Measurement of the $B^0$ meson lifetime with partial reconstruction of $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*\pm} \pi^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*\pm} \rho^+ \pi^0$ decays

The neutral \( B \) meson lifetime is measured with the data collected by the BABAR detector at the PEP-II storage ring during the years 1999 and 2000, with a total integrated luminosity of 20.7 fb\(^{-1}\). The decays \( B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \pi^+ \) and \( B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \rho^+ \) are selected with a partial-reconstruction technique, yielding samples of 6970 \( B^0 \) candidates from the decay \( B \rightarrow D^* \rightarrow D^{*-} \pi^+ \) and \( D^{*-} \rightarrow \pi^+ \), providing a measurement of the lifetime, this analysis constitutes a first step toward measuring \( \sin(2\beta) \) with partial reconstruction of these modes.

The analyses applied to the \( B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \pi^+ \) and \( B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \rho^+ \) modes are similar. Detailed differences between \( B^0 \) are the result of optimization in the presence of the
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different background characteristics in the two modes. Additional details regarding the analysis procedures can be found in Refs. [5] and [6].

The data used in this analysis were collected with the BABAR detector at the SLAC \(e^+e^-\) asymmetric-energy storage ring PEP-II during the years 1999 and 2000. The data consist of 22.7 million \(B\bar{B}\) pairs, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 20.7 fb\(^{-1}\) recorded at the \(Y(4S)\) resonance. In addition, 2.6 fb\(^{-1}\) of “off-resonance” data were collected about 40 MeV below the resonance. Samples of simulated \(B\bar{B}\) and continuum \(e^+e^-\rightarrow q\bar{q}\) events, where \(q\) stands for a \(u, d, s,\) or \(c\) quark, were generated using a GEANT3-based detector simulation [7] and processed through the same reconstruction and analysis chain as the data. The equivalent luminosity of the simulated events is approximately one third the data luminosity. We also used signal Monte Carlo samples with an equivalent luminosity several times larger than that of the data.

The BABAR detector, described in detail elsewhere [8], consists of five subdetectors. Charged particle trajectories are measured by a combination of a five-layer silicon vertex tracker (SVT) and a 40-layer drift chamber (DCH) in a 1.5 T solenoidal magnetic field. Tracks with low transverse momentum are reconstructed by the SVT alone, thus extending the charged particle detection down to transverse momenta of \(\sim 50\) MeV/c. Photons and electrons are detected in a CsI(Tl) electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC), with photon energy resolution \(\sigma_E/E = 0.023(E/\text{GeV})^{-0.019}\). A ring-imaging Cherenkov detector (DIRC) is used for charged particle identification. The instrumented flux return (IFR) is equipped with resistive plate chambers to identify muons.

In the partial reconstruction of a \(B^0\rightarrow D^{*-}h^-\) candidate, only the hadron \(h\) and the \(\pi^e\), are reconstructed. The angle between the momenta of the \(B\) and the \(h\) in the center-of-mass (c.m.) frame is then computed:

\[
\cos \theta_{Bh} = \frac{M_{D^{*-}h}^2 - M_{B^0}^2 - M_h^2 + E_{\text{CM}} E_h}{2 p_{B^0} p_h},
\]

(1)

