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**Abstract**

**Background** Malaria elimination strategies require a thorough understanding of parasite transmission from human to mosquito. A clinical model to induce gametocytes to understand their dynamics and evaluate transmission-blocking interventions (TBI) is currently unavailable. Here, we explore the use of the well-established Controlled Human Malaria Infection model (CHMI) to induce gametocyte carriage with different antimalarial drug regimens.

**Methods** In a single centre, open-label randomised trial, healthy malaria-naive participants (aged 18–35 years) were infected with *Plasmodium falciparum* by bites of infected Anopheles mosquitoes (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT02836002). Participants were randomly allocated to four different treatment arms (n = 4 per arm) comprising low-dose (LD) piperaquine (PIP) or sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP), followed by a curative regimen upon recrudescence. Male and female gametocyte densities were determined by molecular assays.

**Findings** Mature gametocytes were observed in all participants (16/16, 100%). Gametocytes appeared 8.5–12 days after the first detection of asexual parasites. Peak gametocyte densities and gametocyte burden was highest in the LD-PIP/SP arm, and associated with the preceding asexual parasite biomass (p=0.026). Male gametocytes had a mean estimated circulation time of 2.7 days (95% CI 1.5–3.9) compared to 5.1 days (95% CI 4.1–6.1) for female gametocytes. Exploratory mosquito feeding assays showed successful sporadic mosquito infections. There were no serious adverse events or significant differences in the occurrence and severity of adverse events between study arms (p=0.49 and p=0.28).

**Conclusions** The early appearance of gametocytes indicates gametocyte commitment during the first wave of asexual parasites emerging from the liver. Treatment by LD-PIP followed by a curative SP regimen, results in the highest gametocyte densities and the largest number of gametocyte-positive days. This model can be used to evaluate the effect of drugs and vaccines on gametocyte dynamics, and lays the foundation for fulfilling the critical unmet need to evaluate transmission-blocking interventions.
against *falciparum* malaria for downstream selection and clinical development. **Funding** PATH Malaria Vaccine Initiative (MVI)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001

## Introduction

Malaria, a disease caused by *Plasmodium* parasites, continues to be a public health burden. Despite a reduction in the malaria case incidence of ~40%, and mortality by 62% over the last decade, malaria caused ~429,000 deaths in 2015 ([World Health Organization, 2016](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)). Apart from the direct health implications, malaria is a substantial contributor to ongoing poverty in affected countries. Recently, the spread of artemisinin-resistant parasites has emerged as a global health concern. Both the recent gains in malaria control and concerns about artemisinin resistance have stimulated programs to eliminate malaria ([World Health Organization, 2016](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)). Novel interventions may support malaria elimination efforts in endemic settings ([Griffin et al., 2010](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)) that are further dependent on political and financial commitments to maximize coverage with currently available interventions and improve surveillance systems to optimize disease notification and treatment ([Moonen et al., 2010](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)).

A major challenge to eliminating malaria is its highly efficient transmission by *Anopheles* mosquitoes. Transmission to mosquitoes starts when a small proportion of asexual parasites commit to form male and female gametocytes. It is currently unclear what stimulates gametocyte commitment and when gametocyte commitment first occurs ([Nilsson et al., 2015](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)). Upon commitment, maturation of gametocytes takes place predominantly in the bone marrow, and requires 7 days (range 4–12) of development. ([Eichner et al., 2001](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)) Subsequently, mature gametocytes (parasites that are not associated with clinical disease) appear in the peripheral blood, where they may circulate for an average of 6 days ([Eichner et al., 2001](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001); [Bousema et al., 2010](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)). During this period, blood-feeding *Anophelines* may ingest gametocytes where, after a sporogonic development phase, sporozoites reach the mosquito salivary gland rendering the mosquito infectious to humans upon its next bite. Early work based on the microscopic evaluation of experimental *P. falciparum* infection (malarialtherapy) studies reported that gametocytes may make their appearance in small numbers around 10 days following the first day of fever ([Shute and Maryon, 1951](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001); [Ciucă et al., 1937](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)).

The renewed focus on malaria elimination requires a thorough understanding of malaria transmission dynamics - when mature male and female gametocytes are first produced upon infection and how long they circulate in peripheral blood ([Sinden, 2017](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)). These parameters are difficult to measure in naturally acquired infections where frequent super-infections, immunity and other factors dictate parasite and gametocyte dynamics ([Bousema and Drakeley, 2011](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)). Interventions that specifically aim to reduce gametocyte development, circulation time or infectivity are highly desirable in the context of malaria elimination and require effective models for the early clinical evaluation.

