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Abstract: The international Muon Ionization Cooling Experiment (MICE) will perform a systematic investigation of ionization cooling with muon beams of momentum between 140 and 240 MeV/c at the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory ISIS facility. The measurement of ionization cooling in MICE relies on the selection of a pure sample of muons that traverse the experiment. To make this selection, the MICE Muon Beam is designed to deliver a beam of muons with less than ~1% contamination. To make the final muon selection, MICE employs a particle-identification (PID) system upstream and downstream of the cooling cell. The PID system includes time-of-flight hodoscopes, threshold-Cherenkov counters and calorimetry. The upper limit for the pion contamination measured in this paper is \( f_\pi < 1.4\% \) at 90% C.L., including systematic uncertainties. Therefore, the MICE Muon Beam is able to meet the stringent pion-contamination requirements of the study of ionization cooling.
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1 Introduction

The international Muon Ionization Cooling Experiment (MICE) [1], at the ISIS facility of the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (RAL), will demonstrate the principle of ionization cooling as a technique for reducing the phase-space volume occupied by a muon beam. Ionization-cooling channels are required for neutrino factories [2–7] and muon colliders [8–11], since this is the only known technique that can achieve the required cooling performance within the short muon lifetime.

Ionization cooling [12, 13] is accomplished by passing the muon beam through a low-Z material (the “absorber”), in which it loses energy via ionization, reducing both the longitudinal and transverse components of momentum. The lost energy is restored by accelerating the beam such that the longitudinal component of momentum is increased, while the transverse components remain unchanged. The net effect is to reduce the emittance of the beam. Beam transport through the absorbers and accelerating structures is achieved using a solenoid-focusing lattice. Cooling factors of between 2 and 50 are required for recent neutrino factory designs [7, 14], but much greater (∼10^6) six dimensional (6D) cooling is required for a muon collider.

Three lithium hydride (LiH) absorbers, two radio-frequency (RF) cavities and two Focus Coil solenoid magnets will be used to reduce the transverse emittance of the muon beam by up to 8%, depending on the beam configuration [15]. The goal of MICE is to measure the transverse normalised emittance before and after the cooling cell with an accuracy of 0.1%. This is achieved using two spectrometers consisting of scintillating-fibre trackers inside solenoid magnets [16]. Any unidentified contamination in the muon beam from pions and electrons can affect the accuracy of the measurement of the muon-beam emittance. Electrons are identified using a time-of-flight (TOF) system [17] and an Electron-Muon Range (EMR) detector [18, 19] after the cooling channel. Pions in the beam are also identified by the TOF system, two aerogel Cherenkov detectors [20], a preshower calorimeter (Kloe-Light or KL) [21] and the EMR. In order to achieve 0.1% accuracy in the emittance measurement, it is essential that the muon sample selected in the beam has a pion contamination below ∼1%. The particle identification should achieve a pion rejection factor
between 10 and 100, so a pion contamination in the beam of $\sim 1\%$ should reduce the misidentified pion contamination in the muon sample to less than $0.1\%$, required to achieve the physics goals. The pion contamination of the MICE Muon Beam was measured in dedicated data-taking runs in order to qualify the muon beam and to ensure that MICE can achieve its stated physics goals [21, 22].

The paper is organised as follows: a brief description of the MICE experiment is included in section 2, the MICE Muon Beam is described briefly in section 3, the analysis method is described in section 4 and the results and systematic errors are given in section 5, followed by a brief conclusion (section 6).

## 2 MICE apparatus

The MICE experiment, shown schematically in figure 1, is similar to the cooling channel for the International Design Study for the Neutrino Factory [7], and differs from the original cooling channel design in [4]. It consists of one primary lithium-hydride (LiH) absorber, two secondary absorbers, two focus coils and two 201 MHz RF cavities that provide an accelerating gradient of $\sim 10.3 \text{ MV/m}$. The two superconducting focus-coil modules ensure that the transverse betatron function is minimised at the position of the absorbers, thereby increasing the cooling performance of the channel.

