

## PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/170413>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-06-28 and may be subject to change.

# BMJ Open The impact of demand management strategies on parents' decision-making for out-of-hours primary care: findings from a survey in The Netherlands

Marie-Jeanne Giesen,<sup>1,2</sup> Ellen Keizer,<sup>1</sup> Julia van de Pol,<sup>1</sup> Joris Knobens,<sup>3</sup> Michel Wensing,<sup>1,4</sup> Paul Giesen<sup>1</sup>

**To cite:** Giesen M-J, Keizer E, van de Pol J, *et al*. The impact of demand management strategies on parents' decision-making for out-of-hours primary care: findings from a survey in The Netherlands. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e014605. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014605

► Prepublication history and additional material are available. To view please visit the journal (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014605>).

Received 6 October 2016  
Revised 22 March 2017  
Accepted 23 March 2017



CrossMark

<sup>1</sup>Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Scientific Center for Quality of Healthcare, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>TiasNimbas Business School, Tilburg University, Tilburg, the Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Management Research, Radboud University, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Department of General Practice and Health Services Research, University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

## Correspondence to

Ellen Keizer;  
[Ellen.Keizer@radboudumc.nl](mailto:Ellen.Keizer@radboudumc.nl)

## ABSTRACT

**Objective** To explore the potential impact of demand management strategies on patient decision-making in medically non-urgent and urgent scenarios during out-of-hours for children between the ages of 0 and 4 years.

**Design and methods** We conducted a cross-sectional survey with paper-based case scenarios. A survey was sent to all 797 parents of children aged between 0 and 4 years from four Dutch general practitioner (GP) practices. Four demand management strategies (copayment, online advice, overview medical cost and GP appointment next morning) were incorporated in two medically non-urgent and two urgent case scenarios. Combining the case scenarios with the demand management strategies resulted in 16 cases (four scenarios each with four demand management strategies). Each parent randomly received a questionnaire with three different case scenarios with three different demand strategies and a baseline case scenario without a demand management strategy.

**Results** The response rate was 47.4%. The strategy online advice led to more medically appropriate decision-making for both non-urgent case scenarios (OR 0.26; CI 0.11 to 0.58) and urgent case scenarios (OR 0.16; CI 0.08 to 0.32). Overview of medical cost (OR 0.59; CI 0.38 to 0.92) and a GP appointment planned the next morning (OR 0.57; CI 0.34 to 0.97) had some influence on patient decisions for urgent cases, but not for non-urgent cases. Copayment had no influence on patient decisions.

**Conclusion** Online advice has the highest potential to reduce medically unnecessary use. Furthermore it enhanced safety of parents' decisions on seeking help for their young children during out-of-hours primary care. Valid online information on health symptoms for patients should be promoted.

## INTRODUCTION

In the Netherlands out-of-hours primary care is provided by general practitioner cooperatives (GPCs) and is intended for urgent complaints that cannot wait until the next day.<sup>1</sup> However, half of the requests are medically non-urgent,<sup>2</sup> and many of these requests can wait until office hours or can be managed

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- The study sample was representative of the Dutch population.
- Both non-urgent and urgent case scenarios were used to test the demand management strategies.
- Hypothetical situations were used to test the demand management strategies.
- Only one amount of the copayment and its effectiveness was tested.

with self-care. Inappropriate, non-urgent contacts affect the motivation of triage nurses and general practitioners (GPs), and result in a higher workload which could negatively affect the quality of out-of-hours primary care.<sup>3,4</sup> Also, the cost for a consultation at the GPC is higher (about €100) than for a consultation during office hours (€40). These costs are not directly paid by patients. Patients pay a monthly overall premium to their health insurance providers. Primary care is exempted from copayment by patients, contrary to most other types of healthcare. GPs are looking for measures to reduce the number of patients with non-urgent complaints, such as copayment for patients, stricter triage and a larger role for the telephone consultation doctor.<sup>4</sup>

To support patient decision-making on healthcare use during out-of-hours and regulate demand for primary healthcare, a number of strategies could be effective. Demand management strategies are widely used in the service industry to enable effective and efficient use of capacity. When applied in healthcare, these strategies have the potential to influence the patient's perceived demand through education, financial incentives or organisational rescheduling.<sup>4-6</sup> Accordingly they can reduce demand that is unlikely to improve health<sup>5,6</sup> while having minimal effect on genuine, urgent cases so as not to jeopardise appropriate care.



