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ABSTRACT

We present the discovery of the hot subdwarf B star (sdB) binary PTF1 J082340.04+081936.5. The system has an orbital period $P_{\text{orb}} = 87.49668(1)$ min ($0.006761584(10)$ days), making it the second-most compact sdB binary known. The lightcurve shows ellipsoidal variations. Under the assumption that the sdB primary is synchronized with the orbit, we find a mass $M_{\text{sdB}} = 0.45 \pm 0.07$ $M_{\odot}$, a companion white dwarf mass $M_{\text{WD}} = 0.46^{+0.12}_{-0.06}$ $M_{\odot}$ and a mass ratio $q = a_{\text{eff}}$ = 1.03$^{+0.10}_{-0.08}$. The future evolution was calculated using the MESA stellar evolution code. Adopting a canonical sdB mass of $M_{\text{sdB}} = 0.47 M_{\odot}$ we find that the sdB still burns helium at the time it will fill its Roche lobe if the orbital period was less than 106 min at the exit from the last common envelope phase. For longer common envelope exit periods the sdB will have stopped burning helium and turned into a C/O white dwarf at the time of contact. Comparing the spectroscopically derived log $g$ and $T_{\text{eff}}$ with our MESA models, we find that an sdB model with a hydrogen envelope mass of $5 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$ matches the measurements at a post-common envelope age of 94 Myr, corresponding to a post-common envelope orbital period of 109 min which is close to the limit to start accretion while the sdB is still burning helium.

Subject headings: (stars:) binaries (including multiple): close – stars: individual (PTF1 J082340.04+081936.5) – (stars:) subdwarfs – (stars:) white dwarfs

1. INTRODUCTION

Hot subdwarf B stars (sdBs) are core helium burning stars with masses around 0.5 $M_{\odot}$ and thin hydrogen envelopes (Heber 1989, 2009, 2016). A large fraction of sdBs are members of short-period binaries with periods below $\approx 10$ days (Maxted et al. 2001; Napiwotzki et al. 2004). Orbital shrinkage through a common envelope (CE) phase is the only likely formation channel for such short period sdB binaries (Han et al. 2002, 2003).

Evolutionary studies have shown that the orbital period of a hot subdwarf with a white dwarf companion has to be $P_{\text{orb}} \lesssim 120$ min on exit from the last CE to still have an sdB that is core or shell helium burning when it fills its Roche lobe assuming that the further orbital period evolution is set by the emission of gravitational waves only. In the subsequent evolution, if helium burning is still ongoing, the sdB fills its Roche lobe first and starts to transfer He-rich material onto the white dwarf (e.g. Tutukov & Fedorova 1989, Tutukov & Yungelson 1998, Iben & Tutukov 1993, Yungelson 2008). If the system has a mass ratio $q = M_{\text{sdB}}/M_{\text{WD}} \lesssim 2$, stable mass-transfer is possible (Savonije et al. 1986, Wang et al. 2009). Mass transfer starts at orbital periods ranging from 16 to 50 min. Subsequently the semi-detached system evolves to shorter periods with typical mass transfer rates of $\dot{M} \approx 10^{-8}$ $M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ (e.g. Savonije et al. 1986, Yungelson 2008, Piersanti et al. 2014, Brooks et al. 2015). CD$-30^\circ$11223 has the shortest known orbital period of all sdB binaries ($P_{\text{orb}} = 70.52986(\text{min})$) and is the only known system where the sdB is still expected to be burning helium when it will fill its Roche lobe (Vennes et al. 2012, Geier et al. 2013). After accreting 0.1 $M_{\odot}$, He-
burning is predicted to be ignited unstably in the accreted helium layer. This in turn triggers the ignition of carbon in the core which might disrupt the WD even when the mass is significantly below the Chandrasekhar mass (e.g. Livne [1990], Livne & Arnett [1995], Fink et al. [2010], Woosley & Kasen [2011], Geier et al. [2013], Shen & Bildsten [2014]). If the WD is not disrupted, the unstable burning of the He-shell will detonate the shell and may be observed as a faint and fast Ia supernova (Bildsten et al. [2007]). This increases the orbital separation, but gravitational wave radiation drives the donor back into contact, resuming mass transfer and triggering several subsequent weaker flashes (Brooks et al. [2015]).