where \(M_x\) is the mass of particle \(x\), \(E_x\) and \(P_x\) are the measured c.m. energy and momentum of the hadron \(h\), \(E_{\text{CM}}\) is the total c.m. energy of the beams, and \(p_{B^0} = \sqrt{E_{\text{CM}}^2 - M_{B^0}^2}\). All masses \(M_x\) refer to the nominal values [9], except in the case \(h = \rho\), where the measured \(\pi^+\pi^-\) invariant mass \(m(\pi^+\pi^-)\) is used. Events are required to be in the physical region \(|\cos \theta_{Bh}| < 1\). Given \(p_{B^0}\), \(\cos \theta_{Bh}\), and \(\vec{P}_h\), the \(B\) four-momentum can be calculated up to an unknown azimuthal angle \(\phi\) around \(\vec{P}_h\). For every value of \(\phi\), one may use four-momentum conservation to determine the expected \(\bar{D}^0\) four-momentum \(\mathcal{P}_D(\phi) = \mathcal{P}_B(\phi) + \mathcal{P}_h - \mathcal{P}_{\pi^e}\), where \(\mathcal{P}_i\) is the four-momentum of particle \(i\). The corresponding \(\phi\)-dependent \(\bar{D}^0\) invariant mass is \(m(\phi) = \sqrt{[\mathcal{P}_D(\phi)]^2}\). We define the missing mass \(m_{\text{miss}} = \frac{1}{2}[m_{\text{max}} + m_{\text{min}}]\), where \(m_{\text{max}}\) and \(m_{\text{min}}\) are the maximum and minimum values that \(m(\phi)\) may obtain given the momenta of \(h\) and \(\pi^e\).

In signal events, \(m_{\text{miss}}\) peaks at the nominal \(\bar{D}^0\) mass \(M_{\bar{D}^0}\), with a spread of about 3 MeV/c\(^2\) for \(B^0\rightarrow D^{*-}\pi^+\) (3.5 MeV/c\(^2\) for \(B^0\rightarrow D^{*-}\rho^+\)) [10], while the distribution of background events is broader. The missing mass is the main variable used to distinguish signal from background.

We define the \(D^*\) helicity angle \(\theta_{D^*}\) to be the angle between the directions of the \(\bar{D}^0\) and the \(B^0\) in the \(D^*\) rest frame. This variable is used in the event selection described below. In the \(B^0\rightarrow D^{*-}\pi^+\) analysis, \(\theta_{D^*}\) is computed assuming that the \(B\) momentum lies in the plane defined by the \(h\) and \(\pi_e\) momenta in the c.m. frame. This assumption also yields the \(\bar{D}^0\) direction. In the \(B^0\rightarrow D^{*-}\rho^+\) analysis, the value of \(\cos \theta_{D^*}\) is computed by applying the constraint \(m_{\text{miss}} = M_\rho\) giving two possible solutions for the \(\bar{D}^0\) direction [4]. In \(B^0\rightarrow D^{*-}\rho^+\), the \(\rho\) helicity angle \(\theta_\rho\) is defined as the angle between directions of the \(\pi^e\) (from the decay of the \(\rho\)) and the c.m. system in the \(\rho\) rest frame.

We select events in which the ratio of the 2nd to the 0th Fox-Wolfram moment [11], computed using charged particles, is smaller than 0.35. The candidate \(B^0\) daughter tracks are required to originate within 1 cm (1.5 cm) of the interaction point in the \(x-y\) plane (the plane perpendicular to the beams), and within \(\pm 4\) cm (\(\pm 10\) cm) of the interaction point along the direction of the beams. Tracks are rejected if they are highly likely to be a kaon or a lepton on the basis of their ionization, Cherenkov angle, energy deposited in the EMC, and pattern of hits in the IFR.

\(B^0\rightarrow D^{*-}\pi^+\) candidates are rejected if another track is found within 0.4 rad of the momentum of the hard pion \(\pi_h\) [12] in the c.m. frame. This requirement helps to reject continuum events, where tracks tend to be clustered in jets. A Fisher discriminant [13] \(F_{\pi}\) is computed from 15 event shape variables. Among these variables is the scalar sum of the c.m. momenta of all tracks and neutral candidates in nine \(20^\circ\) single-sided cones around the \(\pi_h\) direction. We require \(|\cos \theta_{D^*}|\) to be larger than 0.4. A cut on \(F_{\pi}\) is used to reduce the continuum background.

