Detailed investigation of Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ was performed in its low-temperature magnetoelectric state combining neutron diffraction with magnetization measurements on single crystals. The crystal and magnetic structures well below the antiferromagnetic transition temperature of $T_N \approx 5.7$ K were determined using neutron diffraction. Neutron diffraction data imply no structural phase transition from 10 K down to 2.5 K and are well described within the orthorhombic space group $P_{\bar{4}21}$, with a $3 \times 3 \times 1$ supercell compared with the high-temperature unmodulated state (tetragonal space group $P4_2/m$). We found that in zero magnetic field the magnetic space group is $P2_12_12_1$ with antiferromagnetic order along the [100] or [010] axes for two types of 90$^\circ$ twin domains, while neighboring spins along the [001] axis are ordered ferromagnetically. A noncollinear spin arrangement due to small canting within the $ab$ plane is allowed by symmetry and leads to the existence of the tiny spontaneous magnetization below $T_N$. The ordered moment with a magnitude of about 2.8 $\mu_B$/Co$^{2+}$ at 2.5 K lies in the $ab$ plane. Distinct differences between the magnetic structure of Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ as compared to those of Ba$_2$CoGe$_2$O$_7$ and Sr$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ are discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, several members of the melilite family, such as Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$, Sr$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$, Ba$_2$MnGe$_2$O$_7$ and Ba$_2$CoGe$_2$O$_7$, have been found to exhibit static as well as dynamic magnetoelectric effects. The dielectric properties of Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ and its sister compounds have been studied in the magnetically ordered state [1–6]. In Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ a remarkably large magnetocapacitance effect, reaching the value of $\Delta\varepsilon/\varepsilon = 13\%$, was observed in $B = 8$ T at $T = 5.1$ K. Moreover, it was demonstrated [3,7,8] via polarized terahertz spectroscopy studies on multiferroic Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$, Sr$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$, and Ba$_2$CoGe$_2$O$_7$ that their magnetoelectric spin excitations exhibit quadrochroism: They have different colors for all four combinations of two propagation directions (forward or backward) and two orthogonal polarizations of a light beam.

This compound family is usually referred to as a representative class of type-II multiferroics in the literature, where the magnetically induced polarization originates from spin-dependent $p-d$ hybridization between the transition metal ions and their ligands. However, strictly speaking these compounds are magnetoelectric but not multiferroic, since the development of magnetic order is accompanied with ferroelectric polarization in finite magnetic fields and in zero field only spin-induced antiferroelectricity is present in these materials.

The main features of the magnetoelectric behavior of Ba$_2$CoGe$_2$O$_7$ were predicted [9] by symmetry considerations without appealing to any specific atomic mechanism. However, for many melilite systems, specific structural information is still unavailable.

Due to the lack of the low-temperature structural details, a general noncentrosymmetric tetragonal structure of melilitites $A_2BTO_7$ with space group (SG) $P4_2/m$ is often used [3,4,10]. In Ba$_2$CoGe$_2$O$_7$, the room temperature crystal structure is indeed tetragonal $P4_2/m$ with $a = b \approx 8.39$ Å and $c \approx 5.56$ Å (Ref. [11]), with possible symmetry lowering to orthorhombic $Cmm2$ at low temperatures [11,12]. The room temperature crystal structure of Sr$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ is also tetragonal $P4_2/m$ with $a = b \approx 8.03$ Å and $c \approx 5.16$ Å (Ref. [13]). However, Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ for example undergoes a series of structural phase transitions from 600 K down to 30 K [14–17]. The first one corresponds to a transition from the normal (unmodulated) phase to the incommensurately modulated one (N-IC) at $T_{N-IC} \approx 480–500$ K. The second transition is an incommensurate-to-commensurate structural change (IC-C), where the published $T_{IC-C}$ varies in a broad temperature range between 160 and 270 K [15,18,19]. Accordingly, in the high temperature unmodulated state (above $T_{N-IC}$) the SG of Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ is $P4_2/m$ with lattice parameters $a = b \approx 7.86$ Å and $c \approx
5.03 Å (Ref. [14]). In the intermediate temperature range (between \( T_{ICC} \) and \( T_{N,IC} \)), an incommensurately modulated phase develops with two propagation vectors \( \mathbf{k}_1 = q(\mathbf{a}^* + \mathbf{b}^*) \) and \( \mathbf{k}_2 = q(−\mathbf{a}^* + \mathbf{b}^*) \), where \( q \) increases with decreasing temperature from about 0.29 up to 1/3 (Ref. [15]). At low temperatures (below \( T_{ICC} \)), a commensurately modulated lock-in structure appears with a \( 3 \times 3 \times 1 \) supercell and lattice parameters \( a \approx b \approx 23.51 \) Å and \( c \approx 5.03 \) Å (Refs. [15,16]).

