Ultrahigh-energy neutrino follow-up of Gravitational Wave events GW150914 and GW151226 with the Pierre Auger Observatory

On September 14, 2015 the Advanced LIGO detectors observed their first gravitational-wave (GW) transient GW150914. This was followed by a second GW event observed on December 26, 2015. Both events were inferred to have arisen from the merger of black holes in binary systems. Such a system may emit neutrinos if there are magnetic fields and disk debris remaining from the formation of the two black holes. With the surface detector array of the Pierre Auger Observatory we can search for neutrinos with energy $E_\nu$ above 100 PeV from point-like sources across the sky with equatorial declination from about $-65^\circ$ to $+60^\circ$, and in particular from a fraction of the 90% confidence-level (CL) inferred positions in the sky of GW150914 and GW151226. A targeted
On September 14, 2015 at 09:50:45 UTC the Advanced LIGO detectors observed the first gravitational-wave transient GW150914 [11]. The GW was inferred to have arisen from the merger of black holes in a binary system at a luminosity distance $D_L = 410^{+180}_{-120}$ Mpc. In this case the amount of energy released in the form of gravitational waves was $E_{GW} = 3.0^{+0.5}_{-0.4} M_\odot c^2$ solar masses [1][2]. A second GW event GW151226 [3] was detected at 03:58:53 UTC on December 26, 2015, also inferred to be produced by the merger of two black holes at a distance $D_s = 440^{+190}_{-120}$ Mpc. This in case the amount of energy released in the form of GW was $E_{GW} = 1.0^{+0.1}_{-0.2} M_\odot c^2$ [3]. A third candidate event, LVT151012, was observed on October 12, 2015 at 09:54:43 UTC. Although LVT151012 is consistent with a binary black-hole merger it is not significant enough to claim an unambiguous detection [4].

The observation of GW events with LIGO has motivated several models on the production of electromagnetic counterparts to GW in binary black-hole mergers [3][6]. Moreover, observations with the Fermi GBM detector have revealed the presence of a transient source above 50 keV, only 0.4 s after GW150914, with localization consistent with its direction [2] and with a possible association with a short gamma-ray burst [8][9]. On the other hand, other gamma-ray and X-ray observatories did not find any potential counterpart either for GW150914 [11][11] or for GW151226 [14].

Mergers of black holes are a potential environment where cosmic rays can be accelerated to ultrahigh-energies (UHEs) provided there are magnetic fields and disk debris remaining from the formation of the black holes [11][16]. These are two necessary ingredients to accelerate cosmic rays to ultrahigh energies through the Fermi mechanism at astrophysical sources (see for instance [17]). The estimated rate of this type of mergers can account for the total energy observed in ultrahigh-energy cosmic rays (UHECRs) and their distribution in the sky [16]. The UHE cosmic rays can interact with the surrounding matter or radiation to produce ultrahigh-energy gamma rays and neutrinos [10][10]. Other models speculate on the possibility that protons could be accelerated up to $\sim 10$ EeV energies in a one-shot boost [13]. Collisions of UHE protons with photon backgrounds and gas surrounding the black hole would produce UHE neutrinos. The remarkable power of GW150914 could produce a proton spectrum peaked at EeV energies with a lesser emission of neutrinos in the PeV energy range [13]. Neutrino experiments with peak sensitivities in the TeV-PeV energy range such as IceCube and ANTARES have reported no neutrino candidates in spatial and temporal coincidence with GW150914 [19].

With the surface detector (SD) of the Pierre Auger Observatory [20] we can identify neutrino-induced air showers in the energy range above 100 PeV [21]. Showers induced by neutrinos at large zenith angles can start their development deep in the atmosphere so that they have a considerable amount of electromagnetic component at the ground (“young” shower front). On the other hand, at large zenith angles the atmosphere is thick enough that the electromagnetic component of the more numerous nucleonic cosmic rays, which interact shortly after entering the atmosphere, gets absorbed and the shower front at ground level is dominated by muons (“old” shower front). The SD consists of 1660 water-Cherenkov stations spread over an area of $\sim 3000$ km$^2$, separated by 1.5 km and arranged in a triangular grid. Although the SD is not separately sensitive to the muonic and electromagnetic components of the shower, nor to the depth at which the shower is initiated, the signals produced by the passage of shower particles, digitized with 25 ns time resolution [20], allow us to distinguish narrow traces in time induced by inclined showers initiated high in the atmosphere, from the broad signals expected in inclined showers initiated close to the ground. Applying this simple idea, with the SD of the Pierre Auger Observatory [20] we can efficiently detect inclined showers and search for two types of neutrino-induced showers at energies above 100 PeV:

1. Earth-skimming (ES) showers induced by tau neutrinos ($\nu_{\tau}$) that travel in a slightly upward direction. A $\nu_{\tau}$ can skim the Earth’s crust and interact near the surface, inducing a tau lepton which escapes the Earth and decays in flight in the atmosphere, close to the SD. Typically, only $\nu_{\tau}$-induced showers with zenith angles $90^\circ < \theta < 95^\circ$ may be identified.
2. Showers initiated by neutrinos of any flavor moving down at large zenith angles $75^\circ < \theta < 90^\circ$ with respect to the vertical and that interact in the atmosphere close to the surface-detector array through charged-current or neutral-current interactions. These will be referred to as downward-going high zenith angle (DGH) neutrinos.

In previous publications [21–24], methods were established to identify inclined and deeply-initiated showers with the SD of the Pierre Auger Observatory. These were applied blindly to search for ES and DGH neutrinos in the data collected with the SD up to 20 June 2013. No neutrino candidate was found. As a result an upper limit to the diffuse flux of UHE neutrinos (i.e., from an ensemble of unresolved sources) was obtained in [21]. Also the same analysis was applied to place upper limits on continuous (in time) point-like sources of UHE neutrinos [23].

In this paper we use the same identification criteria as in [21] to search for neutrinos in temporal and spatial coincidence with GW150914 and GW151226, as well as with the GW candidate event LVT151012 [1]. The search was performed within $\pm 500$ s around the time of either GW event as well as in the period of 1 day after their occurrence. The choice of these two rather broad time windows is motivated by the association of mergers of compact systems and gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) with GW events [8, 9, 27]. The $\pm 500$ s window [26] corresponds to an upper limit on the duration of the prompt phase of GRBs, when typically PeV neutrinos are thought to be produced in interactions of accelerated cosmic rays and the gamma rays within the GRB itself. The choice of the 1-day window after the GW event is a conservative upper limit on the duration of GRB afterglows, where ultrahigh-energy neutrinos are thought to be produced in interactions of UHECRs with the lower-energy photons of the GRB afterglow (see [27] for a review).

The results of the search allow us to set constraints on the emission of UHE neutrinos from the merger of two black holes. These constraints apply in the energy range [~100 PeV, ~25 EeV] and are complementary to those of IceCube/ANTARES [19] which apply in the energy range [~100 GeV, ~100 PeV].

II. RESULTS

The neutrino identification criteria applied to data collected with the Pierre Auger Observatory are summarized in reference [21]. Firstly, inclined showers are selected in the different angular ranges of the ES and DGH channels. Secondly, deeply-penetrating showers are identified in the inclined-event sample through the broad time structure of the signals expected to be induced in the water-Cherenkov SD stations indicative of the presence of an electromagnetic component [21].

The sensitivity to UHE neutrinos in Auger is limited to large zenith angles. As a consequence at each instant in time, neutrinos can be detected efficiently only from a specific portion of the sky. A source at declination $\delta$ and right ascension (RA) $\alpha$ (in equatorial coordinates) is seen at the latitude of Auger ($\lambda = -35.2^\circ$) and at a given sidereal time $t$ with a zenith angle $\theta(t)$ given by:

$$\cos \theta(t) = \sin \lambda \sin \delta + \cos \lambda \cos \delta \sin(2\pi t/T - \alpha),$$

where $T$ is the duration of one sidereal day. From Eq. 1 it is straightforward to calculate the fraction of a sidereal day a source at declination $\delta$ is visible in the ES angular range ($90^\circ, 95^\circ$) and in the DGH one ($75^\circ, 90^\circ$). In Fig. 1 we show two sky maps in equatorial coordinates where the color scale indicates the fraction of a sidereal day during which each declination is seen in the ES (top plot) and DGH (bottom plot) field of view. The positions of GW150914 and GW151226 are not well constrained by data collected with the Advanced LIGO detectors but 90% CL contours are provided and are also shown in Fig. 1. At 90% CL the declination of the source of GW150914 can be between $\delta \sim -1.0^\circ$ and $\sim -14.5^\circ$ or between $\delta \sim -38.5^\circ$ and $\sim -78.0^\circ$, and that of GW151226 between $\delta \sim -72.7^\circ$ and $\sim 60.9^\circ$ as can be seen in Fig. 1. Both 90% CL declination ranges overlap with the field of view of the ES and DGH channels for fractions of one sidereal day that can reach up to $\sim 17\%$ and $\sim 35\%$, respectively. If the emission took less time than a day these numbers could change significantly, depending on the sky position of the GW event relative to Auger during the emission time. The overlapping between the Auger field of view in the inclined directions and the 90% CL contour position of the GW event is larger for GW151226 as seen in Fig. 1 and also for LVT151012.