In the reconstruction of \(B^0\rightarrow D^{*-}\rho^+\) candidates, the charged \(\rho\) candidates are identified by their decay to a hard charged pion \(\pi_h\) and a \(\pi^0\). To suppress fake \(\pi^0\) candidates, the \(\pi^0\) momentum in the c.m. frame is required to be greater than 400 MeV/c. The invariant mass of the \(\pi^0\rightarrow \gamma\gamma\) candidate must be within 20 MeV/c\(^2\) of the nominal \(\pi^0\) mass [9]. The invariant mass \(m(\pi^+\pi^-)\) of the \(\rho\) candidate must be between 0.45 and 1.10 GeV/c\(^2\). To suppress combinatoric background, we require \(|\cos \theta_{\rho} - 0.3\) and \(|\cos \theta_{\rho,3} - 0.3\). And also reject events that satisfy both \(\cos \theta_{\rho} < 0.3\) and \(\cos \theta_{\rho,3} < -0.3\). A Fisher discriminant \(F_{\rho}\) is computed using the scalar sum of the c.m. momenta of all tracks and neutrals in nine \(10^\circ\) double-sided cones around the \(\rho\) direction. In about 10% of the events, more than one partially reconstructed candidate per event satisfies all the requirements, in good agreement with what is observed in Monte Carlo simulated events. In such events only the candidate with the smallest value of \(|m_{\text{miss}} - m_{D^0}|\) in the event is used.

The decay position \(z_{\text{rec}}\) of the partially reconstructed \(B\) candidate along the beam direction is determined by constraining the \(\pi_h\) and the \(\pi_e\) (or \(\pi_{\rho}\) for \(B^0\rightarrow D^{*-}\rho^+\)) to originate from the beam-spot in the \(x-y\) plane. The beam spot is determined on a run-by-run basis.
using two-prong events [8]. Its size in the horizontal direction is 120 μm. Although the beam spot size in the vertical direction is only a few microns, a beam spot constraint of 30 μm is applied, so as to account for the flight of the B⁰ in the vertical direction.

The decay position z_{other} of the other B meson along the beam direction is measured with all tracks, excluding π_b, π_s, and any track whose c.m. angle with respect to the D⁰ direction (either of the two calculated directions in the B⁰ → D*⁺ ρ⁺ case) is smaller than 1 radian. This “cone cut” reduces the fraction of events in which D⁰ daughter tracks are used in the other B vertex to about 25%, while maintaining high vertex fit efficiency. The tracks satisfying this requirement are fit with a constraint to the beam-spot in the x-y plane. The track with the largest contribution to the χ^2 of the vertex, if greater than 6, is removed from the vertex, and the fit is carried out again, until no track fails this requirement. B⁰ → D*⁻ π⁺ candidates are required to have at least two tracks remaining in the other B vertex.

The z distance between the two B decay vertices, DZ = z_{rec} − z_{other}, is computed. Fitting the residual Δz = Δz_{true} in simulated events, where Δz_{true} is the true Δz, with the sum of two Gaussians, we find that 67% (57%) of the B⁰ → D*⁺ (B⁰ → D*⁻) events lie in the core Gaussian of width 116 μm (178 μm). The Δz resolution is dominated by the measurement of z_{other}, and by the z_{rec} measurement when the π_s transverse momentum is below about 400 MeV/c.

The decay time difference Δt is then calculated using the approximation Δt ≈ Δz/(γβc), where the c.m. frame boost γβ is determined from the beam energies, and has an average value of 0.55. This approximation results in a 0.2 ps rms spread in the calculation of Δt.

For B⁰ → D*⁻ π⁺ candidates, Δt is computed applying an event-by-event correction to the measured value of Δz. This correction, determined from the simulated signal sample as a function of Δz, removes the bias in z_{other} due to the tracks coming from the D⁰ decay. Without correction, the effect of this bias would be to reduce the measured lifetime by approximately 4%. In the B⁰ → D*⁻ ρ⁺ analysis a different correction is applied to the measured lifetime value, as explained later.

