Treatment of 4\textit{f} states of the rare earths: The case study of TbN
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The lattice constant, bulk modulus, and shear constant of TbN are calculated by means of density functional theory (DFT) in the local density approximation (LDA) and generalized gradient approximation (GGA), with 4\textit{f} states treated as valence electrons or core electrons. In addition, local Coulomb repulsions \( U \) are treated both statically as in the LDA+\( U \) approach and dynamically as in the dynamical mean-field theory in the Hubbard-\( I \) approximation. It is shown that all methods, except DFT-LDA with 4\textit{f} electrons treated as either valence states, produce lattice constants and bulk moduli in good agreement with experiment. In the LDA+\( U \) approach multiple minima are found, and we focus on the competition between a state with cubic symmetry and a state obtained from atomic Hund’s rules. We find the state with cubic symmetry to be 0.59 eV lower in energy than the Hund’s rules state, while the opposite was obtained in previous literature. The shear constant is shown to be rather sensitive to the theoretical method used, and the Hund’s rules state obtained in LDA+\( U \) is found to be unstable towards tetragonal shears. As to the magnetism, we find that the calculation based on the Hubbard-\( I \) approximation reproduces observations with the best accuracy. Finally, the spectral properties of TbN are discussed, together with the general applicability of the different methods in describing rare-earth elements and compounds.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the past decades, it has been shown that calculations based on density functional theory (DFT) [1,2] reproduce measured materials properties, e.g., the elastic constants, equation of state, catalytic activity, conductivity, lattice dynamics, surface tension, work function, and the spin and orbital moments, with good accuracy for most elements and various compounds [3]. This conclusion holds for those systems with weak electron-electron correlations, where the exchange correlation functional can be parametrized using information from the uniform electron gas as in the local density approximation (LDA) or generalized gradient approximation (GGA). This, however, is far from the situation of the 4\textit{f} shell of the rare-earth elements, where the direct electron-electron repulsion is significant and cannot without further effort be incorporated in\textit{ ab initio} theory, where no input is expected from experimental data.

Based on the wealth of experimental information available for the rare earths [4], it is by now established that the 4\textit{f} shell has localized electron states, where band-dispersion effects are negligible. The electron-electron repulsion within the 4\textit{f} shell is found to be minimized by the formation of a Russell-Saunders coupled ground state, and with the exception of the \( \alpha \) phase of Ce, Eu, and Yb, all rare-earth elements form a trivalent configuration in the solid. Eu and Yb are divalent, since this configuration provides a half-filled or filled 4\textit{f} shell [5]. This understanding of the 4\textit{f} shell of the rare earths is the basis of the so-called standard model of this class of elements [6]. The standard model explains all the essential properties of the rare-earth elements, like the crystal structure [7,8], equilibrium volume [8,9], bulk modulus [8,9], valence stability [10], crystal field splittings, and the magnetic phase diagram [11]. The standard model applies as well to compounds involving rare-earth elements, albeit in some cases a mixed valent behavior is observed [12,13], where the electronic configuration of the 4\textit{f} shell fluctuates between two integer occupancies, i.e., \( f^n \) and \( f^{n+1} \).

Any theory on the electronic structure of the rare-earth elements should reproduce the behavior observed in the standard model. In the past this was achieved by treating the 4\textit{f} electrons as being part of the core states, so that measured densities, structural stability, and bulk modulus were reproduced with good accuracy [7–9]. The interatomic exchange interaction, which is in this case given by the RKKY mechanism, was also reproduced by a theory that treats the 4\textit{f} electrons as part of a chemically inert core [11]. Lately, parametrized Hartree-Fock theory in the form of the LDA+\( U \) approximation [14] has become popular for treating the electron-electron repulsion of the 4\textit{f} shell [15–17]. Although the chemical inertness of the 4\textit{f} shell can be achieved in this way, by pushing occupied states to low energies, and unoccupied states well above the Fermi level, it is unclear how well the calculated electronic structure agrees with measured valence band spectra. It is also not clear whether the LDA+\( U \) approximation can reproduce more delicate materials properties of rare earths, like elastic constants, magnetic moments, or valence stability.

Dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) [18] in the form of the Hubbard-\( I \) approximation (HIA) [19] has recently shown promising results in describing the spectral properties of several rare-earth systems [20–25]. The treatment of the 4\textit{f} shell in this way holds great promise since it naturally describes many of the experimentally known facts of the rare earths, in particular the Russell-Saunders ground state and the formation of atomic multiplets.

In this work we apply the theories discussed so far for the rare earths to the terbium nitride compound. These theories will be compared for the calculation of the lattice constant, bulk
modulus, shear constant, magnetic moments, and one-particle excitation spectrum. Terbium nitride was chosen as it is a particularly significant example of the interplay between atomiclike effects and one-electron crystal field splittings, which provides a complication for effective one-electron theories. Moreover, TbN and all other rare-earth nitrides are very relevant for the scientific community, due to the easily tunable magnetic properties, which often coexist with a semiconducting character, making them interesting candidates for spintronics [26].

II. DETAILS OF CALCULATIONS

All the calculations reported in the present paper were carried out using a full potential linear muffin-tin orbital (FP-LMTO) method [27]. We used LDA and GGA parametrizations of the exchange-correlation functional as formulated by Perdew and Wang [28] and by Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof [29]. The Brillouin zone was sampled through a conventional Monkhorst-Pack mesh of \( 30 \times 30 \times 30 \) \( \mathbf{k} \) points, leading to a total of 904 vectors in the irreducible wedge. The basic geometrical and basis setup was the same for all calculations, with the exception of the \( 4f \) states, described below. For the definition of the muffin-tin sphere of nitrogen we used a radius of 2.056 \( \text{\AA} \), and for terbium one of 2.18 \( \text{\AA} \) in case of LDA and 2.41 \( \text{\AA} \) for GGA. This smaller radius for LDA was necessary due to the overbinding tendency of LDA in case of LDA and 2.41 \( \text{\AA} \) for GGA. This smaller radius for LDA was necessary due to the overbinding tendency of LDA in case of LDA and 2.41 \( \text{\AA} \) for GGA. This smaller radius for LDA was necessary due to the overbinding tendency of LDA in case of LDA and 2.41 \( \text{\AA} \) for GGA. This smaller radius for LDA was necessary due to the overbinding tendency of LDA in case of LDA and 2.41 \( \text{\AA} \) for GGA. This smaller radius for LDA was necessary due to the overbinding tendency of LDA

### TABLE I. Calculated and experimental values for equilibrium lattice constant, bulk modulus, and shear constant of TbN bulk. The theoretical values are obtained by means of LDA and GGA for \( 4f \) electrons treated as core states (CORE) and as valence states (VALENCE), whereas for LDA+\( U \) and GGA+\( U \) solutions with cubic symmetry (CUBIC) and maximal orbital moment (HUND) are reported. LDA+DMFT[HIA] refers to a LDA+DMFT calculation where the effective impurity problem is solved within the Hubbard I approximation. The calculated values are compared with experimental values for the equilibrium lattice constant and bulk modulus [36,37], while two previous computational studies [38,39] are used as reference for the shear constant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>( a (\text{\AA}) )</th>
<th>( B ) (GPa)</th>
<th>( C' ) (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDA VALENCE</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA VALENCE</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA CORE</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA CORE</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA+( U )  CUBIC</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA+( U ) HUND</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>&lt;0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA+( U ) CUBIC</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA+DMFT[HIA]</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory from Ref. [38]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory from Ref. [39]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to obtain the lattice constant and bulk modulus we calculated the total energy for different atomic volumes. These data were fitted through the Murnaghan equation of state [34], which gave us the equilibrium volume \( V_0 \) and bulk modulus. For a cubic lattice and small strains, it can be shown that the shear constant, \( C' \), can be obtained from the expression [35]

\[
\frac{\Delta E}{V_0} = 6C'\delta^2. \tag{1}
\]

Here \( \Delta E \) is the total energy difference with respect to equilibrium volume caused by the strain \( \delta \). This corresponds
to a volume conserving strain matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 + \delta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 + \delta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^2}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

which acts on the unit cell vectors. The muffin-tin radii were kept fixed for all the calculations for different strains and atomic volumes to minimize numerical errors in the energetics of the core states.

