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Meta-analysis on blood transcriptomic studies identifies consistently coexpressed protein–protein interaction modules as robust markers of human aging
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Summary

The bodily decline that occurs with advancing age strongly impacts on the prospects for future health and life expectancy. Despite the profound role of age in disease etiology, knowledge about the molecular mechanisms driving the process of aging in humans is limited. Here, we used an integrative network-based approach for combining multiple large-scale expression studies in blood (2539 individuals) with protein–protein interaction (PPI) data for the detection of consistently coexpressed PPI modules that may reflect key processes that change throughout the course of normative aging. Module detection followed by a meta-analysis on chronological age identified fifteen consistently coexpressed PPI modules associated with chronological age, including a highly significant module \( (P = 3.5 \times 10^{-30}) \) enriched for ‘T-cell activation’ marking age-associated shifts in lymphocyte blood cell counts \( (R^2 = 0.603; P = 1.9 \times 10^{-16}) \). Adjusting the analysis in the compendium for the ‘T-cell activation’ module showed five consistently coexpressed PPI modules that robustly associated with chronological age and included modules enriched for ‘Translational elongation’, ‘Cytolysis’ and ‘DNA metabolic process’. In an independent study of 3535 individuals, four of five modules consistently associated with chronological age, underpinning the robustness of the approach. We found three of five modules to be significantly enriched with aging-related genes, as defined by the GenAge database, and association with prospective survival at high ages for one of the modules including \( ASF1A \). The hereby-detected age-associated and consistently coexpressed PPI modules therefore may provide a molecular basis for future research into mechanisms underlying human aging.
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Introduction

A steadily growing life expectancy of the general western population throughout the past two centuries (Oppe n & Vaupel, 2002) has imposed the urgency for understanding the adverse effects of aging for public health and its relation to the observed large variation in healthy lifespan (Hitt et al., 1999). Age-dependent detrimental processes strongly attenuate prospects for future health, with chronological age being the major risk factor for mortality and virtually all common diseases in the western world (Wilson et al., 1998). Aging is a systemic ailment marked by a gradual metabolic decline eventually leading to a state of senescence on both the cellular and organismal level that seems to be caused by the accumulation of damage over time (Kirkwood, 1977). Despite their profound role for disease etiology, the existing knowledge concerning the molecular mechanisms driving biological aging processes in humans is limited.

Construction of consistent age-associated signatures has proven to be challenging as a multitude of gene expression studies have identified age-associated genes so far, though with limited mutual overlap (Passtoors et al., 2008; de Magalhaes et al., 2009). This inconsistency is most likely due to variable technical circumstances, small study sizes, and low signal-to-noise ratios, typically observed when analyzing the aging transcriptome. More similarity was observed at the pathway level, across tissues and even species (Partridge & Gems, 2002; Zahn et al., 2006), suggesting that the analysis of the aging transcriptome by functionally grouped gene sets is a promising alternative for the classical individual-gene analyses.
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Rather than employing literature-based sets of genes sharing similar biological functions, so-called network approaches are increasingly used, which infer functional clusters of genes from the expression data itself by exploiting gene coexpression patterns hidden within the data (Zhang & Horvath, 2005). Alternatively, changes in these gene coexpression patterns that occur with age might be used for inferring a functional grouping from the data (Southworth et al., 2009). However, coexpression patterns may contain spurious gene–gene correlations (Stuart et al., 2003), which makes the use of multiple data sources simultaneously or the integration with other additional information sources on functional relationships between genes desirable.

Established modulators of aging processes in model organisms were reported to spatially cluster within networks constructed of protein–protein interaction (PPI) data (de Magalhaes & Toussaint, 2004; Bell et al., 2009). Hence, PPI networks can be exploited for prioritizing new aging-associated genes (Witten & Bonchev, 2007; Tacutu et al., 2012) or for refining modules of coexpressed genes that are correlated during the course of aging (Xue et al., 2007). We previously demonstrated that the inference of these so-called coexpressed PPI modules has a high reproducibility across multiple expression datasets in breast cancer (van den Akker et al., 2011), and here we extend this algorithm to combine multiple gene expression datasets on aging.

Though many algorithms for network inference exist (Marbach et al., 2012), relatively little attention has gone to the problem of network inference and subsequent associations with a phenotype using multiple heterogeneous expression data sources simultaneously. Merging the expression data into a single set and using this for network inference clearly surpasses the differences in correlation structures present within each dataset. Irrespective of the type of network inference chosen, we propose to handle such heterogeneity by integrating the gene–gene similarity measures obtained across expression datasets using a suitable meta-analysis setting. Thus, in the approach described in this paper, we employ a meta-analysis for inferring a consistent gene–gene network that serves as a basis for identifying consistently coexpressed PPI modules, which are subsequently analyzed with respect to chronological age across datasets using again a meta-analysis.