For a muon beam entering the cell with a nominal momentum of 200 MeV/c and 4D normalised emittance $\epsilon_N = 5.8\pi \text{ mm} \cdot \text{rad}$, a 6% cooling effect is expected [23]. Conventional emittance-measurement techniques based on beam-profile monitors cannot achieve the required precision, so MICE has been designed as a single-particle experiment, in which each muon is measured using state-of-the-art particle detectors and the bunched muon-beam is reconstructed offline [22]. The tracking spectrometers [16] upstream and downstream of the cooling cell consist of scintillating-fibre tracking modules inside solenoid magnetic fields that measure the emittance before and after the cooling cell. These are required to measure the normalised transverse emittance, $\epsilon_N$, with a precision $\sigma_{\epsilon_N}/\epsilon_N \sim 0.1\%$.

The MICE instrumentation includes a PID system that allows a pure muon beam to be selected. The PID system consists of scintillator time-of-flight $x/y$ hodoscopes TOF0, TOF1 and TOF2 [17] read at both ends of each scintillator slab by fast Hamamatsu R4998 photomultiplier (PMT) tubes [24], and two threshold Cherenkov counters Ckova and Ckovb [20]. The TOF system
is required to tag electrons and pions in the muon beam with a rejection factor exceeding 99%. Furthermore, the precision of the TOF time-measurement must be sufficient to allow the phase at which the muon enters the RF cavities to be determined to 5°. To satisfy these requirements, the resolution of each TOF station must be \( \sim 50 \text{ ps} \). The TOF resolutions obtained are 55 ps for TOF0, 53 ps for TOF1 and 50 ps for TOF2 [25, 26].

The two Cherenkov detectors have been designed to guarantee muon-identification purities better than 99.7% in the momentum range 210 MeV/c to 365 MeV/c [27]. The TOF and the Cherenkov systems work in combination with the upstream tracking spectrometer [16] to identify the particles [21, 28].

TOF2 [29] and a calorimeter system allow muon decays to be identified and rejected downstream of the cooling cell. The calorimeter system for MICE consists of the KLOE-Light (KL) lead-scintillator sampling calorimeter, similar to the KLOE design [30] but with thinner lead foils, designed to serve as a preshower for the EMR totally-active scintillating detector. The main roles of the KL and EMR detectors are to distinguish muons from decay electrons and pions. In this paper, however, the pion contamination of the MICE Muon Beam is measured on a statistical basis using data taken before the MICE tracking spectrometers and the EMR were installed. The analysis is accomplished by combining the TOF velocity information with the KL calorimetric information. The KL calorimeter is composed of scintillating fibres and extruded lead foils, with an active volume of \( 93 \times 93 \times 4 \text{ cm}^3 \). It has 21 cells, and the light from its scintillating fibres is collected by 42 Hamamatsu R1355 PMTs. The PMT signals are sent via a shaper module to 14 bit CAEN V1724 flash ADCs. The shapers stretch the signal in time in order to match the flash ADC sampling rate. A detailed description of KL is given in [21].

### 3 MICE Muon Beam

The required normalised transverse emittance range of the MICE Muon Beam is \( 3 \leq \epsilon_N \leq 10\pi \text{ mm}\cdot\text{rad} \), with mean momentum in the range \( 140 \leq p_\mu \leq 240 \text{ MeV/c} \) and a root-mean-squared (RMS) momentum spread of \( \sim 20 \text{ MeV/c} \). A pneumatically operated “diffuser”, consisting of tungsten and brass irises of various thicknesses, is placed at the entrance to the upstream spectrometer solenoid in order to generate the required range of emittance. In order to perform the muon-emittance measurement with the required accuracy of 0.1% it is essential to limit the pion and electron contamination of the muon sample to less than 0.1%. This is achieved by designing a muon beam with \( \sim 1\% \) contamination and then by using the PID system to further identify electrons and pions passing through.