The controlled human malaria infection (CHMI) model allows the induction of parasitemia under highly standardized conditions and plays an important role in the assessment of safety and efficacy of novel antimalarial drugs and vaccines ([Sauerwein et al., 2011](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)). Preliminary evidence for the induction of female gametocytes in CHMI studies with blood stage inoculum was recently demonstrated using piperaquine monotherapy ([Pasay et al., 2016](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001); [Farid et al., 2017](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)).

In this study, we aimed to develop a CHMI transmission model to induce gametocyte carriage after mosquito bite infection. The primary objective of the current trial was to safely induce gametocytemia in study participants by the use of different (sub)curative drug regimens based on sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine ([Bousema and Drakeley, 2011](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001); [Butcher, 1997](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)) and piperaquine ([Adjalley et al., 2011](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.001)).

## Results

From a total of 49 screened candidate participants, 16 volunteers were included in a first cohort and randomly assigned to four study arms prior to challenge (Figure 1). After observed transient liver enzyme elevations in the first cohort, the study was temporarily put on hold and the already initiated infections in the second cohort of 13 participants were abrogated by curative treatment on day 3 post challenge. The hold was lifted after reviewing safety data. Participants from the first cohort...
completed all study visits, and form the basis of the current manuscript; their baseline characteristics
are shown in Table 1. After exposure to bites of a standard protocol of five *P. falciparum*
infected mosquitoes, all participants developed parasitemia on days 6.5–12 post-challenge; peak parasite
densities ranged from 1050 to 63113 *Pf* /mL (Figure 2; Figure 2—figure supplement 1; Table 2;
Supplementary file 1). Due to asexual recrudescence in seven of the eight participants after a sub-
curative treatment (T1) with LD-PIP, a curative treatment (T2) had to be administered before day 21
post challenge. The median period between T1 and T2 was 9.1 (range of 7.7–11.7), 10.0 (range of
9.2–10.2), 4.7 (range of 2–10.7), and 2.5 (range of 1.5–5.0) days for study arms LD-SP/SP, LD-SP/PIP,
LD-PIP/PIP, and LD-PIP/SP, respectively. In participants receiving a subcurative LD-SP as T1, no
recrudescent infection occurred and T2 was initiated on day 21 per protocol. One participant from
treatment arm LD-PIP/PIP developed asexual recrudescence after T2, and received end treatment
with atovaquone/proguanil on day 36. The remaining participants did not develop recrudescent
infections after T2, and were treated with atovaquone/proguanil on day 42 as per protocol.

All participants also developed gametocytemia as determined by Pfs25 qRT-PCR (Figure 2;
Figure 3A; Figure 2—figure supplement 1). Gametocytes were first detected 8.5–12 days after the
initial peak of asexual parasites with no statistically significant difference in time to gametocyte
appearance between study arms (p=0.26) (Table 2). The median peak density of gametocytes was
83 gametocytes/mL (range 11–1285) when all study participants were considered. Peak gametocyte
densities were higher in the study arm randomised to LD-PIP/SP, with a median of 627 gametocytes/
mL (range of 199–1285), compared to 38 gametocytes/mL (range of 11–368), 30 gametocytes/mL (range of 13–101), 83 gametocytes/mL (range of 46–99), for arms LD-SP/SP, LD-SP/PIP, and LD-PIP/PIP, respectively (Figure 2; Figure 2—figure supplement 1; Table 2).

Thirteen (81%, 13/16) participants showed gametocytes on at least 5 consecutive days. The mean number of consecutive gametocyte-positive days was 24.5 (range of 17–25) for the LD-PIP/SP arm and was higher than for other arms (Table 2; Figure 2). Using multi-level logistic regression (random effect for within-group variation), we estimated that the average proportion of days that individuals tested positive for gametocytes was 27.4% (LD-SP/SP), 35.9% (LD-SP/PIP), 51.4% (LD-PIP/PIP), and 48.3% (LD-PIP/SP) (Table 2). The LD-PIP/PIP and LD-PIP/SP arms (i.e. those receiving ‘low dose PIP’) each had significantly higher average proportions of gametocyte-positive days than both arms LD-SP/SP and LD-SP/PIP (posterior probability 90.8% and 86.1%, respectively; 81.1% joint probability of arms LD-PIP/PIP and LD-PIP/SP both being higher than both LD-SP/SP and LD-SP/PIP). Furthermore, the area under the curve (AUC) for gametocyte density showed a statistically significant difference between arms (p=0.04). The LD-PIP/SP arm had a significantly higher gametocyte load (area under the curve) than each of the other three treatment arms (94.4% posterior probability of being the highest; Figure 3B). After correction for the asexual AUC, the probabilities of the gametocyte AUC in the LD-PIP/SP arm being higher than the other three decreased to 97.2%, 96.3%, and 96.2%.
Both female and male gametocytes were detected in 14/16 (88%) participants (Figure 4; Figure 4—figure supplement 1). Gametocyte sex-ratio’s and circulation times have to be interpreted with caution since they rely on two separate qRT-PCR assays with differences in assay sensitivity (Figure 5; Supplementary file 2, 3). On average 2.5 times as many female gametocytes were observed compared to male gametocytes per measured time-point (Figure 4; mean ratio 2.5 (SD = 2.5)). Combining all treatment arms, the best estimate of gametocyte half-life was 5.1 days (95% CI 4.1–6.1) for female gametocytes and 2.7 days (95% CI 1.5–3.9) for male gametocytes (Figure 4—figure supplement 2).