It is clear that precise information for the atomic positions as well as for the spin order (crystal and magnetic structures) is essential to unravel the complex physics behind the magnetoelectric behavior of the melilite compounds. Recently, detailed structural investigation of \( \text{Ca}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7 \) by means of neutron diffraction were performed [17] at 10 K, just above \( T_N \approx 5.7 \) K. The precise structural parameters within the twinned orthorhombic crystal with space group \( P2_12_12 \) and \( 3 \times 3 \times 1 \) supercell were deduced and compared with the x-ray diffraction results in the low-temperature commensurate phase (130 and 170 K), as well as in the high-temperature unmodulated phase (500 K).

The magnetic structure of \( \text{Ca}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7 \) is considered [3,4,10] to be similar to that of \( \text{Ba}_2\text{CoGe}_2\text{O}_7 \), where the magnetic space group is \( Cm^*m^* \) with antiferromagnetic order parallel to the [100] axis of this orthorhombic setting (or [110] in the high-temperature tetragonal SG \( P4_2/m \)). However, the different parent symmetries of \( \text{Ca}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7 \) (SG \( P2_12_12 \)) and \( \text{Ba}_2\text{CoGe}_2\text{O}_7 \) (SG \( P4_2/m \)) in the paramagnetic state can easily lead to different ground-state magnetic structures. More specifically, the single-ion anisotropy—arising from the axial deformation of the \( \text{CoO}_4 \) tetrahedra in the tetragonal structure—is a key parameter for determining the nature of the magnetic ground state and the low-lying excited states. \( \text{Ba}_2\text{CoGe}_2\text{O}_7 \), where single-ion anisotropy acting on the \( S = 3/2 \) spins of \( \text{Co}^{2+} \) ions is even stronger than the first neighbor exchange interactions, is a Néel-type square lattice antiferromagnet with strong easy-plane character. As a dynamic manifestation of the strong on-site anisotropy, spin stretching modes have been observed in the magnon excitation spectrum of this compound [20,21]. However, the difference between the lattice parameters of \( \text{Ba}_2\text{CoGe}_2\text{O}_7 \) (\( a_{\text{tet}} \approx 8.35 \) Å, \( c_{\text{tet}} \approx 5.50 \) Å), \( \text{Sr}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7 \) (\( a_{\text{tet}} \approx 8.05 \) Å, \( c_{\text{tet}} \approx 5.16 \) Å) and \( \text{Ca}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7 \) (\( a_{\text{tet}} \approx 7.86 \) Å, \( c_{\text{tet}} \approx 5.03 \) Å) may result in different magnetic ground states for these compounds. Based on the distortion of the \( \text{CoO}_4 \) tetrahedra in the various cases, we expect different strengths of the single-ion anisotropies.

In order to fill this gap of magnetic structure information on \( \text{Ca}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7 \) (\( T_N \approx 5.7 \) K) and to provide reliable data for further experimental and theoretical research, we performed single-crystal neutron diffraction experiments as well as bulk magnetization measurements at temperatures between 1.7 and 10 K and fields up to 32 T. The observed magnetic properties are compared with those of \( \text{Ba}_2\text{CoGe}_2\text{O}_7 \) (\( T_N \approx 6.7 \) K) and \( \text{Sr}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7 \) (\( T_N \approx 7.0 \) K).

II. EXPERIMENT

Single crystals of \( \text{Ca}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7 \) were grown by the floating-zone technique and characterized in our previous studies (see Ref. [17] and references therein). The cylindrical sample used for neutron scattering experiments was approximately 4 mm high with about the same diameter.