III. RESULTS

A. Lattice properties

Just like the other rare-earth nitrides, TbN crystallizes in the rocksalt structure [17,26]. Equilibrium lattice constants \(a\) and bulk moduli \(B\) obtained with the aforementioned computational methods are reported in Table I, together with the experimental values [36,37]. All the results presented here were obtained without spin-orbit coupling. This approximation is motivated by the fact that spin-orbit coupling effects are small for the delocalized \(spd\) states and thus should not influence much the bonding properties [8]. In the next two subsections we will discuss the effects of spin-orbit coupling more in detail.

The first column of Table I specifies the method used for the calculation, as described in the previous section. The label VALENCE refers to the treatment of the \(4f\) electrons as valence electrons, while the label CORE indicates that the \(4f\) electrons are treated as nonhybridizing core states. In the case of LDA+\(U\) and GGA+\(U\) the \(4f\) electrons are treated as valence states, so none of the previous labels is needed. However, when this method is applied to \(f\)-electron systems, a plethora of local minima can be obtained, corresponding to different local density matrix at convergence. Here we consider two significant electronic configurations, labeled as HUND and CUBIC. The former corresponds to a Russell-Saunders coupling of the \(4f\) states, which is consistent with the standard model of the rare earths, while the latter corresponds to the solution where the \(4f\) configuration respects the cubic symmetry of the lattice. These two solutions are usually found by converging from different starting density matrices. In our calculations, instead, we applied different initial potentials whose symmetries were broken with respect to certain multipole moments [40]. At convergence these two approaches are supposed to be equivalent. For GGA+\(U\) we report only results for the CUBIC state, since it was not possible to obtain the solution that corresponds to the HUND state.

From Table I it is clear that all methods except LDA VALENCE reproduce the lattice constant very well. The bulk modulus appears to be more sensitive to the method used. However, all methods except LDA VALENCE and LDA+\(U\) HUND give a value within 20% of the experimental value. For the shear constant \(C'\) there are unfortunately no experimental data available and therefore we compared our calculations with other theoretical analyses [38,39]. The study from Ref. [38] is based on a two-body interionic potential theory with modified ionic charge to include the Coulomb screening effect. The study from Ref. [39], instead, is based on DFT through a projector-augmented-wave (PAW) method in GGA.

FIG. 1. Schematic representation of LDA+\(U\) total energies, \(f\)-projected orbital moment (\(L_z\)), and spin moment (\(2S_z\)) of CUBIC and HUND states as calculated in the present paper and by Larson et al. [17]. In the “present study” part the full and dashed lines correspond to respectively a calculation without (NO SOC) and with (SOC) spin-orbit coupling. In the Larson et al. part the total energy difference corresponds to a scalar relativistic calculation. The moments are obtained by doing one iteration with spin-orbit coupling on top of this fully converged scalar relativistic calculation.

All calculations except one lead to a positive shear constant, which indicates that the cubic structure is stable under the considered deformation. The lack of a positive shear constant for the LDA+\(U\) HUND calculation proves that this calculation has an inner instability towards a tetragonal strain. We explored different shears to find the crystal geometry corresponding to the minimal energy in the LDA+\(U\) HUND calculation. We found that a volume conserving strain along the \(z\) direction resulted in the ground state when the \(c/a\) ratio was about 0.985. In Ref. [17] it was argued that the cubic symmetry breaking of the \(4f\) charge density would not have major effects on the measured x-ray diffraction spectra, due to the small contribution to the total charge density. However, our results show that this symmetry breaking produces a sizable tetragonal distortion of the lattice, which is in contradiction with the experimentally observed cubic crystal structure.