Demand management strategies are patient-targeted methods, which approach the aim to prevent overcrowding and enhance the efficiency of the healthcare system, while maintaining high standards of quality and accessibility. The main demand management strategy currently used at GPCs is telephone triage.<sup>6</sup> However, patients continue to visit the GPC directly, or get 'through' the triage system, with non-urgent complaints. GPs see these patients with non-urgent complaints as one of the most negative aspects of the GPC system.<sup>3</sup>

Giesen *et al*<sup>7</sup> found that, of all patient populations, parents with children between the ages of 0 and 4 years most often contact the GPC with non-urgent conditions. In many of these cases, it would be more appropriate to visit the GP during daytime or apply self-care from a medical and societal perspective.<sup>7</sup> Previous research also showed that childhood fever does account for a large workload at GPCs.<sup>8</sup> Considering the potential effects of demand management strategies in healthcare, it would be valuable to explore which demand management strategies could be effective to reduce non-urgent demand at GPCs for this specific population. The objective of our study was to explore the potential impact of demand management strategies on patient decision-making in both medically non-urgent and urgent scenarios during out-of-hours for children between the ages of 0 and 4 years.

## METHODS

### Design, setting and population

We conducted a cross-sectional survey with paper-based case scenarios. Four GP practices from both rural and urban areas in the east of the Netherlands participated. A survey was sent to all families in their patient population with children aged between 0 and 4 years (n=797). A reminder was sent 2 weeks after the first invitation. The study was conducted between 2013 and 2015.

### Questionnaire

A questionnaire was developed over several rounds by researchers and medical professionals. The questionnaire included questions about the background of the patient (gender, age, education level, income, number of children, age of oldest and youngest child), followed by questions related to the paper-based case scenarios. Two pilot studies were conducted to ensure the validity of the survey and test its user-friendliness. In the first pilot study a convenience sample of individuals participated, and in the second pilot study 16 patients from one GP practice participated.

### Strategies

In combining the insights on demand for out-of-hours primary care with the findings from previous studies on decision-making and demand management strategies in healthcare, there are several strategies that can potentially be effective to influence the demand for GPCs.<sup>3-5 7</sup> We identified the following demand management strategies to be tested in our research: copayment, online

advice, overview medical cost and direct GP appointment next morning (see online supplementary appendix A).

Copayment can be implemented via a fee that has to be paid directly by the patient. We set the fee for a GPC at €75 and for the emergency department at €150. Online advice is based on the principle to support patients in their decision-making. We presented online advice that was given by an application certified by the Dutch Society of General Practitioners (NHG). This strategy does not limit the entry to the GPC like copayment does, and may therefore be considered an interesting alternative to the copayment strategy. Another possible effective demand management strategy is to give patients insight into the cost of medical treatments. This strategy would be a midway option between the somewhat controversial copayment and education on medical conditions via online advice. The fourth demand management strategy tested is to enable patients to make an immediate next-working day appointment with their GP via an online scheduling system. This strategy could give patients the certainty of an appointment during office hours, and might thus reduce the probability they will contact a GPC for a health condition that is non-urgent. This strategy would be particularly relevant to the patient group that sought the services of a GPC but were unable to reach their own GP or make an appointment during office hours.<sup>9</sup>

### Case scenarios

The case scenarios were used in an early study about telephone triage and were presented to an expert panel consisting of three triage nurses and three GPs.<sup>10</sup> The expert panel determined the 'reference standard' regarding the appropriate type of care. We included two non-urgent and two urgent common cases (see online supplementary appendix B). The non-urgent cases were cases for which contact with the GPC (the same day) was not medically necessary, and the urgent cases were cases for which contact with the GPC (the same day) was medically necessary. Combining the case scenarios selected with the demand management strategies, 16 cases were devised (four scenarios each with four demand management strategies). To test all scenario-demand strategy combinations, four questionnaires were developed. Combining the case scenarios with the demand management strategies resulted in 16 cases (four scenarios each with four demand management strategies). Each parent randomly received a questionnaire with three different case scenarios with three different demand strategies and a baseline case scenario without a demand management strategy. Due to a mistake in one of the cases in the questionnaire, we excluded the answers of 141 respondents regarding that case. The baseline case scenario was included to test how respondents would react in the different case scenarios when no demand management strategy was included.