Unpolarized single-crystal neutron diffraction studies were performed on the four-circle diffractometer HEiDi (Ref. [22]) at the hot-neutron source of the FRM II reactor, Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ), Germany. A wavelength \( \lambda = 1.169 \) Å was obtained from a Ge(311) monochromator with a high flux density of about \( 1.2 \times 10^7 \) neutrons s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) with an Er filter to suppress the \( \lambda/3 \) contamination. For low-temperature experiments, a closed-cycle He cryostat was mounted in the Eulerian cradle of the diffractometer. The sample was wrapped in Al foil in order to ensure temperature homogeneity. The temperature was measured and controlled by a diode sensor near the heater position. The sample temperature was independently monitored by a second thermometer placed close to the sample position. A temperature stability of \( \pm 0.1 \) K was achieved by this method. Temperature dependences of the selected Bragg reflections were measured in the range of 2.2 to 10 K during the cooling process. Full data collection was done at 2.5 K.

An additional neutron-scattering experiment was performed on the cold triple-axis spectrometer TASP at the Swiss Spallation Neutron Source (SINQ), Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI), Switzerland. Neutrons with a fixed final neutron energy of 14.7 meV were used. Vertically focused pyrolitic graphite monochromators and horizontally focused analyzers, as well as Be filters positioned after the sample, were utilized. The sample was wrapped with the [001] axis vertical, making momentum transfer in the (hk0) reciprocal-space plane accessible for measurements. The sample environment was the standard “ILL Orange” He-flow cryostat.

The experimental (observed) structure factors of the measured Bragg reflections were obtained with the DAVINCI program [23] using the Lehmann-Larsen method for peak location [24]. The crystal and magnetic structure parameters of \( \text{Ca}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7 \) were refined using the JANAF program [25].

The field dependences of the magnetization up to 32 T was measured using a vibrating sample magnetometer in a Bitter magnet, High Field Magnet Laboratory, Nijmegen. The experiments were performed at \( T = 2, 4, \) and 6 K in fields parallel to the [110], [100], and [001] crystallographic directions. The absolute magnetic moment was confirmed by magnetization measurements performed in the 0–5 T field range using a Quantum Design MPMS-5S superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer in DC fields.

The angular dependences of the magnetization were measured in a 7 T superconducting magnet equipped with a DC magnetometer. During the experiment, the sample was rotated around the [001] axis, perpendicular to the magnetic field, and the magnetization parallel to the applied field was detected. The initial direction of the single crystal was set under an optical microscope with about 5° precision. The direction was changed by pulling the string which was tied to the rotation axis of the sample stage. This procedure allowed a control of the sample orientation by about 1°.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Magnetic structure models

The low-temperature commensurately modulated lock-in state of \( \text{Ca}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7 \) at 10 K (Ref. [17]) is described by the orthorhombic space group \( P2_12_12 \) with a \( 3 \times 3 \times 1 \) supercell.
the magnetic Co2–5: AF (ap, bp, cp; 0, 0, 0) and its corresponding gray group P21212′. The magnetic space group label is shown together with the transformation from the nonmagnetic Ca and O ions (Fig. 1). The shifts of the Si atoms are also weak and they are not shown in the figure for simplicity. The nonmagnetic atoms are irrelevant for magnetic diffraction, but they can be important to identify the magnetic symmetry [26].

In order to solve the magnetic structure of Ca2CoSi2O7 we used the concept of Shubnikov groups (magnetic space groups, MSGs), which is very useful in the case of second-order phase transitions for enumerating the possible magnetic structures compatible with the crystal symmetry. This approach implies specific symmetry-deduced constraints on the magnetic moments. That is, the magnetic moments of symmetry equivalent atoms are related via the magnetic symmetry operations. It allows one to reduce the number of refined parameters and to average the symmetry equivalent reflections. It was shown that the use of MSGs significantly facilitates the interpretation of the results (see, e.g., Ref. [26] and references therein).