Gametocytes are produced from their asexual progenitors, and hence asexual parasite kinetics and gametocyte kinetics are related. The AUC of asexual parasitemia was statistically significantly associated with the AUC of gametocytemia (r^2 = 0.31, p=0.026), as shown in Figure 3C. The mean time-window between the first asexual parasites and the first appearance of gametocytes was 10.6 (SD = 0.65) days, see Table 2. Membrane feeding experiments were performed as an exploratory objective, and confirmed infectivity of gametocytes in three mosquitoes from three study arms on days 25 (LD-PIP/SP and LD-SP/SP arms) and 31 (LD-SP/PIP arm) post-infection. Mean gametocyte densities at those time-points were 10^6 gametocytes/mL (SD = 175), and 28 gametocytes/mL (SD = 47), respectively. Expressed as a proportion of all examined mosquitoes, 0.0002% (3/14400) of mosquitoes became infected in these exploratory assessments. Possible and probable related adverse events after challenge infection are shown in Figure 6 and Table 3. The most frequently reported adverse events were fatigue, malaise, headache, fever, nausea, and chills. Grade three adverse events were reported in 14/16 (88%) participants, and were predominated by headache (n = 8), chills (n = 6), and nausea (n = 5). All possible and probable related adverse events resolved by the end of study. No serious adverse events occurred. The median number of adverse events was 20.5 per individual; the median number of adverse events with a grade three severity score was 1.5 per individual. There was no evidence for a difference between study arms in the occurrence of adverse events (p=0.49) or grade three adverse events (p=0.28).

Laboratory abnormalities during the study are shown in Table 4. Most prevalent abnormalities were elevated transaminases (ALT/AST) (n = 16), decreased lymphocytes (n = 15), decreased neutrophils (n = 13), and decreased platelets (n = 12). The only grade three laboratory abnormalities were elevated ALT (n = 8), and elevated AST (n = 7). 16/16 (100%) volunteers showed mild to severe ALT/AST elevations. 5/16 (31%) mild (grade 1); 3/16 (19%) moderate (grade 2), and 8/16 (50%) severe (grade 3) (up to 25 x ULN) ALT/AST elevations. These derangements were transient, and returned to

| Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the participants included in analysis. |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                             | LD-SP/SP         | LD-SP/PIP        | LD-PIP/PIP       | LD-PIP/SP        |
| No. subjects                | n = 4            | n = 4            | n = 4            | n = 4            |
| Treatment 1 (T1)            |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine  | 500 mg/25 mg     | 500 mg/25 mg     | Piperaquine 480  | Piperaquine 480  |
| Treatment 2 (T2)            |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine  | 1000 mg/50 mg    | Piperaquine 960  | Piperaquine 960  | Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine 1000 mg/50 mg |
| Sex                         |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Male n (%)                  | 2 (50%)          | 0 (0%)           | 1 (25%)          | 1 (25%)          |
| Female n (%)                | 2 (50%)          | 4 (100%)         | 3 (75%)          | 3 (75%)          |
| Age Mean (range)            | 24.5 (21–29)     | 24 (21–28)       | 21.5 (20–24)     | 22.5 (20–27)     |
| BMI (kg/m^2) Mean (range)   | 21 (18–23)       | 22 (19–25)       | 24.5 (21–27)     | 26.5 (24–29)     |

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.006

The following source data available for Table 1:
Source data 1. Source data for Table 1.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.007
baseline values within the normal range before the end of the study. A detailed overview of these liver function test derangements can be found in the supporting information (Figure 6—figure supplement 1). These unexpected safety findings were reported to the Safety Monitoring Committee (SMC) and CCMO, and thoroughly reviewed.

Discussion
Here, we present a CHMI model to induce mature gametocytes after mosquito bite infection in malaria-naive study participants. The timing of the first appearance of gametocytes suggests that a fraction of the first wave of asexual parasites commit to the production of male and female gametocytes. With the use of antimalarial drugs that attenuates asexual stage infections but leave (developing) gametocytes unaffected, we determined biologically plausible half-lives of male and female gametocytes, and show preliminary evidence of the potential of this model to complete the lifecycle of malaria in mosquito feeding assays.