A. Searching for UHE neutrinos in coincidence with GW events

We searched for neutrino events in coincidence with GW150914, GW151226 and LVT151012 in two periods of time: $\pm 500$ s around the UTC times at which they occurred, as well as in a period of 1 day after GW150914, GW151226 and LVT151012.

The performance of the SD array is monitored every minute and is rather stable in each of the $\pm 500$ s and 1 day periods of time after either GW event. The average (root-mean squared, RMS) number of active stations during the search periods of the GW150914 and GW151226 events and of the LVT151012 candidate amount, respectively, to $\sim 97.5\%$ ($\sim 1.5\%$), $\sim 95.6\%$ ($\sim 5.5\%$) and $\sim 94.0\%$ ($6.5\%$) of the total number of stations in the SD array.

The arrival directions of cosmic rays are determined in Auger from the relative arrival times of the shower front in the triggered stations. The angular accuracy depends on the number of triggered stations, on the energy and on the zenith angle of the shower. Studies of cosmic ray-induced showers below $80^\circ$ zenith angle have revealed
Figure 1. Sky map in equatorial coordinates where the color scale indicates the fraction of one sidereal day for which a point-like source at declination $\delta$ is visible to the SD of the Auger Observatory (latitude $\lambda = -35.2^\circ$) at zenith angle $90^\circ < \theta < 95^\circ$ (top panel), and $75^\circ < \theta < 90^\circ$ (bottom panel). The white solid lines indicate the 90% CL contour position of GW150914 [1, 2] and the dashed white lines indicate the corresponding 90% CL contour position of GW151226 [3, 4]. The white star indicates the best-fit position of the GW150914 event obtained in combination with data from the Fermi-GBM instrument (see Fig. 10 in [7]).

Figure 2. Instantaneous field of view of the ES (red band) and DGH (blue band) channels at the moment of coalescence of GW150914 (top panel) and of GW151226 (bottom panel). The black spots represent the 90% CL contour enclosing the positions of the corresponding GW events. Note that by chance the instantaneous field of view of Auger is approximately the same at the instants of occurrence of both GW events.

that the angular resolution is better than $2.5^\circ$, improving significantly as the number of triggered stations increases [28, 29]. Similar results are expected for neutrino-induced showers.

Unfortunately the field of view of the ES channel did not overlap within $\pm 500$ s of the time of coalescence of event GW150914 with the 90% CL contour enclosing its position, see the top panel of Fig. 2. However there is a significant overlap in the case of GW151226 as can be seen in the bottom panel of Fig. 2 and also in the case of LVT151012. Also GW150914, GW151226 and LVT151012 are visible in the DGH angular range $75^\circ < \theta < 90^\circ$ within $\pm 500$ s of occurrence - see Fig. 2. In all cases a significant portion of the inferred position of the source is visible for a fraction of the time in 1 day after the corresponding GW event, as the Earth rotates and the field of view of the ES and DGH analyses moves through the sky (see Fig. 1).

The search for UHE neutrinos in Auger data produced the following results:

- No inclined showers passing the ES or DGH selection were found in the time window $\pm 500$ s around GW150914 or GW151226.
- A total of 24 inclined showers were found with the ES selection criteria, 12 in each of the 1 day periods after GW150914 and GW151226 events, but none of them fulfilled the neutrino identification criteria. Also 24 and 22 inclined showers were found with the DGH selection 1 day after GW150914 and GW151226, respectively, with none of them identi-
fied as a neutrino candidate. All selected inclined events have properties compatible with background muonic cosmic-ray events.

• Also, no neutrino candidates were found within ±500 s around or 1 day after the UTC time of the GW candidate event LVT151012 [1].