The nonmagnetic parent space group of Ca2CoSi2O7 is P21212 and its corresponding gray group is P21212′, which in addition includes the time reversal operation. The symmetry of a magnetically ordered phase is described by a subgroup of this parent group. Figure 2 shows the k-maximal subgroups for P21212′ with the magnetic propagation vector $k = 0$. Only four distinct types of magnetic ordering of k-maximal symmetry are possible in Ca2CoSi2O7: model M1 (MSG P21212), model M2 (MSG P21212′), model M3 (MSG P2121′), and model M4 (MSG P2121′). The differences between the models manifest themselves in the distinct magnetic modes which can be presented as the antiferromagnetic (AF) and ferromagnetic (F) components along the crystallographic axes $a$, $b$, and $c$. F alignment is completely forbidden for the M1 case, while it is allowed in M2 (along $c$ axis), M3 (along $a$ axis), and M4 (along $b$ axis). The full set of possible components is given in Fig. 2. The combination of the modes varies for two types of cobalt sites of different symmetry: Co1, WP 2a (0,0,0) and Co2-5, WP 4c ($x,y,z$). As can be compared to the high-temperature unmodulated phase with space group P421m. Below $T_N \approx 5.7$ K, an additional magnetic contribution to the Bragg reflection intensities appears corresponding to the commensurate magnetic order with propagation vector $k = 0$. Thus, the unit cells of the magnetic and paramagnetic structures are found to be identical. No indication of a symmetry change was found from 10 K down and paramagnetic structures are found to be identical. No specific symmetry-deduced constraints on the magnetic moments. That is, the magnetic moments of symmetry equivalent atoms are related via the magnetic symmetry operations. It allows one to reduce the number of refined parameters and to average the symmetry equivalent reflections. It was shown that the use of MSGs significantly facilitates the interpretation of the results (see, e.g., Ref. [26] and references therein).

The nonmagnetic parent space group of Ca2CoSi2O7 is P21212 and its corresponding gray group is P21212′, which in addition includes the time reversal operation. The symmetry of a magnetically ordered phase is described by a subgroup of this parent group. Figure 2 shows the k-maximal subgroups for P21212′ with the magnetic propagation vector $k = 0$. Only four distinct types of magnetic ordering of k-maximal symmetry are possible in Ca2CoSi2O7: model M1 (MSG P21212), model M2 (MSG P21212′), model M3 (MSG P2121′), and model M4 (MSG P2121′). The differences between the models manifest themselves in the distinct magnetic modes which can be presented as the antiferromagnetic (AF) and ferromagnetic (F) components along the crystallographic axes $a$, $b$, and $c$. F alignment is completely forbidden for the M1 case, while it is allowed in M2 (along $c$ axis), M3 (along $a$ axis), and M4 (along $b$ axis). The full set of possible components is given in Fig. 2. The combination of the modes varies for two types of cobalt sites of different symmetry: Co1, WP 2a (0,0,0) and Co2-5, WP 4c ($x,y,z$). As can be...
seen from the diagram, the $M_3$ and $M_4$ models differ only by the interchange of the $a$ and $b$ axes.

**B. Magnetic structure refinement at 2.5 K**

All four symmetry-allowed magnetic structure models mentioned above were used in the refinement process to compare the experimental data with calculations. The index of all the MSGs of Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ with respect to the parent symmetry $P2_1\overline{2}1$ is two. Thus, only a trivial magnetic twin with all spins reversed is possible, having no consequence on the diffraction data. However, the crystal structure of Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ at low temperatures was found to consist already of two individuals of the same space group symmetry which are twinned with respect to a diagonal mirror plane $m_{xy}$ ($90^\circ$ twin domains) [15–17]. The twin fraction$^1$ from the present data at 2.5 K is refined to be 0.52(1), which is the same as at 10 K (Ref. [17]) and very close to a perfect twin value of 0.5. If the structural twin domains are equally populated, the difference between the magnetic models $M_3$ and $M_4$ is hidden. As a result, we combine these two magnetic structures into a single twinned model $M_3$/$M_4$.

A total of 4790 reflections with $\sin \theta/\lambda \lesssim 0.7$ Å$^{-1}$ were measured at 2.5 K, and 2779 unique reflections were obtained by averaging equivalents$^2$ ($R_{av} = 0.042$).

In the first step of the refinement, all the structural parameters for Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ were fixed according to the results of the neutron diffraction measurements at 10 K (Ref. [17]) and only the magnetic structure components were refined. Finally, the nuclear and magnetic structures were refined simultaneously.

The main contribution to most of the Bragg peaks is caused by nuclear scattering. Therefore, a direct comparison of the whole set of few thousand reflections is not demonstrative enough. In order to clearly illustrate the difference between the models, a few representative pure magnetic, e.g., (300)/(030), or mixed magnetic and nuclear, e.g., (301)/(031), reflections were selected for comparison (Fig. 3). We note that the whole data set was used in the refinement process, taking into account $90^\circ$ twinning, and the final fit in the full $M_3$/$M_4$ model (14 refined parameters) is presented in Fig. 3(f). For comparison, the fit quality of the model without magnetic contribution (nuclear only model) is also given in Fig. 3(a). As can be seen from the figure, both model $M_1$ [Fig. 3(b)] and $M_2$ [Fig. 3(c)] are not able to fully reproduce the intensities of the magnetic reflections and only the twinned model $M_3$/$M_4$ [Fig. 3(d)] fits the experimental data well.