Malaria elimination efforts require a thorough understanding of the transmissibility of infections. Gametocyte commitment occurs for a fraction of asexual parasites under regulation of the transcription factor AP2-G with the entire progeny of a sexually committed schizont forming either male or
female gametocytes (Kafsack et al., 2014). Our findings, based on novel sex-specific gametocyte qRT-PCR, confirm earlier work from malarial therapy studies where gametocytes were first detected by microscopy at 9–11 days after asexual parasites (Ciucu et al., 1937; Shute and Maryon, 1951). These data indicate very early gametocyte commitment and are in line with our earlier observations that Pfs16 mRNA, the earliest gametocyte transcript, is detectable at the moment of peak parasitemia in CHMI models (Schneider et al., 2004). This timing is highly relevant for understanding gametocyte transmission biology. The circulation of mature gametocytes has not been reported in previous CHMI trials using curative regimens of chloroquine, artemether-lumefantrine, or atovaquone-proguanil, and our data illustrate the differential impact of antimalarial drugs on developing gametocytes. Once treatment is initiated, gametocyte production ceases abruptly (in the case of artemisinins), remains unaffected, or may even be stimulated under drug pressure as suggested for sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and piperaquine (Bousema and Drakeley, 2011; Butcher, 1997; Adjalley et al., 2011). In our study, we aimed for a protracted low density of asexual parasitemia demonstrating that early abrogation of asexual infections by both sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and piperaquine permits successful mature gametocyte development. SP has long been associated with a rapid appearance of gametocytes that is too early to be explained by de novo gametocyte production upon drug pressure and has thus been hypothesized to reflect an efflux of sequestered gametocytes upon treatment (Butcher, 1997). Evidence for the permissiveness of piperaquine to (developing) gametocytes is more recent (Pasay et al., 2016; Farid et al., 2017; Adjalley et al., 2011). In our study, group sizes are limited and comparisons between treatment arms have to be interpreted with caution. CHMI studies are logistically challenging and the number of volunteers that can be monitored to ensure participant safety is an important consideration when defining the study size. Our sample size calculation was based on the optimistic assumption that the vast majority of volunteers would develop mature gametocytes; an assumption that was supported by the current data. With our limited study size, our findings indicate that none of the study drugs prevented the appearance of gametocytes after treatment, thereby suggesting limited or no effect of

### Table 2. Treatment and parasitological data per study group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LD-SP/SP</th>
<th>LD-SP/PIP</th>
<th>LD-PIP/PIP</th>
<th>LD-PIP/SP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time to T1 (days)</td>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>13 (9.3–12.8)</td>
<td>10.8 (0.8–11.8)</td>
<td>10.3 (10.3–12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between T1-T2 (days)</td>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>9.1 (7.7–11.7)</td>
<td>10 (9.2–10.2)</td>
<td>4.7 (2–10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area under the curve (AUC)*</td>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>6490 (1120–16337)</td>
<td>13280 (2773–43777)</td>
<td>14347 (5408–24898)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Asexual

Sexual

Peak parasite density (Pf/mL) | Median (range) | 6467 (1050–20261) | 16376 (2590–50210) | 11603 (2408–21565) | 8491 (3976–63113) |

Peak gametocyte density (gct/mL) | Median (range) | 38 (11–368) | 30 (13–101) | 83 (46–99) | 627 (199–1285) |

Day of gametocyte detection after infection (days) | Mean (SD) | 18.3 (1.0) | 18.5 (1.0) | 17.3 (1.5) | 19.4 (1.3) |

Time to gametocyte detection relative to first asexual parasites† | Mean (SD) | 10.5 (1.3) | 11.5 (1.0) | 10.1 (1.3) | 10.1 (1.2) |

Proportion of days gametocyte positive (%)‡ | Mean (SD) | 27.4 (6.7) | 35.9 (7.6) | 51.4 (7.9) | 48.3 (8.1) |

Duration gametocytemia§ (days) | Median (range) | 7.5 (1–24) | 6 (2–14) | 17 (12–25) | 24.5 (17–25) |

*The area under the curve (AUC) represents the total parasite exposure over time (asexual- or sexual parasite load).

†Time to gametocyte detection is calculated as the day of the detection of gametocytes (≥5 gct/mL) minus the day of first peak asexual parasitaemia.

‡The proportion of gametocyte positive days is calculated as all days with ≥5 gct/mL by Pfs25-qRT-PCR divided by all days where Pfs25 qRT-PCR was performed.

