Arterial Reconstruction for Subclavian Obstructive Disease: a Comparison of Extrathoracic Procedures
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Objectives: Comparison of the immediate and long-term results of three different extrathoracic arterial reconstruction procedures for subclavian obstructive disease.

Design: Retrospective analysis of 51 extrathoracic subclavian artery reconstructions in 49 patients performed in a single centre over an 18-year period (mean follow-up 64 months, range 3–192).

Methods: Carotid-subclavian bypass (CSB, n = 21), subclavian-carotid transposition (SCT, n = 21) and subclavian-subclavian or axillo-axillary cross-over bypass (COB, n = 9) was performed. Upper extremity ischaemic complaints were present in 45/49 patients (92%) and vertebrobasilar insufficiency in 25/49 patients (51%). Symptom relief, improvement of haemodynamic parameters and graft patency were compared.

Results: Operation time was significantly shorter (p < 0.002, t-test) in SCT (80 ± 5 min) compared to CSB (112 ± 7 min) and COB (116 ± 6 min). Symptom relief and improvement of haemodynamic parameters were similar for all groups. There were no differences in morbidity rate and there was no mortality. The cumulative patency of SCT was significantly better with 100% at 2, 5 and 10 years postoperatively compared to CSB (75.6%, 62.6% and 52.2%, respectively) (p < 0.005, log-rank test) and COB (76.5%, 63.7% and 63.7%, respectively) (p < 0.02, log-rank test). There was a tendency for a better patency in prosthetic grafts as compared to autologous vein grafts in CSB (ns, log-rank test).

Conclusions: Satisfactory immediate and long-term results were obtained with all of the above techniques. When technically feasible, SCT is the procedure of choice for extrathoracic arterial reconstruction in subclavian obstructive disease.

Introduction

Atherosclerotic obstruction of the subclavian artery is relatively rare and due to a rich brachiocephalic collateral circulation rarely causes symptoms. However, an occlusion or stenosis of a subclavian artery can occasionally cause upper extremity ischaemia, vertebrobasilar insufficiency or both. In these circumstances surgical or radiological intervention is indicated. The surgical intervention of choice is extrathoracic subclavian revascularisation.\(^1\) The three operative approaches currently in use are the carotid–subclavian bypass (CSB),\(^2\) the direct subclavian–carotid anastomosis or transposition (SCT)\(^3\) and the subclavian–subclavian or axillo-axillary cross-over bypass (COB).\(^4\) Satisfactory results have been obtained with each of these techniques, but comparative studies are few. This report presents the analysis of a single centre experience with extrathoracic subclavian arterial reconstruction, in which all of the above techniques have been applied. The aim of the study was to compare the immediate and long-term results of these procedures.

Patients and Methods

In an 18-year period from 1976 to 1993 51 extrathoracic subclavian artery reconstructions were carried out in 49 patients. The hospital records of these patients were studied retrospectively to determine the presenting clinical signs and symptoms, results of the preoperative evaluation, details of the operation, complications and immediate and long-term results.

The mean follow-up was 64 months (range 3–192). There were 22 men and 27 women with a mean (±SEM) age of 52 ± 2 years. Risk factors for atherosclerosis were prevalent. Tobacco use was recorded in 78%, hypertension in 33%, ischaemic cardiac disease in 14%, ischaemic cerebral disease in 6% and diabetes mellitus in 2%. Upper extremity ischaemic complaints were present in 45/49 patients (92%) and vertebrobasilar insufficiency in 25/49 patients.
Table 1. Indications for arterial reconstruction in subclavian obstructive disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SCT</th>
<th>CSB</th>
<th>COB</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UEI</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEI + VBI</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEI + hemispheric</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBI + hemispheric</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEI + angina pectoris</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCT, subclavian carotid transposition; CSB, carotid-subclavian bypass; COB, cross-over bypass; UEI, Upper extremity ischaemia; VBI, vertebral basilar insufficiency.

patients (51%) (Table 1). Additional signs of hemispheric involvement were recorded in 5/49 patients (10%). One patient had upper extremity ischaemic complaints as well as angina pectoris due to a coronary–subclavian steal 4 years after an internal mammary–coronary artery bypass.10 Left sided symptoms were present in 36/51 (71%), right-sided in 10/51 (20%) and bilateral subclavian involvement occurred in 5/51 (10%).