The effects of demand management strategies on patient decision-making were verified by testing if the choices made by the respondents matched the

**Table 1** Classification of answer categories

Non-urgent scenario		Urgent scenario	
Answer category	Classification	Answer category	Classification
I would wait/apply self-care solutions	Medically appropriate demand	I would wait/apply self-care solutions	Underdemand
I would contact my General Practitioner during office hours		I would contact my General Practitioner during office hours	
I would contact the General Practitioners' Cooperation	Overdemand	I would contact the General Practitioners' Cooperation	Medically appropriate demand
I would visit the Emergency Department		I would visit the Emergency Department	Overdemand
I would call 112 (emergency line)		I would call 112 (emergency line)	

reference standard of the expert panel. To test this, we rearranged the answers into categories. The answers to the non-urgent scenarios were categorised into 'medically appropriate demand' or 'overdemand', while the answers to the urgent scenarios were categorised into 'underdemand', 'medically appropriate demand' and 'overdemand' (table 1).

### Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the characteristics of the respondents and the percentages of overdemand and underdemand for each strategy. The choices of the parents (with either overdemand or underdemand coded as '1' and the other choices as '0') have been tested in two separate logistic regression analyses at the case level. The answers to the baseline case scenarios served as a reference category, meaning that both non-urgent and urgent scenarios presented with demand management strategies have been tested against answers given for the baseline scenarios. We corrected for patient characteristics (gender, age, amount of children, education level and income) and added the variable GP practice to account for clustering of patients within GP practices. Analyses were performed in SPSS V.22.0.

## RESULTS

### Characteristics of respondents

The response rate was 47.3% (n=377), providing answers to 1367 cases. Of the respondents 42.5% lived in urban areas, 17% in suburban areas and 40.6% in rural areas (table 2). Most of the participants finished tertiary school (41.6%) and indicated their income as similar to the average Dutch household income (34.5%).<sup>11</sup> The average number of children per parent was 2.1. The mean age of the oldest child was 4.8 years and of the youngest child 1.7 years.

### Case scenarios

Regarding the non-urgent scenarios, 41.7% of the parents made an overdemand choice (table 3). For the urgent scenarios, 50.3% of the respondents made an underdemand choice and 3.9% an overdemand choice.

### Case scenarios with demand management strategies

Overall, the percentage of parents who made an overdemand choice for the non-urgent case scenarios without a demand management strategy was 41.7% (table 4). By providing the strategy 'online advice', the percentage of overdemand decreased by 30.4%. The strategy 'copayment' was found to reduce the probability of overdemand to 31.7%, realising a decrease of 10.0% compared with the baseline strategy. We did not find large differences when the strategies 'GP consult planned' and 'overview of medical cost' were used.

GP, general practitioner.

An underdemand solution for the urgent case scenarios without a demand strategy ('baseline') was chosen by 50.3%. When using the strategy 'online advice', 16.5% chose an underdemand solution, a decrease of 33.8%. The strategy 'overview medical cost' reduced the probability of underdemand by 10.9%. With the use of the strategy 'GP consult planned', the probability of an underdemand decision was decreased by 9.7%. The strategy 'copayment' seems to have no influence, as with this strategy 50.0% still chose an underdemand solution.

### Influence of demand management strategies on non-urgent and urgent case scenarios

Table 5 shows that when the strategy 'online advice' was used for non-urgent cases, parents more frequently made a medically appropriate healthcare choice (OR 0.26; CI 0.11 to 0.58). The other strategies had no influence on the parents' decisions in non-urgent cases. We also found that parents with more than one child made an appropriate choice more often than parents with just one child (OR 0.64; CI 0.43 to 0.96).