The estimated error σ_{Δt} in the measurement of Δt is calculated from the uncertainties in the parameters of the tracks used in the two vertex fits. A requirement on the vertex fit probabilities removes badly reconstructed vertices. For both modes we also require |Δt|<15 ps and σ_{Δt}<2.4 ps (σ_{Δt}<4 ps for B⁰ → D*⁺ ρ⁺).

After applying all the above requirements, we find four broadly defined types of events that contribute to the background: (1) Combinatoric BB background due to random h and π, combinations; (2) peaking BB events, which are distributed as a broad peak in the m_{miss} spectrum; (3) B⁰ → D*⁻ ρ⁺ (B⁰ → D*⁺ a₁) decays in the B⁰ → D*⁻ π⁺ (B⁰ → D*⁺ π⁺) sample; (4) continuum events. The peaking background is mostly due to B → D*⁻ π decays in the B⁰ → D*⁻ π⁺ sample. In the B⁰ → D*⁺ ρ⁺ sample, it is due to signal events in which the π_b candidate originates from the other B.

The lifetime τ_{B⁰} is obtained from an unbinned maximum likelihood fit, as described below, with a probability density function (PDF) \( \mathcal{F}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t}, \xi) \). Here \( \xi \) refers to the kinematic variables used to distinguish signal from background. For B⁰ → D*⁺ π⁺ we set \( \xi = m_{\text{miss}} \); for B⁰ → D*⁻ ρ⁺ we set \( \xi = (m_{\text{miss}}, m(\pi^+ \pi^0), \theta_F) \). The PDF has the form

\[
\mathcal{F}(\xi, \Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t}) = f_{\text{signal}} K_{\text{sig}}(\xi) F_{\text{comb}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t}) + f_{\text{comb}} K_{\text{comb}}(\xi) F_{\text{comb}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t}) + f_{\text{peak}} K_{\text{peak}}(\xi) F_{\text{peak}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t}) + f_{D\chi} K_{D\chi}(\xi) F_{D\chi}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t}) + f_{\text{cont}} K_{\text{cont}}(\xi) F_{\text{cont}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t}),
\]

where the subscripts sig, comb, peak, D*X, and cont refer to the four types of backgrounds enumerated above and to signal events. For each event type i, f_i is the relative population of these PDFs, and \( \mathcal{F}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t}) \) is their time-dependent PDF. The constraint \( \sum f_i = 1 \) is enforced.

For B⁰ → D*⁻ π⁺, \( K_{(m_{\text{miss}})} \) consists of binned histograms obtained from the Monte Carlo simulation. For B⁰ → D*⁻ ρ⁺ candidates, we use the product \( K_{(\xi)} = M_{i}(m_{\text{miss}}) R_i(m(\pi^+ \pi^0)) D_i(F_{\theta_F}) \), where \( M_{i}(m_{\text{miss}}) \) is the sum of a bifurcated Gaussian and an ARGUS function [14]. \( R_i(m(\pi^+ \pi^0)) \) is the sum of a parabolic bagdground and a relativistic P-wave Breit-Wigner, and \( D_i(F_{\theta_F}) \) is a bifurcated Gaussian function.