To robustly characterize the changes of the blood transcriptome associated with chronological age, we have build a compendium using three large-scale transcriptomic studies performed in blood: the San Antonio Family Heart Study (SAFHS) (Goring et al., 2007), the Icelandic Family Blood (IFB) cohort (Emilsson et al., 2008) and the Dietary, Lifestyle, and Genetic determinants of Obesity and Metabolic syndrome (DILGOM) study (Inouye et al., 2010). Data of IFB were measured in two roughly equally sized batches, from this point on referred to as IFB_A and IFB_B, and was treated as two separate datasets in the downstream analysis. Data quality was critically reassessed and reannotated yielding a compendium of 9047 unique genes expressed in 2539 individuals divided over four datasets (SAFHS: 1,240, IFB_A: 411, IFB_B: 435, DILGOM: 454) [Table 1 & Experimental Procedures].

### Results

#### The largest transcriptome compendium for normative aging

To robustly characterize the changes of the blood transcriptome throughout the course of normative aging in the range of 15–94 years, we built a gene expression compendium using three large-scale transcriptomic studies performed in blood: the San Antonio Family Heart Study (SAFHS) (Goring et al., 2007), the Icelandic Family Blood (IFB) cohort (Emilsson et al., 2008) and the Dietary, Lifestyle, and Genetic determinants of Obesity and Metabolic syndrome (DILGOM) study (Inouye et al., 2010). Data of IFB were measured in two roughly equally sized batches, from this point on referred to as IFB_A and IFB_B, and was treated as two separate datasets in the downstream analysis. Data quality was critically reassessed and reannotated yielding a compendium of 9047 unique genes expressed in 2539 individuals divided over four datasets (SAFHS: 1,240, IFB_A: 411, IFB_B: 435, DILGOM: 454) [Table 1 & Experimental Procedures].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>No. of start total</th>
<th>No. of end total</th>
<th>No. of males (%)</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>Min age</th>
<th>Max age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAFHS</td>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>San Antonio Family Heart Study</td>
<td>Mexican Americans (USA)</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>506 (40.8)</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFB_A</td>
<td>Peripheral blood</td>
<td>Icelandic Family Blood (IFB) cohort</td>
<td>Caucasian (Icelandic)</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>198 (48.2)</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFB_B</td>
<td>Peripheral blood</td>
<td>Icelandic Family Blood (IFB) cohort</td>
<td>Caucasian (Icelandic)</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>180 (41.5)</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DILGOM</td>
<td>Peripheral blood</td>
<td>DILGOM (Dietary, Lifestyle, and Genetic determinants of Obesity and Metabolic syndrome)</td>
<td>Caucasian (Finnish)</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>195 (43.0)</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Number of individuals with matching phenotypic data per study when obtained.

2Statistics computed after preprocessing.

3Expression and phenotypic data were obtained from ArrayExpress under accessions: E-TABM-305.

4Data of IFB were measured in two batches. This figure indicates the total number of individuals before preprocessing or removal of duplicates across batches.

5A small batch was detected and all samples belonging to it were removed.
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Fig. 1  Significantly age-associated genes in studies of the blood compendium. A Venn analysis was performed for inspecting the overlap of the significantly age-associated genes found within different studies. The majority of the consistently detected age-associated genes (24 of 26) show a decreased expression with advancing age and include the following: ARH, BACH2, CCRT, EGR4, EDAR, EPK1A1, EPK2, FAM102A, FAM134B, FBLN2, FGGBP, FLNB, IL24, LRRN3, NELL2, NMT2, NRCAM, OXNAD1, PDE9A, PHGDH, PIK3IP1, SIRPB2, SLIT2, and TSGA14. The remaining 2 consistently age-associated genes showing increased expressions are ARPK10 and SYT11. See Table S1 (Supporting information) for more details.