The design of the MICE Muon Beam is briefly summarised here (see figure 2) and is reported in detail in [21]. Pions produced by the momentary insertion of a titanium target [31] into the 800 MeV ISIS proton beam are captured using a quadrupole triplet (Q1–3) and transported to a first dipole magnet (D1), which selects particles of a desired momentum bite into the 5 T decay solenoid (DS). Muons produced by pions decaying in the DS are momentum-selected using a second dipole magnet (D2) and focussed onto the diffuser by a quadrupole channel (Q4–6 and Q7–9). By capturing pions of transverse momentum up to \( \sim 70 \text{ MeV/c} \), and increasing their path length by deflecting them onto helical trajectories, the decay solenoid increases the probability of muon capture between D1 and D2 by an order of magnitude compared to a simple quadrupole channel. In positive-beam running,
a borated polyethylene absorber of variable thickness is inserted into the beam just downstream of the DS in order to suppress the high rate of protons that are produced at the target [32].

The composition and momentum spectra of the beams delivered to MICE are determined by the interplay between the two bending magnets D1 and D2. In normal ("$\pi \rightarrow \mu$ mode," or "muon") operation, D2 is set to half the momentum of D1, selecting backward-going muons in the pion rest frame and producing an almost pure muon beam. Pions of high momentum that do not decay may be present in the beam and it is this small contamination that is the focus of the measurement presented in this paper. In the absence of a precise momentum measurement from the spectrometer, single-particle pion identification is not possible, since the particle mass cannot be obtained by combining the momentum with the velocity obtained from either the TOF or Cherenkov detectors. Therefore, the measurement has been performed on a statistical basis using the KL and TOF information. Alternatively, by setting $p_{D1} \approx p_{D2}$, a mixed beam containing pions, muons and electrons is obtained. This “calibration mode” is used to calibrate the particle identification detectors and is used in the analysis to provide “templates” for the particle-identification performance of the KL and TOF detectors to be determined.

The nominal values of the beam momenta, $p_\mu$, are those evaluated at the centre of the central LiH absorber, taking into account the energy lost by the particles along the muon beam in the TOF and Cherenkov detectors, the proton absorber (for positive polarity beams), the diffuser and the
Table 1. Summary of runs used in this analysis. The muon runs correspond to a nominal setting \((\varepsilon_N, p_\mu) = (6\pi \text{ mm} \cdot \text{rad}, 200 \text{ MeV/c})\). Reported momenta are at the entrance of the quoted detectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muon runs</th>
<th>Calibration runs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(p_{D2} ) (MeV/c)</td>
<td>(p_{TOF0} ) (MeV/c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>362</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

air along the particle trajectories. For example, a momentum at D2, \(p_{D2} = 238 \text{ MeV/c} \), implies a momentum value \(p_\mu = 200 \text{ MeV/c} \) at the centre of the central absorber.

Data were taken in December 2011 with the muon beam shown in figure 2, including the upstream TOF0 and TOF1 detectors, Cherenkov detectors and the downstream TOF2 and KL detectors, which were operated in a temporary position about 2 m downstream of TOF1. The precise distances between TOF0 (TOF1) and TOF1 (TOF2) in this configuration are respectively 773.3 cm and 198.8 cm. The correspondence between beam momentum at various points in the MICE beam for the muon-beam configuration and the different calibration beams used in this analysis is summarised in table 1.

4 Method for determining the contamination in the MICE Muon Beam

The purpose of the analysis presented here is to determine the pion contamination of the MICE Muon Beam by using information from the TOF system and the KL detector. Figure 3 shows distributions of the time-of-flight of particles between TOF0 and TOF1, with a positive \(\pi \rightarrow \mu \) beam of nominal momentum 200 MeV/c (figure 3a) and with a calibration beam of \(p_{D2} \approx 222 \text{ MeV/c} \) (figure 3b). An electron peak is observed that is well separated from the main muon peak, but the level of the pion contamination under the muon peak cannot be determined from this distribution alone, as the muon and pion distributions overlap. However, for the 222 MeV/c calibration beam, the electron, muon and pion peaks are well separated by their time-of-flight. The muon peak in the \(\pi \rightarrow \mu \) beam is broader than that of the calibration beam, since the muons selected by D2 originate from pion decays in a range of angles in the backward hemisphere of the pion rest frame [21].

