relationship was rather weak with only 8% of the total variation in VAS change explained by the relationship with baseline VAS. Females showed a decrease of 1 point more on the VAS scale than males, in both groups (overall: 2.2 versus 1.2). This difference was, however, not statistically significant.

Complaints of neuritis in the treated spinal nerve were present in group I (n = 6) and group II (n = 5) 6 weeks after RF-DRG. These disappeared completely 3 months after RF-DRG. Three patients complained of slight loss of muscle strength in the hand or arm on the treated side. In group I, two patients presented with a decreased pinch force 3 months after RF-DRG. The pinch force measured in the hand decreased from 16 to 12 mmHg (treated spinal root C5), and from 24 to 8 mmHg (treated spinal root C4). In group II there was one patient with a decreased pinch force 3 months after RF-DRG. The pinch force measured in the hand decreased from 20 to 14 mmHg (treated spinal root C4). There were no other reported side-effects or complications in either group.

4. Discussion

Following treatment in both groups a significant pain reduction was demonstrated. Mean VAS scores at 6 weeks and 3 months after treatment were significantly lower than pre-treatment values.

In this study no significant difference in pain reduction between treatment group I and control group II was demonstrated. This raises the question as to whether the control...
expected that 40°C procedures would provoke long-lasting damage to nervous tissue (Smith et al., 1981), it was not expected that current rather than temperature determines outcome of treatment are the results obtained in non-responders of the control group who were offered the possibility of an RF-DRG treatment with a temperature of 67°C. Only two out of fifteen patients (13.3%) opting for subsequent treatment with 67°C, reported a 2-point decrease on VAS scale after a 3-month follow-up.

This study suggests that 40°C lesion is an active treatment and should not be considered as a sham lesion. We found the same effectiveness with the 40°C lesion as the 67°C lesion. An important advantage of the 40°C lesion is that the incidence of nerve damage related side-effects, such as sensory deficits and loss of motor power, presumably will be lower, although this could not be demonstrated in this study. The findings of this study warrant a critical reappraisal of the mechanisms and the mode of action of radiofrequency lesions.
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