Rank-based integration of age-associated genes improves consistency between studies

As repeatedly applied cutoffs across multiple heterogeneous datasets may lead to high false exclusion rates of age-associated genes, we investigated whether age-association rankings were consistently high across datasets by applying a rank integration approach (Breitling et al., 2004; de Magalhaes et al., 2009). From the 9047 genes present in the compendium, 247 consistently showed highly ranked differential expressions with age across the four datasets, of which 195 remained significant after permutation tests (both at FDR = 0.05) [Experimental Procedures]. Of these 195 genes, 128 (65.6%) showed decreased and 67 (34.4%) showed increased expression levels with age. The top 25 genes with increased and decreased expression are displayed in Tables 2 and 3, respectively, and include many of the age-associated genes previously identified, like LRNN3, LEFT, and SYT11 (Hong et al., 2008; Harries et al., 2011; Passtoors et al., 2012). Results for all 9047 genes in the compendium are provided in Table S2 (Supporting information).

Functional enrichments of individual-gene analysis are not informative for normative aging

We next identified enriched functional groupings among genes significantly associated with normative aging using DAVID focusing on GO_FAT terms. Whereas the 26 genes from the overlap did not yield any significantly enriched terms, the 195 significant genes obtained with the rank integration approach yielded 11 significant enriched groupings when run at default settings [Tables S3 and S4, Supporting information respectively]. Interestingly, enriched terms include ‘Glycosylation site: N-linked’ ($P = 6.1 \times 10^{-3}$, Benjamini corrected), previously linked to the inflamm-aging theory (Dall’olio et al., 2013). However, as most of the 11 identified terms are rather broadly defined, like ‘disulfide bond’ or ‘signal peptide’, little detailed knowledge is gained on potential molecular mechanisms underlying normative aging following the individual-gene analysis approach.

Table 2  Top 25 genes according to the gene statistic ($U$) having increased expression with age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>GeneID</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
<th>q-value*</th>
<th>P-value**</th>
<th>q-value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPR56</td>
<td>9289</td>
<td>$5.3 \times 10^{-19}$</td>
<td>$4.8 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0018$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF1</td>
<td>3075</td>
<td>$2.3 \times 10^{-08}$</td>
<td>$8.1 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0018$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYT11</td>
<td>23208</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^{-08}$</td>
<td>$8.1 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$\leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$0.0018$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARP10</td>
<td>164668</td>
<td>$7.3 \times 10^{-08}$</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0018$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3GAT1 (CD57)</td>
<td>27087</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-07}$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0021$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC1A7</td>
<td>6512</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-07}$</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$0.0110$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNG</td>
<td>3458</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{-07}$</td>
<td>$6.4 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$0.0065$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSCR1L1</td>
<td>10231</td>
<td>$6.1 \times 10^{-07}$</td>
<td>$6.8 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0021$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARK5</td>
<td>9891</td>
<td>$7.9 \times 10^{-07}$</td>
<td>$7.9 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0021$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIG3</td>
<td>81563</td>
<td>$9.3 \times 10^{-07}$</td>
<td>$8.8 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0018$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPUVE</td>
<td>11098</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$8.8 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$0.0067$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFRB</td>
<td>5159</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$8.8 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0021$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDG8</td>
<td>53637</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$9.4 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$7.8 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$0.015$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARL1N1</td>
<td>152789</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$9.4 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0032$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFBR3</td>
<td>7049</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0012$</td>
<td>$2.8 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$0.011$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GZMB</td>
<td>3002</td>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0013$</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$0.050$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX3CR1</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td>$2.9 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0014$</td>
<td>$2.9 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$0.011$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STYK1</td>
<td>55359</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0015$</td>
<td>$4.8 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$0.013$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADHR2</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>$3.7 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0016$</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0021$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAF1</td>
<td>26056</td>
<td>$7.1 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0029$</td>
<td>$7.2 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.015$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTSL</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>$7.7 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0030$</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$0.040$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF11</td>
<td>2672</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$0.0040$</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0021$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTC38</td>
<td>55020</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$0.0040$</td>
<td>$7.6 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$0.015$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGPAT4</td>
<td>56895</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$0.0041$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-06}$</td>
<td>$0.0021$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2MA</td>
<td>3001</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-05}$</td>
<td>$0.0045$</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^{-04}$</td>
<td>$0.040$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P- and q-values determined using the gamma distribution of the gene statistic, $U$.

**P- and q-values determined using permutation of the gene statistic, $U$. 
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A novel integrative network approach for detecting consistent coexpressed PPI modules

To improve robustness against noise and increase power, we used a novel integrative network-based approach to explore functional age-associated groupings of genes. The proposed approach detects consistently coexpressed PPI modules across multiple datasets (for details see Experimental Procedures and Data S1, Supporting information). Using the four transcriptomic datasets mapped onto the PPI network, we detected a total of 162 consistently coexpressed PPI modules ranging in size from 2 to 37 genes [see Fig. S1, Supporting information for a complete overview]. The following steps in our analysis were limited to the subset of 27 coexpressed PPI modules counting at least five genes. Application of DAVID yielded significant functional enrichments for 19 of the 27 identified coexpressed PPI modules [Table S5, Supporting information], suggesting that the applied approach grouped genes according to plausible biological functions.