§Maximum number of consecutive days of Pfs25 qRT-PCR measured gametocytemia ≥5 gct/mL.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.008
PIP and SP on developing or mature gametocytes (Bolscher et al., 2015). We hypothesized that slow acting drugs may promote the development of gametocytes (Méndez et al., 2002), potentially via microvesicles that are derived from infected erythrocytes (Nilsson et al., 2015) and differences between drug regimens in the rate at which asexual parasites are cleared upon T1 and T2 would result in different gametocyte dynamics. Although our findings indicate highest gametocyte concentrations in the LD-PIP/SP arm, more observations and thus additional studies are needed to allow the construction of a model that allows a quantification of gametocyte commitment at different time-points during the study (e.g. prior to T1, during the phase of parasite recrudescence and following T2). One hypothesis would be similar gametocyte commitment in all arms after T1 but a more rapid release of gametocytes that accumulated in the bone marrow between T1 and T2.

We present the novel evidence that both male and female gametocytes appear early, upon infection. Our findings suggest an earlier appearance of female gametocytes (18.8 days (SD 1.8) compared to male gametocytes 20.3 days (SD 1.2)) and a longer circulation time of female gametocytes that is in line with previous estimates from naturally infected individuals (Bousema et al., 2010;
Whilst both male and female gametocytes are consistently detected at densities of 0.1 gametocyte/μL (Stone et al., 2017), the highly abundant Pfs25 mRNA makes the female gametocyte the predominant form in the circulation. The qRT-PCR and qPCR standard curves were used to quantify the gametocyte load in the study participants. The Pfs25 transcription was highly abundant in both male and female gametocytes, allowing for accurate quantification. The Pfs25 mRNA levels were determined in all study samples, and the results were consistent with the observed gametocyte load.

Reuling et al. eLife 2018;7:e31549. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549
gametocyte qRT-PCR more sensitive than the male PfMGET qRT-PCR. Differences in gametocyte dynamics between male and female gametocytes should therefore be interpreted with caution. Gametocyte densities remained below the threshold of detection by microscopy throughout the study period and were strongly associated with the preceding densities of the asexual progenitors. Participants in the LD-PIP/SP study arm showed the highest gametocytes densities, and a mean female/male sex ratio of 4.1 (SD = 5.1), in line with gametocyte sex-ratios in natural infections (~3 to 5 females to one male) (Ciucă et al., 1937; Delves et al., 2013). We confirmed the infectivity of gametocytes in three mosquitoes from three study arms. The very low rate of infected mosquito corroborates observations from naturally acquired infections where mosquito infection becomes highly unlikely below 1000–10,000 gametocytes/mL (Gonçalves et al., 2016). The sporadic mosquito infections thus demonstrate that mature gametocytes in sex-ratios supportive of mosquito infections can be achieved in CHMI transmission models. Studies on the evaluation of TBIs will need a further optimized protocol aimed to achieve higher gametocyte densities by increasing duration and load of the asexual parasite burden. For the evaluation of gametocytocidal interventions in the CHMI transmission model, gametocyte densities should be sufficiently high to quantify an intervention-associated reduction in gametocyte appearance or gametocyte half-life. For the evaluation of interventions that reduce the transmissibility of gametocytes, higher mosquito infections should be achieved at proportions that allow the detection of meaningful reductions in mosquito infection rates in experimental arms. Low infectivity in membrane feeding assays may be overcome by achievement of higher gametocyte densities in the model, and the use of gametocyte concentration methods (Reuling et al., 2017), or by direct skin feeding assays (Bousema et al., 2012).

In line with recent findings, we observed recrudescent infections in 7/8 participants treated with LD-PIP (Pasay et al., 2016). Recrudescent infections were not observed in arms that first received LD-SP, suggesting that this dose, although 1/3 of the standard curative dose of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine, is curative at asexual parasite densities observed in our participants. It has been hypothesized that the prolonged parasitemia under drug pressure increases gametocyte commitment

Figure 6. Adverse events. (A) Adverse events per study arm (B) Total no. of adverse events and time course.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.016
The following figure supplement is available for figure 6:
Figure supplement 1. Liver function test derangements.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.017
The duration of parasite multiplication between T1 and T2 was relatively short in this study (2–5 days) for subjects with recrudescent infections, and the contribution of drug pressure may thus have been limited. The current findings suggest that further lowering the SP dose may be considered to prolong asexual parasite exposure.

The liver enzyme elevations found in our study led to a structured risk analysis, and review by independent experts. Transient, asymptomatic liver function test (LFT) derangements have been reported in volunteers in previous CHMI studies, and are likely to be related to the asexual stage parasitemia, and subsequent treatment.