B. Constraints on the sources of GW

The absence of neutrino candidates allows us to place upper limits to the UHE neutrino flux from GW150914 and GW151226 (in the following we restrict ourselves to the 2 confirmed GW events) as a function of equatorial declination δ. The expected number of events for a neutrino flux \( dN_{\nu}^{\text{GW}}/dE_{\nu}(E_{\nu}) \) from a point-like source at declination δ is given by

\[
N_{\text{event}} = \int_{E_{\nu}} \frac{dN_{\nu}^{\text{GW}}}{dE_{\nu}} (E_{\nu}) E_{\nu} (E_{\nu}, \delta) dE_{\nu},
\]

where \( E_{\nu} (E_{\nu}, \delta) \) is the effective exposure to a point-like flux of UHE neutrinos as a function of neutrino energy \( E_{\nu} \) and declination. For each channel ES and DGH we calculate the exposure to UHE neutrinos \( E_{\nu}^\text{ES}(E_{\nu}, \delta) \) and \( E_{\nu}^\text{DGH}(E_{\nu}, \delta) \), respectively, following the procedure explained in [21] [23]. The exposure is obtained by integrating the SD aperture (area × solid angle) over the search period \( T_{\text{search}} \), multiplied by the neutrino cross section for each neutrino channel, and weighted by the selection and detection efficiency obtained from Monte Carlo simulations [21]. When integrating over the search period, we only consider the fraction of time when the source is visible from the SD of Auger within the zenith angle range of the corresponding neutrino selection. In any of the search periods the performance of the SD array was very stable, in particular there were no large periods of inactivity as confirmed using the continuous monitoring of the Auger SD array.

Assuming a standard \( E_{\nu}^{-2} \) energy dependence for a constant UHE neutrino flux per flavor from the source of GW150914 or GW151226, namely, \( dN_{\nu}^{\text{GW}}/dE_{\nu} = k_{\nu}^{\text{GW}} E_{\nu}^{-2} \), a 90% CL upper limit on \( k_{\nu}^{\text{GW}} \) can be obtained as

\[
k_{\nu}^{\text{GW}} (\delta) = \frac{2.39}{\int_{E_{\nu}} E_{\nu}^{-2} E_{\nu} (E_{\nu}, \delta) dE_{\nu}}.
\]

We applied Eq. (3) to obtain upper limits to the normalization of the flux \( k_{\nu}^{\text{GW}} (\delta) \) and \( k_{\nu}^{\text{DGH}} (\delta) \) in each channel. The combined upper limit to the normalization \( k_{\nu}^{\text{GW}} (\delta) \) of the flux is obtained as \( (k_{\nu}^{\text{GW}})^{-1} = (k_{\nu}^{\text{ES}})^{-1} + (k_{\nu}^{\text{DGH}})^{-1} \).

Systematic uncertainties are incorporated in the upper limit in Eq. (3) and were taken into account using a semi-Bayesian extension [30] of the Feldman and Cousins approach [31] (see Table II in [21] for a detailed account of the main sources of systematic uncertainties).

From the limits to the flux normalization we obtained upper limits to the UHE neutrino spectral fluence radiated per flavor in a similar fashion to those obtained in [19]:

\[
E_{\nu}^2 \frac{dN_{\nu}}{dE_{\nu}} \times T_{\text{search}} = k_{\nu}^{\text{GW}} (\delta) T_{\text{search}}
\]

where \( T_{\text{search}} = 1 \text{ day} + 500 \text{ s} \) is the total search period interval. Here it is assumed that the sources of GW events emit UHE neutrinos continuously during the search period. The constraints on spectral fluence are shown in Fig. 3 and depend strongly on the source direction. The dependence is mainly driven by the fraction of the time a source at declination δ is within the field of view of the ES and DGH analyses. The upper limit to the fluence is dominated by the intrinsically-larger sensitivity of the ES analysis to UHE neutrinos at energies above 100 PeV. The constraints on the spectral fluence are above 3 GeV cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), and are very similar for both GW150914 and GW151226 as shown in Fig. 3 since the performance and number of active water-Cherenkov stations of the SD array are equally stable in each of the 1-day periods of time after each GW event.

