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I. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

The lowest-mass doubly charmed baryons are predicted to be the members of an isospin doublet \((\Xi_{cc}^+ = ccd\) and \(\Xi_{cc}^{++} = ccu\) [1]) with \(J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+\) and \(L = 0\). There are many theoretical predictions for the \(\Xi_{cc}^+\) and \(\Xi_{cc}^{++}\) masses and lifetimes [2–11]. The predicted masses lie in a range of approximately 3.5 to 3.8 GeV/c\(^2\) [3–8]. The mass difference between the \(\Xi_{cc}^+\) and \(\Xi_{cc}^{++}\) is predicted to be on the order of 1 MeV/c\(^2\) [9]. The \(\Xi_{cc}^+\) and \(\Xi_{cc}^{++}\) lifetimes are expected to be between about 0.1 and 0.2 ps, and 0.5 and 1.5 ps, respectively [10,11]. Theoretical estimates for branching fractions relevant to this paper are \(B(\Xi_{cc}^+ \rightarrow \Lambda_{cc}^+ K^+ \pi^-) = 0.03\), \(B(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}^{++} \pi^-) = 0.05\), \(B(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_{cc}^+ K^+ \pi^-) = 0.05\), and \(B(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}^{++} \pi^-) = 0.05\) [12].

Several predictions have been made for the production cross sections of doubly charmed baryons in \(e^+e^-\) annihilations [13–15]; the predictions range from 1 to 250 fb for an \(e^+e^-\) center-of-mass (CM) energy near 10.58 GeV, and translate into \(O(10^2–10^3)\) doubly charmed baryons produced in the \(BABAR\) data set of 232 fb\(^{-1}\) analyzed here. Measured cross sections for double-\(c\bar{c}\) production in Belle [16] and \(BABAR\) [17] are an order of magnitude larger than nonrelativistic QCD predictions. Calculations for \(cc\ c\bar{c}\) and \(cc\ c\bar{c}\) cross sections are very similar; therefore, the predicted cross sections for doubly charmed baryons may also have been underestimated.

The \(SELEX\) collaboration, which uses the Fermilab 600 GeV/c charged hyperon beam, has published evidence for the \(\Xi_{cc}^+\) baryon in the \(\Lambda_{cc}^+ K^+ \pi^-\) and \(pD^+K^-\) decay modes with a mass of \((3518.7 \pm 1.7)\) MeV/c\(^2\) [18,19]. The \(\Xi_{cc}^+\) baryon, detected in the decay mode \(\Lambda_{cc}^+ K^+ \pi^-\), with a mass of 3460 MeV/c\(^2\), was reported by \(SELEX\) at ICHEP 2002 [20]. The \(\Xi_{cc}^+ – \Xi_{cc}^{++}\) mass difference of 60 MeV/c\(^2\) is not consistent with theoretical expectations. \(SELEX\) sets an upper limit (at 90% confidence level) of 33 fs on the lifetime of the \(\Xi_{cc}^+\) baryon, in conflict with theoretical predictions. The photoproduction experiment \(FOCUS\) does not observe any \(\Xi_{cc}\) states [21] although they observe 19,500 \(\Lambda_{cc}^+\) baryons, compared to 1650 for \(SELEX\).

In this paper, we describe a search for the production of \(\Xi_{cc}\) baryons in a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 232 fb\(^{-1}\) recorded with the \(BABAR\) detector at the PEP-II asymmetric-energy \(e^+e^-\) storage ring at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center. We search for \(\Xi_{cc}\) baryons in the final states \(\Lambda_{cc}^+ K^- \pi^+\) and \(\Xi_{cc}^{0}\) \(\pi^+\), and \(\Xi_{cc}^{++}\) baryons in the final states \(\Lambda_{cc}^+ K^- \pi^+\) and \(\Xi_{cc}^{0}\) \(\pi^+\) \(\pi^+\). We find no evidence for the production of doubly charmed baryons.
signals. During this process the search regions were hidden to minimize potential experimenter bias.

Charm hadrons carry a significant fraction of the initial energy of the charm quark, whereas random combinations of charged particles in an event form lower-energy candidates. To take advantage of this difference, we select \( \Xi_{cc} \) candidates for which the \( p^\ast \) of the \( \Xi_{cc} \) is above a minimum value. For \( \Xi_{cc} \) decay modes containing a \( \Lambda_c^+ \), the optimal requirement is \( p^\ast > 2.3 \text{ GeV}/c \). Because the background levels for events containing a \( \Xi_{cc} \) candidate are lower, we apply the less stringent requirement \( p^\ast > 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c \). To facilitate comparisons with theoretical predictions, we repeat the searches with no requirement on \( p^\ast \).