The large number of the refined magnetic structure components (14 parameters) as well as the weak magnetic contributions on top of nuclear ones for the major part of the reflections lead to quite large uncertainties in the refined parameters (see Table I). The small F components in the $ab$ plane and along the $c$ axis are found to be comparable with their standard deviations and thus can be approximated to zero. Therefore, we performed an additional refinement in the simplified magnetic model which assumes that all the cobalt atoms have (1) equal in-plane AF moments, (2) equal in-plane F components, and (3) zero out-of-plane F components (see Table I). Strictly speaking, these simplifications are not symmetry protected, but allow one to describe the experimental data with the same quality [see Fig. 3(e)] with only two refined parameters, i.e., the AF $|| [100]$ and F $|| [010]$ components of the cobalt magnetic moment. The good agreement with these constraints being implemented can be associated with the relatively small shifts of the Co ions from their high-symmetry position in the

---

$^1$The twin fraction represents the fractional volume of the crystal that the second domain occupies.

$^2$The accuracy of the averaged intensities is estimated from the spread of the individual measurements of equivalent reflections by $R_{av} = \sum |F_{obs} - \langle F_{obs} \rangle| / \sum F_{obs}$. 

---

FIG. 3. Quality of the neutron diffraction data refinement at 2.5 K. The observed structure factors squared ($F_{obs}^2$) are plotted against the calculated ones ($F_{calc}^2$). Eight representative Bragg reflections were selected to illustrate the fit quality considering (a) the pure nuclear structure or possible magnetic models (b) $M_1$, (c) $M_2$, and (d) $M_3$/$M_4$. The simplified (e) $M_3$/$M_4$ model with only 2 refined parameters is also presented for comparison. A fit of (f) the full data set is given in the unrestricted $M_3$/$M_4$ model (14 refined parameters) to evaluate the quality of the final simultaneous refinement of Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ crystal and magnetic structures.
TABLE I. Magnetic moment components (\(\mu_B\)) of the symmetry-independent Co atoms in the twinned \(M3/M4\) and its simplified magnetic structures models, as refined from neutron diffraction data at 2.5 K. Cobalt atomic coordinates are given in Table II.

| Atom   | WP | \(M_x\)   | \(M_y\)   | \(M_z\)   | \(|M|\)  |
|--------|----|------------|------------|------------|----------|
| Co1    | 2e | 2.98(31)   | 0.21(27)   | 0          | 2.98(32) |
| Co2    | 4c | 2.62(18)   | 0.04(19)   | 0.20(41)   | 2.63(19) |
| Co3    | 4c | -2.76(14)  | -0.27(41)  | 0.16(44)   | 2.78(17) |
| Co4    | 4c | 2.94(22)   | -0.12(24)  | 0.07(33)   | 2.95(22) |
| Co5    | 4c | 2.74(12)   | -0.29(44)  | -0.09(48)  | 2.76(13) |

Simplified model \(M3/M4\) (2 parameters)

| Atom   | WP | \(M_x\)   | \(M_y\)   | \(M_z\)   | \(|M|\)  |
|--------|----|------------|------------|------------|----------|
| Co1    | 2e | 2.79(1)   | -0.07(6)   | 0          | 2.80(1)  |
| Co2    | 4c | 2.79(1)   | -0.07(6)   | 0          | 2.80(1)  |
| Co3    | 4c | -2.79(1)  | -0.07(6)   | 0          | 2.80(1)  |
| Co4    | 4c | 2.79(1)   | -0.07(6)   | 0          | 2.80(1)  |
| Co5    | 4c | 2.79(1)   | -0.07(6)   | 0          | 2.80(1)  |

The integrated intensities \(I\) of magnetic Bragg reflections measured with unpolarized neutrons follow the square of the magnetic order parameter. The data were fitted in the temperature range from 0.87\(T_N\) to \(T_N\), assuming a power law dependence, to the equation \(I = I_0 \left(\frac{T_N - T}{T_N}\right)^{2\beta}\),

\[
I = I_n + I_0 \left(\frac{T_N - T}{T_N}\right)^{2\beta},
\]

where \(I_n\) is the nuclear (structural) contribution to the intensity, \(I_0\) is the magnetic intensity at \(T = 0\), and \(\beta\) is the critical exponent.
by interlayer Ca cations, and exchange interactions between cobalt spins on neighboring layers are expected to be much weaker than intra-plane exchange couplings.

