Emergence of Azole-Resistant Aspergillus fumigatus Strains due to Agricultural Azole Use Creates an Increasing Threat to Human Health
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Aspergillus fumigatus, a ubiquitously distributed opportunistic pathogen, is the global leading cause of aspergillosis and causes one of the highest numbers of deaths among patients with fungal infections [1]. Invasive aspergillosis is the most severe manifestation with an overall annual incidence up to 10% in immunosuppressed patients, whereas chronic pulmonary aspergillosis affects about 3 million, primarily immunocompetent, individuals each year [2]. Three triazole antifungals, namely itraconazole, voriconazole, and posaconazole, are recommended first-line drugs in the treatment and prophylaxis of aspergillosis [3]. However,azole resistance in A. fumigatus isolates is increasingly reported with variable prevalence in Europe, the United States, South America, China, Japan, Iran, and India [4–9]. For example, about 10% of strains of A. fumigatus from the Netherlands are itraconazole resistant, and in the United Kingdom, the frequency increased from 0%–5% during 2002–2004 to 17%–20% in 2007–2009 [10–13]. In the ARTEMIS global surveillance program involving 62 medical centers, 5.8% of A. fumigatus strains showed elevated MICs to one or more triazoles [3]. Similarly, the prospective SCARE (Surveillance Collaboration on Aspergillus Resistance in Europe) study involving 22 medical centers in 19 countries identified an overall prevalence of 3.4% azole resistance. Azole-resistant A. fumigatus (ARAF) ranged from 0% to 26% among the 22 centres and was detected in 11 (57.9%) of the 19 participating European countries [4 and P.E. Verweij, personal communication]. Interestingly, almost half (48.9%) of the ARAF isolates from the SCARE network in European countries were resistant to multiple azoles and harbored the TR34/L98H mutation in the cyp51A gene [4 and P.E. Verweij, personal communication]. Indeed, multi-azole resistance in A. fumigatus due to the TR34/L98H mutations has become an emerging problem in both Europe and Asia and has been associated with high rates of treatment failures [12–14].

Azole antifungal drugs inhibit the ergosterol biosynthesis pathway, specifically the cytochrome p450 sterol 14-α-demethylase encoded by the cyp51A gene, which leads to depletion of ergosterol and accumulation of toxic sterols. The majority of ARAF isolates contain alterations in the target enzyme and the mutated target showed reduced or no binding to the drugs [15]. While most mutations in ARAF isolates were single nucleotide substitutions in the target gene (cyp51A), mutations at other genes such as the cdr1B have also been reported. For example, in the United Kingdom the frequency of ARAF isolates without cyp51A mutations has been reported to be more than 50% [16].

Routes of Azole Resistance Development

The epidemiologic data on azole resistance is mainly from two clinical entities. One group comprises noninvasive diseases including patients with allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA), aspergilloma, and chronic pulmonary aspergillosis (CPA) who were treated with long-term azole therapy (mainly itraconazole) and developed acquired resistance after 1–30 months of treatment [13]. In these patients, the ARAF isolates may be resistant to only itraconazole or exhibit a multi-azole-resistant phenotype. The underlying resistance mechanism commonly involves point mutations in the cyp51A gene, indicating that in patients exposed to long-term azole therapy, the fungus is capable of rapidly adapting to azole drug(s) [11–14]. The genotypic analysis of serial isolates of A. fumigatus from patients with chronic aspergillosis revealed that the initial susceptible and later resistant isolates had the same genotype. The only changes were the specific mutations conferring azole resistance, consistent with the development of resistance arising from azole therapy [13].

The second group of patients with ARAF are those with acute aspergillosis but with no known prior exposure to azole drugs [12]. In contrast to the first group in which de novo mutation of the fungus in cavitary lesions is the primary mechanism for the development of azole resistance, those of the second group likely acquired ARAF strains from external environments. In fact 50% of the patients with invasive aspergillosis due to ARAF are known to be azole naive and the outcome of patients with azole-resistant invasive aspergillosis has been dismal, with a mortality rate of 88% [12]. Eighty percent of the ARAF strains from patients with invasive aspergillosis described in the SCARE network had the TR34/L98H mutations, which consist of a substitution of leucine to histidine at codon 98 of the cyp51A gene in combination with a 34-bp tandem repeat in the promoter region. These mutations enabled resistance to itraconazole and intermediate susceptibility or resistance to voriconazole, posaconazole, or both [4,17,18]. As described above, although the environmentally derived azole-resistant strains are predominately associated with acute invasive infections [12,19], the same mechanism has also been reported in...
patients with chronic and allergic pulmonary infections [20]. For example, Denning et al. detected TR34/L98H and M230 mutations in 53.1% respiratory samples of CPA and ABPA patients by direct PCR and some of these patients had no prior azole therapy [20].