We conduct searches for \( \Xi_{cc} \) near the masses of the states observed by SELEX and over wider ranges that include many of the theoretically predicted masses. We use MC techniques to account for the width of the search region in the statistical interpretation of the results.

### II. SEARCH FOR DECAYS TO \( \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ (\pi^+) \)

In the searches for \( \Xi_{cc}^+ \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \) and \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \), we reconstruct the \( \Lambda_c^+ \) baryon in its decay to \( p K^- \pi^+ \). Pion, kaon and proton candidates are identified using the SVT, DCH and DIRC. The \( \chi^2 \) probability for the \( \Lambda_c^+ \) daughter particles and for the \( \Xi_{cc} \) daughter particles to each come from a common vertex is required to be above 1%. The number of reconstructed \( \Lambda_c^+ \) signal events is approximately 600,000.

The distribution of the mass difference \( \Delta M(\Xi_{cc} - \Lambda_c^+) \) is shown in Fig. 1 for candidates with \( M(\Lambda_c^+) \) between 2281 and 2291 MeV/c\(^2 \) (\( \pm 0.8\sigma \)), and also for \( M(\Lambda_c^+) \) sidebands (2256 < \( M(\Lambda_c^+) \) < 2281 MeV/c\(^2 \) and 2291 < \( M(\Lambda_c^+) \) < 2316 MeV/c\(^2 \)). To search for a signal in data and to estimate the efficiency, we perform two-dimensional fits to \( M(\Lambda_c^+) \) and \( \Delta M(\Xi_{cc} - \Lambda_c^+) \). The range of \( M(\Lambda_c^+) \) used in all fits is 2256 to 2316 MeV/c\(^2 \). We search for \( \Xi_{cc} \) states with masses between 3390 and 3600 MeV/c\(^2 \) (\( \Delta M(\Xi_{cc} - \Lambda_c^+) \) between 1100 and 1310 MeV/c\(^2 \)). The mass-difference sidebands in data are between 890 and 1100 MeV/c\(^2 \), and 1310 and 1520 MeV/c\(^2 \).

Approximately half of all background \( \Xi_{cc} \) candidates are due to true \( \Lambda_c^+ \) particles combined with random pion and kaon candidates from the rest of the event. This background is fit with a Gaussian shape in \( M(\Lambda_c^+) \) and a linear shape in \( \Delta M(\Xi_{cc} - \Lambda_c^+) \). Another significant background contribution is from false \( \Lambda_c^+ \) candidates. This source of background is fit with the product of a linear function in \( M(\Lambda_c^+) \) and a linear function in \( \Delta M(\Xi_{cc} - \Lambda_c^+) \).

MC simulations show that \( \Xi_{cc} \) signals peak in three different ways in the \( M(\Lambda_c^+) \) versus \( \Delta M(\Xi_{cc} - \Lambda_c^+) \) plane. In most cases, the \( \Xi_{cc} \) is reconstructed correctly and the measured values of both \( M(\Lambda_c^+) \) and \( \Delta M(\Xi_{cc} - \Lambda_c^+) \) lie close to the generated values; such candidates are fit with the product of two Gaussian distributions, one in each variable. The MC signal resolution for \( \Delta M(\Xi_{cc} - \Lambda_c^+) \) is 3.5 MeV/c\(^2 \) and 3.0 MeV/c\(^2 \) for \( \Xi_{cc} \) and \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \), respectively. When \( \Xi_{cc} \) candidates are reconstructed from the correct tracks but the kaon and/or pion from the \( \Lambda_c^+ \) decay is swapped with the kaon and/or pion from the \( \Xi_{cc} \) decay,
TABLE I. Efficiencies determined from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi_c^0X$ simulations. With the $p^*$ criterion applied, the efficiency is calculated for $\Xi_c^0$ baryons generated with $p^*$ above 2.3 GeV/c for the $\Lambda_c^+$ modes and 2.0 GeV/c for the $\Xi_c^+$ modes. The first error is statistical; the second is systematic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p^*$ Criterion</th>
<th>Particle</th>
<th>$\Lambda_c^+$ Mode Eff. (%)</th>
<th>$\Xi_c^+$ Mode Eff. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$\Xi_c^+$</td>
<td>4.2 $\pm$ 0.1 $\pm$ 0.2</td>
<td>6.1 $\pm$ 0.1 $\pm$ 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$\Xi_c^+$</td>
<td>10.4 $\pm$ 0.1 $\pm$ 0.5</td>
<td>9.3 $\pm$ 0.1 $\pm$ 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>$\Xi_c^+$</td>
<td>3.6 $\pm$ 0.1 $\pm$ 0.2</td>
<td>5.9 $\pm$ 0.1 $\pm$ 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>$\Xi_c^+$</td>
<td>9.7 $\pm$ 0.1 $\pm$ 0.5</td>
<td>9.0 $\pm$ 0.1 $\pm$ 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reconstruction has the correct $M(\Xi_c^+)$ but an incorrect $M(\Lambda_c^+)$ for these events. These events are fit in both MC simulations and data with a Gaussian function in $M(\Xi_c^+ - \Lambda_c^+)$ and $M(\Xi_c^0)$ and are included as part of the signal. When the $\Lambda_c^+$ is correctly reconstructed but is combined with an incorrect pion and/or kaon to form the $\Xi_c^+$, the reconstruction has the correct $M(\Lambda_c^+)$ but an incorrect $M(\Xi_c^+ - \Lambda_c^+)$. Such events are not distinguishable from $\Lambda_c^+$ combinatoric background.