A more detailed comparison of the CUBIC and HUND states in our study and the corresponding states reported by Larson et al. [17] is given in Fig. 1. In this figure the full and dashed lines of the present study part correspond to respectively a calculation without (NO SOC) and with (SOC) spin-orbit coupling. For the part of this figure corresponding to Larson et al. it is important to note that the total energy difference comes from a scalar relativistic calculation without spin-orbit coupling. However, in their study the \(f\)-projected orbital and spin moments, respectively \(L_z\) and \(2S_z\), where \(z\) is the magnetization direction, are obtained by turning on spin-orbit coupling for one iteration after converging this scalar relativistic calculation. Thus, for comparing the total energy difference between the CUBIC and HUND states of Larson et al. and our study, we should use the results obtained without spin orbit coupling. We find that the LDA+\(U\) CUBIC state is more favorable in energy than the HUND state of 0.59 eV. Larson et al. find instead the opposite result [17], and with a much larger energy difference, i.e., about 5 eV. The HUND
states in both corresponding studies have the same $4f$ spin moment (6 $\mu_B$) and orbital moment (3 $\mu_B$). The total moment in this case becomes 9 $\mu_B$, which corresponds well to the total magnetic moment obtained from Russell-Saunders coupling and to what in general is expected for a trivalent Tb atom in elemental form, or in any compound. For the CUBIC states, when comparing our results with those by Larson et al., only the $4f$ spin moment is in good agreement, and has a value of about 3 $\mu_B$. The orbital moments, instead, are different, as reported in Fig. 1. This is due to the scheme used in Ref. [17] to extract the magnetic moments as explained above. This is why the CUBIC state of Larson et al. does not have pure cubic symmetry and has a nonzero orbital moment. In the next subsection (spin-orbit coupling and magnetic properties) we discuss the orbital and spin magnetic moments coming from a fully self-consistent treatment of the spin-orbit coupling. Finally, we would like to emphasize that, for the total energy difference between the CUBIC and HUND states, the same configurations are used as in the work of Larson et al. For the CUBIC state this means that the minority spin electron occupies the $d_{5z^2}$ state and for the HUND state the state with $L_z = 3$ quantum number is occupied.

To further analyze the disagreement in the ground state, we performed additional LDA+$U$ calculations with the full-potential linear augmented plane-wave method (FLAPW) FLEUR [41]. Here we found that the CUBIC state is 0.58 eV lower in energy than the HUND state, in accordance to the FP-LMTO results. Finally, we should mention that we also explored the effects of the inclusion of an additional term $U_d$ for the local Coulomb interaction between the Tb 5$d$ electrons, with $J = 0$ for the sake of simplicity. We found that the energy difference between the CUBIC and HUND states remains basically unchanged.

**B. Spin-orbit coupling and magnetic properties**

In this subsection we will analyze the influence of spin-orbit coupling and the magnetic properties. Before we continue two things must be emphasized. First, we used the equilibrium structures obtained above (see Sec. III A) for this investigation. Second, all LDA/GGA as well as LDA+$U$ and LDA+DMFT[HIA] calculations reported above are done without spin-orbit coupling. However, for the magnetic properties to which the $4f$-electron contribution is crucial, the spin-orbit coupling must be included. Note that the orbital moments discussed in the previous subsection for the LDA+$U$ approach were purely induced by the local Coulomb interaction, which can favor states obeying the second Hund’s rule [42]. The inclusion of the spin-orbit coupling, instead, offers a more complete picture and allows us to also consider the effects associated to the third Hund’s rule. The results of our calculations, for selected methods, are summarized in Table II. For DFT simulations in LDA and 4$f$ electrons treated as valence states, a total moment of 7.3 $\mu_B$, consisting of a spin moment of 6 $\mu_B$ and an orbital moment of 1.3 $\mu_B$, is found. The self-consistent LDA+$U$ simulations were started from the CUBIC and HUND states discussed previously, and are therefore indicated with the same labels, although the cubic symmetry is now broken due to the presence of spin-orbit coupling and finite magnetization.

**Table II.** Calculated and experimental values for the orbital, spin, and total magnetic moments of TbNb bulk. The meaning of the labels is the same as in Table I, but here we have also included corrections due to the spin-orbit coupling. The experimental value is taken from the study of Ref. [37], as discussed in the main text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$L_z$</th>
<th>$2S_z$</th>
<th>$L_z + 2S_z$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDA VALENCE</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA+$U$ CUBIC</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA+$U$ HUND</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA+DMFT[HIA]</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When starting from the CUBIC state, we obtain a spin moment of 5.3 $\mu_B$ and an orbital moment of 2.2 $\mu_B$, giving a total moment of 7.5 $\mu_B$. Conversely, when starting from the HUND state, we obtain a spin moment of 5.0 $\mu_B$ and an orbital moment of 3.4 $\mu_B$. These new simulations can also be used to check the previously discussed total energies of the LDA+$U$ ground state. With the inclusion of relativistic effects, we find that the CUBIC state is 0.29 eV lower in energy than the HUND state, in qualitative agreement with our previous results. These results are also presented in Fig. 1.