Inspired by the discovery of CD−30°11223, we have conducted a search for (ultra-)compact post-common envelope systems using the Palomar Transient Factory (PTF; Law et al. 2009; Rau et al. 2009) large area synoptic survey based on a color selected sample from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS). The PTF uses the Palomar 48" Samuel Oschin Schmidt telescope to image up to ≈2000 deg² of the sky per night to a depth of R_mould ≈ 20.6 mag or g' ≈ 21.3 mag. Here we report the discovery of the ultracompact sdB+WD system PTF1 J082340.04+081936.5 (hereafter PTF1 J0823). PTF1 J0823 has the second shortest orbital period amongst the known binaries with a hot subdwarf component.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1. Photometry

As part of the Palomar Transient Factory, the Palomar 48-inch (P48) telescope images the sky every night. The reduction pipeline for PTF applies standard debiasing, flat-fielding, and astrometric calibration to raw images (Lahe et al. 2014). Relative photometry correction is applied and absolute photometric calibration to the few percent level is performed using a fit to SDSS fields observed in the same night (Ofek et al. 2012). The lightcurve of PTF1 J0823 has 144 epochs with good photometry in the R_mould band with a typical uncertainty of 0.01 mag. The cadence is highly irregular, ranging from 10 minutes to years with an average separation of about 10 days.

High cadence observations were conducted using the 2.4-m Thai National Telescope (TNT) with the high-speed photometer ULTRASPEC (Dhillon et al. 2014). ULTRASPEC employs a 1024x1024 pixel frame-transfer, electron-multiplying CCD (EMCCD) in conjunction with re-imaging optics to image a field of 7.7"x7.7" at (windowed) frame rates of up to ∼200 Hz. Observations were obtained with the g' filter on January 31 2016 over 1h50min with an exposure time of 3.9 sec and a dead time of 15 ms leading to a total of 2404 epochs. Data reduction was carried out with the ULTRACAM pipeline (Dhillon et al. 2007). All frames were bias-subtracted and flat-fielded.

2.2. Spectroscopy

Optical spectra of PTF1 J0823 were obtained with the Palomar 200-inch telescope and the Double-Beam Spectrograph (DBSP; Oke & Gunn [1982]) over 3 nights using a low resolution mode (R ∼ 1500). We took 7 consecutive exposures on October 25 2015, 16 exposures on January 30 2016 and 20 exposures on February 2 to all with an exposure time of 240 sec. Each night an average bias frame was made out of 10 individual bias frames and a normalized flat-field frame was constructed out of 10 individual lamp flat-fields. For the blue arm, FeAr and for the red arm, HeNeAr arc exposures were taken in the beginning and end of the night. Each exposure was wavelength calibrated by interpolating between the beginning and end of the night calibration exposures. Both arms of the spectrograph were reduced using a custom PyRAF-based pipeline (Bellm & Sesam [2016]). The pipeline performs standard image processing and spectral reduction procedures, including bias subtraction, flat-field correction, wavelength calibration, optimal spectral extraction, and flux calibration.

On April 13 2016, we obtained 12 consecutive spectra using the William Herschel Telescope (WHT) and the ISIS spectrograph (Carter et al. 1993) using a medium resolution mode (R600B grating, R ∼ 3000). One hundred bias frames were obtained to construct an average bias frame and 100 individual tungsten lamp flat-fields were obtained to construct a normalized flat-field. CuNeAr arc exposures were taken before and after the observing sequence as well as after 6 spectra to correct for instrumental flexure. Each exposure was wavelength calibrated by interpolating between the two closest calibration exposures. All spectra were de-biased and flat-fielded using IRAF routines. One dimensional spectra were extracted using optimal extraction and were subsequently wavelength and flux calibrated.