Environmental Mediated Development of Azole Resistance

Several recent findings support the hypothesis that ARAF strains in patients with invasive aspergillosis were more likely to be acquired from environmental sources rather than from de novo mutation and selection within patients during azole therapy. First, ARAF strains have been found in patients who had never been treated with azole antifungal drugs [7,12–14]. Second, ARAF strains have been found in many environmental niches including flowerbeds, compost, leaves, plant seeds, soil samples of tea gardens, paddy fields, hospital surroundings, and aerial samples of hospitals [19,21–23]. The majority of the environmental ARAF isolates harbor the TR34/L98H mutations at the cyf51A gene [19,21–23]. ARAF isolates with the TR34/L98H mutations have been detected in the environment of the Netherlands, Denmark, India, and Iran [19,21–23]. It is noteworthy that environmental surveys of ARAF from Europe reported that 12% of Dutch soil samples and 8% of Danish soil samples had the TR34/L98H genotype [19,22]. Similarly, environmental surveys across India detected that 7% of all A. fumigatus isolates and 5% of soil/aerial samples carried the TR34/L98H mutation [21]. These strains showed cross-resistance to voriconazole, posaconazole, itraconazole, and to six triazole fungicides used extensively in agriculture [21].

Recently, another mutation of the cyf51A gene (TR46/Y121F/T289A) was reported in ARAF isolates from 15 patients in six hospitals in the Netherlands [24]. Interestingly, isolates with the same TR46/Y121F/T289A mutations were also recovered from patients’ homes and backyards [24]. Apart from the Netherlands, clinical and environmental strains of A. fumigatus carrying the TR46/Y121F/T289A mutations have also been identified in neighboring Belgium [25] and in India [26]. As most patients acquire A. fumigatus from the environment, the emergence and spread of azole-resistant strains in the environment will put more humans at risk, especially those with compromised immunity.

Linking Clinical Azole Resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus to Fungicide Usage in Agriculture

The hypothesis that clinical azole resistance in A. fumigatus is related to the use of fungicides in agriculture was first proposed by investigators from the Netherlands [19]. The resistant genotype TR34/L98H was found in 90% of ARAF isolates obtained from azole-naïve patients [11] and they hypothesized that if strains of A. fumigatus received sufficient azole challenge in the environment through nonmedical application of azole compounds, azole-resistant strains would be selected and spread [27]. Demethylase inhibitors (DMIs) including azole fungicides are commonly used for crop protection and for the preservation of a variety of materials such as wood [27]. For example, azole fungicides are broadly used to control mildews and rusts of grains, fruits, vegetables, and ornamentals; powdery mildew in cereals, berry fruits, vines, and tomatoes; and several other plant pathogenic fungi. Over one-third of total fungicide sales are azoles (mostly triazoles) and over 99% of the DMIs are used in agriculture. In addition, there are over 25 types of azole DMIs for agricultural use, far more than the three licensed medical triazoles for the treatment of aspergillosis. Furthermore, the azoles could persist and remain active in many ecological niches such as agricultural soil and aquatic environments for several months.

The widespread application of triazole fungicides and their persistence in the environment are significant selective forces for the emergence and spread of ARAF. These environmental triazoles can reduce the population of azole-susceptible strains and selecting for azole-resistant genotypes [27]. Intensive use of DMI fungicides for post-harvest spoilage crop protection against phytopathogenic molds is known to cause the development of resistance in many fungi of agricultural importance. For example, resistance or tolerance to triazole fungicides has been reported for important crop pathogens such as Mycosphaerella graminicola (wheat), Rhychosporium secalis (barley), and Botrytis cinerea (strawberry) [28]. Since A. fumigatus shares its natural environments with many fungal plant pathogens, strains of A. fumigatus are also exposed to the same strong and persistent pressure from fungicides. Indeed, the presence of a tandem repeat at the 5‘-end upstream of the 14α-demethylase gene is an important mechanism found in many plant pathogenic molds resistant to sterol DMI fungicides [19].

All A. fumigatus isolates with the TR34/L98H mutations from both clinical and environmental origins have shown cross-resistance to not only all three medical triazoles, but also five agricultural triazole DMI fungicides: propiconazole, blemconazole, tebuconazole, epoxiconazole, and difenoconazole [21,29]. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that exposure of A. fumigatus to azole fungicides in the environment causes cross-resistance to medical triazoles. By molecular modeling studies, these five triazole DMI fungicides were found to have similar molecular structures as medical triazoles (Figure 1) and they all adopt a similar conformation while docking the target enzyme in susceptible strains of A. fumigatus [15,29]. However, there was limited docking and activity against ARAF strains with the TR34/L98H mutation [29]. A similar phenomenon was also observed in the maize anthracnose fungus Colletotrichum graminicola. Strains of C. graminicola were able to efficiently adapt to media containing azoles, and those adapted to tebuconazole were less sensitive to all tested agricultural and medical azoles than the nonadapted control strain [28]. In addition, tebuconazole induced tandem repeat expansion in the promoter region of cyf51A in A. fumigatus isolates in vitro, indicating that fungicide pressure can rapidly select adaptive genomic changes in this mold [29]. Agriculturally selected antibiotic resistance has also been reported in many bacteria, contributing to the broad distribution of multidrug-resistant pathogenic bacteria in patients and hospitals. While horizontal gene transfer is an important mechanism for the spread of antibiotic-resistant genes among bacteria, this mechanism is not commonly found in fungal pathogens. However, similar to that in bacterial pathogens, once multidrug-resistant genotypes arise in fungal pathogens, such genotypes can spread very quickly to other geographic regions and ecological niches through vegetative cells and airborne spores such as conidia.

