MRI in patients with suspected vascular parkinsonism
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Article abstract—To determine whether MRI can reveal more vascular lesions in patients clinically suspected of having vascular parkinsonism, we compared 15 such patients with 15 patients who had idiopathic Parkinson's disease and 10 hypertensive controls. Patients with suspected vascular parkinsonism had significantly more subcortical lesions than those with Parkinson's disease or hypertension. The cutoff point that best distinguished patients with suspected vascular parkinsonism from patients with Parkinson's disease was a 0.6% level of lesioned brain tissue volume.

Since Critchley popularized the concept of "arteriosclerotic parkinsonism" in 1929,1 many authors have challenged its existence.2-8 CT and MRI have provided support for the concept of vascular parkinsonism.7-10 Atypical parkinsonism (without tremor or levodopa response) occurs with subcortical white or gray matter lesions (SCLs)7-10 that correlate with arteriosclerosis, demyelination, and lesions in brainstem structures as well as in the basal ganglia.
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loss of axons, and chronic infarcts on postmortem studies.\textsuperscript{11-15} Clinically, vascular parkinsonism—
"lower-half parkinsonism"\textsuperscript{9} or "lower-body parkinsonism"\textsuperscript{16}—is dominated by "frontal gait disorder,"\textsuperscript{16} with characteristic shuffling, short steps, start-and-turn hesitation, variable base (narrow to wide), and moderate disequilibrium.

The multiple etiologies of frontal gait disorder\textsuperscript{16} make diagnosis of vascular parkinsonism difficult. Moreover, infarction of the basal ganglia and deep white matter (or SCLs on MRI and CT) occurs in elderly people who do not have parkinsonism.\textsuperscript{2,4,17-21} Since some authors\textsuperscript{2,4} were unable to detect vascular lesions in patients meeting clinical criteria for idiopathic Parkinson's disease (PD),\textsuperscript{2,2} the existence of vascular parkinsonism was denied. Calne et al\textsuperscript{23} and Rowe and Kahn\textsuperscript{24} emphasized the necessity of obtaining proper controls. Because of the heterogeneity in risk factors for SCLs among nondiseased elderly,\textsuperscript{17-20} care is needed in selecting a control group with full knowledge of the distribution of their individual risk factors. The best choice for controls are neurologically normal elderly persons with chronic hypertension, the main vascular risk factor for SCLs.\textsuperscript{17,20}

The aim of our study was to investigate whether MRI can yield evidence for vascular lesions in suspected vascular parkinsonism. Therefore, we attempted to determine whether (1) patients with clinically suspected vascular parkinsonism (SVP) have more SCLs on MRI than patients meeting clinical criteria for idiopathic PD; (2) SVP patients have more SCLs than hypertensive controls without parkinsonism or gait disorder; (3) SCLs in SVP patients with an acute onset are located differently from SCLs in SVP patients with an insidious onset; and (4) the severity of parkinsonian symptoms in SVP patients is related to the volume and location of SCLs.

**Methods.** *Patients and controls.* Fifteen PD patients (10 men and 5 women; mean age, 72 ± 8 years; mean duration of disease, 7 ± 7 years), 15 SVP patients (11 men and 4 women; mean age, 72 ± 4 years; mean duration of disease, 3 ± 3 years), and 10 hypertensive controls were examined clinically and with MRI. All PD and SVP patients were selected consecutively from the departments of neurology and geriatrics in our hospital; this was done without knowledge of any previous MRI or CT findings or of whether there was a history of vascular risk factors. PD was diagnosed in accordance with accepted clinical criteria.\textsuperscript{22} SVP was diagnosed in patients 60 years or older who had parkinsonism (lethargy and rigidity) dominated by frontal gait disorder\textsuperscript{9,10,16,25} and who were not ruled out by the following exclusion criteria: resting tremor, neuroleptic therapy, brain trauma, supraneural gaze palsy, retrocollis, disproportionate antecollis, cerebellar signs at onset, fixed dystonic posture of the arm, action- or stimulus-sensitive myoclonus of the arm, alien hand syndrome, polyneuropathy, respiratory stridor, and orthostatic hypotension (>30 mm Hg).\textsuperscript{8,22,26} Four of the SVP patients had an acute onset (SVPa); in the remainder of SVP patients the onset was insidious (SVPi). One SVPa patient showed a good response to levodopa and carbidopa for 3 years, whereas in the others the response to levodopa and carbidopa (up to Sinemet 25-250 three times daily) was absent or minimal. Some SVP patients had dysarthria, pyramidal signs, or signs of frontolobalrelease, such as grasp reflexes or pseudobulbar reflexes. Four SVP patients had a history of hypertension, four of diabetes mellitus, and nine of a transient ischemic attack or reversible ischemic neurologic deficit. Patients with other neurologic diseases or with cognitive impairment (Mini-Mental State Examination score ≤23)\textsuperscript{27} were excluded.