For the urgent cases, we found that the application of online advice influences parent decision-making positively, resulting in more medically appropriate choices (OR 0.16; CI 0.08 to 0.32). The strategy of showing the medical cost of a visit to the GPC also results in more medically appropriate choice behaviour (OR 0.59; CI 0.38 to 0.92), as did the strategy of offering the patient the option to plan a medical consultation with the GP

**Table 2** Characteristics of respondents (n=377)

	%	N		%	N
<b>Gender parent</b>			<b>Area</b>		
Female	86.7	327	Rural area	40.6	153
Male	13.3	50	Suburban area	17.0	64
			Urban area	42.5	160
<b>Age parent</b>					
17–22	2.1	8	Number of children		
23–27	13.5	51	1	30.0	113
28–32	30.8	116	2	45.4	171
33–37	30.5	115	3	16.4	62
38–42	17.0	64	4	4.8	18
43–48	4.8	18	≥5	3.0	13
Missing	1.3	5	(Mean=2.1)		
<b>Education</b>					
<b>Age of youngest child</b>					
No education	0.5	2	0	14.6	55
Primary school	2.4	9	1	15.1	57
Lower secondary education	11.7	44	2	21.8	82
Intermediate vocational education	41.6	157	3	13.5	51
Higher secondary education	8.0	30	4	4.2	16
Higher vocational education	25.2	95	Missing	30.8	116
University degree	9.3	35	(Mean=1.7)		
Missing	1.3	5			
<b>Age of oldest child</b>					
<b>Family income</b>			0–4	52.8	199
>€56 800	34.2	129	5–9	38.2	144
About €56800	34.5	130	10–14	7.2	27
<€56 800	27.6	104	15–21	1.9	7
Missing	3.7	14	(Mean=4.8)		

(OR 0.57; CI 0.34 to 0.97). Furthermore, parents with more than one child more frequently chose an under-demand solution for a high-urgent condition (OR 2.04; CI 1.39 to 2.98), and similarly older parents more regularly chose an underdemand solution (OR 0.95; CI 0.92 to 0.98).

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

### Discussion

The findings of this study imply that decisions on healthcare seeking by parents of young children can be influenced. For both non-urgent and urgent case

**Table 3** Underdemand and overdemand for case scenarios at baseline (n=371)

Scenario	Overdemand chosen		Medically appropriate demand chosen		Underdemand chosen	
	%	N	%	N	%	N
Total non-urgent scenarios	41.7	90	58.3	126	0.0	0
Swallow marble	78.2	79	21.8	22	0.0	0
Earache	9.6	11	90.4	104	0.0	0
Total urgent scenarios	3.9	6	50.3	78	45.8	71
Fever	1.2	1	79.0	64	19.8	16
Diarrhoea	6.8	5	18.9	14	74.3	55

**Table 4** Overdemand and underdemand for each demand management strategy (%)

	Overdemand for non-urgent case scenarios (n=609)		Underdemand for urgent case scenarios (n=752)	
	%	N	%	N
Baseline strategy	41.7	90	50.3	78
Online advice	11.3	8	16.5	15
Copayment	31.7	39	50.0	88
GP consult planned	44.4	48	41.0	50
Overview medical cost	35.2	32	39.4	82

scenarios, about half of the parents did not chose the most medically appropriate decision. Demand management strategies have the potential to help patients make medically appropriate decisions. The strategy 'online advice' seems to have the highest potential to positively influence patient demand as it influenced decisions in both urgent and non-urgent cases. The use of this strategy has both the potential of reducing medically unnecessary use of the GPC and could also enhance safety in healthcare, as patients are more likely to contact a doctor in urgent cases. Comparable results have been found in studies on the management of chronic diseases such as depression and lower back pain.<sup>12-14</sup> In the questionnaire a relatively customised advice (applicable to the specific condition) was presented, and it was mentioned that the advice was given by an online application certified by the Dutch Society of General Practitioners (NHG). This set-up of posting a 'certified' and customised advice might have had a positive influence on the patients' willingness to follow it. This is also mentioned by parents in a British study about information needs of parents for acute childhood illness.<sup>15</sup> In addition, the capabilities of the person receiving the advice also influence the way a person acts on it.