For each event type i, \( \mathcal{F}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t}) \) is the convolution \( \mathcal{N} \int P(\Delta t_{\text{true}}) R(|\Delta t - \Delta t_{\text{true}}|/\sigma_{\Delta t}) d\Delta t_{\text{true}} \) of the “true” distribution \( P(\Delta t_{\text{true}}) \) and the detector resolution function \( R(|\Delta t - \Delta t_{\text{true}}|/\sigma_{\Delta t}) \), which is parametrized as the sum of three Gaussian distributions. \( \mathcal{N} \) is a normalization constant. The parameters of \( P(\Delta t_{\text{true}}) \) and \( R(|\Delta t - \Delta t_{\text{true}}|/\sigma_{\Delta t}) \) are obtained separately for each event type. For signal events of both modes we take \( P(\Delta t_{\text{true}}) = (1/2\tau) e^{-|\Delta t_{\text{true}}|/\tau} \). This functional form is also used for the combinatoric and peaking backgrounds in B⁰ → D*⁻ π⁺, but with independent parameters. In B⁰ → D*⁻ ρ⁺, the source of the peaking background motivates its distribution to be \( P(\Delta t_{\text{true}}) = \delta(\Delta t_{\text{true}}) \), and the distribution used for the combinatoric background is \( P(\Delta t_{\text{true}}) = a (1/2\tau') e^{-|\Delta t_{\text{true}}|/\tau'} + (1-a) \delta(\Delta t_{\text{true}}) \), with an effective lifetime parameter \( \tau' \). \( F_{D\chi}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t}) \) is assumed to be identical to \( \mathcal{F}_{\text{sig}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t}) \). The continuum background is modelled as \( P(\Delta t_{\text{true}}) = b (1/2\tau_{\text{cont}}) e^{-|\Delta t_{\text{true}}|/\tau_{\text{cont}}} + (1 - b) \delta(\Delta t_{\text{true}}) \). The validity of these PDFs and corresponding assumptions are individually verified using the Monte Carlo simulation.

Several subsamples are defined and used in the lifetime fit. Events with a candidate in which the h and π, have opposite charges and with \( m_{\text{miss}} > 1.860 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \) constitute the “signal region” sample. Those satisfying \( 1.820 < m_{\text{miss}} < 1.850 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \) constitute the “sideband.” Events in which h and π, have the same charge are labeled as “same charge.” In the B⁰ → D*⁻ π⁺ analysis, we apply a requirement on the Fisher discriminant.
that suppresses $B\bar{B}$ events, to select a “$B\bar{B}$-depleted” sample that is enriched in continuum events. The sideband, same-charge, and $B\bar{B}$-depleted samples serve as control samples for studying the $\Delta t$ distributions of the backgrounds.

In the $B^0 \to D^{*-} \rho^+$ analysis, about 11.5% of the partially reconstructed signal events are also fully reconstructed in the $\bar{B}^0$ decay modes $\bar{B}^0 \to K^+ \pi^-$ or $K^+ \pi^- \pi^0$. This yields a sample that, while relatively small, has a low background contamination of about 5%. This clean signal sample is used in the fits described below, improving the determination of the signal PDF parameters.

The $B^0$ lifetime $\tau_{B^0}$ is obtained in a three-step procedure using signal region and control sample events.

In the first step, the fractions $f_i$ in the signal region and in the different control samples are obtained from kinematic-variable fits conducted simultaneously on the on-and off-resonance samples (and the fully reconstructed sample for the $B^0 \to D^{*-} \rho^+$ signal region). The fit PDF is that of Eq. (2), but with all $F_2(\Delta t, \sigma_{3\ell})$ replaced by unity. In the $B^0 \to D^{*-} \pi^+$ analysis this fit determines $f_{\text{peak}}$ and $f_{\text{cont}}$. The fraction of $B^0 \to D^{*-} \rho^+$ events $f_{\rho \neq X}$ in the $B^0 \to D^{*-} \pi^+$ sample is assumed to be 16.8%, as predicted by the Monte Carlo simulation and the relative branching ratio [9]. This fit [Fig. 1(a)] yields 6970 $\pm$ 240 signal $B^0 \to D^{*-} \pi^+$ events. In the $B^0 \to D^{*-} \rho^+$ analysis the kinematic-variable fit determines $f_{\text{cont}}$, as well as all the parameters of $K_{\text{cont}}(\xi)$, $M_{\text{sig}}(m_{\text{miss}})$, and $R_{\text{sig}}(m(\pi^+ \pi^0))$. The parameters of $D_{\text{sig}}(F_\rho)$, $K_{\text{comb}}(\xi)$, and $K_{\text{peak}}(\xi)$, as well as $f_{\text{peak}}/f_{\text{sig}}$ (9.7%) and $f_{D^{*-}\rho^+}/f_{\text{sig}}$ (11.6%), are obtained from the Monte Carlo simulation. The kinematic-variable fit to the $B^0 \to D^{*-} \rho^+$ sample [Figs. 2(a), 2(b) and 2(c)] yields 5520