Age-associated coexpressed PPI modules point toward T-cell activation

To test whether transcriptional changes of the 27 identified modules associate with chronological age, an expression profile for each module was constructed by determining the mean expression of the genes within a detected coexpressed PPI module per individual. As with the individual-gene analysis, we proceeded by computing the associations of the module expressions with age while adjusting for gender for each dataset separately. Only one module [Fig. 2A], enriched for ‘T-cell activation’, was significantly associated with age in each of the four datasets of the compendium. This module A contains genes commonly employed as markers for assessing the differentiation status of T-cell lineages, such as CCR7, CD28, and TNFRSF7 (CD27). A fixed-effect meta-analysis on the expression of the different modules across the datasets showed again that the ‘T-cell activation’ module was most significantly associated with age (Bonferroni corrected \( p = 3.5 \times 10^{-36} \)) [see also Experimental Procedures]. The consistent age association of the ‘T-cell activation’ module, however, raises the concern that the identified modules reflect age-related changes in the proportions of cell populations in blood, as previously reported (Derhovanessian et al., 2010), rather than changes in gene expression.

T-cell activation module expression marks blood lymphocyte counts

To investigate the relation between the expression of the ‘T-cell activation’ module and the proportions of blood cell populations, for which we have no data in the compendium, we revisited a transcriptomic dataset on peripheral blood measured in the Leiden Longevity Study (LLS) (Passtoors et al., 2012) [Data S1, Supporting information]. Using the expression data of 50 middle-aged and 50, 90-year-old individuals, we first confirmed the association with age of the expression profile for each module in the biological dataset on peripheral blood measured in the Leiden Longevity Study [see Table S5, Supporting Information].

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>GenID</th>
<th>( p )-value*</th>
<th>( q )-value*</th>
<th>( p )-value**</th>
<th>( q )-value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LRRN3</td>
<td>54674</td>
<td>( 1.3 \times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>( 1.2 \times 10^{-8} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCG8P</td>
<td>8857</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-10} )</td>
<td>( 1.5 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCR7</td>
<td>1236</td>
<td>( 1.1 \times 10^{-9} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NELL2</td>
<td>4753</td>
<td>( 2.0 \times 10^{-8} )</td>
<td>( 4.5 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRCAM</td>
<td>4897</td>
<td>( 3.1 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( 5.6 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGI</td>
<td>3512</td>
<td>( 1.5 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 2.3 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEF1</td>
<td>51176</td>
<td>( 1.9 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 2.5 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAM134B</td>
<td>54463</td>
<td>( 2.2 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 2.5 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACAP</td>
<td>51237</td>
<td>( 2.5 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 2.5 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITM2C</td>
<td>81618</td>
<td>( 2.8 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 2.5 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PK3P1</td>
<td>113791</td>
<td>( 3.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.8 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE9A</td>
<td>5152</td>
<td>( 5.1 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 4.8 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BACH2</td>
<td>60468</td>
<td>( 6.9 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 6.0 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLJ12895</td>
<td>65982</td>
<td>( 9.5 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 6.0 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAM102A</td>
<td>399665</td>
<td>( 1.1 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 6.0 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBLN2</td>
<td>2199</td>
<td>( 1.1 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 6.0 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLNB</td>
<td>2317</td>
<td>( 1.2 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 6.0 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APEG1</td>
<td>10290</td>
<td>( 1.2 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( 6.0 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPHX2</td>
<td>2053</td>
<td>( 1.3 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( 6.0 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFRSF17</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>( 1.3 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( 6.1 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYC</td>
<td>4609</td>
<td>( 1.6 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( 6.6 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT5E</td>
<td>4907</td>
<td>( 1.7 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( 6.6 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOSO</td>
<td>9214</td>
<td>( 1.7 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( 6.6 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARH</td>
<td>26119</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 0.0012 )</td>
<td>( 6.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXNAD1</td>
<td>92106</td>
<td>( 3.3 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 3.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\( p \)- and \( q \)-values determined using the gamma distribution of the gene statistic, \( U_i \).