Even though 9 in 10 inhabitants in the Netherlands access the internet every day, it is still debatable whether all would actually use an online application if they would be worried or panicking about their (child's) condition. Also, it is essential to remain vigilant to how access limitations might exclude certain groups of society from accessing such information. A recent study shows that people who are older, have a higher income or live in rural areas are less likely to use mobile health applications.<sup>16</sup> On the other hand, access to internet on smartphones or notebooks is also high in socially deprived populations.

We also found that implementing copayment or giving an overview of the medical cost did not affect patient decision-making for non-urgent scenarios. In addition, for the urgent case scenarios there was no influence from implementing copayment, which would imply that it does not affect patient safety. Other studies also found that copayment was not an important driver for patient decision-making,<sup>17 18</sup> but other studies found some effects of copayment. A study at the emergency department showed a reduction in demand from patients with non-urgent conditions.<sup>19</sup> In line with this outcome, some argue that copayment stimulates patients to consider whether they really need healthcare at that

**Table 5** Logistic regression for overdemand and underdemand†

Variables	Overdemand for non-urgent case scenarios (n=591)		Underdemand for urgent case scenarios (n=734)	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Strategy: online advice	<b>0.26</b>	<b>0.11 to 0.58*</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.08 to 0.32*</b>
Strategy: copayment	0.62	0.38 to 1.03	0.84	0.53 to 1.33
Strategy: GP consult planned	0.81	0.49 to 1.35	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.34 to 0.97*</b>
Strategy: overview medical cost	0.97	0.56 to 1.70	<b>0.59</b>	<b>0.38 to 0.92*</b>
Gender parent: male	0.91	0.52 to 1.57	0.69	0.42 to 1.15
Age of parents	1.01	0.97 to 1.05	<b>0.95</b>	<b>0.92 to 0.98*</b>
>1 Child	<b>0.64</b>	<b>0.43 to 0.96*</b>	<b>2.04</b>	<b>1.39 to 2.98*</b>
Highly educated	1.07	0.71 to 1.63	0.93	0.64 to 1.35
High income	1.07	0.71 to 1.63	0.94	0.65 to 1.37

\*p<0.05, in bold.

†GP practice was added to account for clustering of patients within GP practices.  
GP, general practitioner.



moment. This would eventually contribute to lowering collective healthcare costs.<sup>20</sup> On the other hand, critics argue that the fee could deter patients with serious illnesses from visiting the emergency department<sup>21</sup> and could lead to greater inequity, especially for socially deprived patients.<sup>22 23</sup>

While we expected the 'GP consult planned' demand management strategy to affect the non-urgent cases, it only appeared to affect patient decision-making positively in relation to urgent conditions. Seemingly those seeking help for a non-urgent problem are not easily influenced by an organisational strategy.

Regarding patient characteristics, we found that patients with more children seem to make more underdemand healthcare choices, resulting in less overdemand. A possible explanation is that these parents, due to experience, are less prone to panic. Interestingly, they seem more likely to underestimate medical urgencies. Also, the probability that parents will choose an underdemand solution increases when a parent is older; we could philosophise that older parents are more able to assess a healthcare problem.

### Strengths and weaknesses and recommendations for further research

The selected sample is diverse in terms of residential zones, income, education, age and number of children. These characteristics reflect the Dutch population.<sup>2</sup> We chose to use written case scenarios. A drawback of this design is that respondents were confronted with hypothetical situations; consequently, emotional reactions or actual financial payments that occur in real-life situations are not completely reflected in this research. Besides, it is possible that the respondents were eager to answer 'correctly', especially for the cases in which the strategy online advice was incorporated as they were provided with information about the appropriate response. This may have inflated the effect of this strategy. On the other hand, the information scenario was closer to reality (responders actually received it), which has enhanced the impact of this strategy. Prospective evaluation studies of demand management strategies, ideally designed as randomised trials, are required to examine their impact.