Each shape parameter describing the signal is constrained in the fit to lie within a range determined from the Monte Carlo simulation, allowing for possible inaccuracies in the simulation. The integral of the signal function is allowed to be negative. Efficiencies for the reconstruction of $\Xi_c^0$ baryons decaying to $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$ and $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ are calculated from the signal yields from fits to the MC simulated samples. These efficiencies are listed in Table I. The systematic uncertainties are due to inaccuracies in the simulation of tracking reconstruction (0.8% per track, added linearly) and particle identification (1.0% per kaon, 1.0% per pion, and 4.0% per proton). When setting upper limits on production cross sections, additional systematic uncertainties arise due to the uncertainties on the integrated luminosity (1.0%) and $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+X)B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)$ (4.7%).

We conduct searches for a signal within 10 MeV/$c^2$-wide regions around the $\Xi_c^+$ and $\Xi_c^{++}$ masses reported by SELEX, and within the 210 MeV/$c^2$-wide region described earlier. The wide search region is divided into 21 sequential 10 MeV/$c^2$ search subregions. For each subregion, we perform a two-dimensional fit over a 100 MeV/$c^2$-wide range in mass difference centered on the subregion, constraining the mean of the Gaussian signal function to lie within that subregion.

The significance of any potential signal is determined through the use of parametrized MC simulations. Samples of pairs of variables ($M(\Lambda_c^+)$, $\Delta M(\Xi_c^+ - \Lambda_c^+)$) are generated according to the background shapes measured in data, with no signal contribution. The distributions of $M(\Lambda_c^+)$ versus $\Delta M(\Xi_c^+ - \Lambda_c^+)$ from these simulations are then searched in the same manner as in data. A significance measure $N/\sigma_N$, where $N$ is the fitted number of signal candidates and $\sigma_N$ is the uncertainty on this number, is determined for each fit. In order to statistically combine the results of the 21 fits into one search, only the largest of the 21 significance measures is used. The significance measure from data is compared to the distribution of significance measures from the MC simulations that represent those data. This comparison gives the probability of measuring this particular value of $N/\sigma_N$ or higher in data under the hypothesis that no $\Xi_c^+$ are produced.

None of the $\Lambda_c^+$ decay mode searches finds evidence for $\Xi_c^+$. The most statistically significant signal is for a $\Xi_c^+$ baryon with $\Delta M(\Xi_c^+ - \Lambda_c^+)$ between 1250 MeV/$c^2$ and 1260 MeV/$c^2$, when candidates are required to have $p^*>2.3$ GeV/c. With a significance measure of $N/\sigma_N=66/24$, we find that there is an 8% probability that background alone could produce this signal. This corresponds to a significance of $1.4\sigma$, which does not constitute evidence for the $\Xi_c^+$ baryon.