**\( p \)- and \( q \)-values determined using permutation of the gene statistic, \( U_i \).
Five coexpressed PPI modules associate with age independent of T-cell activation

Based on these findings, we adapted the fixed-effect meta-analysis to reanalyze the 27 modules in the compendium while adjusting for gender as well as the expression of the ‘T-cell activation’ module. This revealed nine modules significantly associated with chronological age, of which five also showed a significant association without adjusting for ‘T-cell activation’ [Fig. 2B-F]. These five modules thus exhibit the most robust expression changes with age and include (i) a large consistently down-regulated ribosomal module \((P = 9.4 \times 10^{-15})\), enriched for ‘Translational elongation’ \((P = 4.5 \times 10^{-46})\); (ii) an up-regulated module containing among others several granzymes and the perforin gene \((P = 2.9 \times 10^{-24})\), enriched for ‘Cytolysis’ \((P = 9.4 \times 10^{-39})\); and (iii) a down-regulated module containing the PARP1 (ADPRT) gene \((P = 3.1 \times 10^{-39})\) enriched for ‘DNA metabolic process’ \((P = 0.0036)\). The two remaining modules were both down-regulated with advancing age and lacked any significant functional enrichments (Fig. 2E,F; \(P = 3.9 \times 10^{-11}\) and \(P = 2.5 \times 10^{-18}\), respectively).

Replication of coexpressed PPI modules as robust markers for aging

We conducted an independent replication study of the identified network modules as robust markers for chronological age using gene expression data from the Netherlands Twin Register and Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NTR & NESDA) consortium \((N = 3535)\) (Boomsma et al., 2008) assayed on individuals within age range 17–79 years [Data S1, Supporting information]. An association analysis between the mean expression of a module and chronological age, adjusted for sex and the mean expression of the ‘T-cell activation’ module, yielded significant results for four of the five identified modules, all with directions corresponding to those found in the compendium [Table S6, Supporting information]. These results emphasize the robustness of the findings produced by our approach and confirm that the mean module expression in whole blood of module B, C, E, and F may be considered as robust markers of chronological age.

Coexpressed PPI modules are enriched for GenAge longevity and aging genes

As a validation of the identified modules, we computed whether aging-related genes stored by GenAge (de Magalhaes & Toussaint, 2004), a database providing a comprehensive overview of aging-related genes in humans and model systems, were enriched within modules A–F (Fig. 2) [Data S1, Supporting information]. Whereas module A was supported by human derived annotations only \((OR = 12.1, 95\% CI 2.88–39.2, P = 6.95 \times 10^{-5})\), module B was solely based on knowledge derived from model organisms \((OR = 16.9, 95\% CI 7.26–39.1, P = 2.52 \times 10^{-3})\) [Table S7, Supporting information]. Modules D, E, and F had annotations balanced over both sources, and therefore, the significance of the joint enrichment was assessed by using a resampling approach [Data S1, Supporting information], which yielded significant enrichments for modules E \((P = 0.016)\) and F \((P = 0.0029)\). These
findings provide additional evidence that the joint expression of these modules may play a relevant role in human aging.

Module F associates with prospective survival at old age

To investigate whether the identified modules could potentially serve as biomarkers, we studied the microarray data assayed on 50 nonagenarian individuals from the Leiden Longevity Study (Passtoors et al., 2012). A left truncated Cox proportional hazard model adjusted for sex and cell counts indicates that the mean expression of module F associates with prospective survival beyond the age of 90 years (N = 50, N_{death} = 45, HR = 0.265, 95% CI 0.12–0.57, P = 0.001). By showing that module F associates with prospective survival at old age, it illustrates its potential biological relevance.

Interestingly, the ASF1A gene is part of module F and has previously been identified by our group as one of the genes that was differentially expressed in blood of members of long-lived families as compared to similarly aged controls at middle age (Passtoors et al., 2012). To confirm that the expression of the ASF1A gene in module F also associates with prospective survival at old age, we analyzed the gene expression of ASF1A measured with RT-qPCR in 74 nonagenarians from the Leiden Longevity Study (of which 24 overlapped with the micro-array experiment) for association with prospective survival. Because we observe a similar association (N_{death} = 64, HR = 0.54, 95% CI 0.34–0.85, P = 0.008) [Fig. 3], these results indicate that modules, of which the expression in blood is consistently associated with chronological age across various datasets, may associate with variation in lifespan, and therefore provide valid gene targets for studying relevant biological endpoints in human aging.