Detailed studies on gametocyte biology and dynamics, and the early development of novel drugs and vaccines that target malaria transmission (TBIs) are currently restricted to in vitro assays, such as drug sensitivity assays, and standard membrane feeding assays (SMFA) (Bousema and Drakeley, 2011; Wells et al., 2009). Recently, a humanized mice model has been developed to investigate *P.*

### Table 3. List of adverse events possibly or probably related to the trial.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse events</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>LD-SP/SP</th>
<th>LD-SP/PIP</th>
<th>LD-PIP/PIP</th>
<th>LD-PIP/SP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>Number of episodes</td>
<td>Mean duration in days (SD)</td>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>Number of episodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue, Malaise</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.6 (4.5)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.0 (1.5)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 (0.4)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chills</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.7 (1.0)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.2 (3.3)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prurit</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athetai</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflux</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspecific chest pain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syncope</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouth ulcer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grade 3 adverse events**

| Total | 14 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Headache | 8 | 0 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 0.3 (0.2) | 2 | 2 | 0.6 (0.1) | 4 | 4 | 1.1 (1.3) |
| Chills | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0.9 | 2 | 2 | 1.7 (2.0) | 2 | 2 | 0.3 (0.3) | 1 | 1 | 2.2 |
| Nausea | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 3 | 0.3 (0.6) | 1 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Fever | 4 | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 2 | 5 | 0.5 (0.4) | 2 | 5 | 0.7 (0.5) |
| Fatigue, malaise | 4 | 0 | - | - | 3 | 4 | 0.8 (0.4) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | - | - |
| Abdominal pain | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - |

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.018
falciparum sexual commitment that could, therefore, bridge in vitro assays to in vivo animal studies that take into account drug metabolism and gametocyte sequestration (Duffier et al., 2016). Also, an experimental Plasmodium vivax transmission model in human has been reported (Griffin et al., 2016). However, mechanisms underlying P. falciparum gametocytogenesis and dynamics have never been addressed in a controlled clean system in humans. Here, we present a novel CHMI transmission model for P. falciparum that can be used to study gametocyte biology and dynamics providing novel insights and tools in malaria transmission and elimination efforts. The dynamics of gametocyte commitment, maturation, sex ratio, and sequestration found in our model reflect parasite dynamics found in naturally acquired infections, although parasite densities are much lower than in many endemic settings. This model can be used to evaluate the effect of drugs and vaccines on gametocyte dynamics and sex ratios. With its current performance, the CHMI transmission model may allow testing of vaccination strategies that reduce the production of gametocytes from their asexual progenitors or accelerate their clearance from the blood stream (Stone et al., 2016), and the testing of gametocytocidal drugs (White, 2013). To allow testing of sterilizing effect of drugs on circulating gametocytes (White et al., 2014) or the effect of antibodies that interfere with gametocyte fertilisation inside the mosquito gut (Stone et al., 2016), the model needs to be optimized to achieve considerably higher mosquito infection rates. The current work lays the foundation for fulfilling the critical unmet need to evaluate transmission-blocking interventions against falciparum malaria for downstream selection and clinical development.

Table 4. Laboratory abnormalities per study arm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LD-SP/SP</th>
<th>LD-SP/PIP</th>
<th>LD-PIP/PIP</th>
<th>LD-PIP/SP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (% of total of grade 1)</td>
<td>15 (14)</td>
<td>7 (7)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>10 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any lab. abnormality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased hemoglobin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased WBC</td>
<td>1 (8)</td>
<td>3 (23)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased neutrophils</td>
<td>3 (23)</td>
<td>1 (8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased lymphocytes</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased platelets</td>
<td>3 (25)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (17)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated ALT</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated AST</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated yGT</td>
<td>1 (11)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (11)</td>
<td>2 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated ALP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (33)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated total bilirubin</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated creatinine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated BUN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of subjects with the highest grade reported for a laboratory abnormality. Grading based on WHO toxicity grading scale. No grade four abnormalities were reported. Lymphocytes (10^9/l) were graded based on grade 1: 0.9–0.6; grade 2: 0.3–0.5; grade 3:<0.3. Liver function tests were graded based on grade 1: 1.1–2.5X ULN, grade 2: 2.6–5.0x ULN, grade 3: >5.0X ULN. WBC, white blood count; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; yGT, glutamyl transpeptidase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; See Figure 6—figure supplement 1 for a detailed overview of liver function test abnormalities. BUN, blood urea nitrogen. T1, treatment 1; T2, treatment 2. *Subject showed elevated total bilirubin at baseline. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31549.019
Materials and methods

Study design

This single centre, open-label randomised trial was conducted at the Radboud university medical center (Radboudumc), Nijmegen, the Netherlands. Healthy malaria-naive male and female participants aged 18–35 years were recruited from June until November 2016. Screening included physical examination, electrocardiography (ECG), hematology and biochemistry parameters and serology for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis B and C, and asexual stages of P. falciparum. Informed consent was provided by all participants at screening visit. The central committee for research involving human subjects (CCMO), and the Western Institutional Review Board (WIRB) approved the protocol for this study (NL56659.091.16). The trial was conducted according to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice standards, and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov, identifier NCT02836002 (Supplementary file 4; Reporting Standard 1).

