Understanding and Remediating Social-Cognitive Dysfunctions in Patients with Serious Mental Illness Using Relational Frame Theory
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Impairments in social cognition and perspective-taking play an important role in the psychopathology and social functioning of individuals with social anxiety, autism, or schizophrenia-spectrum disorders, among other clinical presentations. Perspective-taking has mostly been studied using the concept of Theory of Mind (ToM), which describes the sequential development of these skills in young children, as well as clinical populations experiencing perspective-taking difficulties. Several studies mention positive results of ToM based training programs; however, the precise processes involved in the achievement of these improvements are difficult to determine. Relational Frame Theory (RFT) is a modern behavioral account of complex cognitive functions, and is argued to provide a more precise approach to the assessment and training of perspective-taking, among other relational skills. Results of RFT-based studies of perspective-taking in developmental and clinical settings are discussed. The development of training methods targeting perspective-taking deficits from an RFT point of view appears to provide promising applications for the enhancement of current treatments of people with social-cognitive dysfunctions.
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SOCIAL COGNITION

Social cognition has long been a focus in social, cognitive, and clinical psychology. Its importance is not surprising given that social cognition allows us to select, interpret and use social information (Aronson and Wilson, 2005), and that these in turn are critical to our understanding of self and others, the wider social context and how we can relate to these. It is equally well established that perspective-taking skills are central to social cognition, given that they enable us to distinguish between mental states of self and others, and to take the perspective of another. Indeed, perspective-taking is a pre-requisite for many aspects of complex social interaction, including empathy, irony, humor, and deception (Heagle and Rehfeldt, 2006; Barnes-Holmes, unpublished doctoral thesis).

Given the centrality of perspective-taking in social cognition, individuals with problems in the former naturally display a broad range of problems in the latter (Brüne and Brüne-Cohrs, 2006; Couture et al., 2006). For example, individuals with a diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder (ASD)
are well-known for impairments in mental state attribution, social reciprocity, emotion perception and empathy, all of which negatively affect social interaction and communication (Yirmiya et al., 1996; American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2000; Baron-Cohen et al., 2000).

It was suggested by Frith (1992) that similar deficits occur in individuals with a diagnosis of schizophrenia and account, to some extent, for characteristic features of social functioning that are observed in both positive and negative symptoms. Specifically, impairments in discriminating the mental states of the self and others may contribute to hallucinations and delusions, as well as the absence of meaningful social interaction. Furthermore, Hardy-Bayle (1994) argued that deficits in mental state attribution are associated with thought disorganization and the inability to abstract contextual information regarding social behavior. At the present time, there is a well-supported body of evidence from social-cognitive studies to suggest that individuals with a diagnosis of schizophrenia show impairments in mental state attribution (Corcoran et al., 1995; Sprong et al., 2007) and perspective-taking (Langdon et al., 2001; Villatte et al., 2010b), even during phases of remission (Bora et al., 2009).

During acute psychotic phases, treatment of schizophrenia mainly targets hallucinations and delusions through pharmacological means, with some short-term success (Slooff et al., 2006). However, life-long medication is often recommended (Kissling, 1991; Davis, 2006) and significant concerns with this type of regime surround the many unpleasant side effects observed (e.g., extrapyramidal symptoms, hypotension and intestinal complaints) and problems with medication compliance (Slooff et al., 2006). Some of these side effects have been reduced with the development of second-generation antipsychotic drugs, and improvements in negative symptoms have been reported (Woo et al., 2009). Still, pharmacological regimes that focus primarily on the suppression of positive symptoms leave impairments in social functioning and perspective-taking untouched. Psychosocial treatment focusing on these factors, therefore, complements current treatments of schizophrenia (Kern et al., 2009; Woo et al., 2009).