Discussion

Age-associated changes in gene expression may provide meaningful leads to pathways affected by and involved in aging, though are generally difficult to detect consistently (de Magalhaes et al., 2009). Therefore, we constructed a large compendium of human whole blood expression studies (Goring et al., 2007; Emilsson et al., 2008; Inouye et al., 2010) comprising 2539 individuals on which we performed a novel integrative network-based analysis. This yielded fifteen consistently age-associated coexpressed PPI modules. Because the most significant age-associated module appeared to correlate with lymphocyte cell counts in an independent gene expression dataset, the expression of this module, enriched for ‘T-cell activation’, was subsequently used as a proxy for possible confounding shifts in the distribution of lymphocyte subsets. This enabled the identification of five age-associated modules [Fig. 2 Panel I and IV], including three modules enriched for ‘Translational elongation’, ‘Cytoysis’ and ‘DNA metabolic process’ [Fig. 2B–D]. Replication in an independent cohort confirmed these findings for four of five modules [Fig. 2B, C, E and F], underpinning the robustness of the proposed approach. The enrichments against a database for aging-related genes [Fig. 2B, F and F] emphasize the relevance of these biological findings for aging research, which is even further substantiated by the fact that the mean expression of module F associates with prospective survival at old age.

Mitochondrion-related aging

Two of the identified modules are down-regulated with age and seem to be related to the mitochondrion, though lacking any significant functional enrichment [Fig. 2E, F]. Despite the absence of functional enrichments, both modules were significantly enriched for aging-related genes, as defined by GenAge, implying that known age-related single genes can be put into a novel biological perspective by our network approach.

Module [Fig. 2F] contains several mitochondrial factors and enzymes, like, for instance, the mitochondrial transcription termination factor MTERF, the ACADM enzyme used for fatty acid metabolism, or the mitochondrial tRNA synthetase IARS2, whose homolog was shown to increase lifespan upon disruption in worms (Smith et al., 2008). This module also includes several genes previously associated with age or age-associated diseases such as the mitotic checkpoint protein BUB3, previously associated with accelerated aging in mice (Baker et al., 2006), and the cell-cycle checkpoint protein APPBP1 found in increased quantities in the brain affected by Alzheimer’s disease (Chen et al., 2003). This broad range of gene characteristics composing the module could be explained by the fact that the functionality of mitochondria is not confined to cellular energy metabolism alone, but also seems to make up an integral part of multiple cell signaling cascades including cell-cycle control and cell death (McBride et al., 2006).

Interestingly, module F also includes the ASF1A histone chaperone of which we previously have shown that its expression associates with familial longevity in the Leiden Longevity Study (Passtoors et al., 2012). We revisited the RT-qPCR data assayed on 74 nonagenarians and now show that the expression of ASF1A also associates with prospective survival. This result illustrates that modules, of which the expression in blood is consistently associated with chronological age across various datasets, may associate with variation in lifespan, and therefore provide valid gene targets for studying relevant biological endpoints in human aging.

The other mitochondrion-related module [Fig. 2E] contains the heat shock protein HSPCA (HSP90) and the mitochondrial receptor TOMM20, which jointly play a central role in translocating preproteins into the mitochondria (Fan et al., 2011). They seem to be consistently coexpressed in blood with ELF4A2, a eukaryotic translation initiation factor.
and DDX18, an ATP-dependent RNA helicase, of which the worm homologs were shown to extend lifespan upon disruption (Curran & Ruvkun, 2007; Smith et al., 2008). To summarize, this module seems to relate to aging by influencing protein translation and mitochondrial translocation efficiency.

**Age-associated limitation of protein synthesis**

One of the identified modules predominantly consisted of ribosomal proteins and translation elongation factors comprising part of the ribosomal complex [Fig. 2B]. The module was significantly enriched for ‘Translational elongation’ and for previous findings in model organisms with respect to aging and longevity. In addition, the module was down-regulated with advancing age fitting previous observations of the aging blood transcriptome (Hong et al., 2008; Harries et al., 2011; Passtoors et al., 2012), which could be interpreted as an attempt of the cell to limit global protein synthesis in response to stress arising from damage accumulating throughout lifespan (Clemens, 2001). Whether caused by response to stress or other factors, the change in protein translation may be ascribed to the mTORC1 complex (Laplante & Sabatini, 2009). This complex modulates cellular growth and metabolism by determining the balance between protein synthesis and degradation in response to nutrient availability. Inhibition of mTOR signaling through the mTORC1 complex not only inhibits protein synthesis, but also has been shown to positively affect the lifespan in various invertebrates and mammals (Johnson et al., 2013). Moreover, human blood transcriptome studies showed that the gene expression of mTOR pathway is down-regulated with chronological age (Harries et al., 2011; Passtoors et al., 2013) and is even associated with human familial longevity (Passtoors et al., 2012). Hence, a consistently down-regulated ribosomal module with advancing age corresponds with the age-associated demise of mTOR signaling. Although it is well established that mTOR signaling links to both lifespan regulation and ‘Translational elongation’, it remains to be determined whether down-regulation of ‘Translational elongation’ is causal for human aging.

