Orbit and spin resolved magnetic properties of size selected [CoₙRh]⁺ and [CoₙAu]⁺ nanoalloy clusters


Bi-metallic nanoalloys of mixed 3d–4d or 3d–5d elements are promising candidates for technological applications. The large magnetic moment of the 3d materials in combination with a high spin–orbit coupling of the 4d or 5d materials gives rise to a material with a large magnetic moment and a strong magnetic anisotropy, making them ideally suitable for example magnetic storage devices. Especially for clusters, which already have a higher magnetic moment compared to the bulk, these alloys can profit from the cooperative role of alloying and size reduction in order to obtain magnetically stable materials with a large magnetic moment. Here, the influence of doping of small cobalt clusters on the spin and orbital magnetic moment has been studied for the cations [Co8–rAu]⁺ and [Co8–rRh]⁺. Compared to the undoped pure cobalt [Co8]⁺ clusters we find a significant increase in the spin moment for specific [CoN–rAu]⁺ clusters and a very strong increase in the orbital moment for some CoN–rRh⁺ clusters, with more than doubling for Co2Rh⁺. This result shows that substitutional doping of a 3d metal with even just one atom of a 4d or 5d metal can lead to dramatic changes in both spin and orbital moment, opening up the route to novel applications.

1 Introduction

The study of finite size effects on magnetism has been an active research theme for years. It is widely known that reducing the dimensionality of a system gives rise to a generally much higher magnetic moment than in the bulk system.¹,² This is attributed to a reduced coordination number of the surface atoms for the smaller system, leading to less quenching of the magnetic moment. This increase of the magnetic moment is experimentally observed for the smallest possible systems, namely clusters consisting only out of a few atoms. Initially this was measured using Stern–Gerlach deflection, where only the total magnetic moment is resolved,³ but recently also using X-ray Magnetic Circular Dichroism (XMCD), which is sensitive to the spin and orbital magnetic moments.⁴,⁵

Not only does this enhancement of the magnetic moment occur for the 3d materials that are ferromagnetic in the bulk (Fe, Co, Ni).³–⁵ It is even shown that 4d or 5d systems, which are non-magnetic in the bulk, can show a substantial magnetic moment when they are reduced in size. This is true for example in 4d rhodium clusters.⁶,⁷ It has also been shown that alloying 3d and 4d metals can induce a magnetic moment on the 4d atoms. For CoRh this is observed experimentally (in the bulk,⁸ for clusters on a Xe matrix⁹ and for chemically prepared nanoparticles in a polymer matrix¹⁰–¹²). Also there has been a certain amount of theoretical work for CoRh, some of which included spin–orbit coupling (SOC)¹³,¹⁴ but most without.¹⁶–²¹ The reason that most work does not fully include SOC is that modern calculations still have difficulties properly accounting for the degree of quenching of orbital moments. However, the inclusion of SOC is important for comparison with the observed effects in this work. Specifically it is necessary in order to obtain values for the orbital magnetic moments in calculations.

We have studied the spin and orbit resolved magnetic moments of small (8 ≤ N ≤ 14) cobalt clusters doped with either one rhodium or one gold atom using XMCD on the L-edge of cobalt. We directly compare with the undoped cobalt clusters
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measured earlier, this enables us to isolate the specific change that alloying introduces on the magnetic properties. We observe in certain cases dramatic changes in both the spin and orbital moments upon doping the cobalt clusters. In the case of going from Co$_{13}^+$ to Co$_{13}$Rh$^+$ the orbital moment for example increases with more than 150%, indicating that even the substitution of one atom can have enormous consequences.

2 Experimental details

The experimental setup that we used is described in detail by Peredkov et al. In short we used X-ray Magnetic Circular Dichroism (XMCD) at the L$_2$ and L$_3$ absorption edge of cobalt to probe the magnetization in a spin and orbit resolved fashion.