Using efficiencies ($e$) listed in Table I and integrated luminosity ($L$) of $(232 \pm 2)$ fb$^{-1}$, we extract values for the upper limit on the production cross section times branching fraction ($S$) directly from negative-log-likelihood functions. A conversion factor $F=Le$ and its uncertainty $\sigma_F$ are incorporated in a Gaussian extension to the likelihood function ($L$) so that all systematic uncertainties are included in the results. $L$ takes the form

TABLE II. The 95%-confidence-level upper limits on measured rates for the production of $\Xi_c^0$ baryons with and without a $p^*$ requirement of 2.3 GeV/c for $\Lambda_c^+$ modes and 2.0 GeV/c for the $\Xi_c^+$ modes. The columns labeled $N^{(*)}$ give the upper limits on the number of signal $\Xi_c^{(*)0}$ baryons. $\sigma^{(*)}$ denotes the production cross section $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi_c^{(*)0}X)$; $\sigma$ in the denominator indicates that the cross section has been normalized to $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+X)B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Upper Limits for $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ (\pi^0)$</th>
<th>Upper Limits for $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+ (\pi^0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N^+$</td>
<td>$\sigma^+ B$</td>
<td>$(\sigma^+ / \sigma) B$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide Mass Range</td>
<td>328 $\pm$ 14.5 fb $13.3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide Mass Range, $p^*$ Req.</td>
<td>106 $\pm$ 4.4 fb $5.6 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELEX Mass</td>
<td>169 $\pm$ 7.5 fb $6.9 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELEX Mass, $p^*$ Req.</td>
<td>53 $\pm$ 2.2 fb $2.7 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where $N$ is the total number of fitted events; $S f = n_i$ and $n_b$ are the fitted number of signal and background events, respectively; $f$ is the fitted conversion factor from $S$ to $n_i$; $\bar{a}$ are shape parameters; and $P$ is the probability function for the data point $\bar{x}_i$. The value of $S$ for which $-\ln L$ is 1.35 units above the minimum value for which $S$ is positive is interpreted as the 95\% confidence-level upper limit. These limits are listed in Table II.

To facilitate comparison with the production rate of $\Lambda^+_c$ and to take advantage of the cancellation of the uncertainties in these efficiencies, other sources include vertex quality (6\%), and mass and mass-difference resolutions (1\%); the values in parentheses are the relative uncertainties in these efficiencies. Other sources include uncertainties in the total luminosity (1.0\%) and in the branching fractions for $\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^-$ (0.8\%) and $\Xi^- \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^-$ (0.03\%).

To search for a signal in the 400 MeV/$c^2$-wide search region, we fit the mass-difference distribution with two Gaussian functions, with common means and fixed widths, to represent the signal, and a first-order polynomial for the background. The values of the Gaussian widths are determined from the MC simulation; the root-mean-squared widths are also listed in Table II. The $p^*$ criterion that is applied to the $\Xi_{cc}^0$ candidates is also applied to the $\Lambda^+_c$ candidates in the normalization mode.

### III. SEARCH FOR DECAYS TO $\Xi_{cc}^0 \pi^+ (\pi^+)$

In the search for $\Xi_{cc}^+ \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}^0 \pi^+$ and $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}^0 \pi^+ \pi^+$ decays, the $\Xi_{cc}^0$ is detected in the decay chain $\Xi_{cc}^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+$, $\Xi^- \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^-$, $\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^-$. We search for $\Xi_{cc}^0$ states with masses between 3370 and 3770 MeV/$c^2$ ($\Delta M(\Xi_{cc}^0 - \Xi_{cc}^0)$) between 900 and 1300 MeV/$c^2$). The mass-difference sidebands in data are $800 < \Delta M(\Xi_{cc}^0 - \Xi_{cc}^0) < 900$ MeV/$c^2$ and $1300 < \Delta M(\Xi_{cc}^0 - \Xi_{cc}^0) < 1400$ MeV/$c^2$.

For $\Lambda$ and $\Xi^-$ candidates, we require a minimum signed three-dimensional flight distance of $+2.0$ cm and $+0.5$ cm, respectively, where the flight distance is the projection of the vector from the primary vertex to the decay point, onto the momentum vector of the candidate. $\Lambda$ candidates are required to be within $\pm 3.6$ MeV/$c^2$ ($\pm 3\sigma$) of the world average mass [26]. $\Xi^-$ candidates are required to be within $\pm 5.4$ MeV/$c^2$ ($\pm 3\sigma$) of the world average mass difference $\Delta M(\Xi^- - \Lambda)$, and $\Xi_{cc}^0$ candidates are required to be within $\pm 14$ MeV/$c^2$ ($\pm 2\sigma$) of the world average mass difference $\Delta M(\Xi_{cc}^0 - \Xi^-)$ [26]. For all candidate baryons, we require the vertex fit to have a $\chi^2$ probability greater than 0.01\%. The number of reconstructed $\Xi_{cc}^0$ signal events is approximately 11700. Figure 2 shows the distributions of mass difference for all $\Xi_{cc}^0$ candidates that satisfy these criteria, with no $p^*$ requirement and with $p^* > 2.0$ GeV/$c$. The reconstruction efficiencies are given in Table I.