FIG. 1. Distributions of (a) missing mass and (b) $\Delta t$ for candidate $B^0 \to D^{*-} \pi^+$ events. The result of the fit (solid line) is superimposed on data (data points). The hatched, cross-hatched, and shaded areas are the peaking $B\bar{B}$, combinatoric $B\bar{B}$, and continuum contributions, respectively. The $\Delta t$ plot is obtained with the requirement $m_{\text{miss}} > 1.860$ GeV/$c^2$.

FIG. 2. Distributions of (a) missing mass, (b) $\rho$ candidate invariant mass, (c) Fisher discriminant $F_\rho$, and (d) $\Delta t$ of $B^0 \to D^{*-} \rho^+$ candidate events. The result of the fit (solid line) is superimposed on data (data points). The hatched, cross-hatched, and shaded areas are the peaking $B\bar{B}$, combinatoric $B\bar{B}$, and continuum contributions, respectively. The $\Delta t$ plot is obtained with the requirement $m_{\text{miss}} > 1.854$ GeV/$c^2$, $0.60 < m(\pi^+ \pi^0) < 0.93$ GeV/$c^2$, and $F_\rho < -2.1$. 


±250 $B^0 \to D^* - \rho^+$ events, including 691±36 fully reconstructed events.

In the second step, all the parameters determined in the first step are fixed, and the parameters of $F_0(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$ of the backgrounds are determined entirely from the control data samples. In the $B^0 \to D^* \pi^+$ case, the parameters of $F_{\text{comb}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$ are obtained from a fit to the $BB$-depleted sample, and those of the $F_{\text{comb}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$ are obtained from the same-chance sample. The parameters of $F_{\text{peak}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$ are assumed to be identical to $F_{\text{comb}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$. In $B^0 \to D^* \rho^+$, the parameters of $F_{\text{comb}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$ are determined from the sideband sample, and those of $F_{\text{peak}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$ are obtained from the same-chance sample. Each of the $B^0 \to D^* \rho^+$ control sample fits is conducted simultaneously on the on- and off-resonance data, and the parameters of $F_{\text{comb}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$ are determined for each control sample simultaneously with the $BB$ PDF parameters. All use of control samples and corresponding assumptions was validated using the Monte Carlo simulation.

In the final step, using the background $F_0(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$ parameters obtained in the previous step, the signal region sample is fit to extract the signal $F_{\text{sig}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$ parameters. In $B^0 \to D^* \pi^+$ this fit has six free parameters describing $F_{\text{sig}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$. In $B^0 \to D^* \rho^+$, the fit is done simultaneously to on- and off-resonance events, as well as fully reconstructed events, and has 15 free parameters describing $F_{\text{sig}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$ and $F_{\text{comb}}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$.

The results of the last fit step, shown in Figs. 1(b) and 2(d), are $\tau_{B^0} = 1.510 \pm 0.040$ ps for $B^0 \to D^* - \pi^+$ and $\tau_{B^0} = 1.616 \pm 0.064$ ps for $B^0 \to D^* - \rho^+$, where the errors are statistical only. These results are obtained after a correction of $-0.014 \pm 0.020$ ps ($+0.071 \pm 0.028$ ps for $B^0 \to D^* - \rho^+$), determined from the Monte Carlo simulation. The correction accounts for biases due to the fit procedure, the event selection and, in the $B^0 \to D^* - \rho^+$ case, the effect of $D^0$ daughter tracks passing the cone cut and being used for the determination of the other $B$ vertex. The errors in the corrections are propagated to the final result as systematic errors.