Evaluating the magnetic moment with LDA+DMFT[HIA] is a bit more involved, due to problems related to the double counting correction [32]. The HIA requires as input the projected local Hamiltonian of the 4$f$ shell, which, for spin-polarized solutions, contains the 4$f$-shell exchange splitting. This exchange splitting arises from both intraorbital and interorbital contributions [32]. The former is due to the local Coulomb interaction between the 4$f$ electrons, and should ideally be considered only at the level of the HIA. Therefore, one should remove it from the input local Hamiltonian, but unfortunately it is not possible to disentangle this term from the interorbital contributions. Here we solve this problem by substituting the entire exchange splitting with an approximate expression for the interorbital contributions, as is explained below.

The exchange energy of rare earths can be approximated [43,44] as

$$E_X = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l,f} l_l m_l m_f. \quad (3)$$

Here $l$ denotes the angular quantum number, $m_l = n_l^+ - n_l^-$ are the corresponding spin moments, and $l_l$ are atomic exchange integrals. Since the $s$ and $p$ states do not create any significant magnetic moment, the main interorbital contribution to the exchange energy of the $4f$ states comes from the interaction with the Tb 5$d$ states. Thus the exchange splitting of the $4f$ shell caused by the interaction with the $d$ states can be calculated from the interorbital energy $E_X^{fd} = I_{fd} m_f m_d/2$ as follows:

$$\Delta E_X^{fd} = \frac{\partial E_X^{fd}}{\partial n_f} - \frac{\partial E_X^{fd}}{\partial n_f^{\prime}}$$

$$= \frac{\partial E_X^{fd}}{\partial m_f} \frac{\partial m_f}{\partial n_f} - \frac{\partial E_X^{fd}}{\partial m_f^{\prime}} \frac{\partial m_f}{\partial n_f^{\prime}} = I_{fd} m_d. \quad (4)$$
This exchange interaction acts as an effective field on the $4f$ shell, and we have added it as such, with a strength determined by Eq. (4). This was evaluated from an $I_{\text{eff}}$ integral of 7 mRy, taken from Ref. [44], and a self-consistently calculated value of $m_{\text{eff}}$ of 0.006 $\mu_B$. This exchange interaction is then considered as an effective field, which breaks the $2J + 1$ degeneracy of the ground state configuration, so that only the lowest $|J,M_J\rangle$ level is occupied. We find that this level (which does hybridize slightly with other orbitals) carries a magnetic moment of 8.4 $\mu_B$, of which 2.7 $\mu_B$ comes from the orbital part and 5.7 $\mu_B$ from the spin part. The calculation of the $m_{\text{eff}}$ moment is associated with some uncertainty, since this value will depend slightly on details of the calculation, e.g., the choice of muffin-tin radius. To test the sensitivity of the calculated $4f$ moment to the value of $m_{\text{eff}}$, we increased $m_{\text{eff}}$ by one order of magnitude in Eq. (4), and performed a calculation of the $4f$ moment as described above. We then obtain a $4f$ projected moment of 8.7 $\mu_B$, of which 2.8 $\mu_B$ comes from the orbital part and 5.9 $\mu_B$ from the spin part. Hence the sensitivity of the $4f$ moment to the choice of the parameters in Eq. (4) is not large, and the important aspect is that the $2J + 1$ degeneracy is lifted by the interaction with an interorbital exchange field.