Randomisation

A total of 16 participants were included in the analysis of this study. After inclusion, study participants were randomly allocated to one of the four different treatment arms (n = 4 per group) with low-dose (LD) of either piperaquine (PIP) or sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP), followed by curative regimen of piperaquine or sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine upon recrudescence; (i) LD-SP/SP, (ii) LD-SP/PIP, (iii) LD-PIP/SP, or (iv) LD-PIP/SP. Randomisation was done by a computer-generated random number table (Microsoft Excel 2007, Redmond, WA).

Procedures

All study participants were subjected to a standard CHMI with five female Anopheles stephensi mosquitoes infected with the P. falciparum strain 3D7 (Sauerwein et al., 2011; Cheng et al., 1997). P. falciparum 3D7 asexual and sexual blood stages were cultured in a semi-automated culture system and used to infect mosquitoes by standard membrane feeding as described previously (Ponnudurai et al., 1986; Ponnudurai et al., 1989). The 3D7 lineage that was used in the current study is based on a 3D7 bank described in detail in Cheng et al. (1997). To examine molecular markers of drug resistance, we used available Illumina whole genome sequencing data (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB12838); aligning reads to the P. falciparum reference genome v3 (plasmoDB) with bowtie2 (sourceforge) and obtaining consensus sequences for dhps and dhfr genes with samtools. No mutations were identified in the dhfr gene; the only detected mutation was dhps A437G which, by itself, is not associated with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine resistance (Staedke et al., 2004). Plasmepsin II/III duplication events are associated with piperaquine resistance (Witkowski et al., 2017) but were not observed although the sequence similarities with neighboring genes Plasmepsin I and IV suggest that unambiguous quantification may require more specific gene targeting. Importantly, piperaquine sensitivity of our 3D7 lineage was previously confirmed by in vivo experiments (Pasay et al., 2016). We conclude that the lineage used was sensitive to both sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and piperaquine.

Participants were monitored twice daily on an outpatient basis from day 6 after exposure to infected mosquitoes until malaria parasites were detected at a density of ≥5000 parasites per milliliter (Pf/mL) by qPCR or a positive thick blood smear, upon which they were treated with a subcurative dose of 500 mg/25 mg sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (Roche, Boulogne-billancourt, FR) or 480 mg of piperaquine phosphate (PCI Pharma Services, Tredegar, UK). After the first treatment (T1), participants continued to visit the study center twice daily for another 4 days to monitor the initial clearance of parasitemia by qPCR, after which they were monitored once a day for recrudescence. On day 21 or upon parasite density reaching ≥1500 Pf/mL, participants received a second treatment (T2), consisting of 1000 mg/50 mg sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine or 960 mg of piperaquine phosphate. After the second treatment, participants were monitored daily for 3 days, then three times a week until final treatment with atovaquone/proguanil (Malarone) on day 42. Adverse events were recorded, and blood sampling was performed to monitor parasitemia and blood safety parameters. Symptoms of malaria were treated with acetaminophen up to 4000 mg daily, and nausea with metoclopramide up to 30 mg daily, if necessary.
Parasite density was determined by quantitative PCR (qPCR) targeting the multicopy 18S rRNA gene (Hermsen et al., 2001); samples collected in the morning were processed immediately, evening samples 12 hr later. Thick blood smears were taken during evening visits, double-read and considered positive if two or more parasites were detected in 0.5 μL (Laurens et al., 2012). The presence of gametocytes was monitored in samples from day 7.5 after challenge until end of study by quantitative reverse-transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) targeting female-specific Pfs25 mRNA and male specific PfMGET (Pf3D7_1469900) and using sex-specific trendlines (Stone et al., 2017; Pett et al., 2016). All samples with an estimated gametocyte density ≥5 gametocytes per mL (gametocytes/mL) were considered gametocyte positive. The duration of gametocyte carriage as an indicator of stable gametocytemia was defined as the maximum number of consecutive days with detectable gametocytemia above the threshold for detection. Direct Membrane Feedings Assays (DMFA) were performed as exploratory measures on days 21, 25 and 31 post-infection with ~300 mosquitoes per feed per participant (total of ~14,400 mosquitoes) (Bousema et al., 2013; Lensen et al., 1998; Ouédraogo AL et al., 2013). Mosquito infection status was determined on day 12 by circumsporozoite (CSP) ELISA (Stone et al., 2015) followed by qPCR confirmation of mosquitoes where the OD exceeded the mean +3 standard deviations of control mosquitoes (Graumans et al., 2017).