**WRN-related cell-cycle checkpoint on DNA integrity**

A module down-regulated with age and enriched for ‘DNA metabolic process’ identified in the compendium could not be replicated in the NTR & NESDA cohort [Fig. 2D]. Interestingly, this module contains the PARP1 (ADPRT) gene, which directly binds to WRN to induce apoptosis upon oxidative stress induced DNA damage and is as such a prime suspect for Werner syndrome (von Kobbe et al., 2003), a premature aging disease. Furthermore, the activity of the Parp1 protein in mononuclear cells has previously been shown to positively correlate with the species-specific lifespan across 13 mammalian species (Grube & Burkle, 1992). Taken together, findings in the compendium suggest that the lowered transcription rate of PARP1 negatively affects DNA integrity and thus lifespan, though more experiments are required to investigate this hypothesis.

**Age-associated shifts in T-cell composition**

Another identified module is up-regulated with age and enriched for ‘Cytolysis’ [Fig. 2C]. It contains several genes used to diagnose virus-infected cells and may reflect the decreased competence for fighting infections in an early stage, caused by an age-related deterioration of the immune system, known as immuno-senescence (Pawelec & Solana, 1997). We can, however, not rule out that the age-associated expression of GZMA, GZMB, and PRF1 that are part of this module point to an age-associated shift in T-cytotoxic cells (Derhovanessian et al., 2010; Napoli et al., 2012).

Though identified coregulated PPI modules may show extensive correlation with confounding factors, we should be careful to dismiss modules as such only. For instance, the ‘T-cell activation’ module [Fig. 2A], which is down-regulated with age, also contained BNP3, an inhibitor of the mTORC1 complex shown to modulate lifespan in worms, flies, and mice (Johnson et al., 2013); and FOXO1, also displaying an intricate interplay with both complexes of mTOR (Laplante & Sabatini, 2009), and shown to extend lifespan in various invertebrates (Calnan & Brunet, 2008). Additionally, human mTOR signaling may play a central role in orchestrating T-cell maturation and T-cell fate decisions (Chi, 2012), and could thereby also explain the age-associated decline in lymphocytes as marked by the ‘T-cell activation’ module. Taken together, these examples illustrate that what is confounding the analysis of the blood transcriptome for molecular mechanisms associated to aging is subjective to debate and might even not be possible to determine given the complex interplay between the different biological levels on which aging acts.

**The proposed network approach into perspective**

Network analyses have clear advantages over individual-gene analyses, as they enable the incorporation of useful prior knowledge, which can be exploited for improving the robustness of the analysis and the subsequent interpretation of the results. The improved robustness of the network approach over the individual-gene analyses was reflected by the low mutual overlap between the individual-gene results [Fig. 1] as opposed to the high concordance between the results obtained in the compendium and replication cohort. The advantages for the interpretation were clearly illustrated by the modest insights gained from the two different strategies for individual-gene analysis (‘Glycosylation site: N-linked’), as opposed to the detailed gene modules produced by our approach that can serve as a novel basis for further investigation into the molecular mechanisms underlying normative aging. Moreover, our approach is capable of inferring biological coherence from the data, without the explicit need of predefined functional groupings, as was shown by the enrichments of the identified modules found for genes within the GenAge database.

Though the analysis benefits from incorporating protein–protein interaction data, the type, and source clearly affect the results. To be as inclusive as possible for types and sources of PPI data, we have chosen to employ data obtained from the STRING database, which systematically collects and integrates interaction data derived from various sources for predicting functional relations between gene pairs. This choice results in a vast and comprehensive source of data. However, STRING data are not confined to physical interactions, as is the case with for instance IntAct (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/intact/) and unlike KEGG (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/), STRING data are not manually curated. For network inference, a trade-off exists between the sparsity and the quality of the employed gene–gene interactions. We made use of a threshold on the quality of reported interactions that are created by STRING by benchmarking the different interaction data sources to KEGG. Varying this threshold would affect the size and nature of the obtained coexpressed PPI modules. As the threshold determines the scale of the analysis, an interesting observation is that the results can be confounded to parts of the global network that do not necessarily overlap with the predefined known biological pathways. The latter is illustrated by the fact that some of our modules are not enriched for biological pathways and could basically be valued as a strong point of our data-driven approach.