Additionally, PTF1 J0823 was also observed on March 1 2016 using Keck with the HIRES spectrograph (R ∼ 36 000). The full data set consists of 6 spectra which were taken consecutively. ThAr arc exposures were taken at 17 https://github.com/ebbell/pyraf-dbsp
the beginning of the night. The spectra were reduced using the MAKEE pipeline following the standard procedure: bias subtraction, flat fielding, sky subtraction, order extraction, and wavelength calibration.

Table 1 gives an overview of all observations and the instrumental set-ups.

3. ORBITAL AND ATMOSPHERIC PARAMETERS

The dominant variation in lightcurve is due to the ellipsoidal deformation of the sdB primary. This is caused by the tidal influence of the compact companion. The lightcurve also shows Doppler boosting, caused by the orbital motion of the sdB (Shakura & Postnov 1987; Bloemen et al. 2011; Geier et al. 2013). The ephemeris has been derived from the PTF lightcurve using the Gatspy module for time series analysis which uses the Lomb-Scargle periodogram (VanderPlas & Ivezic 2013). Because of the timebase of more than five years, the derived orbital period of $P_{\text{orb}}=87.49668(1)\text{ min}$ ($0.060761584(10)\text{ days}$) is accurate to $1\text{ ms}$. The error was estimated by bootstrapping the data.

To obtain radial velocities, we followed the procedure as described in detail in (Geier et al. 2011). To fit the continuum, line and line core of the individual lines we fitted Gaussians, Lorentzians and polynomials to the continuum, line and line core of the individual lines we fitted Gaussians, Lorentzians and polynomials to the

![Fig. 1. — Radial velocity plotted against orbital phase. The RV data were phase folded with the orbital period and are plotted twice for better visualization. The residuals are plotted below. The RVs were measured from spectra obtained with P200/DBSP (black diamonds), WHT/ISIS (blue squares) and Keck/HIRES (red triangles).](http://www.astro.caltech.edu/~tb/ipac_staff/tab/makee/)

get before, after and during the sequence and the velocities from the HIRES spectra are consistent with the ISIS spectra. Therefore, we conclude that the offset in the DBSP spectra is due to instrumental flexure because the calibration lamps were not taken at the position of the object. We corrected the velocities measured in the DBSP spectra to fit the systemic velocity obtained by the ISIS spectra. All velocities were folded on the ephemeris which was derived from the photometric data. Assuming circular orbits, a sine curve was fitted to the folded radial velocity (RV) data points. We find a semi-amplitude $K=211.7\pm1.8\text{ km s}^{-1}$ and a systemic velocity of $\gamma=33.3\pm1.4\text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Fig. 1).

The atmospheric parameters of effective temperature, $T_{\text{eff}}$, surface gravity, $\log g$, helium abundance, $\log y$, and projected rotational velocity, $v_{\text{rot}} \sin i$, were determined by fitting the rest-wavelength corrected average DBSP, ISIS and HIRES spectra with metal-line-blanketed LTE model spectra (Heber et al. 2000). The most sensitive lines for $\log g$ and $T_{\text{eff}}$ are the Balmer lines close to the Balmer jump. We used the hydrogen lines H12 ($3750\text{ Å}$) up to H$\beta$ in the WHT/ISIS spectrum to measure $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$ with $v_{\text{rot}} \sin i$ as a free parameter and found $T_{\text{eff}}=27100\pm500\text{ K}$, $\log g=5.50\pm0.05$ and $v_{\text{rot}} \sin i=122\pm21\text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Fig. 2). The HIRES spectra are not well suited to measure $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$ because the broad hydrogen absorption lines span several orders and merging of the echelle spectra could introduce systematic errors. However, the high-resolution HIRES spectra are well suited to measure the projected rotational velocity $v_{\text{rot}} \sin i$ of the sdB in lines which are not affected by order merging. The three helium lines ($4026, 4471, 4921\text{ Å}$) which are covered by the HIRES spectrum and not affected by order merging are less sensitive to $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$, and most sensitive to rotational broadening $v_{\text{rot}} \sin i$ and the helium abundance $\log y$. Therefore, they were used to measure $\log g$ and $v_{\text{rot}} \sin i$, keeping $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$ fixed to the values measured from the ISIS spectra. We found $v_{\text{rot}} \sin i=132\pm5\text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $\log g=-2.47\pm0.03$ (Fig. 3). As a consistency check $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$ were derived from the DBSP spectrum keeping $\log g$ and $v_{\text{rot}} \sin i$ fixed to the values measured from HIRES. Although, the DBSP spectrum only covers hydrogen lines down to H9 ($3835\text{ Å}$) we find good agreement with the errors with the parameters derived from the ISIS spectra. However, because of the larger coverage of Balmer lines, the further analysis will be done using $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$ from the ISIS spectra.