From the low temperature experimental work in Refs. [36,37] it has been reported that the magnetic ordering of TbN, and other rare-earth nitrides, depends critically on the carrier concentration, which can be controlled by slight of TbN, and other rare-earth nitrides, depends critically on Eq. (4). This was evaluated from an $I_{\text{eff}}$ integral of 7 mRy, taken from Ref. [44], and a self-consistently calculated value of $m_{\text{eff}}$ of 0.006 $\mu_B$. This exchange interaction is then considered as an effective field, which breaks the $2J + 1$ degeneracy of the ground state configuration, so that only the lowest $|J,M_J\rangle$ level is occupied. We find that this level (which does hybridize slightly with other orbitals) carries a magnetic moment of 8.4 $\mu_B$, of which 2.7 $\mu_B$ comes from the orbital part and 5.7 $\mu_B$ from the spin part. The calculation of the $m_{\text{eff}}$ moment is associated with some uncertainty, since this value will depend slightly on details of the calculation, e.g., the choice of muffin-tin radius. To test the sensitivity of the calculated $4f$ moment to the value of $m_{\text{eff}}$, we increased $m_{\text{eff}}$ by one order of magnitude in Eq. (4), and performed a calculation of the $4f$ moment as described above. We then obtain a $4f$ projected moment of 8.7 $\mu_B$, of which 2.8 $\mu_B$ comes from the orbital part and 5.9 $\mu_B$ from the spin part. Hence the sensitivity of the $4f$ moment to the choice of the parameters in Eq. (4) is not large, and the important aspect is that the $2J + 1$ degeneracy is lifted by the interaction with an interorbital exchange field.

In Fig. 2 we show the total density of states and the projected density of states for the N $2p$, Tb $5d$, and Tb $4f$ electrons. We report on all the methods discussed in the previous subsection, i.e., LDA VALENCE [Fig. 2(a)], LDA+$U$ CUBIC [Fig. 2(b)], LDA+$U$ HUND [Fig. 2(c)], and LDA+DMFT[HIA] [Fig. 2(d)]. All these calculations are spin polarized and include the effects due to the spin-orbit coupling. For LDA VALENCE, two sharp peaks are observed in the $4f$-projected density of states, one corresponding to the majority spin channel and the other to the minority spin channel. This minority spin channel is pinned at the Fermi level, because it is partially filled. At the moment no experimental photoemission spectra of TbN are available to compare with theoretical spectra. However, due to the highly localized character of the $4f$ electrons, it is very unlikely that density of states can have a finite Tb $4f$ contribution at the Fermi level. In trivalent elemental Tb, where several material properties emphasize a smaller degree of localization, the $4f$ spectral features are found at higher binding energy [20,21].

In Fig. 2(b) we see that for the LDA+$U$ CUBIC solution, which is our LDA+$U$ ground state, there is no or little $4f$ spectral intensity at the Fermi level. We observe instead different peaks of the $4f$-projected density of states well below and well above the Fermi level. Here the peaks at $-8$ eV and $-7$ eV come from respectively the $t_{2u}$ and $t_{2g}$ state, and the peak at $-6$ eV from the $e_{2g}$ state. These peaks are not due to the formation of atomic multiplets, but are caused on a single particle level. Hence, although they have more structure, compared to the LDA calculation, these structures are not the ones typically found for trivalent Tb, in elemental form or in compounds.

In Fig. 2(c) we report the spectrum of the LDA+$U$ HUND solution. This is not our ground state but it may be useful to look at its spectral properties in order to check if the observed features resemble or not the atomiclike multiplets. To this aim we can compare Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). In the LDA+DMFT[HIA] calculations, the $4f$-projected density of states (dashed-dotted red line) undoubtedly shows peaks caused by the formation of atomic multiplets [23,24]. The spectral structure below the Fermi energy corresponds to $f^8$ to $f^7$ transitions, while the structure above it to $f^8$ to $f^9$ transitions. The largest differences between LDA+$U$ HUND and LDA+DMFT[HIA] calculation can be found in the majority spin channel. In the LDA+$U$ HUND spectrum the $4f$ peaks are closer to the Fermi level, of about 4 eV, and also the shape and relative positions of the peaks seem to differ. For example, LDA+$U$ HUND has two $4f$ peaks at $-5$ and $-4$ eV, which are absent in the LDA+DMFT[HIA] spectrum. Also LDA+DMFT[HIA] has $4f$ peaks with multiplet features below $-10$ eV, while LDA+$U$ HUND does not have this.