The 10 hypertensive patients (6 men and 4 women; mean age, 70 ± 2 years; mean duration of hypertension, 18 ± 13 years) were selected consecutively from the outpatient department of internal medicine. They had neither parkinsonism nor gait disorder and met the exclusion criteria as described above. All patients gave informed consent prior to the examination in accordance with institutional guidelines.

**Procedures.** All patients and controls were examined by one investigator (J.C.M.Z.), who assessed severity of arm and leg rigidity in accordance with the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale\textsuperscript{28} severity of hypokinesia using a pegboard test as described in a previous study,\textsuperscript{29} and gait velocity during free-speed walking by measuring the time needed to cover a distance of 10 meters. Blood pressure was measured with a legally stamped sphygmomanometer (Erka-meter 300) after 5 minutes of supine rest. At the time of clinical examination, no patient had taken levodopa during the preceding 12 hours.

MRI examination was performed with a 1.5-tesla Magnetom and a spin-echo sequence using proton-density and T2 weighting (TE = 20 and 80 msec; TR = 2,500 msec). Images through the whole brain were acquired in the transverse plane, parallel to the intercommissural line, with a slice thickness of 4 mm and a slice gap of 0.4 mm. Spatial resolution was 0.95 mm, corresponding to a 256 x 256 matrix. All images had an identical field of view.

Two investigators (J.C.M.Z. and O.J.M.V.), blind to the identities of the imaged patients, interpreted the images for the volume and location of SCLs as evident from areas with increased signal intensity on both short- and long-TE images. Perivascular dilatations (isointense relative to CSF on short-TE images) and hyperintense rims around the tip of the frontal horn were not scored, because they are of nonischemic origin.\textsuperscript{30,31} SCL volume was determined with a stereoscopic estimation using a point-counting method (Gundersen and Jensen\textsuperscript{32}) and the Cavalieri theorem of systematic sampling.\textsuperscript{33} This method uses systematic sampling of parallel sections with a known, fixed interval (in this study, 4.4 mm—ie, MRI slice thickness plus slice gap) and estimation of area using counting grids. It was applied to estimate the volume of cerebral ventricles in hydrocephalic patients on brain CT and to estimate the volume of several brain structures in Down's syndrome on MRI.\textsuperscript{34,35} We estimated the total area of all SCLs (\(A_{\text{SCL}}\)) on all MRI slices by superimposing a systematic array of test points on each slice. Given random positioning of the test array on each slice, the total number of test points (\(N\)) that hit SCLs affords an unbiased estimator of \(A_{\text{SCL}}\). The exact relationship is expressed as

\[
A_{\text{SCL}} = N \times \pi \times a(p) \text{ [in mm}^2\text{]},
\]

where \(a(p)\) is the areal equivalent of one test point. Total volume of SCL (\(V_{\text{SCL}}\)) is given by the formula

\[
V_{\text{SCL}} \text{ [in mm}^3\text{]} = A_{\text{SCL}} \text{ [in mm}^2\text{]} \times 4.4 \text{ [in mm]}.
\]
The coefficient of error of the estimate of each individual SCL volume declines in direct proportion to the total number of MRI slices and to the total number of test points. In our study, a coefficient of error of less than 5% was obtained, because the number of MRI slices hitting SCLs was 10 or more and the number of test points was 50 or more. The systematic and random errors of the VgcL estimates of the two investigators (interobserver variability), and also those of one investigator at two points separated by a 4-week interval (intraobserver variability), are described elsewhere. They were all approximately 10%. This indicates a high reproducibility of the method, especially when compared with the recently developed semiquantitative rating scales in which the severity of SCLs is expressed as a sum score of points awarded to subjective criteria, such as focal, multifocal, and confluent lesions, or punctate, nodular, and patchy lesions, yielding Cohen's kappa coefficient values of inter- and intrasubject agreement ranging from 0.34 to 0.73. The SCL volume was finally expressed as a percentage of total brain tissue volume: \( \frac{V_{SCL}}{V_{Brain \text{ tissue}}} \times 100\% \), whereas \( V_{Brain \text{ tissue}} \) was obtained by a method similar to that for \( V_{SCL} \).