Table 2 shows the atmospheric parameters and Table 3 summarizes the orbital parameters.

4. LIGHTCURVE ANALYSIS

To model the lightcurve, we used the LCURVE code (Copperwheat et al. 2010). LCURVE uses many points in a grid to model the surfaces of the stars with a shape according to the Roche geometry. We assume co-rotation and an eccentricity of zero. The flux that each point on the grid emits is calculated by assuming a blackbody of a certain temperature at the bandpass wavelength, corrected for limb darkening, gravity darkening, Doppler beaming and the reflection effect.

We use information from spectroscopy and the P48 lightcurve to fix or constrain some of the model param
the surface gravity of the primary ($g$) are shifted for better visualisation. Effects the results by adding it as free parameter with gravity darkening prescription from von Zeipel (1924) Claret limb darkening prescription Claret (2004) and the same method to account for limb darkening and gravity darkening (quoted from Verbunt & Rappaport 1988). We use the zero-temperature mass radius relation by Eggleton ($v_{\text{rot}} \sin i$, see section 5). As an additional constraint we use as a lower limit for the white dwarf radius the zero-temperature mass radius relation by Eggleton (quoted from Verbunt & Rappaport 1988). We use the same method to account for limb darkening and gravity darkening as described in Bloemen et al. (2011): the Claret limb darkening prescription Claret (2004) and the gravity darkening prescription from von Zeipel (1924) with a passband specific gravity darkening coefficient. We investigated how the limb darkening coefficient affects the results by adding it as free parameter with $\beta = 0.1 - 1.0$. The co-variance between the limb darkening parameter and system parameters is negligible compared to the uncertainty on the parameters. Therefore, we kept the limb darkening coefficients fixed for the analysis. The limb darkening coefficients are $a_1 = 0.677$, $a_2 = -0.312$, $a_3 = 0.212$, and $a_4 = -0.079$ for the limb darkening coefficient $\beta = 0.460$. These values are calculated for an sdB with a temperature $T_{\text{eff}} = 27100$ K and log$g = 5.50$ using the models from Bloemen et al. (2011). We did not use any limb darkening or gravity darkening in the white dwarf model, since these do not affect the light curve. This leaves as free parameters in the model the mass ratio $q$, the inclination $i$, secondary temperature $T_{\text{WD}}$, the scaled radii of both components $r_{\text{sdB,WD}}$, the velocity scale $(K + K_{\text{WD}})/\sin i$ and the beaming parameter $B$ ($F_\lambda = F_{\text{0,}\lambda}[1 - B F_\lambda^2]$), see Bloemen et al. (2011). Besides these system parameters we add a third order polynomial to correct for any residual airmass effects.

To determine the uncertainties on the parameters we combine LCURVE with emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013; emcee is an implementation of an MCMC sampler and uses a number of parallel chains to explore the solution space. We use 2048 chains and let them run until the chains stabilized to a solution, which took approximately 6000 generations.