A very weak nuclear contribution above $T_N$ is associated with the multiple diffraction or the Renninger effect (see, e.g., Refs. [32,33] and references therein), which was found in Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ at 10 K (Ref. [17]) and Ba$_2$CoGe$_2$O$_7$ at room temperature (Ref. [11]).

The lower panel of Fig. 5 shows the temperature dependence of the normalized Co magnetic moment. For a simple antiferromagnetic structure, the temperature dependence of the magnetic moment $\mu$ in the conventional molecular-field model can be expressed as

$$\frac{\mu}{\mu_0} = B_3 \left( \frac{3S}{S+1} \frac{T}{T_N} \right),$$

where $S$ is the spin of the system, $\mu_0$ is the magnetic moment at $T = 0$ K, and $B_3$ is the Brillouin function.

This simple model with $S = 3/2$ [high-spin (HS) state of Co$^{2+}$, $I^p_2 = \frac{3}{2}$] fails to reproduce the experimental data, as shown by the dashed line in the lower panel of Fig. 5. While the molecular field theory predicts a sharp onset of the order parameter below $T_N$, the experimental magnetic moment value starts to grow at higher temperatures above $T_N$. Moreover, the experimental $\mu$ values are higher than the curve described by Eq. (2) at least down to 2 K. In order to obtain a better agreement with experimental results, we analyzed the data in a modified molecular field model expressed as

$$\frac{\mu}{\mu_0} = B_3 \left( \frac{h}{T} + \frac{3S}{S+1} T_N \left[ \frac{1 + a(\mu/\mu_0)^2}{T} - 1 \right] \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \right),$$

where $h$ is a fictive magnetic field modeling the effect of short-range magnetic order above $T_N$, and $a$ is a magnetoelastic parameter describing the magnetostrictive shift of $T_N$ (Refs. [34,35]).

The fit using Eq. (3) for HS Co$^{2+}$ is shown by the solid line in the lower panel of Fig. 5. The latter approach yields a remarkably good account to the data with $h = 0.06 \pm 0.01$ K and $a = 0.46 \pm 0.03$. These values are similar to those found for Ba$_2$CoGe$_2$O$_7$ (Ref. [12]). A small but finite $h$ is responsible for the increase of $\mu$ above $T_N$. We suggest that $h$ is due to the fluctuating short-range order persisting above $T_N$, which was also observed for other layered antiferromagnets [36].

**D. Magnetization measurements**

An extremely weak canting (ferromagnetic component) derived from the neutron diffraction data agrees well with the tiny in-plane spontaneous magnetization observed in Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ below $T_N \approx 5.7$ K. Figure 6 presents the results of the bulk magnetization ($M$) measurements just above (6 K) and below (2 and 4 K) the Néel temperature with fields applied along the [110] and [001] directions. Taking into account the

![FIG. 5. Upper panel: Temperature dependences of the normalized integrated intensity of the magnetic Bragg reflection (300). Symbols correspond to the unpolarized single-crystal neutron diffraction data measured by two instruments: HEiDi and TASP. The solid line shows a fit to Eq. (1). Lower panel: Temperature dependence of the normalized Co magnetic moment. The experimental data from the single-crystal neutron diffraction measurements are shown by circles. The solid line is a result of a modified molecular field model [Eq. (3)]. The dashed line is shown to illustrate the deviation of $\mu(T)$ from the conventional molecular field model [Eq. (2)].](image1)

![FIG. 6. Field dependences of the magnetization $M$ at 2 K (blue), 4 K (green), and 6 K (red). The field is applied along the [110] (upper panel) and [001] (lower panel) directions. There is no hysteresis for $B \parallel [001]$ indicating no detectable spontaneous (zero-field) canting of the magnetic moments along the $c$ axis.](image2)
magnitude of the Co magnetic moment we can estimate the mean value of zero field canting within the \(ab\) plane to be less than 0.5°, which is too small to be reliably detected by unpolared neutron diffraction. The canting along the [001] direction is not found even in the magnetization data (Fig. 6, lower panel).