2.1 Setup

Clusters are produced using pulsed laser vaporisation of a rotating thin foil which has a composition of either Co$_{90}$Rh$_{10}$ or Co$_{90}$Au$_{10}$. The ablation laser is a Nd:YAG-laser which is frequency doubled to emit at 532 nm with a repetition rate of 20 Hz and an energy per pulse of about 10 mJ. A piezo-valve pulses (≈ 40 μs) a helium carrier gas jet at a backing pressure of ∼15 bar into the source chamber. The Nd:YAG laser ablates atoms from the target foil into this helium pulse, which then condense into clusters of different charges and with a broad mass distribution. This cluster–helium mixture is then guided through a skimmer and through ion-optics in a manner that in our case only selects the positively charged cation clusters. Subsequently the clusters are steered by 90° by an electrostatic quadrupole deflector and guided via ion-optics into a Fourier-Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance (FT-ICR) ion trap. This FT-ICR serves multiple purposes of ion-trapping, mass selection, X-ray interaction region and mass detection. For these purposes the trapping cell is placed in a superconducting magnet which generates a homogeneous field of 7 T at the interaction region. The direction of the magnetic field is parallel to the initial cluster propagation and anti-parallel to the incoming X-ray beam. The ion trap is filled with around 20 clusters packages generated by 20 shots of the Nd:YAG laser and the unwanted masses are subsequently ejected. Once mass selection is achieved, a cryogenic He pulse is allowed to interact with the clusters. Through collisional cooling the clusters will achieve a thermal equilibrium at about 20 K. All experiments were performed at the GAMBIT setup at the UE52-PGM beamline at the Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin.

2.2 XMCD spectroscopy on clusters

In bulk materials the interaction of X-rays with samples can directly be monitored by looking at the change that the propagating light undergoes by for example being absorbed by a target. For free clusters in the gas phase this is not possible due to the low density of the clusters, which produce thus no observable change in the intensity of the X-rays upon absorption by the clusters. Therefore we need to employ an action-spectroscopy technique. In our case this means we look at the generated product ions after the interaction with the X-ray beam, as detailed in Fig. 1. By counting the obtained fragments as a function of the X-ray energy and polarization we can reconstruct the X-ray absorption spectra (XAS). From these XAS we extract the integral parameters A, B and C as shown in Fig. 2 and calculate the spin and orbital magnetization using the Sum rules:

$$m_{\text{orb}} = -\frac{4(A + B)}{3C}n_h$$

$$m_{\text{spin}} = -\frac{2(A - 2B)}{C}n_h - 7\langle T_z \rangle$$

with $n_h$ the number of holes per cobalt atom in the d-shell and $\langle T_z \rangle$ the anisotropic magnetic dipole term. In principle $\langle T_z \rangle$ can have a large contribution in bulk crystals. However, since the term is angle dependent, it averages out for randomly oriented samples like clusters. This is justified in detail in a study by Langenberg et al. where they measured the XAS for transition metal clusters at different external magnetic fields B. The magnetic field will align the magnetic moment of the clusters to a certain degree, which, depending on the value of $\langle T_z \rangle$ can change the X-ray absorption spectra. However, they find no change in the line shape of the XAS for different magnetic fields, which indicates the absence of natural linear dichroism and thus $\langle T_z \rangle$ can be approximated to be zero. We take $n_h = 2.5$ holes per atom as measured for pure cobalt clusters.
3 Results

All measured XMCD spectra are plotted in Fig. 3. All of the spectra clearly show the two resonant transitions, on both the \( L_3 \) and \( L_2 \) edge. Some features can be pointed out specifically. For Co\(_{n}\)Rh it can clearly be seen that the XMCD signal on the \( L_2 \) edge around 795 eV is changing strongly with cluster size. Especially for Co\(_{12}\)Rh the XMCD signal at the \( L_2 \) edge almost disappears. Using the sum rules (2) it can be understood that relatively speaking, the lower the \( L_2 \) dichroism signal is, the lower (more negative) \( B \) will be, indicating an increased orbital moment for this cluster.

The main results are shown in Fig. 4. Here our obtained results are compared with the magnetic moments of the pure cobalt clusters from Peredkov et al.\(^4\) The results are already temperature corrected using the Langevin function. To compare with the results from Peredkov et al., as was done before by Langenberg et al., we have reanalysed their data in a way that does not assume a decoupling of the spin and orbital moments, contrary to their original interpretation. From the figure it becomes clear that not all clusters show a significant deviation from their undoped counterpart. Also, doping with either Rh or Au does not always systematically increase or decrease \( \mu_{\text{spin}} \). For example, whereas for Co\(_8\)Au\(^+\) the gold atom introduces a decrease of \( \mu_{\text{orb}} \), for Co\(_{12}\)Au\(^+\) the gold doping slightly increases \( \mu_{\text{orb}} \). The influence of doping is thus strongly dependent on the
cluster size. It therefore becomes necessary to consider each individual cluster size.