With the surface gravity ($g$) and projected rotational velocity ($v_{\text{rot}} \sin i$), we have three equations at hand that constrain the system, with the sdB mass $M_{\text{sdB}}$ the only free parameter. The binary mass function

$$f_m = \frac{M_{\text{WD}} \sin^3(i)}{(M_{\text{WD}} + M_{\text{sdB}})^2} = \frac{P_{\text{orb}}K^3}{2\pi G}$$ (1)

Fig. 2.— Fit of synthetic LTE models to the hydrogen Balmer lines of a coadded ISIS spectrum. The normalized fluxes of the single lines are shifted for better visualisation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telescope</th>
<th>$T_{\text{eff}}$ (K)</th>
<th>log$g$</th>
<th>log$g$</th>
<th>$v_{\text{rot}} \sin i$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBSP</td>
<td>26 700±600</td>
<td>5.49±0.06</td>
<td>-2.47±0.06</td>
<td>132±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISIS</td>
<td>27 100±500</td>
<td>5.50±0.05</td>
<td>-2.47±0.03</td>
<td>132±21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIRES</td>
<td>27 100±500</td>
<td>5.50±0.05</td>
<td>-2.47±0.03</td>
<td>132±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adopted</td>
<td>27 100±500</td>
<td>5.50±0.05</td>
<td>-2.47±0.03</td>
<td>132±5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$a$ fixed to the values derived from HIRES

$b$ fixed to the values derived from ISIS

TABLE 2

Summary of atmospheric parameter PTF1 J0823.
TABLE 3
OVERVIEW OF THE DERIVED PARAMETER FOR PTF130823

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right ascension RA [hrs]</td>
<td>08:23:40.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declination Dec [°]</td>
<td>+08:19:36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual magnitude $m_V$</td>
<td>14.681 ± 0.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Atmospheric parameter of the sdB**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ [K]</td>
<td>27100 ± 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface gravity $\log g$</td>
<td>5.50 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helium abundance $\log y$</td>
<td>-1.47 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected rotational velocity $v_{\text{rot}} \sin i$ [km s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>132 ± 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Orbital parameter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_0$ [BJD UTC]</td>
<td>57418.6202(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital period $P_{\text{orb}}$ [d]</td>
<td>87.49668(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV semi-amplitude $K$ [km s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>211.7 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System velocity $\gamma$ [km s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>33.3 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binary mass function $f_m$ [M$_\odot$]</td>
<td>0.0597 ± 0.0020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Derived parameter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass ratio $q = \frac{M_{\text{WD}}}{M_{\text{sdB}}}$</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sdB mass $M_{\text{sdB}}$ [M$_\odot$]</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sdB radius $R_{\text{sdB}}$ [R$_\odot$]</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD mass $M_{\text{WD}}$ [M$_\odot$]</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital inclination $i$ [°]</td>
<td>52° ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation $a$ [R$_\odot$]</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance $d$ [kpc]</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Derived parameter assuming the canonical sdB mass**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass ratio $q = \frac{M_{\text{WD}}}{M_{\text{sdB}}}$</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sdB mass $M_{\text{sdB}}$ [M$_\odot$]</td>
<td>0.47 (fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sdB radius $R_{\text{sdB}}$ [R$_\odot$]</td>
<td>0.204 ± 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD mass $M_{\text{WD}}$ [M$_\odot$]</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital inclination $i$ [°]</td>
<td>51° ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation $a$ [R$_\odot$]</td>
<td>0.641 ± 0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance $d$ [kpc]</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ taken from the APASS catalog [Henden et al. 2016]

---

**Fig. 3.** — Best fits of $v_{\text{rot}} \sin i$ to the helium lines seen in the HIRES spectra. The atmospheric parameters were fixed to the values derived from the WHT spectra.

can be combined with

$$\sin i = \frac{(v_{\text{rot}} \sin i) P_{\text{orb}}}{2\pi R_{\text{sdB}}}$$

and

$$R_{\text{sdB}} = \sqrt{\frac{M_{\text{sdB}} G}{g}}$$

---

**Fig. 4.** — Lightcurve obtained with ULTRASPEC shown together with the $\text{Lcurve}$ fit. The residuals are plotted below.