Systematic uncertainties arise mainly from possible inaccuracies in the simulation of track reconstruction and particle identification (5\% for $\Xi_{cc}^+$ and 6\% for $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$), vertex quality (6\%), and mass and mass-difference resolutions (1\%); the values in parentheses are the relative uncertainties in these efficiencies. Other sources include uncertainties in the total luminosity (1.0\%) and in the branching fractions for $\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^-$ (0.8\%) and $\Xi^- \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^-$ (0.03\%).

![FIG. 2. Distributions of the mass difference $\Delta M(\Xi_{cc}^0 - \Xi_{cc}^0)$ for (a,b) $\Xi_{cc}^+$ and (c,d) $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ candidates with (a,c) no $p^*$ requirement and (b,d) $p^* > 2.0$ GeV/$c$. Data points with error bars correspond to $\Xi_{cc}^0$ candidates reconstructed using $\Xi_{cc}^0$ candidates near the $\Xi_{cc}^0$ mass, 2457 < $\Delta M(\Xi_{cc}^0)$ < 2485 MeV/$c^2$; the shaded histograms correspond to $M(\Xi_{cc}^0)$ sidebands (2451 < $M(\Xi_{cc}^0)$ < 2457 MeV/$c^2$ and 2487 < $M(\Xi_{cc}^0)$ < 2501 MeV/$c^2$) scaled to represent the expected amount of non-$\Xi_{cc}^0$ background in the $M(\Xi_{cc}^0)$ signal region.]
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deviation for $\Delta M(\Xi_{cc}^- - \Xi_{cc}^0)$ is $5.5$ MeV/c$^2$ and for $\Delta M(\Xi_{cc}^- + \Xi_{cc}^0)$ it is $4.2$ MeV/c$^2$. We conduct 50 fits with the mean of the Gaussian signal function constrained to lie in 50 10-MeV/c$^2$ ranges, each of which overlaps neighboring ranges by 2 MeV/c$^2$. Using a MC approach, we calculate the upper limit on the number of signal events using the statistically most significant of the 50 fits. To do this, we generate $N$ signal events according to the Gaussian signal function and background events according to a first-order polynomial, where the number of background events is determined from the mass-difference sidebands. We fit the resulting MC distribution as described above for data, and record the number of signal events $S$ for the statistically most significant fit. We repeat this process 10,000 times, varying $N$ by the fractional systematic uncertainty on efficiency. We then find the value $F$ for which only 5% of the trials have $S < F$. We repeat the above process starting with different values of $N$ to find the value of $N$ for which $F$ is the number of signal events found in the most significant fit in data. This value of $N$ is the 95% CL upper limit on the number of events, shown in Table II for both $\Xi_{cc}^-$ and $\Xi_{cc}^+$, with and without $p^+$ requirements. We also present in Table II the limits obtained when we explicitly search for the states observed by SELEX. For comparison, the measured rate for the singly charmed $\Xi_c$ baryon in $BABAR$ is $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}^0)B(\Xi_{cc}^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- K^{+} \pi^+)$ = $(388 \pm 39 \pm 41)$ fb [27].

[1] Throughout this paper, whenever a particle or decay mode is given, the charge conjugate is also implied.
[14] V. V. Braguta, V. V. Kiselev, and A. E. Chalov, Phys. At.

V. SUMMARY

In conclusion, we have searched for doubly charmed baryons in $e^+ e^-$ annihilations at or near a center-of-mass energy of 10.58 GeV. We do not observe any significant signals for the $\Xi_{cc}^-$ baryon in the decay modes $\Lambda_{cc}^0 K^- \pi^+$ and $\Xi_{cc}^0 \pi^+$, or for the $\Xi_{cc}^0$ baryon in the decay modes $\Lambda_{cc}^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ and $\Xi_{cc}^0 \pi^- \pi^+$.
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