The pion contamination under the muon peak was estimated using the G4beamline simulation package [33] and the MICE Applications User Software (MAUS) package [34] to simulate detector response. Figure 4a compares distributions of flight time from TOF0 to TOF1 for reconstructed positive-beam data and corresponding Monte Carlo simulations of \(6\pi \text{ mm} \cdot \text{rad} \) positive muon beams with nominal beam momentum \(p_\mu = 200 \text{ MeV/c} \). The electron contamination is underestimated in the Monte Carlo simulation because the simulation does not transport particles that interact in the
material at the edge of the beam acceptance, but charge exchange interactions can produce neutral pions, and these can decay to electrons and positrons in the beam line. Furthermore, the tail of the time-of-flight distribution is also underestimated in the Monte Carlo simulation. Due to these differences between data and Monte Carlo simulation, this pion contamination analysis is purely based on data, and the Monte Carlo simulation is only used to validate the method.

Figure 4b shows the momentum distribution at TOF1 of the electron, pion and muon peaks for the same Monte Carlo simulation, showing that the pion contamination under the muon peak is predominantly due to high momentum pions (with a smaller low momentum component) that are selected by the D2 dipole magnet and are subsequently transported by the beam. Since the muon sample and the higher-momentum pions that contaminate it have similar times of flight, the TOF detectors cannot be used to distinguish them from each other. Therefore, the residual pion contamination in the beam, after the application of time-of-flight requirements suitable for the selection of muons, can only be measured using the spectrum of energy deposited in KL. The pion contamination is a function of the position at which it is measured. According to the G4beamline simulation, the contamination under the muon peak at TOF0 is estimated to be 1.78%, reducing to 0.38% at TOF1 and 0.22% at KL.

The pion contamination is studied in positive-muon-beam runs with nominal beam momentum 200 MeV/c ($p_{D2} = 238$ MeV/c) and with a sample corresponding to approximately $270 \times 10^3$ triggers. The study is performed as a function of the time-of-flight of the beam particles in three distinct time-of-flight intervals (referred to below as “Points 1, 2 and 3”) the choice of which is dictated by the availability of calibration data for which the specified interval is populated mainly by muons or mainly by pions. Pairs of calibration runs for which muons and pions present time-of-flight values within the same range (see table 2) are defined for each point and are used to benchmark the KL response to muons or to pions of given time-of-flight. In figure 3a, the three points are highlighted in grey in the time-of-flight distribution of particles in the MICE Muon Beam.

The widths of the intervals were determined by taking into account the overlap regions between the calibration runs. In each of these time-of-flight intervals the spectra of the KL response can be
extracted for muons and pions separately from the calibration runs. These spectra are then used as templates for the response to muons and pions in that time-of-flight interval for the muon runs. As an example, figure 5 shows the time-of-flight distributions in two paired beam settings. The interval 28.0–28.6 ns in the TOF0–TOF1 time-of-flight (point 2) is populated mainly by muons for one beam setting and by pions for the other.

The minimum ionizing responses of muons and pions in the KL are similar, but pions can also undergo hadronic interactions, which are visible as a tail in the KL response to pions. The KL response to a particle is defined in terms of the product of the digitised signals from the left and right sides of each scintillator slab divided by their sum:

\[
ADC_{\text{product}} = 2 \frac{ADC_{\text{left}} \times ADC_{\text{right}}}{ADC_{\text{left}} + ADC_{\text{right}}},
\]

where the factor of 2 is present for normalisation.\(^1\)

The normalised ADC products are summed for all scintillator slabs in the KL that have a signal above a threshold. The KL response to muons and pions in calibration runs and to a particle mix in the \(\pi \rightarrow \mu\) beam mode are added together for the three TOF intervals (Points 1, 2 and 3) and shown in figure 6. An additional constraint was imposed that only one track was present in both

\(^1\)The normalised ADC product is used to compensate for light attenuation in the scintillator and to diminish the dependence of the PMT signals on the particle-hit position, since the optical fibres are characterised by two attenuation lengths [35].
Figure 5. Time-of-flight distributions in two paired beam settings. The interval 28.0–28.6 ns (shaded) is populated by muons (pions) in the upper (lower) plot.