SCLs were also scored with respect to location of (1) territories of the three main cerebral arteries (anterior, middle, and posterior); (2) territories of the three watershed areas (between the anterior and middle cerebral arteries, the middle and posterior cerebral arteries, and the deep and superficial middle cerebral arteries); and (3) the subcortical gray nuclei (putamen, caudate nucleus, globus pallidus, thalamus, and substantia nigra).

**Statistics.** To compare the SCL volume in the two SVP groups, PD patients, and hypertensive controls, the Mann-Whitney test with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons was used. To determine whether SVPa and SVPi patients could also be differentiated from one another by the presence of SCLs in the gray nuclei, Fisher's exact test (two-tailed) was used. Finally, the severity of the parkinsonian symptoms of the SVP patients and their relation to the volume and location of SCLs were examined with Spearman's rank correlation. For the unilateral tests (arm and leg rigidity, pegboard), the more severely affected side of the body was correlated with the total SCL volume. In all test procedures, \( p \) values <0.05 were considered significant.

**Results.** SVP patients did not differ significantly from PD patients or hypertensive controls in age, systolic blood pressure, or diastolic blood pressure (table 1). The SVP group scored significantly lower than PD patients in rigidity in the upper and lower extremities (table 1). Pegboard score and walking velocity were not appreciably different between the two patient groups. SVP patients had a significantly higher pegboard score and a significantly lower walking velocity than controls (table 1). The four SVPa patients were not crucially different from the 11 SVPi patients in age, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, pegboard test, arm and leg rigidity, or walking velocity (data not shown). Current blood pressure did not differ significantly by one-way ANOVA between the SVPi group and the controls (\( 152 \pm 16/88 \pm 11 \) mm Hg versus \( 166 \pm 21/91 \pm 8 \) mm Hg).

Figure 1 shows MRI studies of an SVPa and an SVPi patient. SVPi patients had markedly more SCLs than did patients with PD (\( p < 0.01; \) Mann-Whitney test) or hypertension (\( p < 0.05 \)) (figure 2). SVPa patients had significantly more SCLs than did PD patients (\( p < 0.05 \)), but not more than hypertensive controls (figure 2). The SCL volume was not significantly different between the two SVP groups. A cutoff point for SCL volume of 0.6% of brain tissue volume resulted in the best discrimination between the PD and SVP groups (figure 2). Three hypertensive controls had an SCL volume ≥0.6% (table 2).

All four SVPa patients had SCLs in the gray nuclei, but only two of the 11 SVPi patients did (\( p = 0.02; \) Fisher's exact test, two-tailed) (table 2). None of the PD patients or controls had gray nuclei SCLs (table 2). SCLs in the gray nuclei were located in the putamen or thalamus alone or in one of those two nuclei along with the globus pallidus or caudate nucleus.

The severity of clinical symptoms (gait velocity, arm and leg rigidity, pegboard score) was significantly correlated with neither the total SCL volume in the SVP patients nor the location of SCLs as seen from the subcores of the blood-supplying territories of the three main cerebral arteries, the three watershed areas between these three arteries, and the subcortical gray nuclei (Spearman's rank correlation). The SCLs were diffusely distributed, mainly in the three watershed areas, with only a small variation between the four patient groups. Of the total SCL load, 0 to 3% was located in the territories of the three main cerebral arteries; 40 to 50% in the watershed area of the anterior/middle cerebral arteries; 15 to 20% in the watershed area of the deep and superficial middle cerebral arteries; 30 to 40% in the watershed area of the middle/posterior cerebral arteries; and 0 to 14% in the subcortical gray nuclei.