9 atoms. For a cluster with 9 atoms, we will compare Co$_9^+$ and Co$_9^{Au+}$. Peredkov et al. found for the spin and orbital moments respectively $\mu_{\text{spin}} = 2.06 \mu_B$ per atom and $\mu_{\text{orb}} = 0.62 \mu_B$ per atom. When we replace one cobalt atom with gold, we obtain Co$_9^{Au+}$ and we measure a slight increase of the spin moment to $\mu_{\text{spin}} = 2.31 \pm 0.23 \mu_B$ per atom but a significant decrease of 39% in the orbital moment to $\mu_{\text{orb}} = 0.38 \pm 0.06 \mu_B$ per atom as can be seen also in Fig. 5. The decrease in the orbital moment for this size is remarkable, since in general when looking at different sizes of Co$_N^{AuM}$ alloys it is found that both the orbital moments as well as the magnetic anisotropy increase with gold doping.$^{26,27}$

10 atoms. For Co$_{10}^{Au+}$, as can be seen in Fig. 5, both the spin and orbital moments seem to be slightly increased compared to the Co$_{10}^+$ cluster, but the error bars (Fig. 4) of both individual measurements overlap. As is the case for most transition metal clusters, there have so far not been any calculations done for the Co$_{10}$ cluster having spin–orbit coupling fully included, nor has the gold doped Co$_{10}^{Au}$ been calculated.

11 atoms. Co$_{11}^{Au+}$ has an almost unchanged orbital moment compared to Co$_{11}^+$ but a significant increase of 28% in $\mu_B$ per cobalt atom for the spin moment. The situation is opposite for Co$_{11}^{Rh^+}$, where the spin moment overlaps with Co$_{11}^+$ but the orbital moment is decreased by 24%.

12 atoms. While for Co$_{12}^{Au+}$ the spin moment was enhanced, for Co$_{12}^{Au+}$ the spin moment drops sharply down to the undoped value within the error bars. Also the orbital moment remains almost the same compared to the undoped value.

For Co$_{12}^{Rh^+}$ the spin moment overlaps with Co$_{12}^{Au+}$ but the orbital moment is significantly enhanced, with 51% compared to Co$_{12}^+$, starting an increase of orbital moment which will have it’s maximum for Co$_{12}^{Rh^+}$. It is not clear where this strong increase of the orbital moment comes from. We will discuss possible reasons later on, in the context of an even stronger increase for Co$_{13}^{Rh^+}$. Also for this size there have been no calculations with spin–orbit coupling fully included to compare with.

13 atoms. Clusters with 13 atoms have been intensively studied.$^{29–31}$ For Co$_{13}^{Au+}$ we find an increase in the orbital moment of about 48% while the spin moment does not significantly change. In the case of rhodium, for Co$_{13}^{Rh^+}$ the spin moment also does not change significantly, however the orbital moment changes drastically. We find an increase of 164% compared to Co$_{13}^+$. This is also visualized later in Fig. 8, where the ratio $\mu_{\text{orb}}/\mu_{\text{spin}}$ is plotted. The average value is around 0.25 for most clusters but is highly increased to more than 0.5 for Co$_{13}^{Rh^+}$.

We can see this difference already originate in the XAS spectra as visualized in Fig. 6. This most visible change is that the $\sigma^+$ of the L$_3$ edge is much lower for Co$_{13}^+$ than for Co$_{13}^{Rh^+}$. This gives a larger XMCD signal for this edge as indicated in the graph. For the positive XAS the difference is less pronounced. This in combination with an already low XMCD signal on the L$_3$ edge, as pointed out before, indicates a high orbital moment.

In Fig. 7 some geometries of Co$_{13}$ and Co$_{12}^{Rh}$ are depicted. The icosahedron geometry for Co$_{13}$ is found from density function theory (DFT) calculations that we did$^{28}$ using the RevTPSS functional without spin–orbit coupling fully included. The found geometries are subsequently compared with experimental data using vibrational spectroscopy in order to determine
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**Fig. 5** Percentile increase of the magnetic moments compared to the pure Co clusters measured by Peredkov et al.$^4$

**Fig. 6** Difference between the XAS and XMCD spectra between Co$_{13}^+$ from Peredkov et al. and Co$_{12}^{Rh^+}$ from this work. We have given both $\sigma^+$ and $\sigma^-$ spectra an artificial offset to better distinguish the different traces in the graph. The offset is the same for both Co$_{13}^+$ and Co$_{12}^{Rh^+}$.