with $P_{\text{orb}}$ being the orbital period, $K$ the velocity semi-amplitude, $M_{\text{WD}}$ the mass of the companion and $R_{\text{sdB}}$ the radius of the sdB. The approach is described in full detail in Geier et al. (2007). A strict lower mass limit for the sdB can be derived, because the inclination cannot exceed 90°. We found a lower limit for the sdB mass $M_{\text{sdB}} > 0.25 M_\odot$. The error is dominated by the surface gravity, which has to be estimated from the model atmosphere fit.

5. SYSTEM PARAMETERS
Because the system is not eclipsing we cannot obtain a unique solution for the component masses from the lightcurve analysis. In order to determine masses and radii of both the sdB and the WD companion, we combined the results from the lightcurve analysis with the assumption of tidal synchronization of the sdB primary to the orbit. The given errors are all 95% confidence limits.

We find that both components have nearly the same mass. A mass ratio $q = M_{\text{WD}}/M_{\text{sdB}} = 1.03^{+0.10}_{-0.08}$; a mass for the sdB $M_{\text{sdB}} = 0.45^{+0.09}_{-0.07} \, M_\odot$ and a WD companion mass $M_{\text{WD}} = 0.46^{+0.12}_{-0.09} \, M_\odot$ were derived (Fig. 5). The inclination is found to be $i = 52^{\pm 8}^\circ$ (Fig. 5). The beaming factor is $B = 1.3^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$ which is consistent with the theoretical value 1.74 (for an sdB with $T_{\text{eff}} = 27100$, log $g = 5.50$). Because the system is not eclipsing, the radius and temperature of the white dwarf are poorly constrained.

The distance to PTF1 J0823 was calculated using the visual V magnitude ($m_V$), the sdB mass, $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log $g$ as described in Ramspeck et al. (2001). We find a distance to PTF1 J0823 of $d = 1.7^{+0.2}_{-0.4}$ kpc.

An overview of the derived system parameter is given in Table III.

6. DISCUSSION

6.1. Evolutionary history

In the standard scenario, the so-called 2nd common envelope channel, the system starts as a low mass binary with $\approx 1 M_\odot$ components. The initially more massive star first evolves to become a WD. Subsequently, the sdB progenitor fills its Roche lobe at the tip of the red-giant branch (RGB), forming an sdB with a canonical mass of $M_{\text{sdB}} = 0.47 M_\odot$, set by the helium core flash, with a WD companion (Han et al. 2002, 2003). Han et al. (2002) showed that the binding energy of the envelope is very small at the tip of the RGB for a $1 M_\odot$ star and therefore the orbital shrinkage in the CE phase is not significant. They predict that sdB+WD binaries are formed with orbital periods longer than found in PTF1 J0823.

In a different picture an ultracompact sdB+WD binary can also be formed from a more massive main-sequence binary where the sdB progenitor is $> 2M_\odot$. This sdB progenitor ignites helium non-degenerately in the core and fills its Roche lobe during the Hertzsprung gap or at the base of the RGB, resulting in an sdB with either a lower or higher mass compared to the tip of the RGB (Nelemans 2010, Geier et al. 2013). In such systems, the envelope is more tightly bound and the orbital shrinkage required to eject the CE becomes higher (Nelemans 2010, Geier et al. 2013). Geier et al. (2013) showed that CD–30°11223 evolved most likely from a $2 M_\odot$ progenitor for the sdB with a 3 - 4 $M_\odot$ companion for the WD progenitor. The WD companion in PTF1 J0823 is, with an upper mass limit of $M_{\text{WD}} = 0.58 M_\odot$, less massive than in CD–30°11223.