Given the pivotal role of perspective-taking in social functioning, it is not surprising that many other clinical categories that include social impairments also present with difficulties in perspective-taking or mental state attribution. Results from social-cognitive studies indicate that these include schizotypy (Langdon and Coltheart, 1999; Langdon et al., 2001; Platek et al., 2003; Pickup, 2006), frontotemporal dementia (Gregory et al., 2002), brain damage/degenerative brain disease (for an overview see Brüne, 2005), alcohol use disorder (Uekermann and Daum, 2008), cocaine use disorder (Hulka et al., 2013, 2015; Preller et al., 2014a,b), borderline personality disorder (Herpertz and Bertsch, 2014; Sharp et al., 2015), depression (Lee et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2008; Ladegaard et al., 2014), anxiety (Bodner et al., 2012; Samson et al., 2012) and obsessive compulsive disorder (Sayin et al., 2010). As a result, perspective-taking skills are of pivotal importance to many domains in clinical research and practice.
realistically assume, as the evidence suggests, that perspective-taking and social cognition more broadly operate through similar processes or mechanisms, neither concept “explains” what those mechanisms are. This conceptual shortfall not only limits our understanding of these skills in normative development and that which underpins clinical presentations, but also restricts the development of research methodologies and interventions. In the section below, the paper explores an alternative approach to understanding perspective-taking that emanates directly from Relational Frame Theory (RFT), a modern behavioral account of human language and cognition (Hayes et al., 2001).

RELATIONAL FRAME THEORY

Key Concepts
As one would expect from a behavioral theory, RFT concerns itself with the interactions between an organism and the environment, and the account is in this respect also philosophically contextual and functional. In short, RFT proposes that language and cognition primarily involve a learning process known as arbitrarily applicable relational responding (AARR). In short, complex and subtle contextual cues in the environment facilitate the derivation of arbitrary relations among stimuli or events. For example, if a speaker says “apples are like oranges,” the phrase “is like” acts as a contextual cue for the listener to derive a relation of similarity, or co-ordination, between apples and oranges. In addition, the words “apples” and “oranges” themselves are co-ordinated with the actual fruits symbolized by the words. Cognitive development is believed to involve the emergence of elaborate and complex networks of these relations (Blackledge, 2003), which we use in complex cognitive functions like problem-solving, humor, and perspective-taking. For example, understanding metaphor is believed to involve relating relational networks to other relational networks (Foody et al., 2014).

Empirical evidence on RFT across several decades supports the theory’s core concept of arbitrarily applicable relational responding (i.e. deriving relations) and indicates that these complex skills proliferate in the absence of direct training (Hayes et al., 2001). Furthermore, studies have identified a number of families of relational frames (i.e., patterns of relating) that share the same core processes but have different formal features. These may be summarized as: co-ordination (i.e., similarity or sameness); distinction (difference); opposition; comparison; hierarchy; and perspective-taking (known in RFT as deictic relations). There is also a growing body of evidence on the relationship between these skills and: language/higher cognition; IQ; developmental delays; and other clinical presentations (e.g., schizophrenia and social anxiety). For a recent book-length review of this evidence, see Dymond and Roche (2013).

The RFT Account of Perspective-Taking
For RFT, the deictic or perspective-taking relations lie at the very heart of language, complex cognition and a sense of self, because they comprise the constant perspective from which a verbally sophisticated individual interprets his/her environment. Specifically, the theory proposes three types of perspective-taking relations: the interpersonal relations of I and YOU; the spatial relations of HERE and THERE; and the temporal relations of NOW and THEN. Indeed, these concepts are highly similar to those widely used in the developmental literature and the specific literature on the concept of self (Howlin et al., 1999; Barnes-Holmes et al., 2001).

According to RFT, we learn to derive deictic relations across everyday questions such as “What are you doing there?” and “What was it like yesterday?” That is, common social interactions create a history in which specific words come to function as contextual cues for deriving the perspective-taking relations in a given context. Furthermore, the interpersonal, spatial and temporal relations interact with each other in various ways, thereby adding both complexity and constancy to our perspectives. For example, I always operates from the HERE and NOW, and from that perspective YOU/OTHERS are always anchored THERE and THEN. For RFT, these behaviors of relating comprise core features of one’s sense of self, as well as one’s sense of others.