**Fig. 7** Geometry of the Co$_{13}$ cluster as calculated in our group by Jalink et al.$^{28}$ (left and middle) compared with Co$_{12}^{Rh}$ as calculated by Aguiler-Granja et al.$^{21}$ (right).
the geometrical ground state. We are aware that theoretical studies exist that find a preference for a hexagonal growth pattern in Co clusters, and specifically a slight preference for hexagonal geometry for Co_{13} but in those studies there has been no comparison with experimental data. The geometry for Co_{13}Rh is taken with permission from Aguilera-Granja et al., calculated using the PBE form of GGA, without calculating the orbital magnetic moments.

When we consider the absolute increase of orbital moment per atom, from 0.43 ± 0.05 μ_B per atom to 1.14 ± 0.19 μ_B per atom, taking the error bars into account this would give a minimum increase of 0.47 μ_B per atom. This strong increase in orbital moment can either be attributed to a polarization of the Co atoms surrounding the dopant Rh atom or to a dopant induced geometry change.

15 atoms. The largest cluster size that we have measured has 15 atoms. Here for both the Rh and Au dopant the orbital and spin moment stay, within error bars, the same as the undoped case. It is to be expected that the influence of one dopant atom will decrease with increasing cluster size, as the cluster will be less perturbed if just one of many atoms is replaced. To support this assumption however, more measurements have to be done, either by going to heavier clusters or increasing the doping per parent atoms. This it can do by hybridization with the pure cobalt cluster, while the spin moment remains within error bars unchanged. No calculations have been done for these clusters.

14 atoms. Compared to Co_{14} the Au doped Co_{13}Au⁺ shows minimal, non-significant changes in both the spin and the orbital moment. Only the Rh doped cluster shows for this size a small change, the orbit moment drops slightly, by 17% compared to the pure cobalt cluster, while the spin moment remains within error bars unchanged. No calculations have been done for these clusters.

3.2 How can doping influence the magnetic properties?
As briefly discussed later, theory cannot yet give a full explanation of the magnetic properties in small transition metal clusters.

Possible origins for the strong increase in the orbital moment for substitutional doping with Rh have thus to be found in an induced magnetic polarization on the Co atoms or in a possible geometry change. For the latter it is known that the strong spin–orbit coupling in the 4d and 5d metals can influence the

3.1 Comparison with previous XMCD experiments
As stated before, we consider the ranges of [Co_{n−1}Au]^+ and [Co_{10−Rh}]^+, always attaching one single dopant atom to a cobalt cluster. Recently, Langenberg et al. remeasured XMCD data for pure Co clusters. They found that the spin moments they obtain are larger than the spin moments obtained for the same clusters by Peredkov et al. In fact, their range of spin moments is closer to our results for Co_{n}Au⁺ clusters. However, as also pointed out before, the orbit/spin ratio is a more reliable way of comparing data because this will cancel out possible errors in for example the degree of circular polarization and the number of occupied 3d states. In Fig. 8 it is shown that the μ_{orb}/μ_{spin} ratio obtained by Peredkov et al. and Langenberg et al. are comparable. It has to be noted that since our results are measured using the same experimental setup as Peredkov et al. used, our results can be directly compared to their measurements for pure cobalt clusters even when the results from Langenberg et al. do not match completely.

We are therefore forced to form models based on more qualitative arguments. In general the magnetic moment of a doped material can change in three ways. First, the dopant atom can have a very high magnetic moment, which it can either intrinsically possess, or it can be polarized by the parent atoms. Second, the dopant may be a source of magnetic polarization on the neighbouring parent atoms. This it can do by hybridization with the parent orbitals, which can either lead to a different orbital moment or to a change in the spin moment. Third, the dopant can energetically favour a different ground state isomer geometry, which can lead to different magnetic properties.

The first option, the magnetic moment on the dopant atoms itself has been studied for example by Harp et al. Here they discuss doping a bulk transition metal with a different transition metal. They note that the magnetic polarization on the impurity atoms depends on its d-band occupation number. When the occupation number of the dopant is the same or higher than the parent material, it generally polarizes ferromagnetically and when it’s lower, it will normally polarize anti-ferromagnetically. In our case we study the parent material cobalt, with the same d-band occupation number as the dopant rhodium, hence rhodium is expected to magnetize ferromagnetically in a cobalt environment. For gold the situation is similar.