Clonal Expansion and Fitness of TR34/L98H Aspergillus fumigatus Strains

A recent analysis of 255 Dutch A. fumigatus isolates using 20 molecular markers identified five distinct genotype groups in the Netherlands. Interestingly, all the multi-triazole resistant (MTR) isolates with the TR34/L98H mutation belonged to one group and, overall, they were genetically less variable than susceptible isolates [30], consistent with a single and recent origin of the resistant genotype. Similarly, all MTR A. fumigatus clinical and environmental strains obtained from diverse geographic regions from India belonged to a single multilocus microsatellite genotype
The genotype analysis suggested that the ARAF genotype in India was likely an extremely adaptive recombinant progeny derived from a cross between azole-resistant strains migrated from outside of India and a native azole-susceptible strain from within India, followed by mutation. The abundant phylogenetic incompatibility is consistent with sexual mating in natural populations of this species in India [21].

A potential consequence of harboring the multidrug-resistant mutations in ARAF strains might be a reduced fitness in the absence of the drug as compared to the wild-type isolates. However, evidence so far suggested that the TR34/L98H mutation had little or no adverse fitness consequence. For example, the composite survival index (CSI) was used to measure the virulence properties of the cyp51A gene–associated resistance mechanism in A. fumigatus isolates [31]. The analyses revealed that strains with the TR34/L98H mutation had virulence comparable to the wild-type controls and there was no growth impairment and no reduction of virulence with the TR34/L98H mutation [31]. A similar finding was reported about the fitness of azole-resistant C. albicans strains with mutations in the ERG3 gene, with the mutants retaining filamentation and virulence properties [32].

The rapid spread of ARAF strains is jeopardizing the treatment of patients with Aspergillus diseases, ruling out the use of oral antifungals for these patients and leaving only the option of intravenous amphotericin B or echinocandins. Amphotericin B has significant detrimental side-effects and echinocandins are unable to completely kill or inhibit Aspergillus and, as such, they have only been licensed for salvage therapy of invasive aspergillosis. At present, antifungal susceptibility testing of A. fumigatus against azoles is not commonly performed and thus the overall threat of ARAF is not yet completely known. However, it would be beneficial to (i) have an active multi-azole susceptibility testing of A. fumigatus to monitor the extent of the problem, (ii) reduce agricultural use of triazole DMI fungicides, and (iii) use combination drug therapy when dealing with infections by A. fumigatus strains to limit the emergence of resistance. Instead of using chemical methods to a more integrated crop management approach could help lower the dosage levels of fungicides in the environment and minimize the emergence and spread of ARAF.

Although there are substantial data suggesting that agricultural use of fungicides have driven the emergence and spread of multi-triazole-resistant strains of A. fumigatus, conclusive evidence linking agricultural triazole fungicides to the emergence of TR34/L98H or TR34/Y121F/T289A genotypes in controlled field experiments is lacking. The definite evidence will help the regulatory authorities with formulation of policies to control the environmental-drivenazole resistance. The two major types of azole-resistant mutations were first detected in 1998 and 2009 respectively and both are now

**Perspectives**

The rapid spread of ARAF strains is jeopardizing the treatment of patients with Aspergillus diseases, ruling out the use of oral antifungals for these patients and leaving only the option of intravenous amphotericin B or echinocandins. Amphotericin B has significant detrimental side-effects and echinocandins are unable to completely kill or inhibit Aspergillus and, as such, they have only been licensed for salvage therapy of invasive aspergillosis. At present, antifungal susceptibility testing of A. fumigatus against azoles is not commonly performed and thus the overall threat of ARAF is not yet completely known. However, it would be beneficial to (i) have an active multi-azole susceptibility testing of A. fumigatus to monitor the extent of the problem, (ii) reduce agricultural use of triazole DMI fungicides, and (iii) use combination drug therapy when dealing with infections by A. fumigatus strains to limit the emergence of resistance. Instead of using chemical methods to a more integrated crop management approach could help lower the dosage levels of fungicides in the environment and minimize the emergence and spread of ARAF.

Although there are substantial data suggesting that agricultural use of fungicides have driven the emergence and spread of multi-triazole-resistant strains of A. fumigatus, conclusive evidence linking agricultural triazole fungicides to the emergence of TR34/L98H or TR34/Y121F/T289A genotypes in controlled field experiments is lacking. The definite evidence will help the regulatory authorities with formulation of policies to control the environmental-drivenazole resistance. The two major types of azole-resistant mutations were first detected in 1998 and 2009 respectively and both are now
spreading quickly (Figure 2). It is highly likely that other types of mutations conferring multiple azole resistance could emerge in the near future from environmental sources and spread among human populations.
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