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Fig. 2. Doppler ultrasound scan of the lesion. Left: power Doppler image. Note the well-defined subcutaneous low echogenic mass with blood flow, indicated by the central whitish area. Cranial bone (arrowhead). Right: pulse Doppler image. Note the pulsatile and arterial flow.

Topical All-trans Retinoic Acid Does not Influence Minimal Erythema Doses for UVB Light in Normal Skin

Sir,

Retinoids are used in several skin disorders, such as acne, psoriasis and ichthyoses. It is well established that retinoids interfere with epidermal proliferation (1, 2), keratinization (3) and inflammation control (4). Retinoids influence UV-induced skin changes (5). Topical all-trans retinoic acid (RA) improves photodamaged skin (5, 6).

Parallel with the therapeutic effect, topical retinoids often cause irritation, with erythema and some scaling (7). Although the irritant effect is probably not involved in the therapeutic action of retinoids, it is possible that the irritation property of all-trans RA could be, in part, accountable for some therapeutic effects (1, 7).

In this study we would like to challenge the common belief that topical retinoids enhance UV-induced inflammation. We therefore evaluated the minimal erythema dose (MED) for UVB irradiation on topical all-trans RA (tretinoin cream 0.05%) pre-treated skin compared with vehicle cream pre-treated skin and untreated skin. The degree of erythema at different times before and after UVB irradiation was scored in the 3 different areas.

The following questions were addressed: (i) To what extent does a 7-day treatment of normal skin with all-trans RA 0.05% in its vehicle or its vehicle alone induce erythema? (ii) To what extent do these creams influence the MED for UV-B irradiation? (iii) What are the dynamics of erythema at different times before and after UVB irradiation was scored in the 3 different areas.

The study was a single-centre, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Approval of the Ethics Committee was obtained. A total of 15 healthy volunteers (8 men, 7 women) participated in the study. The mean age was 25 years (range 21 – 30 years). Their skin types were varying from type I to type III according to Fitzpatrick’s classification (8).

An area of 8 x 20 cm on the ventral upper part of one leg was treated twice daily with all-trans RA (tretinoin cream 0.05%), which is a frequently used clinical concentration, for 10 days. An area of the same size on the upper part of the other leg was treated with the vehicle of tretinoin cream (per 100 g: cremor cetomacrogolis 88 g, alcohol ketonatus 12 g and butylhydroxytolueen 40 mg) also twice daily and for 10 days. The application of both creams on the legs was selected at random and was applied in a double-blind manner. From the beginning of the application, until 4 days after the last application of the creams the volunteers were instructed to avoid sunlight exposure and not to wash the area to which the cream was applied for at least 1 h after each application.

On day 8 of treatment, irradiation of the skin was performed in 12 evaluable subjects in order to determine the MED with 3 series of 6 increasing intensities of UVB light related to the skin type of the subjects: 1 series on the all-trans RA cream pre-treated skin, 1 on the vehicle cream pre-treated skin and 1 on non-treated skin on the lateral side of the upper part of one leg. Each dose was given to a piece of skin of 4 cm². For UVB irradiation, UV21 lamps were used.

The MED was defined as the lowest UVB dose that caused a distinct erythema with sharp margins over the irradiated area 24 h after irradiation. For erythema the following scale was used: 0 = no erythema; 1 = weak erythema; 2 = moderate erythema; 3 = distinct erythema and 4 = severe erythema.
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results and discussions

Previous studies showed that erythema induced by topically applied retinoids is frequently seen during the first weeks of treatment and usually subsides with continued treatment. This erythematous reaction is clinically similar to a mild irritant dermatitis (9) and therefore is designated also as ‘retinoid dermatitis’ (10). In this study the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test showed a significant difference \( p < 0.01 \) between all-trans RA cream and its vehicle with respect to the induction of erythema (skin irritation) before UVB irradiation and therefore this study reconfirms the irritative effect of all-trans RA following twice daily applications during 7 days.

Dermatologists tend to avoid all-trans RA applications in conjunction with UV exposure in order to avoid additive irritation. Some studies investigated the relationship between pre-treatment with all-trans RA and UVB irradiation, regarding this expected additive irritation or intensity of erythema (11, 12). However, no data are shown about the question whether all-trans RA can influence the value of the MED for UVB light itself.

The present study showed no significant difference \( p < 0.05 \) as to MED values either 24 or 48 h after UVB irradiation between the all-trans RA cream treated skin, the vehicle cream treated skin and untreated skin (Fig.1) using the ANOVA test. When looking at the relationship between skin type and MED value a significant higher MED value was found in skin type 3 vs. skin type 1 and 2 \( p < 0.01 \). As both all-trans RA cream and the vehicle cream itself can induce erythema of the skin, it might be difficult to grade post UVB irradiation erythema in retinoid-treated areas. However, a marked difference was found in erythema pattern between cream-induced erythema and UVB-induced erythema. UVB-induced erythema showed a diffuse erythema, while (especially all-trans RA) cream-induced erythema showed a marked erythema restricted to the perifollicular areas. This perifollicular pattern may be related to the actions of all-trans RA on the pilosebaceous duct and can also be seen with other irritants, e.g. glycolic acid (13). These 2 distinct patterns of erythema permitted us to score both parameters independently and separately.

The present study shows that topical treatment with all-trans RA does not influence the response to a single exposure to UVB light and does not change the MED.
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