In particular this ferromagnetic coupling of the dopant is calculated by Aguilera-Granja et al. They find for Co_{12}Rh an induced spin moment on the Rh atom of 1.09 μ_B per atom while for Rh_{13} a spin moment of 0.69 μ_B per atom is found. This shows that the magnetic polarization increases when the Rh atom is in a Co environment. In our measurements we cannot say anything about the polarization of the Rh atom since we just probe the L-edge of the cobalt atoms, providing exclusively information about the magnetic properties around these atoms.
geometry of the system. Since orbital quenching is a purely geometric effect, a drastic change in geometry could in principle lead to a dramatic enhancement of the orbital moment. An induced magnetic polarization of the 3d atoms by a 4d or 5d material has been observed before in both experiment and calculations. Proposed mechanisms for this can be found in hybridization of the 3d Co bands with the dopant 4d/5d bands as well as in the increased MAE at the interface between the Co and Rh/Co atoms.

4 Theoretical models

So far for Co$_{12}$Rh, to the best of our knowledge, only Aquilera-Granja et al. have calculated this cluster, see Fig. 7. For Co$_{12}$Rh Aquilera-Granja et al. find a spin moment of 1.92 $\mu_B$ per atom, and for Co$_{13}$ $\mu_{spin} = 2.08 \mu_B$ per atom, which is thus a small decrease in the spin moment when doped with Rh. These spin magnetic moments are comparable to our measured moments of 2.12 $\mu_B$ per atom for Co$_{12}$Rh$^+$ and 2.25 $\mu_B$ per atom for Co$_{12}$Au$^-$. The orbital moments were unfortunately not calculated by Aquilera-Granja et al.

In an attempt to reproduce the giant increase in the orbital moment when going from Co$_{13}^-$ to Co$_{12}$Rh$^+$ we have performed calculations using various approaches. Starting points for these calculations were the non spin–orbital coupled density function theory (DFT) calculations that we have performed earlier for Co$_{12}$Rh$^+$ cluster. For Co$_{12}$Rh$^+$ we have performed calculations using various approaches. Starting points for these calculations were the non spin–orbital coupled density function theory (DFT) calculations that we have performed earlier for a range of cobalt clusters and which are compared with their experimentally obtained vibrational spectra in order to confirm their ground state geometry. We have calculated the orbital and spin moments using both the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) functional defined by Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) and the GGA + $U$ method. DFT in its GGA form is derived in the limit of a nearly uniform electron gas, which usually works well for itinerant electron systems. However, for electrons with a more localized character, i.e. strongly correlated electrons, the electron–electron interaction is not properly described by GGA. We have tried to treat electron correlations on a higher level, i.e. via the GGA + $U$ method. This method treats on-site Coulomb interactions within the static mean field approximation. One would thus expect that the description of the orbital moment improves in GGA + $U$ with respect to plain GGA.

We found however that both GGA and GGA + $U$ approaches cannot produce orbital moments comparable with the experiment. Both methods underestimate the experimental values. See for a full discussion our upcoming publication. In short, most likely the reason for this underestimation is related to the way how electron correlation effects are taken into account. Broadly speaking when looking in terms of energy ordering, Hund’s first rule treats the spin moments on a larger energy scale than the second rule, which is related to the orbital moment. The smaller the differences in energy become, the more important it becomes to properly take effects like electron correlation into account as the introduced error will be more important. This also means that if the electron correlation for a certain cluster size increases, the calculations will be less accurate. This can possibly also explain the discrepancies that we observed. In the future it would thus be highly interesting to see whether a more sophisticated method like DFT in combination with the dynamical mean field theory (LDA + DMFT) would be able to produce orbital moments in agreement with experiment.

5 Conclusions

In conclusion, we have measured the orbital and spin magnetic moments of cobalt clusters which are substitutionally doped with either a rhodium or a gold atom. Comparing with pure cobalt clusters the spin and orbital moments are either increased or decreased in a way that is very dependent on the specific cluster size, no general trend can be extracted. For some sizes the changes are very extreme, for example in Co$_{13}$Rh$^+$ the orbital moment more than doubles compared to the pure cobalt clusters. The origins hereof remain to be clarified. In case of the Co$_{13}$Rh$^+$ cluster we propose that only a change of geometry or electronic structure compared to the pure Co$_{13}^-$ cluster can explain the strong increase. This transition could be brought about by the strong spin–orbit coupling present in the Rh atom. In the future our next step will be to compare these experimental results with the computationally expensive LDA + DMFT method.
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