Figure 6. Muon template (red stars) and pion template (blue squares) data for the sum of the three TOF data intervals from calibration runs, compared to MICE $\pi \to \mu$ beam data (black dots). The histogram is the result of a fit of the $\pi \to \mu$ beam to the fraction of pions and muons based on the two templates. Plots are normalised to unity.

the time-of-flight detectors, associated to only one hit in the KL detector. The distribution for the pions displays a larger tail than that for the muons, due to the presence of hadronic interactions. This feature is used in the following analysis to estimate the contamination on a statistical basis.

The MAUS simulation of the KL response was fine-tuned in order to match features observed in the data. The following features were taken into account:
Figure 7. Monte Carlo simulation of the muon template (red stars) and pion template (blue squares) for the sum of the three TOF data intervals, compared to the simulated MICE $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ beam data (black dots). The histogram is the result of a fit of the simulated $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ beam to the fraction of pions and muons based on the two templates. Plots are normalised to unity.

- Poisson smearing of the photon count produced in the scintillating fibres and the photoelectrons produced at the photocathode of the PMT;

- The distribution of photomultiplier gain, assumed to be Gaussian with mean $\sim 2 \times 10^6$ and standard deviation equal to half the gain [36]; and

- The conversion factors from photoelectrons to ADC counts (250,000 PE/ADC), from MeV to photoelectrons (0.000125 MeV/PE), the two-component scintillating-fibre attenuation lengths (2400 mm and 200 mm), the scintillating-fibre collection efficiency (3.6%), the light-guide collection efficiency (85%) and the photomultiplier-tube quantum efficiency (26%), in order to obtain $\sim 10^6$ ADC counts for a minimum-ionizing peak.

The Monte Carlo simulation of the KL response to muons and pions for the calibration runs and for the simulated $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ beam are shown in figure 7. The features of the simulated Monte Carlo KL response to pions and muons follow closely that from the data in figure 6.

The fraction of pions and muons in the $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ beam is extracted by exploiting the information contained in the full KL response spectrum for the sums of the three time-of-flight intervals. The method employs the ROOT TFractionFitter [37, 38] to fit the normalised muon and pion templates to the actual KL spectrum in the MICE data. This was carried out for both the extracted MICE data and for the simulated Monte Carlo distributions for the $6\pi \text{ mm} \cdot \text{rad}, 200 \text{ MeV/c} \pi \rightarrow \mu$ beam. The fits for the weighted sum of the three time-of-flight windows (27.4 ns–27.9 ns, 28.0 ns–28.6 ns, 28.9 ns–29.6 ns) are shown as histograms for the data in figure 6 and for the Monte Carlo simulation in figure 7. The fits take into account both data and template statistical uncertainties through a standard likelihood-fit method.
5 Results of the pion contamination in the muon beam and systematic errors

The data from the 6π mm · rad, 200 MeV/c muon beam encompassing the three time-of-flight windows includes $N_b = 129870$ beam events. The fractions of muon and pion events were allowed to converge without any restrictions. The total fitted number of muon events was $N_\mu = 130173$, which yields $N_\pi = -303 \pm 509$ pion events, compatible with zero. Similarly, for the Monte Carlo simulation, the fitted number of muon events $N_\mu^{MC} = 127772$ was also compatible with the number in the beam $N_b^{MC} = 127695$, which also yielded a number of pions compatible with zero, $N_\pi = -77 \pm 505$.

The Feldman-Cousins likelihood-ratio ordering-procedure [39] is a unified frequentist method to construct single- and double-sided confidence intervals for parameters of a given model adapted to data. It provides a natural transition between single-sided confidence intervals, used to define upper or lower limits, and double-sided ones. It is particularly useful near the boundaries of physical regions, while providing a true confidence interval. The Feldman-Cousins procedure was used to extract an upper limit of the pion contamination in the $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ beam at the KL detector position $f_\pi < 0.69\%$ at 90\% C.L. An upper limit for the Monte Carlo simulation at the KL position $f^{MC}_\pi < 0.86\%$ at 90\% C.L. was also derived, to be compared to the “true” pion contamination from the Monte Carlo simulation of $0.22 \pm 0.01\%$.