The angular dependence of \(M\) in the \(ab\) plane was also investigated in fields up to 7 T. Figure 7 shows the experimental results of the in-plane field-rotation measurements and the observed angular dependences at \(T = 2\) K. At low field (0.1 T) clear oscillations of \(M\) with a 360° period are found as expected for materials with weak spontaneous magnetization. At larger field (0.5 T) the period is approximately 180° with tiny amplitude variation, indicating a weak orthorhombicity of the magnetic structure in agreement with field derivatives of the magnetization [13]. With further field increase the period changes to 90° with a maximum magnetization at \(\phi = 45°\) (1–3 T) or \(\phi = 0°\) (5–7 T).

This behavior can be explained within the magnetic structure determined here by neutron diffraction assuming the presence of magnetic domains (model \(M3/M4\)). We note that the orthorhombicity in \(\text{Ca}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7\) at low temperature is hidden due to the similar values of the \(a\) and \(b\) lattice parameters (equal within experimental precision) and the presence of almost equally populated 90° twin domains (according to the structure refinement). If the applied field \(B\) is parallel to one of the in-plane crystallographic axes (100)/(010), then one type of the domains is characterized by AF \(\parallel B\) and its 90° twins have AF \(\perp B\). With increasing field the canting inside the AF \(\perp B\) domains increases and their volumes increase at the expense of that of the AF \(\parallel B\) domains. The latter ones try to keep their almost collinear configuration and, as a result, do not significantly contribute to the magnetization.

In another case, when the field is applied along one of the (110) directions, both types of magnetic domains have the same energy and thus equally contribute to the magnetization. As a result, at low fields the overall F component induced along the (100)/(010) directions is smaller than that for (110) (Fig. 6). However, at larger fields (above 4 T), the situation is changed because those energetically unfavourable 90° domains disappear. This identifies the (100)/(010) axes as the easy axes of the antiferromagnetic order with the small ferromagnetic components being perpendicular to them.

Now, we turn to the analysis of the magnetization data in the intermediate- and high-field range, i.e., outside the region of the hysteresis. The magnetization data up to 32 T are shown in Fig. 8 for fields applied along the [100], [110], and [001] directions. The first important finding distinguishing \(\text{Ca}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7\) from \(\text{Ba}_2\text{CoGe}_2\text{O}_7\) and \(\text{Sr}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7\) is its seemingly smaller tetragonal anisotropy, as implied by susceptibility data in low magnetic fields. In all these compounds the magnetization is closely linear up to about 10 T irrespective of the direction of the applied fields [12]. However, the corresponding magnetic susceptibility components of \(\text{Ca}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7\) measured in fields applied parallel and perpendicular to the [001] axis differ only by \(\lesssim 20\%\), while there is a factor of 2 difference in case of both \(\text{Ba}_2\text{CoGe}_2\text{O}_7\) and \(\text{Sr}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7\). This alone implies a weaker tetragonal magnetic anisotropy in \(\text{Ca}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7\). Another important difference is the magnetization anomaly observed at around 11 T (marked by an arrow in Fig. 8) for fields applied along the [110] direction but not for fields along the [100] axis. This indicates that the magnetic anisotropy within the (001) plane is stronger in \(\text{Ca}_2\text{CoSi}_2\text{O}_7\) compared to the other two compounds, which is in agreement with...
the stronger structural orthorhombicity found in Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$. This magnetization anomaly coincides with the sign change of the ferroelectric polarization, which happens in Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ in a nearly discontinuous manner [13]. The instability of the magnetic state with zero electric polarization indicates the role of polarization-polarization coupling, which can be expressed also in terms of spin nematic or spin quadrupolar interactions. Indeed, the relevance of such fourth-order spin interactions has been predicted theoretically [37] and confirmed experimentally [21,38] in the cases of Ba$_2$CoGe$_2$O$_7$ and Sr$_2$CoGe$_2$O$_7$. A third important difference is that while in Ba$_2$CoGe$_2$O$_7$ and Sr$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ the magnetizations saturate near to the same value for each direction of the magnetic field [4,12,13,39], for fields along the [001] axis in Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ there is an intermediate-field magnetization plateau characterized by a considerably smaller value (~2.65 μ$_B$) than that observed for magnetic fields perpendicular to the [001] direction. The full saturation of the [001] axis magnetization was found to happen at much higher fields, namely at about 57 T (Ref. [13]). The large difference between the in-plane and out-of-plane saturation fields together with the weak susceptibility anisotropy in low magnetic fields may imply that the tetragonal anisotropy in Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ is not weak but has different anisotropy terms, such as the single-ion anisotropy, exchange anisotropy and g-factor anisotropy, which compete and nearly compensate each other in the low-field magnetic state. These remarkable differences clearly speak for a different set of magnetic interactions realized in Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ and underlie the importance of the current magnetic structure analysis.