Early empirical investigations of the perspective-taking relations, in RFT work with young children, have explored whether the three types of relations are in fact functionally distinct from one another, hence each constituting a valid functional concept. With this purpose, Barnes-Holmes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relation type</th>
<th>Simple relations</th>
<th>Reversed relations</th>
<th>Double reversed relations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I–YOU</td>
<td>Simple I–YOU</td>
<td>Reversed I–YOU</td>
<td>Double reversed I–YOU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERE–THERE</td>
<td>Simple I–YOU</td>
<td>Reversed I–YOU</td>
<td>Double reversed I–YOU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOW–THEN</td>
<td>I and reversed</td>
<td>I and reversed</td>
<td>I and double reversed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOW–THEN</td>
<td>NOW–THEN</td>
<td>HERE–THERE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YOU</td>
<td>YOU and reversed</td>
<td>HERE–THERE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOW–THEN</td>
<td>NOW–THEN</td>
<td>HERE–THERE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I and reversed</td>
<td>I and reversed</td>
<td>I and double reversed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HERE–THERE</td>
<td>HERE–THERE and</td>
<td>HERE–THERE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and simple</td>
<td>reversed</td>
<td>NOW–THEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOW–THEN</td>
<td>I and simple</td>
<td>NOW–THEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YOU</td>
<td>YOU and reversed</td>
<td>HERE–THERE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and simple</td>
<td>HERE–THERE and</td>
<td>NOW–THEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOW–THEN</td>
<td>reversed</td>
<td>NOW–THEN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 1 | The core relational skills involved in perspective-taking (McHugh et al., 2009).
performances on double reversals. The evidence also suggests
Furthermore, they show some competence on simple relations,
performances on NOW–THEN relations (McHugh et al., 2004).
performances on HERE–THERE relations, and weaker still
all ages show competence on I–YOU relations, poorer
and double reversed). For example, participants of almost
the three levels of relational complexity (simple, reversed
among the three perspective-taking relations and among
subsequently, have indicated clear functional distinctions
this way.
be instances, for example in complex logic, where one would
tasks would be rarely required in natural language, there may
complex relational trials even further. While these types of complex relational
relations, thus increasing complexity and interaction between
THEN). While these three types of deictic relations already show
considerable complexity, particularly in the ways in which they
interact with each other, Barnes-Holmes (unpublished doctoral
thesis) demonstrated even greater complexity by reversing and
further integrating the three relations. In short, trials were created
to assess the extent to which children were capable of taking the
perspective of another (e.g., reversing the functions of “I” and
"you"). Being able to do this would likely indicate some level of
relational flexibility. Indeed, complex social interactions are
embedded within the need to see the world as someone else
sees it, without losing our own perspective. Other variations
in the protocol include reversed HERE–THERE and NOW–
THEN relations, as well as two simultaneously reversed
deictic relations, thus increasing complexity and interaction between
relations even further. While these types of complex relational
tasks would be rarely required in natural language, there may
be instances, for example in complex logic, where one would
need the flexibility to be able to separate the relations in
this way.

The findings from the original research, and much
subsequently, have indicated clear functional distinctions
among the three perspective-taking relations and among
the three levels of relational complexity (simple, reversed
and double reversed). For example, participants of almost
all ages show competence on I–YOU relations, poorer
performances on HERE–THERE relations, and weaker still
performances on NOW–THEN relations (McHugh et al., 2004).
Furthermore, they show some competence on simple relations,
poorer performances on reversed relations and even poorer
performances on double reversals. The evidence also suggests
a developmental trend in the emergence of perspective-taking
skills according to these sequences, which is in line with
the developmental literature more broadly (Howlin et al.,
1999). Similarly, correlational analyses suggest at least some
potential overlap between the processes underpinning both
relational perspective-taking and ToM (Villatte et al., 2008,
2010b).