The sources of systematic errors considered in this analysis were:

- Finer subdivision of the time-of-flight windows;
- Shift in the calibration of the time-of-flight windows;
- Binning of the KL ADC histograms;
- Effects of muon contamination in the pion templates (pion contamination in the muon template was found to be negligible); and
- Loosening the constraint that there is only one hit in the KL detector ($N_{KL} = 1$) to having one or more hits in KL ($N_{KL} > 0$).

The systematic errors for both data and the Monte Carlo simulation on the pion contamination are given in table 3. The systematic error due to the dependence on the time-of-flight distribution was determined by further subdividing the time-of-flight ranges associated with each point. Doubling the number of time-of-flight bins varies the fitted pion contamination by 0.18\%. The dependence of the pion-fraction obtained on the time-of-flight calibration is determined by shifting independently the time-of-flight values in the calibration runs by an amount compatible with the electron peak position ($\pm 0.1$ ns). This results in a small variation in the pion contamination of 0.04\% for data and 0.28\% for Monte Carlo. The dependence on the histogram binning in the KL ADC distribution was also assessed by doubling and halving the bin-size to yield a variation in the fitted pion contamination of 0.14\% in data and 0.16\% in simulation. There is a small bias in the determination of the pion contamination due to the expected muon contamination in the pion template. For example, the nominal value is 25.1\% muons in the pion template for point 1, 26.1\% muons for point 2 and 26.2\% muons for point 3. Setting the muon contamination in the pion template to zero in the Monte Carlo results in a shift in the pion contamination in the $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ beam by 0.03\%. Loosening the number of KL hits from $N_{KL} = 1$ to $N_{KL} > 0$ results in a change in the fit of 0.25\%.
Table 3. Sources of systematic errors in the evaluation of the pion contamination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Assessment method</th>
<th>Absolute Impact on $\pi$ contamination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time-of-flight distribution</td>
<td>Finer subdivision</td>
<td>Data: 0.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MC: 0.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-of-flight calibration</td>
<td>Shift calibrations by ±0.1 ns</td>
<td>Data: 0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MC: 0.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histogram binning</td>
<td>Double/halve bin sizes</td>
<td>Data: 0.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MC: 0.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias due to contamination in templates</td>
<td>Create pure templates in MC</td>
<td>Data: 0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MC: 0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias in selection</td>
<td>Cut KL cell hits &gt; 0</td>
<td>Data: 0.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MC: 0.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>Data: 0.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MC: 0.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The quadratic sum of the total systematic errors is shown in the bottom row of table 3. The total systematic error for the pion contamination is found to be 0.34% in data and 0.45% in Monte Carlo. These systematic errors are used to obtain the following yields: $N_\pi = -303 \pm 509$ (stat)$\pm 442$ (syst) for the data and $N_\pi = -77 \pm 505$ (stat)$\pm 575$ (syst) for the Monte Carlo. The statistical and systematic errors are added in quadrature and the Feldman-Cousins procedure is repeated to extract new upper limits of the pion contamination in the $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ beam at the KL position of $f_\pi < 1.37\%$ at 90\% C.L. including systematic errors. An upper limit for the Monte Carlo simulation with systematic errors was also derived: $f_\pi^{MC} < 2.06\%$ at 90\% C.L. An analysis using only the TOF and Cherenkov detectors has obtained a comparable limit [40].

6 Conclusions

An upper limit to the pion contamination in the MICE Muon Beam at the position of the KL detector has been determined using precision time-of-flight counters in combination with the KL calorimeter. The measurements were carried out in a variety of time-of-flight windows and the analysis yielded a pion contamination compatible with zero. The Monte Carlo expectation for the pion contamination of a $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ beam of $6\pi$ mm $\cdot$ rad emittance and 200 MeV/c nominal momentum is $(0.22 \pm 0.01)\%$ at the KL. The upper limit for the pion contamination at the KL position was found to be $f_\pi < 1.4\%$ at 90\% C.L., including systematic errors. This upper limit on the pion contamination in the MICE Muon Beam, combined with the performance of the PID system, meets the experimental requirement.
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