On physical examination a bruit was noticed in 7/31 patients (23%) and a decreased or absent radial artery pulse in 43/47 patients (91%). The mean difference in brachial artery systolic blood pressure (excluding patients with bilateral disease) was 52 ± 5 mmHg. Angiography showed that obstructive lesions in multiple aortic arch branches were present in 26/49 patients (53%) (Table 2). In 32/51 cases (63%) there was a subclavian stenosis of greater than 50% and in 17/51 cases (33%) an occlusion. Congenital malformations were present in two patients. In three patients a previously constructed aortic-subclavian bypass and in two a CSB were found to be occluded.

The postoperative management included intravenous heparin administration until satisfactory anti-coagulation was established with oral coumarin derivatives. The oral anticoagulants were replaced by low-dose acetylsalicylic acid 3 to 6 months after operation. Follow-up angiograms were performed only when clinically indicated. Reconstructive failures were defined as reappearance of a brachial systolic blood pressure difference > 20 mmHg with or without recurrence of symptoms or signs of occlusion at angiography.

The patency data were assessed with the life table method and statistical analysis was performed by means of log-rank and t-tests when appropriate.

Results

In 21 cases an SCT, in 21 a CSB and in nine cases a COB was performed. The choice of the operative procedure mainly depended on the preference of the vascular surgeon. In five instances the anatomy at operation prevented the construction of a planned SCT and a CSB was performed instead. Four of the patients in the SCT group required a subclavian endarterectomy. Venous autografts were used as a conduit in 15/21 cases (71%) and prosthetic grafts in 6/21 cases (29%) of the CSB group. In the COB group venous autografts were used in 8/9 cases. In seven patients (14%) a concomitant ipsilateral internal carotid endarterectomy was carried out.

With the exclusion of patients with combined procedures, the mean operation time was significantly shorter (p < 0.001, t-test) in SCT (80 ± 5 min) compared to CSB (112 ± 7 min) and COB (116 ± 6 min). Initial clinical improvement with total relief of upper extremity ischaemic complaints and/or vertebral basilar insufficiency was observed in all patients. Immediately postoperatively the mean brachial artery systolic blood pressure difference was reduced to 7 ± 2 mmHg (Table 3). The perioperative increase in arm blood pressure was significantly less (p < 0.05, t-test) in the CSB group than in the SCT group.

Table 3. Mean pre- and postoperative brachial artery systolic blood pressure difference (mmHg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SCT</th>
<th>CSB</th>
<th>COB</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recordings (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>56.8 ± 6.6</td>
<td>42.8 ± 6.1</td>
<td>60.0 ± 19.7</td>
<td>51.7 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>9.2 ± 3.0</td>
<td>9.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement*</td>
<td>53.0 ± 6.2</td>
<td>33.6 ± 6.2</td>
<td>50.7 ± 19.1</td>
<td>44.9 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCT, subclavian carotid transposition; CSB, carotid-subclavian bypass; COB, cross-over bypass.

* SCT vs CSB = p < 0.05 (t-test), SCT vs COB = p < 0.9, ns (t-test), CSB vs COB = p < 0.3, ns (t-test).
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Early (<30 days) postoperative complications necessitating additional intervention did not occur and there was no mortality. The morbidity was evenly distributed between the groups and consisted of transient hemispheric symptoms (n = 4), phrenic nerve lesions (n = 5) with a permanent hemidiaphragmatic paralysis in one patient, temporary recurrent laryngeal nerve dysfunction (n = 5), transient ipsilateral Horner syndrome (n = 5), partial brachial plexus lesions (n = 4) with long-standing sensory complaints in two patients and a thoracic duct fistula (n = 1). No reconstructive failures occurred within 30 days following operation. Five patients died during follow-up after a mean interval of 8 years (range 2–13) following operation.

The cumulative graft patency is shown in Fig. 1. In the SCT group the primary patency rate was 100% at 10 years after operation. In the CSB group two late reinterventions were recorded. Venous graft stenoses were treated in two patients with a patch plasty at 6 months and 8 years postoperatively. The assisted patency rates in the CSB group were 75.6% at 1 and 2 years, 62.6% at 5 years and 52.2% at 10 years after operation. For the COB group the primary patency rates were 76.5%, 76.5%, 63.7% and 63.7%, respectively. There was a statistically significant difference between the patency of the SCT group and the CSB group (p < 0.005, log-rank), as well as the COB group (p < 0.02, log-rank). No difference was recorded between the patencies of the CSB and COB groups (p = 0.9, log-rank). Fig. 2 shows the patency rates of CSB according to graft material. There was a tendency for a better patency in prosthetic grafts as compared to autologous vein grafts, however, no statistical difference was found (p < 0.2, log-rank).