The Role of Deictic Relations in Clinical Samples
Following the early developmental RFT studies on perspective-
taking, the Barnes-Holmes protocol has been administered
in several clinical samples known for their perspective-taking
difficulties and interesting patterns of results have been observed.
For example, children with ASD have been found to have
lower accuracies than their typically developing peers, especially
regarding deictic reversals (Rehfeldt et al., 2007). McGuinness
(unpublished master's thesis) administered the protocol to nine
participants diagnosed with Asperger’s syndrome, and found
diminished performances on both reversed and double-reversed
trials. Furthermore, Villatte et al. (2010b) found lower accuracies
on the deictic protocol with individuals with a diagnosis of
schizophrenia, when compared to a control group. Again,
these difficulties were mainly recorded in the reversal trials
(Villatte et al., 2010b). Evidence of impaired flexibility in deictic
responding has also been recorded in participants categorized
as high in social anhedonia, in this case on double reversal
trials (Villatte et al., 2008). Also, lower accuracies on reversal
trials have been found with individuals experiencing social
anxiety (Janssen et al., 2014). Difficulties in responding to
deictic relations may result in problems in other areas of social
cognition as well. For example, in a similar relational protocol,
Villatte et al. (2010a) found that people with schizophrenia or
high social anhedonia were less accurate in attributing true
or false beliefs to another. Vilardaga (2009) also argued that
empathy could be understood by examining deictic perspective-
taking relations. Similar to more cognitive accounts of empathy
(Lamm et al., 2007), he emphasizes the importance of being
able to define the origin of the expected feelings, or in RFT
terms, of being able to accurately distinguish between one's own
perspective (HERE-NOW) and what is spoken about (THERE-
THEN).

Taken together, it is interesting that the relational protocol has
shown precise deficits in perspective-taking in samples, whose
diagnoses are characterized by difficult social relationships.
Furthermore, the combined results of these studies (based on
visual inspection of the data) show that these clinical samples
generally perform best on I–YOU trials, followed by NOW–
THEN trials, with poorer performances on HERE–THERE trials.
That is, while these individuals appear to experience more
difficulties in complex perspective-taking, the data also indicates
possible differences in the development of perspective-taking
relations compared to non-clinical populations. These results are
consistent with the Hardy-Baylé model of schizophrenia as well,
as they indicate that processing of spatial–temporal contextual
information in social interaction is deficient in people with
schizophrenia-spectrum disorders (Hardy-Bayle, 1994; Sarfati
and Hardy-Baylé, 1999).
Remediating Deficits in Deictic Relations

Currently, one area of RFT research is focusing on the remediation of these deficits as observed in various clinical samples. Specifically, several studies with typically developing children have already highlighted the utility of combining the original protocol trials with feedback as a successful means of establishing the target relational performances. For example, McHugh et al. (2008) demonstrated how these perspective-taking skills were successfully facilitated or established in small samples of young children (Barnes-Holmes, unpublished doctoral thesis; McHugh, unpublished doctoral thesis). Similar studies have found that these effects also generalize to natural language (Heagle and Rehfeldt, 2006; Weil et al., 2011). Overall, these results indicate that deictic relations can be successfully trained when they are deficient, and results from a few recent studies suggest that the same principles apply to clinical populations experiencing perspective-taking difficulties. In one of these studies, Jackson et al. (2014) successfully trained deictic relational responding in three children with an ASD, although generalization to ToM performance was not found (Jackson et al., 2014). The authors of that work suggested that a more extensive training protocol, targeting each perspective-taking relation separately in each level of complexity, might benefit learning outcomes with this population and help to improve generalization to ToM skills. Improvements in relational perspective-taking were also found in a study by Lovett and Rehfeldt (2014), who trained three adolescents with Asperger’s syndrome. O’Neill and Weil (2014) studied the effects of a similar training program in three patients diagnosed with schizophrenia and found improvements in deictic responding. Furthermore, all participants showed some improvements in ToM performance (O’Neill and Weil, 2014). In another study, the current authors are examining the effectiveness of relational perspective-taking training in samples with social anxiety, with initial unpublished results showing increased performances on these types of trials. In short, existing results suggest that perspective-taking can be successfully trained in clinical samples, and may thus potentially provide promising applications to benefit current treatments.

CONCLUSION

Thus far, RFT research has been able to determine precisely and functionally the components that comprise perspective-taking; has allowed for a detailed developmental profile of these skills from early childhood until adulthood; and has identified several populations experiencing difficulties in this area. Results of some initial RFT studies have suggested that perspective-taking can be trained and generalized successfully by combining deictic relational trials with feedback, and these principles are currently being examined in clinical populations experiencing perspective-taking difficulties. This type of research not only helps to clarify the key role of perspective-taking in social cognitive functioning, but also provides practical methods of relational training to complement current treatments of (social cognitive) psychopathology.
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