We used two non-urgent and two urgent case scenarios to test the demand management strategies. Although the cases were validated by an expert panel and they had consensus about the appropriate choice, we saw great differences in answers between the two urgent cases. We noticed that many parents chose an underdemand solution for one of the urgent cases (child with fever). In hindsight, as we did not expect such a high percentage of parents to make an underdemand choice for the urgent case scenarios (especially for the child with fever case), this case may not have been the best scenario to test the demand management strategies. Further research using more, alternate case scenarios is needed to confirm the results of this study.

There might be a relation to the amount of the copayment and its effectiveness.<sup>4</sup> In this research we only tested one amount for the GPC, but if policymakers would want a more conclusive assessment of this strategy, different amounts should be tested. Finally, although the described strategies were merely effective under either urgent or non-urgent conditions, it would be interesting to research the effects of implementing strategies simultaneously. For example, combining online advice and online overview of medical cost could possibly increase the effectiveness of the strategies.

### Conclusion

We conclude that there are demand management strategies that can influence a patient's ability to make medically appropriate healthcare choices for urgent and non-urgent conditions during out-of-hours. Guiding and advising patients online appears to have high potential, as it influences patient decision-making positively in both urgent and non-urgent conditions. Advising patients on what decision to take when a health condition occurs offers the patients a level of certainty that can positively influence their decision-making. Further research with more case scenarios is needed to confirm the results of this study. It is also necessary to study the impact of this strategy on patient safety in practice.

### Practice implications

Our study can have broad implications in a world where more people use the internet and policymakers are struggling to limit healthcare costs while maintaining high quality and safety in healthcare. This research shows the great potential of online health applications and we believe that an independent, certified and customised tool, such as [thuisarts.nl](http://thuisarts.nl),<sup>24</sup> should be promoted. It may lead to a reduction in the use of GPCs for non-urgent complaints that could wait until the next day and to safer use for patients with urgent complaints.

**Acknowledgements** We would like to thank all the GP practices who participated in this research.

**Contributors** MJG designed the study, gathered the data, participated in performing the statistical analyses, interpreted the data and drafted the manuscript. EK designed the study, participated in performing the statistical analyses, interpreted the data and drafted the manuscript. JP helped to gather data, participated in the interpretation of the data and drafted the manuscript. JK designed the study, performed the statistical analyses and revised the manuscript critically. MW participated in the interpretation of the data and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. PG designed the study, participated in the interpretation of the data and revised the manuscript critically. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at [www.icmje.org/coi\\_disclosure.pdf](http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf) and declare no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years; and no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

**Ethics approval** The research ethics committee of the Radboud University Medical Center (CMO Arnhem-Nijmegen) was consulted and concluded that the study does not fall within the remit of the Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act (WMO) (file number: 2016/2870).



**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data sharing statement** The data set will be available on request.