Discussion

Extrathoracic arterial reconstruction for subclavian obstructive disease can be performed with satisfactory results using various techniques. Although local anatomy may preclude the construction of a SCT, this technique has several appealing characteristics. The reconstruction is carried out with one single end-to-side vascular anastomosis without the use of graft material. It restores a physiological flow pattern in the subclavian and vertebral arteries by transforming the proximal common carotid artery into a brachiocephalic trunk. The procedure allows for an evasion endarterectomy of the subclavian as well as the proximal vertebral artery and eliminates a possible source of emboli by excluding the diseased proximal subclavian artery. The use of an intraoperative shunt has been recommended in some cases, but deemed unnecessary by most authors. Earlier concerns over the development of a subclavian-carotid steal following SCT have been disproved by both experimental and clinical data. The construction of a side-to-side anastomosis between the subclavian and common carotid arteries, as described by Edwards and Wright, requires less dissection, but negates several of the above advantages.

For more extensive obstructive lesions a CSB can be used. The reconstruction can be performed without the sometimes difficult dissection of the proximal subclavian artery. As two separate vascular anastomoses are required, the operative time is usually...
longer than in SCT. Controversy exists over the choice
of graft material in CSB. In accordance with the
present study most authors report better results with
the use of prosthetic grafts.1,2 Sterpetti et al.17 on the
other hand recorded a more favourable outcome with
vein grafts. As involvement of multiple aortic arch
branches is not uncommon in patients with obstruc-
tive techniques, it is important that both the CSB and
the SCT techniques allow for concomitant endarterectomy of the ipsilateral internal carotid
artery without extensive additional dissection.

The COB is a safe and technically undemanding
procedure, that can be performed under local anes-
thesia.9 It has an advantage over SCT and CSB in that
it is performed without any manipulation of the
common carotid artery.10 The COB can be positioned
cervically or subcutaneously across the sternum,
where it may interfere with the performance of a
median sternotomy for subsequent coronary artery
surgery or cause skin erosions.11,12

A lesion of the brachial plexus is the most
frequent complication following COB. This complica-
tion is also encountered with the other techniques of
subclavian revascularisation, as is illustrated in the
present study. The cervical exploration in SCT and
CSB also carries the risk of damage to the recurrent
laryngeal and phrenic nerves, as well as the symp-
thetic nerve chain, causing Horner’s syn-
drome.1,4,5,7,15-17 Embolic or thrombotic carotid com-
lications occur infrequently with the latter tech-
niques.1,2,4,6,11,15,16 In the present study the major-
ity of the recorded complications were of a transient
type and required no additional intervention. Graft
infections were not encountered. The absence of
mortality in most series shows that extrathoracic
subclavian reconstructions can be performed with low
risk in patients with severe atherosclerosis.1 Initial
symptom relief is similar in all of the above recon-
structive techniques. In the present study, however,
the improvement of the non-invasive haemodynamic
parameters was less following CSB.

Comparative patency studies are few and all of
them have been performed retrospectively. AbuR-
ahma and coworkers17 compared the results of CSB
and axillo-axillary COB with prosthetic grafts and
found a better patency rate in CSB. Mingoli and
coworkers16 used mainly autologous vein grafts in
their series of axillo-axillary COB and recorded a
similar patency with CSB in the absence of ipsilateral
carotid stenosis. Two comparative studies on SCT and
CSB have been performed. Kretschmer and coworkers18 recorded a superior patency rate for SCT. A 100%
long-term patency for SCT was also reported by
Sterpetti et al.18 Although no significant difference was
found in patency rates, a late progressive deterioration of the haemodynamic status following CSB was
documented in their series. The operative time was
significantly shorter for SCT as compared to CSB,
which is in accordance with the findings of the present
study.

In a review of the literature on subclavian
reconstruction Criado1 showed that, in a total series of
787 operations, the most favourable results were
obtained with SCT. The long-term results of the
present study are also clearly in favour of the SCT
technique. It is therefore concluded that, when techni-
cally feasible, SCT is the procedure of choice for
extrathoracic arterial reconstruction in subclavian
obstructive disease.
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