Assuming that the radiated spectrum has a \( E_{\nu}^{-2} \) dependence on neutrino energy above \( E_{\nu} = 100 \text{ PeV} \) [17], the corresponding upper limit to the total fluence is obtained by integrating the spectral fluence over the interval. Finally, it is straightforward to obtain constraints on the total energy radiated in neutrinos \( E_{\nu,\text{tot}}(\delta) \) assuming the source is located at a luminosity distance \( D_{\text{s}} \). The most restrictive upper limits on the total energy emitted per flavor in UHE neutrinos are achieved at declination \( \delta \sim -53^\circ \)

\[
E_{\nu,\text{tot}}(\delta = -53^\circ) < 7.7 \times 10^{53} \text{erg}, \text{ for GW150914 (5)}
\]

and at \( \delta \sim 55^\circ \)

\[
E_{\nu,\text{tot}}(\delta = 55^\circ) < 7.9 \times 10^{53} \text{erg}, \text{ for GW151226. (6)}
\]

The most restrictive upper limits on the total energy radiated in gravitational waves \( E_{\text{GW}} \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
T_{\text{search}} = 1 \text{ day} + 500 \text{ s} \text{ is the total search period interval. Here it is assumed that the sources of GW events emit UHE neutrinos continuously during the search period. The constraints on spectral fluence are shown in Fig. 3 and depend strongly on the source direction. The dependence is mainly driven by the fraction of the time a source at declination δ is within the field of view of the ES and DGH analyses. The upper limit to the fluence is dominated by the intrinsically-larger sensitivity of the ES analysis to UHE neutrinos at energies above 100 PeV. The constraints on the spectral fluence are above 3 GeV cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), and are very similar for both GW150914 and GW151226 as shown in Fig. 3 since the performance and number of active water-Cherenkov stations of the SD array are equally stable in each of the 1-day periods of time after each GW event.

Assuming that the radiated spectrum has a \( E_{\nu}^{-2} \) dependence on neutrino energy above \( E_{\nu} = 100 \text{ PeV} \) [17], the corresponding upper limit to the total fluence is obtained by integrating the spectral fluence over the interval. Finally, it is straightforward to obtain constraints on the total energy radiated in neutrinos \( E_{\nu,\text{tot}}(\delta) \) assuming the source is located at a luminosity distance \( D_{\text{s}} \). The most restrictive upper limits on the total energy emitted per flavor in UHE neutrinos are achieved at declination \( \delta \sim -53^\circ \)

\[
E_{\nu,\text{tot}}(\delta = -53^\circ) < 7.7 \times 10^{53} \text{erg}, \text{ for GW150914 (5)}
\]

and at \( \delta \sim 55^\circ \)

\[
E_{\nu,\text{tot}}(\delta = 55^\circ) < 7.9 \times 10^{53} \text{erg}, \text{ for GW151226. (6)}
\]

The most restrictive upper limits on the total energy radiated in gravitational waves \( E_{\text{GW}} \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{For the case of GW151226 since the total energy released in GW is 3 times smaller the corresponding best upper limit on } f_{\nu} \text{ is:}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
f_{\nu}(\delta = 55^\circ) < 44.1% \text{ for GW151226, (8)}
\]

assuming the source is located at \( D_{\text{s}} = 440 \text{ Mpc} \).
III. DISCUSSION

The results in this work represent the first upper limits on UHE neutrino emission from an identified source of GW - the merger of two black holes - and the first follow-up of GW events with neutrinos of energies above 100 PeV.

The upper limits on fluence emitted in the form of UHE neutrinos are strongly declination-dependent. With the SD of the Pierre Auger Observatory we are sensitive to a large fraction of the declination range in which the sources of GW150914 and GW151226 could be located at the 90% CL as shown in Fig. 3.

While our most stringent upper limit to the total energy in the form of UHE neutrinos for the GW150914 event is $\sim 7.7 \times 10^{53}$ erg per flavor at $\delta_0 = -53^\circ$, the IceCube/ANTARES best upper limit ($\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu$) is $\sim 5.4 \times 10^{51}$ erg at declinations close to the equator [19]. However, the IceCube/ANTARES limits apply in the energy range [100 GeV, 100 PeV] while the Auger limits apply in the complementary energy range [100 PeV, 25 EeV].

In [16] it was argued that black-hole mergers would have sufficient luminosity to power the acceleration of cosmic rays up to 100 EeV. With a modest efficiency $\lesssim 0.03$ per GW event per unit of gravitational-wave energy radiated in UHE ν [erg]
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Figure 3. Top panel: Upper limits to the UHE neutrino spectral fluence per flavor (see Eq. (4)) from the source of GW150914 as a function of equatorial declination $\delta$. Fluences above the black solid line are excluded at 90% CL from the non-observation of UHE neutrinos in Auger. The 90% CL declination bands of the GW150914 are indicated in the plot by the shaded rectangles. Bottom panel: Same as the top panel for the GW event GW151226.