**Open Access** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

## REFERENCES

- Giesen P, Smits M, Huibers L, *et al.* Quality of after-hours primary care in the Netherlands: a narrative review. *Ann Intern Med* 2011;155:108–13.
- Ineen Benchmarkbulletin huisartsenposten 2014: (Benchmark report GP cooperatives 2014), 2015.
- Smits M, Keizer E, Huibers L, *et al.* GPs' experiences with out-of-hours GP cooperatives: a survey study from the Netherlands. *Eur J Gen Pract* 2014;20:196–201.
- Keizer E, Maassen I, Smits M, *et al.* Reducing the use of out-of-hours primary care services: a survey among dutch general practitioners. *Eur J Gen Pract* 2016;22:189–95.
- Vickery DM, Lynch WD. Demand management: enabling patients to use medical care appropriately. *J Occup Environ Med* 1995;37:551–7.
- Bryant CD, management D. And case management: a conservation strategy. *Prof Case Manag* 2007;12:272–802.
- Giesen P, Hammink A, Mulders A, *et al.* Te snel naar de huisartsenpost (Too easy to go to the GP cooperative). *Medisch contact* 2009;06:239–42.
- de Bont EG, Lepot JM, Hendrix DA, *et al.* Workload and management of childhood fever at general practice out-of-hours care: an observational cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e007365.
- Smits M, Peters Y, Broers S, *et al.* Association between general practice characteristics and use of out-of-hours GP cooperatives. *BMC Fam Pract* 2015;16:52.
- Smits M, Hanssen S, Huibers L, *et al.* Telephone triage in general practices: a written case scenario study in the Netherlands. *Scand J Prim Health Care* 2016;34:28–36.
- Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek (Statistics Netherlands) Gemiddeld inkomen; particuliere huishoudens naar diverse kenmerken (Average income; private households to various characteristics). <http://statline.cbs.nl/Statweb/publication/?DM=SLNL&PA=70843NED&D1=4&D2=0&D3=0-4&D4=11-14&VW=T> (Accessed 26 Sep 2016).
- Andersson G, Cuijpers P. Internet-based and other computerized psychological treatments for adult depression: a meta-analysis. *Cogn Behav Ther* 2009;38:196–205.
- Berger T, Hämmerli K, Gubser N, *et al.* Internet-based treatment of depression: a randomized controlled trial comparing guided with unguided self-help. *Cogn Behav Ther* 2011;40:251–66.
- Buhrman M, Fältenhag S, Ström L, *et al.* Controlled trial of Internet-based treatment with telephone support for chronic back pain. *Pain* 2004;111:368–77.
- Jones CH, Neill S, Lakhanpaul M, *et al.* Information needs of parents for acute childhood illness: determining 'what, how, where and when' of safety netting using a qualitative exploration with parents and clinicians. *BMJ Open* 2014;4:e003874.
- Bhuyan SS, Lu N, Chandak A, *et al.* Use of Mobile Health applications for Health-Seeking Behavior among US adults. *J Med Syst* 2016;40:153.
- de Valk J, Taal EM, Nijhoff MS, *et al.* Self-referred patients at the Emergency Department: patient characteristics, motivations, and willingness to make a copayment. *Int J Emerg Med* 2014;7.
- Philips H, Remmen R, De Paepe P, *et al.* Use of out-of-hours services: the patient's point of view on co-payment a mixed methods approach. *Acta Clin Belg* 2013;68:1–8.
- Reitsma-van Rooijen M, Jong de J. Betalen voor de SEH schrikt af (Paying for the emergency department scares). *Medisch contact* 2010;65:1479–81.
- Robinson R. User charges for health care. In: Mossialos E, Dixon A, Figueras J, eds. *Critical challenges for health care reform in Europe*. Open university press, Buckingham, 2002.
- Hsu J, Price M, Brand R, *et al.* Cost-sharing for emergency care and unfavorable clinical events: findings from the safety and financial ramifications of ED copayments study. *Health Serv Res* 2006;41:1801–20.
- Lostao L, Regidor E, Geyer S, *et al.* Patient cost sharing and social inequalities in access to health care in three western European countries. *Soc Sci Med* 2007;65:367–76.
- Kelagher M, Dunt D, Day S, *et al.* Effects of financial disadvantage on use and non-use of after hours care in Australia. *Health Policy* 2006;79:16–23.
- Drenthen T, Beijaert RP, Jansen PW, *et al.* Thuisarts.nl, hoe bevalt dat? ervaringen na 3 jaar Thuisarts.nl [What do you think of Thuisarts.nl? experiences after 3 years of www.thuisarts.nl]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 2014;158:A8282.



**BMJ Open**

# The impact of demand management strategies on parents' decision-making for out-of-hours primary care: findings from a survey in The Netherlands

Marie-Jeanne Giesen, Ellen Keizer, Julia van de Pol, Joris Knobben, Michel Wensing and Paul Giesen

*BMJ Open* 2017 7:

doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014605

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/7/5/e014605>

*These include:*

## References

This article cites 19 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/7/5/e014605#BIBL>

## Open Access

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

## Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

---

## Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections  
[General practice / Family practice](#) (620)

---

## Notes

---

To request permissions go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:  
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>