E. Crystal structure details at 2.5 K

In order to determine the structural parameters for Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ at 2.5 K, we performed a simultaneous refinement of crystal and magnetic structures from our neutron diffraction data using the M3/M4 magnetic model selected in Sec. III B. The starting parameters were taken from the structure determined by neutron diffraction at 10 K (Ref. [17]). All atomic positions which are not restricted by symmetry were refined together with the isotropic atomic displacements ($U_{iso}$), scale, extinction, and twin fraction parameters. The twin fraction represents the fractional volume of the crystal that the second domain type occupies. In order to reduce the large number of fitted parameters, caused by the low-temperature tripling of the unit cell, atoms of the same type were constrained to have identical $U_{iso}$ values. Besides this, no other constraints were used in the refinement process.

The agreement between the experimental and calculated data is shown in Fig. 3(f). Table II presents the refined atomic coordinates of the Co atoms. Full details of the refinement, including $U_{iso}$ parameters, bond lengths and angles, are deposited in the crystallographic information file (CIF) [40].

A comparison with the 10 K structure from neutron diffraction [17] shows negligible differences in the positional parameters with an average value of less than 1 σ. As was already mentioned in Sec. III B, the twin fraction was found to be very close to a perfect twin value of 0.5, which usually implies the existence of microtwins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>WP</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co1</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.001(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co2</td>
<td>4c</td>
<td>0.0066(4)</td>
<td>0.3352(4)</td>
<td>0.017(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co3</td>
<td>4c</td>
<td>0.1628(4)</td>
<td>0.1636(4)</td>
<td>−0.006(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co4</td>
<td>4c</td>
<td>0.3336(4)</td>
<td>0.0018(4)</td>
<td>0.015(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co5</td>
<td>4c</td>
<td>0.3340(4)</td>
<td>0.3313(4)</td>
<td>0.017(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CONCLUSION

The magnetic structure of Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ at 2.5 K was solved based on the single-crystal neutron diffraction data and magnetic symmetry analysis. The results indicate the orthorhombic symmetry of the magnetic structure with MSG $P2_1/2_12'$. The spin pattern shows a square-lattice in-plane AF order along the a or b axes for the 90°-type magnetic domains. Small canting (ferromagnetic component F) in the ab plane perpendicular to the primary AF moment as well as out-of-plane along the c axis is allowed by symmetry and leads to the existence of the tiny in-plane spontaneous magnetization below $T_S$.

The magnetic structure model agrees with the angular dependence of the magnetization in the ab plane. At zero magnetic field the magnitude of the averaged ordered magnetic moment of Co$^{2+}$ ions is found to be about 2.8μ$_B$ according to the neutron diffraction data. The high-field saturation value from bulk magnetization measurements is noticeably higher, exceeding 3.3μ$_B$, probably due to the spin gap induced by single ion anisotropy similar to Ba$_2$CoGe$_2$O$_7$.

We also report here the structural parameters of Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ in the low-temperature magnetoelectric state. No evidence for a structural phase transition upon the magnetic phase transition at 5.7 K was observed by neutron diffraction, and the crystal structure at 2.5 K is found to correspond well to that at 10 K.

Our neutron scattering experiments as well as bulk magnetization studies indicate pronounced differences between the magnetic state of Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ if compared to other magnetically ordered mellilites such as Ba$_2$CoGe$_2$O$_7$ and Sr$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$. The structural parameters reported here (both for the nuclear and magnetic order) of Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$ can serve as a profound experimental basis to develop microscopic models describing the multiferroic nature and the peculiar magnetoelectric phenomena in mellilites. Neutron diffraction measurements in the intermediate field region, where a magnetization plateau is observed for fields along the [001] axis, would provide further insight into the nature of the magnetic phases of Ca$_2$CoSi$_2$O$_7$.
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