Figure 4. Top panel: Constraints on $E_{\nu,\text{tot}}$ the energy radiated in UHE neutrinos (per flavor) from the source of GW150914 as a function of equatorial declination $\delta$. Energies above the black solid line - assuming the luminosity distance to the source is $D_s = 410$ Mpc - are excluded at the 90% CL from the non-observation of UHE neutrinos in Auger. The long-dashed line represents the constraints if the source is farther away at $D_s = 410 + 160$ Mpc, and the short-dashed line if the source is closer to Earth at $D_s = 410 - 180$ Mpc corresponding to the 90% CL interval of possible distances to the source. For reference the dot-dashed black horizontal line represents $E_{GW} \approx 5.4 \times 10^{54}$ erg, the inferred energy radiated in gravitational waves from GW150914 [1-2]. The limits 90% CL declination bands of the GW150914 are indicated in the plot by the shaded rectangles. Bottom panel: Same as the top panel for GW151226 but in this case $D_s = 440 + 180$ Mpc and the energy released in the form of GW is $E_{GW} \approx 1.8 \times 10^{54}$ erg.
energy release radiated in the form of UHECRs and given the inferred rate of BH mergers \cite{1}, a source population of this type could accelerate the energy budget needed to explain the observed UHECRs \cite{10}. In this work we place a most stringent upper limit on the fraction of GW energy channeled into neutrinos of \(\sim 14\%\). If only 3\% of the energy of the GW is channeled into UHECRs \cite{10}, and the same energy goes into UHE neutrinos, then we would expect at most on the order of 0.5 events in Auger in coincidence with GW150914.

An upper bound to the diffuse single-flavor neutrino flux integrated over a source population of this type was estimated also in \cite{10},

\[
E_\nu^2 \frac{dN_\nu}{dE_\nu} \bigg|_{\text{diffuse}} \lesssim (1.5 - 6.9) \times 10^{-8} \text{ GeV cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}, \tag{9}
\]

depending on the evolution with redshift of the sources and assuming an optical depth \(\tau = 1\) to neutrino production in the debris surrounding the BH mergers. This upper bound is a factor between \(\sim 3\) and 10 above the limit to the diffuse flux of UHE neutrinos obtained with Auger data up to 20 June 2013 in \cite{21}, namely,

\[
E_\nu^2 \frac{dN_\nu}{dE_\nu} \bigg|_{\text{Auger}} < 6.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ GeV cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}. \tag{10}
\]

It is possible that there are no significant fluxes of UHE neutrinos associated with the coalescence of black holes, more phenomenological work in this area is needed. In the case that cosmic rays are indeed accelerated as suggested in \cite{10}, our constraints on the diffuse flux of UHE neutrinos would imply that either (1) the optical depth to neutrino production is significantly smaller than 1 as expected in GRB models; or (2) only a fraction of the luminosity that can be extracted from the BH can be invested in UHECRs acceleration, or (3) only a fraction of the energy of the protons goes into charged pions (that are the parents of the neutrinos); or (4) a combination of the three possibilities.

The Advanced LIGO-Virgo detection of GW150914 and GW151226 represents a breakthrough in our understanding of the Universe. Similar analyses to those presented in this work will be important to provide constraints on the progenitors of the GW emission. Given the inferred rate of events \(9 - 240 \text{ Gpc}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}\) \cite{11} new GW events can be expected in the near future, closer to Earth and/or more energetic, and/or produced by another type of source that is more likely to accelerate UHECRs and produce UHE neutrinos than the merger of two black holes, such as for instance binary neutron-star mergers, and core-collapse supernovae with rapidly-rotating cores \cite{32,33}.

Finally, the detection of UHE neutrino candidates in Auger in coincidence with GW events could help in pinpointing the position of the source of GW with an accuracy that depends on the shower zenith angle and energy, ranging from less than \(\sim 1 \text{ deg}^2\) to order 10\( \text{ deg}^2\) in the least favourable cases. This is to be compared with the currently known position of the two GW events, namely a few 100\( \text{ deg}^2\). Observations with Auger can significantly constrain the position of the source and help the follow-up of the GW events with optical and other observatories of electromagnetic radiation. This is an example where multimessenger observations (GW, neutrinos and photons) can reveal properties of the sources which may not be discerned from one type of signal alone.
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