### Table 1. Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SVP (n = 15) (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>PD (n = 15) (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 10) (mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>72 ± 4</td>
<td>72 ± 8</td>
<td>70 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>154 ± 18</td>
<td>145 ± 15</td>
<td>168 ± 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>91 ± 11</td>
<td>89 ± 15</td>
<td>91 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pegboard test (sec)</td>
<td>10.8 ± 6.1</td>
<td>10.6 ± 6.1</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.8f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm rigidity (UPDRS)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.6*</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg rigidity (UPDRS)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.6*</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity of walking (cm/sec)</td>
<td>60 ± 26</td>
<td>69 ± 29</td>
<td>117 ± 24t</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


* \( p < 0.05; t < 0.01 \) (t test): PD patients and controls compared with SVP group.

[1] December 1995 NEUROLOGY 45 2185
elderly. This suggests a causal relationship be-
tween a group of neurologically normal hypertensive
SVP patients also had significantly more SCLs
without examination, was not avaliable.

In our study, the data obtained from patients
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with SVP. The association between the two
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patients with SVP without PD showed a higher
SCL volume (volume 0.77-0.96). However, although
the criteria used for determining the presence of
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Figure 2. Distribution of subcortical lesion volume in
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Figure I. Spin-echo proton density-
between SCLs and parkinsonism in our patients with SVP. The difference in SCL volume between the SVP and control patients was influenced neither by hypertension history nor by current blood pressure. Only four of 15 SVP patients had a history of hypertension. Neither the current systolic nor the diastolic blood pressure differed between the two groups. Three of 10 hypertensive controls had an SCL volume $>0.6\%$ of brain tissue, indicating an overlap between the SVP patients and the controls. These results parallel the findings of Fazekas and Horikoshi et al., who reported frequencies of 10 to 30% of partially or widely confluent lesions in asymptomatic elderly with vascular risk factors. Older persons without vascular risk factors may not serve as a control group, because of the probability of their having a lower SCL volume than our SVP patients who were not selected because of absence of vascular risk factors. PD patients are also not an optimal control group. They might have fewer vascular risk factors than most healthy individuals. PD patients have a lower frequency of tobacco use, a known risk factor for atherosclerotic vascular disease.

In both SVP groups, SCLs were diffusely located, mainly in the watershed areas, in agreement with reports by others. Furthermore, all four SVPa patients had SCLs in the gray nuclei, also in agreement with other reports. In the four SVPa patients, subcortical gray nuclei lesions were located in the putamen or thalamus alone or in one of these two nuclei along with the globus pallidus or caudate nucleus. There were no SCLs in the substantia nigra. The differentiation of vascular parkinsonism into two types on the basis of stage of onset and location of SCLs has not been examined previously. There probably are more types of vascular parkinsonism in which other locations are involved, such as types resembling progressive supranuclear palsy.

In patients with dementia or in whom mental impairment was not excluded, the presence of gait impairment was associated with SCLs. We did not find a significant correlation between the severity of symptoms in the SVP patients and the volume or location of SCLs, probably because of the rather low SCL volume in our slightly affected SVP patients.

Thompson and Marsden and Jankovic suggested that the parkinsonism in patients showing deep white matter lesions on MRI or CT might be due to subcortical ischemia. However, in a previous study with proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in SVP patients, we could not detect metabolic signs of ischemia (increased lactate) in the deep white matter or in the striatum. Meguro et al. reported impaired circulation in brains with severe SCLs, although oxygen metabolism was not measurably affected. Vascular parkinsonism is probably due to multiple acute ischemic microinfarcts resulting in neuronal cell loss, while, later on, lactate normalizes by diffusion and active transport and mechanisms appear that compensate for the decreased oxygen supply resulting from decreased circulation. The location of SCLs mainly in the watershed areas indicates their ischemic nature.

In conclusion, we showed that SVP patients had more SCLs than patients with PD and hypertension. This suggests a causal relationship between SCLs and parkinsonism in our patients with SVP. We observed two types of vascular parkinsonism: one had an insidious onset and vascular lesions that were diffusely located in the watershed areas; the other had an acute onset and lesions located in the subcortical gray nuclei (striatum, globus pallidus, thalamus). To prove the accuracy of clinical symptoms and SCLs in the diagnosis of vascular parkinsonism, postmortem examination studies of SVP patients are necessary.
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