© 2013 The Authors. Aging Cell published by the Anatomical Society and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
Conclusion
By applying a network approach to multiple blood transcriptomics datasets, we have identified five coexpression PPI modules that associate with chronological age in humans. The confirmation of most of our findings in an independent dataset underpins the robustness of our approach. The modules are significantly enriched for aging-related genes as curated by the GenAge database. This implies that these age-related single genes, in the absence of a clear understanding of their joint functioning belong to a network that finds its basis in protein–protein interactions and will serve as novel input for aging research. We reinforced the biological relevance of one of the modules by showing that it associates with prospective survival beyond 90 years in humans as was observed also for a single known age-related gene in this module (ASFA14). These findings collectively warrant further investigations into the biological function of module F and its potential as a biomarker for healthy aging and human longevity.

Experimental procedures
Creating the blood expression compendium
Analyses were based on gene expression data derived from individuals enrolled in three large cohort studies for which details on sample inclusion and employed expression protocols are provided in depth in the original publications (Goring et al., 2007; Emilsson et al., 2008; Inouye et al., 2010). Gene expression and accompanying phenotypic data was obtained from either the original authors or from the public data repository ArrayExpress. Data quality was stringently reexamined per dataset for the presence of outlier samples or outlier measurements and annotated to a common annotation standard (EntrezGeneID). A detailed description of the data processing and an overview on the resulting sample statistics is given in the Data S1 (Supporting information) and Table 1, respectively.

Rank integration approach
A rank integration approach (Breitling et al., 2004; de Magalhaes et al., 2009) was used to identify genes consistently up- or down-regulated with age across multiple heterogeneous datasets. This type of meta-analysis integrates individual-gene statistics across datasets, by ranking the statistics per dataset and assessing the significance of the observed combined ranking using a Gamma distribution (Koziol, 2010) or through permutation as described in the Data S1.

Extracting coexpressed PPI modules
Genes were mapped to the protein–protein interaction network (STRING v9.0, http://string-db.org/), which yielded a compendium of about 81.3% of the initial set of genes (N = 7353) in the compendium. Ranked coexpression matrices were computed for each dataset separately by computing a correlation matrix composed of first-order partial correlations between all pairs of genes adjusted for sex and subsequently assigned a rank to each of them. A higher positive correlation resulted in a higher ranking. The ranked coexpression matrices were integrated by computing rank products as in the section on individual-gene analysis. The resulting gene–gene rank product matrix together with the PPI network matrix was subsequently used as input for the method that identifies coexpressed PPI subnetworks as described in Van den Akker et al. (van den Akker et al., 2011), see also Data S1 (Supporting information). In short, a cluster analysis on the gene–gene rank product matrix yielded coexpressed modules of genes. High confidence coexpressed genes were obtained by applying a threshold on the gene–gene rank product matrix. We obtained coexpressed PPI modules by intersecting the coexpressed gene modules with the PPI network matrix. Coexpressed PPI modules were subsequently visualized using Cytoscape [Data S1, Supporting information].

Fixed-effect meta-analysis on module expressions across the blood compendium
Gene expression data were summarized per coexpressed PPI module for each dataset separately by taking the mean expression per individual over all genes in the module, resulting in a module expression for each dataset. Associations with age were tested for each coexpressed PPI module, by performing a fixed-effect meta-analysis across the four datasets using a first-order partial correlation between age and the module expression, computed with the controlling variable gender to adjust for sex differences. Per dataset k, we thus computed:

\[ p_{ak, m} = \frac{p_{ak} - p_{am} p_{mk}}{\sqrt{1 - (p_{ak})^2} \sqrt{1 - (p_{mk})^2}} \]  

where \( p_{ak} \) is the correlation between age and the expression of the \( n^{th} \) module across individuals of the \( k^{th} \) dataset, \( p_{am} \) is the correlation between age and, and gender across individuals of the \( k^{th} \) dataset and \( p_{mk} \) is the correlation between expression of the \( m^{th} \) module and gender of individuals in the \( k^{th} \) dataset. To correct for multiple controlling variables, higher order partial correlations were computed by repeatedly computing first-order partial correlations as described above. The function metacor of R package meta was used for integrating and testing the meta correlation statistic between age and module expression across the four datasets using default settings. Modules with significant correlations (Bonferroni corrected P-value ≤ 0.05) were considered age dependent.
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