Due to the fact that some majority $4f$ states overlap with the N $2p$ states, a (small) hybridization with them can also influence the binding properties (see again Table I). One could speculate that these differences are caused by an artificial increase of the exchange splitting due to the method illustrated in the previous subsection. To verify this point, we have computed the spectral properties also in the paramagnetic phase, showed in Fig. 3. The Hubbard-$I$ approximation is a proper many-body theory, and takes into account several Slater determinants in the ground state and excited states. Therefore, the paramagnetic spectrum is expected to be very similar to the spin-integrated ferromagnetic spectrum. However, in the paramagnetic phase no approximation on the exchange has been made, and therefore eventual differences with the magnetic case could be traced to that. The total densities of states (DOS) in Figs. 2(d) and 3 are very similar, confirming that, in TbN, the differences between LDA+$U$ and LDA+DMFT[HIA] are indeed fundamental. The qualitative differences outlined in this paragraph are in good agreement with a previous study on ErAs, where similar methods were employed [24]. However, in the latter study the largest discrepancies between LDA+DMFT[HIA] and LDA+$U$ HUND were found in the minority spin channel.

Finally, in Fig. 3 the major excitation peaks were also labeled in the corresponding atomic notation. The first peak below the Fermi level, at around $-4$ eV, corresponds to a transition to the $^8S_{7/2}$ state. The first peak above the Fermi
FIG. 2. (Color online) Total density of states (full black lines), and projected density of states of N 2p (dashed blue lines), Tb 5d (dotted green lines), and Tb 4f (dashed-dotted red lines) electrons. The most relevant methods of this study are reported in the different quadrants: LDA VALENCE (a), LDA+U CUBIC (b), LDA+U HUND (c), and LDA+DMFT[HIA] (d). Note that the scale of the y axis is different in (a) compared to (b), (c), and (d).

level, at around 3 eV, corresponds to a transition to $^6H_{15/2}$. Overall, the spectra of Figs. 2(d) and 3 are consistent with a typical spectrum of a trivalent Tb atom, either in elemental form or in compounds [20,21,45]. Besides the obvious advantage that multiplet configurations are taken into account in the LDA+DMFT[HIA] scheme, we also expect from previous calculations on heavy rare-earth elements that the LDA+DMFT[HIA] calculation will resemble the measured spectral properties of TbN-bulk best (see, e.g., [20,21,45]). In these works an excellent comparison is found between LDA+DMFT[HIA] calculated and experimental (XPS and BIS) spectra, also including elemental, trivalent Tb.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the applicability of several theoretical methods to describe the 4f states of an archetypical rare-earth compound, TbN. These treatments included LDA/GGA (with 4f electrons in valence and core), LDA/GGA+U, and LDA+DMFT in the Hubbard- $I$ approximation. We have focused our investigation on structural properties, equilibrium lattice constant, bulk modulus, magnetism, and spectra. We have studied two significant local minima of the LDA+U method. One is characterized by a 4f density matrix close to that given by Hund’s rules, and labeled as HUND. The other one, labeled as CUBIC, originates from the one-particle levels of a cubic crystal field, and retains the cubic symmetry when spin-orbit coupling is neglected. This CUBIC solution has been found to have lower energy compared to the HUND solution in all cases, i.e., with and without spin-orbit coupling, with and without considering a U $d$ term for the Tb 5d states, and also with a different electronic structure code.

When focusing on the equilibrium lattice constant, all methods reproduce the measured data with good accuracy, except for LDA with 4f electrons in the valence. The bulk modulus and shear constant appear to be rather sensitive to the method used, and we find that the LDA+U method with a HUND solution results in a negative C’ constant, which is the signature of a sizable tetragonal distortion of the NaCl structure. This result is, however, in contradiction
to experiments. In the case of the magnetic properties only LDA+DMFT in the Hubbard-I approximation is consistent with the standard model of the rare earths, and gives a total, as well as spin and orbital, magnetic moment in good agreement with experiment, while all other methods have major or minor deficiencies. For the spectral properties only LDA+DMFT in the Hubbard-I approximation was able to capture the expected atomic multiplets, but our assessment cannot be complete due to the lack of experimental photoemission data.

Thus our overall conclusion is that of all the theoretical methods used for the calculation of the different physical properties of TbN, it is only LDA+DMFT that is consistent with the standard model and available experimental data. This conclusion is expected to hold for rare-earth systems in general, and it is suggested here that for theoretical studies of rare-earth systems, the LDA+DMFT in the Hubbard-I approximation should be considered as the primary theoretical tool.
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