The systematic uncertainties are listed in Table I, and described here. (1) The fractions and the PDF parameters of the background components were varied by their statistical errors, taking into account mutual correlations, obtained from the fits of the first two analysis steps. (2) The PDF parameters and lifetime corrections that were obtained from the Monte Carlo simulation were varied by the statistical error in the Monte Carlo fits. The full analysis chain, including event reconstruction and selection, was tested with the Monte Carlo simulation, and the statistical precision of the consistency between the generated and fit lifetimes was assigned as a systematic error. The Monte Carlo statistical errors in the evaluation of the various corrections described above were propagated to the final result. (3) The level of $B^0 \to D^* - \rho^+$ ($B^0 \to D^* - \rho^+$) background in the $B^0 \to D^* - \pi^+$ ($B^0 \to D^* - \rho^+$) sample was varied by the relevant branching fraction errors [9], and the fraction of $B^0 \to D^* - \rho^+$ background events in the $B^0 \to D^* - \rho^+$ sample, which is nominally 0, was varied up to 40% of the signal yield. (4) The fraction of events where at least one $D^0$ track satisfies the cone cut was varied by ±5% in the simulated sample, and the associated bias was reevaluated. (5) The parameters of $F_{\text{com}}$ that were fixed in the fits were varied within conservative ranges. (6) Extensive parametrized Monte Carlo simulation studies were conducted to evaluate statistical biases in the fits due to limited data sample size or as the result of changes in the functional form of $R(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$. (7) The $\Delta t$ fit range was varied between $|\Delta t| < 10$ ps and $|\Delta t| < 20$ ps. (8) The $z$ length scale of the detector has been determined with an uncertainty of 0.4% from the reconstruction of secondary interactions with a beam pipe section of known length [15]. The systematic uncertainties related to the detector alignment (9) and beam energy uncertainty [8] (10) were also taken into account. The total systematic error in the $B^0 \to D^* - \pi^+$ ($B^0 \to D^* - \rho^+$) analysis is 0.041 ps (0.075 ps).

Several cross-checks were conducted to demonstrate the validity of the result. The data were fit in bins of the lab frame polar angle, azimuthal angle, and momentum of the $\pi$, and in subsamples corresponding to different SVT alignment calibrations. The fit was repeated with different values of the cone cut ranging from 0.75 to 2.00 radians (0.6 to 1.2 radians for $B^0 \to D^* - \rho^+$). Different functional forms of $R(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t})$ were used in the fit. In all cases, no statistically significant variation of the result was observed, beyond those already accounted for in the systematic errors.

In summary, in a sample of 22.7 million $BB$ pairs, we identify $6970 \pm 240$ $B^0 \to D^* - \pi^+$ and $5520 \pm 250$ $B^0 \to D^* - \rho^+$ partially reconstructed decays. These events are used to measure the $B^0$ lifetime, obtaining $\tau_{B^0} = 1.510 \pm 0.040$ (stat) ±0.041 (syst) ps in $B^0 \to D^* - \pi^+$ and $\tau_{B^0} = 1.616 \pm 0.064$ (stat) ±0.075 (syst) ps in $B^0 \to D^* - \rho^+$. The combined measurement, taking into account correlated errors, is

$$\tau_{B^0} = 1.533 \pm 0.034 \text{ (stat) } \pm 0.038 \text{ (syst) ps.}$$

This result is in good agreement with the world average $B^0$ lifetime $\tau_{B^0} = 1.542 \pm 0.016$ ps [9] and with other recent
BABAR measurements [16], confirming the validity of using partially reconstructed events in time dependent measurements.
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[1] Charge conjugate decays are implied.
[10] Unless explicitly noted, the value or procedure in the text refers to the $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \pi^+$ analysis while those in parentheses refer to the $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \rho^+$ analysis.
[12] $\pi_h$ denotes both the charged pion from the $\rho$ decay in $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \rho^+$ and the hard pion from $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \pi^+$.