Perhaps the most similar system is KPD 0422+5421 (Koen et al. 1998). However, its orbital period is $P_{\text{orb}} = 129.6$ min and therefore about 42 min longer than PTF1 J0823. Due to the longer period in KPD 0422+5421, this system is easier to explain by the 2nd common envelope channel from Han et al. (2002).

6.2. Future evolution

To understand the future evolution of the system, we used the code MESA (Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015). For the model we assumed an sdB with a canonical mass $M_{\text{sdB}} = 0.47 M_\odot$ with a white dwarf companion of $M_{\text{WD}} = 0.49 M_\odot$. Using release version 8118, we construct binary simulations that model the full stellar structure equations for the sdB and treat the WD as a point mass. We ran a set of simulations with periods, when the system exits the CE (post-CE orbital period), ranging from 87 to 120 minutes. The evolution of the system is governed by the loss of angular momentum due to radiation of gravitational waves. We record the post-CE age at which the orbital periods match the observed period of 87 minutes, shown by the dotted blue curve in Fig. 7 and the age at which the stars make contact, shown by the dashed-dotted red curve. Re-
donate its remaining helium in an AM CVn type system burning phase, a merger may be avoided and the sdB will less than 10^6 minutes, contact is made during the He burning phase. To accommodate such an outcome is 10^6 min. Figure 7 shows that the post-CE orbital period lower limit for subsequent evolution into a massive single WD. Figure 7) and the sdB has become a C/O WD with a convective core mass (from 0.109 M☉ to 0.218 M☉) and the core-burning lifetime (from 80 Myr to 152 Myr). The data from these runs are shown in Figures 7 and 8.

If contact is made after core and shell He burning have finished (dashed grey and dashed-dotted black curves in Fig. 7), corresponding to a post-CE orbital period of 109 min which is close to the limit where the sdB still make contact. Systems with initial orbital periods larger than 10^6 min which is close to the limit where the sdB still make contact. Systems with initial orbital periods larger than 5 years, revealed ellipsoidal deformation of the atmospheric parameter at a post-CE age of 94 Myr assuming a tidally locked sdB. The contact age at observation.

6.3. Current age

Figure 8 shows the position of PTF1 J0823 in the Teff – log g diagram overplotted with the confirmed sdB+white dwarf systems in compact orbits as well as the theoretical evolutionary tracks. The sdBs with WD companions populate the full extreme horizontal branch (EHB) band homogeneously with a small fraction of sdBs having evolved off the EHB. The values of Teff and log g for PTF1 J0823 are consistent with an sdB on the EHB in the core helium burning stage.

In a comparison of the spectroscopically derived Teff and log g with our MESA models, we find that an sdB model with a 5 × 10^-4 M☉ hydrogen envelope matches the atmospheric parameter at a post-CE age of 94 Myr (Fig. 8), corresponding to a post-CE orbital period of 109 min which is close to the limit where the sdB still burns helium when filling its Roche lobe.

7. Conclusion and Summary

Motivated by the possible existence of detached hot (ultra-)compact binaries such as CD−30°11223, PTF1 J0823 was found in a crossmatch between an SDSS color selected sample and the PTF database. The P48 lightcurve of PTF1 J0823, with a baseline of more than 5 years, revealed ellipsoidal deformation of the sdB. An orbital period of P orb=87.49668(1) min was found which makes PTF1 J0823 the second-most compact sdB system known so far. Although the system is not eclipsing we have been able to derive a mass for the sdB of M sdB = 0.45+0.07 −0.02 M☉ and WD companion mass of M WD = 0.46+0.12 −0.09 M☉ by assuming a tidally locked sdB. The distance was found to be d = 1.2+0.2 −0.1 kpc.

Although the solution allows for a wide range of companion masses we can exclude a massive white dwarf in PTF1 J0823: M WD < 0.58 M☉. The upper limit on the WD mass is only possible if the sdB is also on the upper limit of its mass range with M sdB ≈ 0.54 M☉ which is not excluded, though only possible if the system evolved from a more massive binary system with main sequence components > 2 M☉. If the sdB has a canonical mass of
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