Adverse events were recorded and graded by the research physician as mild (easily tolerated, grade 1), moderate (interfering with daily activity, grade 2) or severe (preventing daily activity, grade 3), and in the case of fever as mild (38.0–38.4°C), moderate (38.5–38.9°C) or severe (≥39°C). Safety blood tests were performed daily, including full blood counts, LDH and highly sensitive troponin-T. Biochemistry tests including liver function test were assessed at screening, inclusion, 2 days after every treatment and at the end of study, and on additional days if considered relevant for clinical decision-making.

**Pfs25 and PfMGET RNA quantification**

For the quantification of the *P. falciparum* Pfs25 transcript levels total NA was RQ1 DNaseI treated according to the manufacturer’s protocol. 2 μL of DNaseI-treated material was run in a total volume of 25 μL of TaqMan RNA-to-Ct qRT-PCR reaction mixture (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California). For the quantification of the *P. falciparum* male gametocyte enriched transcript (PfMGET), cDNA was synthesized from Total NA with the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Samples were added in a 1: one ratio to the mastermix. 2 μL of cDNA was run in a total volume of 20 μL making use of the GoTaq qPCR Master Mix (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin). Male *P. falciparum* gametocytes were quantified using a standard curve of serially diluted StageV male gametocytes from the transgenic PfDynGFP/P47mCherry line (Lasonder et al., 2016). Detailed information on the validation and performance characteristics of the assays can be found in the supporting materials (Figure 5; Supplementary file 2, 3; Figure 5—figure supplement 1, 2).

**Study outcome**

The primary study outcomes were the frequency and magnitude of adverse events, and the prevalence of gametocytes by Pfs25 qRT-PCR. The prevalence of gametocytes is the presence of female gametocytes as measured by qRT-PCR targeting female-specific Pfs25 mRNA at any of the twice daily measurements from day 6. Secondary outcomes were the peak density and time-point of peak density of male and female gametocytes, the AUC of gametocyte density, and assessment of the dynamics of gametocyte commitment, maturation and sex-ratio. The AUC of gametocyte density represents the total gametocyte exposure over time (gametocyte load). Assessment of gametocyte infectivity to *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes by DMFA was an exploratory study endpoint.

**Statistical analysis**

The sample size was calculated based on preliminary data that > 95% of the participants would develop gametocytemia. Conservatively, we considered the approach unsuitable for gametocyte induction if <50% of individuals developed mature gametocytes. We, therefore, powered the trial to estimate a 90% confidence interval around the proportion of gametocytaemic individuals that excludes 50%. If eight individuals (allowing for one dropout per arm), and 6/7 or 7/7 of these individuals become gametocytaemic, we would be able to estimate this proportion with a lower limit of the
90% Wilson confidence interval $\geq 54.8\%$ (the lower limit of the 95% confidence interval being 48.7%). Differences between study arms were assessed by comparing mean values using a one-way ANOVA or non-parametric equivalents.

To further identify which study arm(s) potentially deviated from others, we jointly estimated the differences between all four arms in a Bayesian framework (standard linear regression model, no mixed effects), using Hamiltonian Monte Carlo as implemented in the R package rstanarm, and using an uninformative (uniform) prior for the explained variation (R2) (see R codes used in Source code 1) (Team SD, 2016). For discrete variables (e.g. the number of positive assays), the chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test was used (two-tailed). The total number of adverse events and total number of grade three adverse events were calculated per individual and compared by non-parametric Kruskal Wallis test.

A previously developed model was used to estimate gametocyte half-life for female and male gametocytes separately (Bousema et al., 2010). For this analysis, gametocyte observations were included from 12 days after the last detection of asexual parasites until the end of study. This was based on the gametocyte sequestration time of 10–12 days in this study, and the assumption that the number of newly released gametocytes would thus be minimal in this observation period. All model fittings were carried out using the PROC NLMIXED procedure in SAS (Version 9, SAS Institute Inc) and included no covariates other than time (see Source code 2 for SAS code). The AUC was computed by GraphPad Prism 5 (USA) with the $(X2-X1)*(Y1 +Y2)/2$ formula ($X = \text{days post challenge}; \ Y = \text{gametocytes per mL (} \geq 5 \text{gametocytes/mL})$) as used repeatedly for each adjacent pair of points defining the curve; the total AUC was used.
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