Bilateral Ureteral Obstruction After Appendectomy in Children
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Five cases of bilateral ureteral obstruction after appendicectomy are presented. All five patients were boys (age range, 9 to 15 years). All of them had had severe appendicitis. Based on the urethrocytoscopical findings, edema of the posterior bladder wall appeared to be the cause of obstruction of both distal ureters. This is confirmed by the immediate recovery of renal function after installation of bilateral uretercatheters. It is known that contamination of the perineal cavity can occur by organisms leaking from a gangrenous or perforated appendix. This can cause localized inflammatory edema of the posterior bladder wall. It is remarkable that through ultrasound investigation, only mild to moderate urinary output (1,600 mL/d) until the sixth postoperative day, when sudden, severe, generalized abdominal pain with vomiting occurred, and urinary output decreased to 216 mL/d, followed by complete anuria on the seventh postoperative day. He was afebrile and did not have significant abdominal signs. Urinalysis showed more than 200 red blood cells per high-power field. The result of a plain abdominal radiograph was normal, and an ultrasound examination showed only slight renal pelvic dilatation bilaterally. The anuria persisted for another 24 hours, by which time his serum creatinine level had increased to 326 μmol/L. In light of possible obstruction at the level of the distal urinary tract, urethrocytoscopical findings were obtained. During inspection of the bladder, edema was seen at the trigone, extending to both sides of the bladder. Uretercatheters were inserted bilaterally. We used 3F uretercatheters fixed on a transurethral balloon catheter. The patient became polyuric. The uretercatheters were kept in situ during the polyuric phase until urine production normalized; this took 2 days in our cases. During ultrasound investigation a few days later, no dilatation of the pyelum was noted. His serum creatinine level normalized and he went home in good health.

Case 1 was referred from another hospital for hemodialysis after 3 days of anuria; because the anuria resolved spontaneously and soon after the transfer, intervention was not necessary.

DISCUSSION

Although right-sided hydroureteronephrosis (and occasionally bilateral dilatation) has been recognized in patients with appendicular abscess formation, this has not been further complicated by anuria.1 The first case of obstructive anuria after appendicectomy for acute appendicitis was described in 1974.2 Another case was reported in 1978.3 Recently, an article in Lancet described three boys with this complication.4 Features common to all five of our cases were male gender, severe appendicitis, anuria that occurred between the fifth and eighth postoperative days, and microscopic hematuria. The prompt response to therapeutic intervention is proof of an obstructive etiology, which appears to have been related to edema of the posterior bladder wall, the cause of which is uncertain in the apparent absence of ongoing pelvic sepsis. The comparatively late onset of the anuria is suggestive of slow progression of the edematous process, and the hematuria presumably occurred from inflammation of the urinary tract mucosa. It is possible that the edematous process itself was triggered by a localized peritoneal reaction to intraoperative bacterial contamination.5 Perhaps boys are more susceptible to the complication because their appendix is situated closer to the bladder; in girls, the internal genitals (especially the mesosalpinx and the ligamentum latum uteri) are located between the appendix and the bladder.

Because acute appendicitis is not common in young children (the highest incidence is in the 10- to 19-year age group; peak incidence for boys is at 10 to 14 years of age), the age distribution of our group appears to
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Table 1. Data for Five Patients Who Had Anuria After Appendectomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Severity of appendicitis</th>
<th>Start of anuria (postoperative day)</th>
<th>Accompanying symptoms</th>
<th>Blood pressure (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Microscopic hematuria</th>
<th>Maximum creatinine level (mmol/L)</th>
<th>Results of ultrasonography</th>
<th>Aspect of bladder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Gangrenous</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nausea, vomiting</td>
<td>140/100(1)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>Edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Perforated</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Abdominal pain, backache</td>
<td>135/95(1)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>Edema</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Perforated</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Backache, vomiting</td>
<td>120/80</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>Bilateral mild dilatation of pyelum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Perforated</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>General malaise</td>
<td>120/85</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>Bilateral moderate dilatation of pyelum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Perforated</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>140/100(†)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is logical. Another explanation for the male predominance may lie in epidemiologic features. Most series show that 1.2 to 2.3 times more boys than girls suffer from acute appendicitis. If the anuria was accompanied by only slight renal pelvic dilatation is noteworthy. One would expect ongoing dilatation of the renal pelvis after obstruction of both ureters. Experimental research showed that acute obstruction of both ureters in animal models leads only to a transient increase in pressure in the proximal ureter, followed by a gradual decline toward the preobstruction level. This fact appears to explain our ultrasound findings. In clinical practice, despite the fact that only slight abnormalities are noted through ultrasound examination, one should include postrenal obstruction in the differential diagnosis of anuria after appendectomy and take action accordingly.

Installation of bilateral uretercatheters is the appropriate treatment in these cases. If the edema of the bladder wall is very severe, it is possible that one might not find the ureter-ostia, and a percutaneous nephrostomy may be necessary. This will be followed by a polyuric phase with natriuresis and increased excretion of magnesium. Although there was spontaneous resolution in case 1, it is not advisable (and is even dangerous) to watch the levels of serum urea, creatinine, and potassium increase significantly.

In the dog, serial glomerular filtration rate (GFR) studies have been made after 1 week of complete unilateral ureteral obstruction; in no case was there complete functional recovery of the obstructed kidney. In experiments with rats, a release of ureteral obstruction after 30 hours resulted in complete recovery of the GFR. However, if ureteral obstruction had been present for more than 72 hours, a permanent decrease in GFR occurred. In humans, the length of time before irreversible kidney damage occurs is not known; thus, how long one can wait to install the bilateral uretercatheters also is uncertain.
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